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**ABSTRACT**

Butanol is the most promising alternative liquid biofuel that can substitute gasoline due to its properties which is more similar to gasoline than ethanol. In this study, butanol was produced by batch culture and repeated batch culture in acetone-butanol-ethanol fermentation (*ABE*) using a local strain of *Clostridium acetobutylicum* YM1. In batch culture using tryptone-yeast extract-acetate medium (*TYA*) containing 30 g/L glucose, the maximum butanol concentration was 3.78 g/L with a maximum butanol productivity of 0.08 g/L.h and the butanol yield was 0.15 g/g. Effect of some factors on the butanol production during repeated batch fermentation including drain and fill volume of medium and drain and fill time were investigated. The results of repeated batch fermentation showed that 50% (v/v) of drain and fill volume at 48 h maximized the production of butanol, productivity and yield. The highest butanol concentration was 5.12 g/L in the first cycle of repeated batch with a butanol productivity of 0.11 g/L.h and a butanol yield of 0.44 g/g. Repeated batch fermentation using free cells of *Clostridium acetobutylicum* YM1 eliminated the lag phase and then improved the productivity of butanol and total *ABE*. This study showed that repeated batch fermentation improved the efficiency of butanol production over batch culture fermentation by *Clostridium acetobutylicum* YM1.

**Keywords:** Repeated batch fermentation; *Clostridium acetobutylicum* YM1; Butanol; Biofuel

**INTRODUCTION**

Butanol is considered as a potential renewable energy source that may in the upcoming years be utilized as a biofuel on a large scale instead of gasoline. Compared with ethanol, it possesses a number of valuable characteristics as a fuel, such as high-energy output, greater resistance towards temperature fluctuations, low damage potential and compatibility with the current internal combustion engine models (Al-Shorgani et al. 2016). Butanol can be generated in nature using some strains of *Clostridium* bacteria in a well-documented process known as acetone-butanol-ethanol (*ABE*) fermentation. Unfortunately, this process is lacking in terms of efficiency and is associated with a rather low yield due to the toxic nature of butanol. Research has been focusing on butanol lately as a key energy source and a renewable biofuel for the following reasons: the impending shortage of fossil fuels, the need for increased vitality and the rise in oil prices worldwide (Lee et al. 2008). Butanol has a large number of advantageous properties as a biofuel.

A major challenge that *Clostridium*-based ABE fermentation faces is butanol toxicity. The growth of the
microorganisms and biofuel generation cease altogether if the medium has as little as 13–15 g/L of butanol. This down regulatory mechanism of *Clostridium* was shown to be responsible for significantly diminished output past 15 g/L of butanol fixation (Al-Shorgani et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2012).

There are several shortcomings in batch fermentation processes: the period required for bioreactor sterilization, during which operations are halted, considerable down time, long slack stage, low dissolvable restraint and low output. Nevertheless, these conventional processes are still in use in many fields. Different methodologies and procedures have been proposed for the production of butanol using low-cost feedstock, like Jerusalem artichokes, cheese whey, apple pomace, algae sulfites and rejected liquors.

Butanol may be produced by continuous fermentation and this allows for periodic elimination of toxic molecules throughout the operation. The introduction of fresh medium and the elimination of fermentation products is a useful technique to keep the process going at a steady rate and associated with significantly greater butanol yields. Due to physiological limitations pertaining to *Clostridium* species, large-scale butanol production presents many obstacles. Elevated butanol concentrations caused the bacteria to enter into a stationary phase in which spores are formed and the cells become unable to generate more butanol. In immobilized cell reactors, greater cell aggregation and stacking was found to allow microorganisms to tolerate greater quantities of the end products. Consequently, cell immobilization techniques were integrated into fermentation operations to generate higher profits.

Repeated-batch fermentation is considered as superior to conventional batch fermentation protocols for a number of reasons such as, it does not necessitate a new inoculum for every batch and is more suitable for long-term operations (Xu 2014). Moreover, cleaning and sterilization time is significantly lower with repeated batch fermentation; and, unlike continuous models, the method allows effortless control of the operation. However, in ethanol production using repeated-batch fermentation that employ free *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells, quantities of the fermented broth have to be removed regularly with the residual amount being used as an inoculum for the subsequent batch. Unfortunately, this has been known to result in significantly lower yeast loads, leading to decreased ethanol production in the following batches (Nuanpeng et al. 2011; Ariyajarearnwong et al. 2011). To prevent this from happening, a repeated-batch protocol with stationary fungal cells was suggested. Cell immobilization systems may reduce extraction costs, and compared with free cell fermentation procedures, they are associated with greater microorganism concentrations, faster fermentation, effortless cell recycle and lower possibility of product inhibition.

Using a systematic approach, a large number of mutant *Clostridium* strains have been engineered recently to enhance the yield of the solvent. Resilient solvent-producing strains were identified and metabolically scrutinized to evaluate their survival rate in butanol-rich media. Previously, simultaneous recuperation procedures were believed to be the only option to deal with solvent toxicity during fermentation (Qureshi & Blaschek 2000). Procedures of the sort comprised a variety of evaporation, gas stripping, adsorption, and liquid–liquid extraction and osmosis techniques.

*C. acetobutylicum*, *C. beijerinckii*, *C. saccharoperbutyricum* and *C. saccharobutyricum* are obligate anaerobes belonging to the *Clostridium* bacteria genus. These microorganisms are unable to grow in normal atmospheric conditions as they lack the cellular systems responsible for the elimination of active oxygen species, like superoxide anions and hydrogen peroxide. Consequently, these bacterial species have been shown to be highly vulnerable to the toxic effects of oxygen and unable to sustain their cellular integrity in aerobic conditions (Pfromm et al. 2010). The use of solvent-producing *Clostridium* for butanol production on a large scale may be troublesome considering the difficulty of achieving the necessary strict anaerobic conditions. The presence of oxygen during ABE fermentation processes has been shown to be detrimental (Yazdani & Gonzalez 2007). Hence, it seems that substantial advancements in the field of bioenergy may not be possible without the identification of useful microorganisms that possess advantageous and novel characteristics (Bramono et al. 2011; Chua et al. 2013). For example, the availability of an oxygen-tolerant *Clostridium* strain capable of producing high amounts of butanol has been identified as the key to successful ABE fermentation. Several studies have demonstrated that cellular growth and DNA synthesis of *C. acetobutylicum* could be greatly suppressed in the presence of oxygen due to the organism’s anaerobic nature (Aboulnaga et al. 2013). However, unlike many of the *Clostridium* strains used for ABE fermentation, *C. acetobutylicum* YM1 was found to be able to produce butanol efficiently in weak anaerobic conditions achieved by gas-flushing with oxygen-free nitrogen (Al-Shorgani, et al. 2015; Al-Shorgani et al. 2016). In this study, a basic trial to evaluate the production of butanol in batch culture and repeated-batch ABE fermentation was investigated by a local isolate of *C. acetobutylicum* YM1 from glucose as a carbon source.

**METHODOLOGY**

**MEDIUM PREPARATION**

Pre-culture was prepared using the tryptone-yeast extract-acetate (TYA) medium. TYA medium was also used to prepare the inoculum and was the primary medium in several tests. To prepare the medium, 1 L of distilled water was used to dissolve 30 g glucose, 2 g yeast extract, 6 g tryptone, 3 g CH₃COONH₄, 0.3 g MgSO₄.7H₂O, 0.5 g KH₂PO₄ and 10 mg FeSO₄.7H₂O. The pH of medium was set at 6.0 ± 0.2 using 1 M NaOH and 1M HCl. The medium was autoclaved at 121°C for 15 min before use.
TYA medium was used to prepare the inoculum of C. acetobutylicum YM1. Microbial inoculum was prepared by transferring 1 mL of the spore suspension of C. acetobutylicum YM1 into 9 mL of TYA medium, which was then heated for 1 min in boiling water, then cooled in iced water, and incubated for 1 to 2 days at 30°C under anaerobic condition. This culture was then subcultured in TYA medium and incubated for 18 h to be used as a fresh inoculum source.

**BATCH FERMENTATION SYSTEM**

In the present study, C. acetobutylicum YM1 was cultivated and grown using TYA medium containing 30 g/L of glucose. The following growth conditions were applied: inoculum size of 10% (v/v); incubation temperature of 30°C and the initial pH value was set at 6.2. Fermentation tests were conducted using a 250 mL Scott Duran bottles with a working volume of 100 mL.

**REPEATED BATCH FERMENTATION SYSTEM**

The repeated-batch fermentation was conducted using 250 mL Duran bottle with a working volume of 100 mL. TYA was used as a fermentation medium and the fermentation conditions were similar to that carried out in the batch fermentation. After the level of present glucose decreased in the broth to a predefined point, the subsequent batch was started by removing certain of the operational volume, fermented broth and promptly replacing that with a fresh TYA medium. This procedure is known as the fill and drain technique (Anastassiadis & Rehm 2006).

**SOLVENTS AND ACIDS ANALYSIS**

Solvents (acetone, butanol and ethanol) and acids (butyric acid and acetic acid) were identified using gas chromatography system (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA) with a flame ionization detector (FID). An Equity-1 30-m capillary column (30 m × 0.32 mm × 1.0 µm) was used (Supelco Co., PA, USA). An initial oven temperature of 40°C was gradually raised to 130°C at a rate of 8°C/min. Injector and detector settings were 250°C and 280°C, respectively. Helium served as the carrier gas and was introduced into the column at 1.5 mL/min.

**GLUCOSE ASSAY BY 3, 5 DINITROSALICYLIC ACID METHOD**

Glucose concentration in the medium was determined using 3,5 dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method (Papanikolaou et al. 2008). To perform the measurement, 1 mL and 2 mL of the sample and DNS reagent solution were transferred to a test tube and kept inside boiling water for a period of 10 min. The mixture containing reagents was left for cooling, than 7 mL of distilled water was added and absorbencies was read at 540 nm using spectrophotometer. The absorbencies at 540 nm for all samples were recorded and the glucose concentration was calculated from a standard curve.

**MEASUREMENT OF PH**

The acidity/alkalinity of the medium was measured by a pH meter (Metrohm, Switzerland). Prior to sample measurement, pH buffers standard solution of pH 4 and pH 7 were employed for pH meter calibration.

**DETERMINATION OF CELL GROWTH**

Cell growth of C. acetobutylicum YM1 in TYA medium was determined by measuring the optical density at 600 nm using a Genesys 10 Scanning UV-VIS Spectrophotometer (Thermo Spectronic, Rochester, USA).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**EFFECT OF DRAIN AND FILL VOLUME (DFV) ON BUTANOL FERMENTATION IN REPEATED-BATCH CULTURE**

In this study, the effect of drain and fill volume (DFV) on butanol production in repeated batch fermentation was investigated. Different DFV of 25%, 50%, and 75% were examined. The volume of culture removed was replaced immediately with same amount of fresh TYA medium. It displays the pH level of 25% DFV in repeated batch cultures. The fermentation time taken by the first batch was 50 h and in subsequent batches the time taken was reduced to 48 h; a stationary phase was observed at 48 h. Repeated batch fermentation was carried out 5 times. The initial pH level of the first batch was 5.89 after inoculation while in the repeated batch 5 was decreased to 4.95. It was noticed that the repeated batch 1 to 5 had a final pH reading ranging from 5.13 to 5 at the beginning of each run. However, the final pH readings had decreased steadily from 4.87 at the end of run 1 to 4.42 at the end of run 5. The accumulation of acetic acid and butyric acid in the remaining medium of batches 1 to 5 had caused the decrease in readings of pH.

In the first batch culture, the C. acetobutylicum YM1 cell growth in TYA medium displayed an increase in the growth reading (OD at 600 nm) from 0.2 at 0 h to 2.24 towards the end of the first batch. The results obtained revealed that the cell division was started rapidly in the repeated-batch 1 to 5 and the growth in batches 1 to 5 was ranged from 2.22, 2.11, 2.03, 1.69 and 1.62, respectively, at the initial stage of each batch run. No significant lag phase was noticed in the repeated batch 1 to 5. At the end of the test, the bacterial growth was reduced from 1.83 at run 1 to 1.19 at the end of run 5. Similar results had also been obtained by Ariyajarearnwong et al. (2011) who stated that the viable cell concentrations in their study were lower in run 2 to 8 than in that observed in repeated batch run 1.

The initial glucose concentration was 30 g/L and decreased to 7.62 g/L in the end of batch culture. Additional reductions were observed in repeated-batch 1 to 5 with concentrations of 7.62, 1.76, 1.42, 3.1, 3.62, and 8.76 g/L, respectively, as shown in Figure 1a. The butanol concentration were reduced by increasing the repeated batch cycles which
ranged in batch 1 to 5 from 4.17, 2.81, 2.36, 2.25 and 1.40 g/L, respectively, and might be caused by lower glucose concentration in the broth of these batches compared to earlier concentrations of the first batch (Figure 1a). For batch 1, the initial glucose concentration in the fermentation broth was 30 g/L and at the end, the concentration of butanol reached 4.17 g/L. Overall, the maximum concentration of butanol in the fermentation DFv of 25% in repeated-batch 1 was 4.17 g/L at 300 h (Figure 1a). Al-Shorgani et al. (2014) had stated that the initial glucose concentration would highly influence butanol production.

In 50% DFv experiment, the culture medium for the repeated-batch was replaced immediately with the same volume of fresh TYA medium. The initial pH reading of batch 1 after inoculation was 5.89 which decreased to 4.91 at the end of this cycle. In the repeated batch 1 to 5, pH ranged from 5.12 to 5.03 at the start of each run. Additionally, the final pH reading had continuously declined from 4.85 at the end of run 1 to 4.28 at the end of run 5. The continuous reduction in batch 1 to 5 was caused by the accumulation of acids in the remaining medium.

In batch culture, the growth of C. acetobutylicum YM1 in TYA medium culture was accelerated by the increase in reading (OD 600 nm) from 0.2 after inoculation to 2.24 at the end. The repeated batches 1 to 5 was anticipated to divide rapidly after inoculation with OD readings ranging from 2.19 to 2.7. There was no significant lag phase at the beginning of each subsequent batch. The reduction was higher in 50% DFv compared to 25% DFv because of the increase in the volume of replacing medium which recorded as 1.12 at run 2 and 0.67 at the end of run 5. Dashti and Abdeshahian (2016) had stated that the highest level of the biomass concentration had been obtained during the first cycle of the repeated batch culture, which indicated that an increase in the number of repeated batch cycles had an adverse influence on biomass concentration and microbial cell growth.

In repeated batch cycle 1, the initial glucose concentration of 30 g/L was reduced to 7.68 g/L in the end. In repeated batches 1 to 5, the concentrations of glucose were reduced at the end to 7.10, 9.56, 8.77, 10.71 and 15.71 g/L, respectively, as shown in Figure 1b. In addition, in repeated batches 1 to 5, the butanol concentrations obtained were ranged from 5.12 to 1.07 g/L as shown in Figure 1b. This gradual decrease of butanol concentration might be caused by the low glucose concentration in the culture. Total fermentation time of five successive repeated batches that kept for 300 h at 50% DFV had the maximum concentration of butanol of 5.12 g/L (Figure 1b).

In the 75% DFV experiment, it was noticed that the changes are not similar with 50% DFV and 25% DFV. For batch 1, the initial pH was 5.89 which dropped to 5.03 at the end. Thereafter the pH values of the repeated batches 1 to 5 at the beginning of the batch varied from 5.38, 5.2, 5.23 and 5.29, respectively. The pH readings at the end had decreased continuously and the reading for run 1 was 4.88 and 4.62 for run 5. The continuous decline was caused by accumulated acetic acid and butyric acid in the remaining medium of repeated batch cycle 1 to cycle 5.

In batch culture 1 of 75% DFV, the C. acetobutylicum YM1 cell growth in TYA medium was measured by the rise in the OD at 600 nm which increased from 0.2 at 0 h to 2.24 at the end. The OD readings ranging from 1.97, 2.1, 2.06, 2.09 and 2.07 were observed at the initial stage of each run in the repeated batches 1 to 5, respectively. No obvious lag phase
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was noticed. In repeated batch 1, a decrease in cell growth was recorded as 1.01 OD and in run 5 recorded 1.02 OD at the end of the run which indicated a stable decrease in cell growth along with the increase in the repeated batch cycle runs.

The results obtained from this study for 25% and 50% DFV are supported by Choi et al. (2009) who noted that a higher DFV of 20% produced higher cell concentration and final product compared to lower DFV of 10% and 5%. In contrast, Ariyajarearnwong et al. (2011) found that an increase in DFV from 50% to 75% produced an increase in the final product concentration and productivity.

In the repeated batch cycles 1 to 5, glucose concentrations had a reduction of 0.89, 2.36, 0.67, 0.38 and 1.29 g/L, respectively, as shown in Figure 1c. In repeated batches 1 to 5, butanol concentration concentrations were 2.78, 2.05, 2.60, 3.47 and 1.688 g/L, respectively (Figure 1c) and this reduction was caused by lower glucose concentration in the broth. The comparison between all DFV volumes is illustrated in Table 1. The total fermentation time taken for testing five successive repeated batches at 75% DFV was 300 h and the maximum butanol concentration as 3.4 g/L was obtained in repeated batch cycle 4.

Maximum concentration of AEB in 25% DFV was observed in repeated batch 1 as 6.41 g/L. In repeated batch 1 at 50% DFV, the ABE concentration was 7.79 g/L and 5.51 g/L of ABE was produced in repeated batch 4 of 75% DFV. The maximum productivity of ABE for 25% DFV was recorded in repeated batch 1 as 0.13 g/Lh and the highest butanol productivity of 0.11 g/Lh was found from repeated batch 1 of 50% DFV while the culture of 75% DFV produced 0.51 g/Lh as the highest in the repeated batch 4. In repeated batch 1 of 25% DFV, the maximum ABE yield was 0.59 g ABE/g glucose. With 50% DFV, the repeated batch 2 yielded 0.66 g ABE/g glucose. Whereas, at 75% DFV in repeated batch 4, the yield dropped to 0.51 g ABE/g glucose.

**EFFECT OF DRAIN AND FILL TIME (DFT) ON BUTANOL PRODUCTION IN REPEATED BATCH FERMENTATION**

The effect of time addition of medium as a drain and fill volume (DFT) was studied. The DFT was kept constant at 50% and the time of addition (DFT) was changed for every 12, 24, 36 and 48 h intervals during repeated batch fermentation to verify the best time for the production of butanol.

Repeated batch culture at 50% DFV was tested at every 12 h for 5 runs and in repeated batch cycle 1, the initial pH was 5.89 which decreased to 5.28 at the end. The readings obtained for repeated batches 1 to 5 showed that the pH values were 5.52, 5.09, 5.06, 5.14 and 5.20, respectively, at the start of each run. In runs 1 to 5, the pH values were quite stable with readings ranging from 4.87, 4.77, 4.86, 4.95 and 4.86 at the end of the runs. In batch 1, the growth of *C. acetobutylicum YM1* culture was increased from 0.2 OD at 0 h to 1.45 at the end. In the repeated batches 1 to 5, the cells divided rapidly after inoculation with the OD ranging from 1.04 to 1.66 at the initial stage of each run. At the end of run 5 there was only a slight increase from 2.104 (run 1) to 2.256 in run 5. The initial glucose concentration in the batch culture was 30 g/L which was higher than in the repeated batches which varied from 26.54, 23.80, 21.08, 18.21 and 21.27 g/L, respectively. In repeated batches 1 to 5, the final remaining glucose concentrations were 16.17, 10.75, 9.72, 12.78 and 10.41 g/L, respectively.

In terms of yield and productivity, the productivity and yield of butanol, the concentration of the by-products are dependent on the glucose utilized and the DFV of replaced fresh medium. A significant difference in the production of the final butanol concentration was observed at the end of every batch. The maximum titer of butanol in 25% DFV was obtained in repeated batch 1 (4.17 g/L), while for 50% was in repeated batch 1 (5.12 g/L) and for 75% was in repeated batch culture 4 (3.4 g/L) as shown in Table 1. The maximum butanol productivity for 25% DFV was in repeated batch 1 as 0.08 g/Lh, while at DFV of 50% in repeated batch 1, the productivity was 0.11 g/Lh and at a DFV of 75% the productivity was 0.08 g/Lh (Table 1). The maximum butanol yield for 25% DFV was in repeated batch 1 was 0.38 g butanol/g glucose, while repeated batch 1 in DFV of 50% yielded a high yield of 0.44 g butanol/g glucose and at repeated batch 4 of 75% DFV the yield was decreased to 0.32 g butanol/g glucose (Table 1).
The highest concentration of butanol produced was 4.56 g/L in this experiment.

Increasing the DFT to 36 h was also investigated. The pH in repeated batch cultures readings ranged from 5.23, 5.26, 5.13, 5.08 and 5.11, respectively, at the beginning of runs 1 to 5. Growth of *C. acetobutylicum* YM1 at DFT of 36 h was slightly reduced in the repeated batch (run 5) as 1.99 OD compared to 2.12 OD in the first repeated batch culture. At DFT of 36 h, the glucose concentrations were found as 26.421, 17.64, 14.25, 18.49 and 22.23 g/L in repeated batches 1 to 5, respectively. At the end of the experiment, the remaining glucose concentrations for repeated batches 1 to 5 were 2.97, 2.03, 1.85, 7.19 and 1.87 g/L, respectively. The maximum butanol concentration of 2.33 g/L was obtained in repeated batch 2.

**Table 2. Effect of DFT on butanol fermentation in repeated batch culture of *C. acetobutylicum* YM1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Addition time (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeated batch cycle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max butanol (g/L)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABE (g/L)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butanol productivity (g/L.h)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABE productivity (g/L.h)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial glucose (g/L)</td>
<td>21.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual glucose (g/L)</td>
<td>10.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilized glucose (g/L)</td>
<td>10.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butanol yield (g/g)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABE yield (g/g)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increasing the DFT to 48 h showed a better butanol fermentation performance in repeated batch fermentation. *C. acetobutylicum* YM1 cell growth in at the beginning of each run was 1.21, 1.12, 1.01, 1.0, and 0.67 in repeated batches 1 to 5, respectively. While the growth at the end of repeated batch runs 1 to 5 were ranged from 2.19, 2.02, 1.82, 1.46, and 1.28 OD, respectively. Concentrations of glucose in repeated batches 1 to 5 were 18.73, 18.49, 17.88, 22.32, and 21.43 g/L, respectively. At the end of each repeated batch run, the glucose concentrations were 7.10, 9.56, 8.77, 10.71 and 15.71 g/L, respectively. The maximum butanol concentration was 5.12 g/L in repeated batch 1 with a yield of 0.44 g/g (Table 2). Results in this study exhibited that increasing the time of drain and fill from 12 h to 48 h led to enhance butanol production, productivity and yield. This is in agreement with a study reported by Dasthi and Abdeshahian (2016) who found that increasing the time from 12 h to 48 h created a progressive increase in the production of lipid which indicated the positive influence of increasing the time on the metabolic activity of *Cunninghamella bainieri* 2A1 in the fermentation for lipid production.

Table 2 summarized the butanol production, yield and productivities for butanol and total ABE at different times of DFT. It can be seen that at DFT of 48 h, the butanol concentration was the maximum as 5.12 g/L with ABE concentration of 7.78 g/L at the first repeated batch culture. DFT at 48 h also resulted in high yield of butanol as 0.44 g/g and ABE yield of 0.67 g/g. Moreover, in repeated batch cycle 2 of DFT 48 h, the yield of butanol was improved to 0.45 g/g while the butanol concentration was lower (3.97 g/L).

In the control batch culture, the maximum concentration of butanol was 3.78 g/L, the maximum butanol productivity was 0.08 g/L.h, and the butanol yield was 0.15 g/g when glucose concentration of 30 g/L was used. During the fermentation of glucose in TYA medium for 72 h, the solvent production started at 20 h and continued increasing to reach the maximum concentrations of solvent and butanol at 46 h.

**COMPARISON OF BATCH AND REPEATED BATCH FERMENTATION FOR BUTANOL PRODUCTION**

The productivity and yield of butanol in repeated batch culture and the concentration of by-products were dependent on the glucose concentration used. The best conditions for repeated batch was with DFT of 50% at replacement time of 48 h. There was a significant difference in the level of the final butanol concentrations at the end of every repeated batch. The maximum concentration of butanol in repeated batch culture for 50% every 48 h was in repeated batch cycle 1 as 5.12 g/L and the butanol productivity was 0.11 g/L.h with a butanol yield of 0.44 g/g.

Repeated batch fermentation and fed-batch fermentation modes are the most suitable fermentation processes compared to the batch or continuous fermentation processes because it can improve the production of butanol and solvents and decrease the residual substrate. Fed-batch fermentation also is applied to avoid the substrate inhibition or occurring of catabolite repression (Li et al. 2011). In this study, the results showed that repeated batch fermentation produced high titer of butanol and total solvents and the yield and productivity were maximized over than batch fermentation. Similar finding was reported by Dolejs et al. (2014) who found that the highest productivity of butanol and solvents were achieved when the repeated batch fermentation was used compared to fed-batch fermentation.

Recently, Liu et al. (2018) reported that using repeated batch culture system produced highest concentration of solvent and butanol compared to that obtained from batch culture fermentation. They found that the maximum butanol of 4.9 g/L butanol was obtained with a total solvent yield of 0.41 g/g in repeated-batch fermentation in the FBB system using immobilized *C. beijerinckii* NCIMB 8052 (Li et al. 2018). Our study is in agreement with that reported by Liu et al. (2018), while the butanol titer that obtained in our study was higher (5.12 g/L) with a higher butanol and total solvent yield in repeated batch fermentation of *C. acetobutylicum* YM1.

During the repeated batch culture fermentation, residual acids concentrations (acetic acid and butyric acid) were left which affect the following repeated batch culture. The presence of these acids in the culture are essential to shift the fermentation from the acidogenic phase to the
solventogenic phase and resulted in improved solvent and butanol fermentation. The presence of enough inoculum in 50% DFv with residual acids led to eliminate the lag phase of bacterial growth and immediate butanol production then consequently improved the productivity and yield of butanol and ABE in repeated batch fermentation process.

CONCLUSION

The present work was a basic trial to evaluate the C. acetobutylicum YM1 to produce butanol in batch and repeated batch ABE fermentation. In batch fermentation, the maximum butanol production was 3.7 g/L with a productivity of 0.0824 g/L/h and the yield was 0.14 g/g. The effect of drain and fill volume (DFV) on the production of butanol from C. acetobutylicum YM1 in repeated batch fermentation was optimized using different volumes for 300 h. The maximum production of butanol was 5.12 g/L in 50% volume while in 75% the production of butanol decreased drastically to 3.71 g/L. The time of drain and fill in 50% feeding strategy was also tested using different time: 12 h, 24 h, 36 h and 48 h. The results showed that 48 h drain and fill time produced the highest concentration of butanol at 5.12 g/L, followed by 24 h drain and fill time which produced butanol concentration of 4.56 g/L. Repeated batch fermentation by C. acetobutylicum YM1 produced higher butanol concentration and yield compared to batch culture fermentation. Further studies are required to be achieved to improve the yield and productivity of butanol such as cells immobilization during repeated batch fermentation.
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