Applications of Machine Learning to Friction Stir Welding Process Optimization
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ABSTRACT

Machine learning (ML) is a branch of artificial intelligent which involve the study and development of algorithm for computer to learn from data. A computational method used in machine learning to learn or get directly information from data without relying on a prearranged model equation. The applications of ML applied in the domains of all industries. In the field of manufacturing the ability of ML approach is utilized to predict the failure before occurrence. FSW and FSSW is an advanced form of friction welding and it is a solid state joining technique which is mostly used to weld the dissimilar alloys. FSW, FSSW has become a dominant joining method in aerospace, railway and ship building industries. It observed that the number of applications of machine learning increased in FSW, FSSW process which sheared the Machine-learning approaches like, artificial Neural Network (ANN), Regression model (RSM), Support Vector Machine (SVM) and Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS). The main purpose of this study is to review and summarize the emerging research work of machine learning techniques in FSW and FSSW. Previous researchers demonstrate that the Machine Learning applications applied to predict the response of FSW and FSSW process. The prediction in error percentage in result of ANN and RSM model in overall is less than 5%. In comparison between ANN/RSM the obtain result shows that ANN is provide better and accurate than RSM. In application of SVM algorithm the prediction accuracy found 100% for training and testing process.
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INTRODUCTION

Machine Learning (ML) is a branch of Artificial Intelligent. It is an approach, which allows computers to do which comes naturally from human, learn from experience. As the number of samples for learning increase, performance of algorithm adaptively improves (Alpaydin, 2004). ML firstly gained concentration after (Arthur, 1959) published his paper “Some Studies in ML Using the Game of Checkers”. Since then, ML continuously flourish in the field of research but also it grew with more divers. In the field of smart manufacturing ML has capability to solve problems of NP-complete nature (Lászlo Monostori, Jozsef Homyak, Csaba Egresits, 1998). ML has ability to learn and adapt changes therefore no need to predict and provide solution for all situation (Alpaydin, 2010). The major strength of ML to learn from and adapting automatically to changing environment (Lu, 1990; Simon, 1983). The major factors that enhanced the capability and accelerated the applications of ML i.e. Advances in Computing (Hardware), Advances in Algorithms (Software), New generation of Machine Learning algorithms, Deep Learning and Reinforcement Learning, Advances in Sensor Technology (Data), High-performance and cheap sensors, Large amounts of data (Pokutta, 2016).

Since 2006, deep learning emerged as expeditiously growing research field which explore the performance in a wide range of areas like machine translation, image segmentation, speech recognition, and object recognition. Deep learning began from ANN which is branch of a ML. Most deep learning methods implies the neural network architecture that why some time represented as deep neural network. Deep learning exploit the technique of multiple non-linear processing layers for supervised or unsupervised and tries to learn from hierarchical description of data. The application of deep learning is available in all industries from automated driven to medical devices (Deng, 2014). (Wuest, Weimer, Irgens, & Thoben, 2016) distinguished the supervised and unsupervised ML algorithm. SVM found good for most manufacturing applications because of mostly manufacturing
application provide labeled data. In manufacturing, the SVMs is most commonly used algorithm in supervised machine learning. ML is a powerful tool and its value will enhance more in the coming days.

ML is finding applications in every field systems and some commercially available fields of study are face recognition, image processing, manufacturing, and medical and in many more areas.

**FIGURE 1. Machine Learning Techniques**

Recently many authors applied ML techniques in manufacturing (Alpaydin, 2010; Dingli, 2012; Gordon & Sohal, 2001; Pham & Afify, 2005; Shiang & Nagaraj, 2011; Susto et al., 2015; Thomas, Byard, & Evans, 2012). The following are the major advantages of ML in manufacturing: ML technique in manufacturing systems provide an improved quality control optimization (Apt, Weiss, & Grout, 1993) handling of high-dimensional, multi variate data, extract implicit relationships within large data sets in a complicated, dynamic, and anarchic environment (Köksal, Batmaz, & Testik, 2011; Rostami, Dantan, & Homri, 2015; Yang & Trewn, 2004) improve understanding of expertise to arrange powerful tools for constant improvement of complex process (Lászlo Monostori, Jozsef Honyak, Csaba Egresits, 1998; Pham & Afify, 2005). Only those ML algorithm are applicable in manufacturing which are able to handle high dimensional data. The usability of application of algorithms enhanced due to ML program. The main benefit of ML algorithm to find formerly anonymous implicit expertise and point out implicit connection in data (Alpaydin, 2010; Bar-or, Schuster, & Wolff, 2005; Do, Lenca, Lallich, & Pham, 2010).

(Rasmussen, 2004) provided the general presentation on Gaussian process regression models and focused on the role of the stochastic process and how to define a distribution over function. Supervised learning in the form of regression (continuous output) and classification (discrete output) is an important part of statistics and ML, either for data analysis or sub goal of complex problems. (Verma, Gupta, & Misra, 2018) presented the methodologies of machine learning approaches, Gaussian process regression (GPR), SVM, and MLR for UTS of FSW joint to investigate the incongruity between the predicted and experimented outcomes.

The applications of ML can be enforced in the domains of all industries. ML approaches implemented in procedural compliance, documentation of process and orientation, risk and quality frameworks of manufacturing industry. The ML also used in cloud computing, data science and in IoT. The ability of ML to predict the failure before occurrence is a useful feature and some manufacturing firms already using in production to minimize the financial losses, as well as risk loss (Kashyap, 2017). (Yucesan, Gul, & Celik, 2018) explored the furniture manufacturing industry in Turkey, by applying an Auto regression Integrated Moving Average with external variables (ARIMAX) model develop to predict total monthly sales of furniture product of a manufacture. (Malviya & Pratihar, 2011) utilized particle swarm optimization (PSO) method for the tuning of neural network by using both front and back mappings of metal inert gas (MIG) welding process. (Mian et al., 2005) worked on dissimilar material, especially
solid-state welding techniques, which can escape many of the issues such as excessive heat input, fume generation, cracking, and indigent joint properties that are commonly confront when compare with fusion welding. While aluminum and steel are not compatible during fusion welding, FSW is consider most convenient joining method for various alloys as well as for the combinations of dissimilar metals.

FIGURE 2. Model of FSW (Nataliia, Erik, Igor, & Klaus, 2019)

Currently, FSSW consider an alternate method to resistance spot welding (RSW) due to the meaningful energy and cost savings. Comparatively to the process of resistance spot welding, FSSW had created huge interest in automotive manufacturing industry (Abdullah & Hussein, 2018). The demand of lightweight materials like aluminum alloys are getting more attractions in the field of automotive, shipbuilding, aerospace, transport, military and many other industries because of extensive features, like high formability, high strength to weight ratio and better corrosion resistance. However comparatively to ferrous alloys the joining method of aluminum alloy and other light weight alloys are difficult by conventional processes due to their high thermal conductivity, hydrogen solubility, high thermal expansion and aluminum oxide formation (Verma et al., 2018). (Nourani, Milani, & Yannacopoulos, 2011) the forthright and computationally effective methodology for optimizing the FSW process parameters of 6061 aluminum alloy. The achieved results confirm that the method can be successfully used for minimizing both the HAZ distance to the weld line and peak temperature. (Shuangsheng, Xingwei, Shude, & Zhitao, 2012) applied the SVR network based on linear kernel function, polynomial kernel, RBF and Sigmoid. Mechanical properties model for welded joint built to use SVR network and make assumption. A comparison done between the prediction based SVR result and on ANFIS. The obtained results marks that the anticipated precision relay on SVR with radial RBF gave higher value than the other three kernel functions and that depend on ANFIS.

Based on the recent studies the popularity to study the machine learning technique in FSW process is increasing. Now researchers are implementing these ML techniques in FSW/FSSW processes to forsee the actual and predicted response of the process parameters. The main purpose of this review paper is to gather all the implemented and suggested approaches in one platform.

Artificial Neural Network (ANN)

Neural Network (NN) technology is substantial branch of statistical ML and repeatedly been implemented in various kinds of prediction tasks. (Kutsurelis, 1998) ANN inspired by natural NN. ANN is a computer program that develop to obtain information in a similar manner like human brain. Artificial intelligence, is a combination of neural networks which developed due to research on cognitive talent and machinery design. The ability of ANNs to resolve forecasting problems bring appreciable research attention because ANN substantially beat previous implemented techniques for anticipating based on non-linear input variables. (Ekici & Aksoy, 2009; Kandanamond, 2011; Li, Hu, Liu, & Xue, 2015; Mena, Rodríguez, Castilla, & Arahal, 2014; Qamar & Khosravi, 2015) ANNs are intensely favorable at modeling the nonlinearities in data of many fields and have theoretically provable ability with arbitrary precision to approximate complex functions.

(Bennell & Sutcliffe, 2003) ANN is a tool that commonly used for prediction and categorization in data processing that is inspired from the attribute of biological neuron system that learns by experience. It has many features that make him attractive for problems such as pricing option which has the capability to develop a nonlinear model relationship that do not depend on the restrictive assumption implied in parametric approach, nor does depended on the specification of theory that connects the price of underlying assets to the price of option. The successful implementation of ANN models considered when it has ability to learn a lesson from the provided data and use in new one. The ANNs model strength lies in relationship between the input and output variables that may be complex and difficult to get from mathematical formulation. (Staub, Karaman, Kaya, Karapinar, & Güven, 2015)
explore the features that ANN are the most important tool to solve the complex nonlinear problems. ANN modify their own values and they have the ability to adapt themselves for the exact solution of the problem. During the training process, ANNs are able to create the desire response.

FIGURE 4. A conceptual Structure of ANN model

(Yousif, Daws, & Kazem, 2008) implemented the ANN to predict the correlation for the analysis and simulation between the FSW parameters of aluminum plates and mechanical properties. Two different training algorithms of NN utilized in this study: 1) Gradient descent with momentum algorithm: 2) Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) algorithm. The obtain result exhibit that the recommended ANN (LM) algorithm shows better performance than other because it implies 2nd order Taylor series instead of 1st order approximation as with gradient descent algorithm. (Maleki, 2015) investigated the modeling of FSW effective parameters on thirty AA-7075-T6 specimens by using ANN. The network established on back propagation (BP) algorithm. In this study, the TRS, TS, axial force, pin diameter, shoulder diameter and tool hardness considered as input parameters of ANN. On the other hand, TS, YS, and welding zone hardness, notch tensile strength used as outcome of NN see figure 4. The acquired result demonstrate that the forecast hardness values of welding zone, notch tensile strength, TS and YS have the least mean relative error (MRE). The connection of anticipated result and the experimental results shows that the ANN modeling is very effective for FSW parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>DOE</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Input parameters</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Vaira Vignesh &amp; Padmanaban, 2018)</td>
<td>AA1100</td>
<td>FCC composite</td>
<td>FSW</td>
<td>ANN (LM) algorithm with feed forward model</td>
<td>TRS (rpm), WS (mm/s) and shoulder diameter (mm)</td>
<td>TS, TS</td>
<td>The error Percentage prediction found to be low in ANN, developed model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>design with five</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TS, TS</td>
<td>The overall model interaction coefficient is 0.8214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>level variation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TS, TS</td>
<td>Which shows closeness in relationship between the FSW process parameters and in TS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TS, TS</td>
<td>The hybrid Taguchi GRA of ANN Method provides grey relation grade 0.508.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TS, TS</td>
<td>Hybrid Taguchi GRA is 9.70% higher than traditional analysis of Taguchi grey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Wakchaure, Thakur, Gadakh, &amp; Kumar, 2018)</td>
<td>AA 6082-T6</td>
<td>Taguchi based GRA</td>
<td>FSW</td>
<td>ANN</td>
<td>TRS, WS and tilt angle</td>
<td>TS and impact strength</td>
<td>Outputs ANN models compared with the actual values.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Best prediction performance achieved with 100% training set and 20 neurons in the hidden layer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The based on ANN model optimized process parameter are 7mm pin diameter and 4 degree tilt angle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Kurtulmu &amp; Kiraz, 2018)</td>
<td>high-density polyethylene sheets</td>
<td>FSSW</td>
<td>Feed forward back propagation ANN model</td>
<td>TRS (rpm), PD (mm), DT (s)</td>
<td>lap-shear fracture load (N)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Better TS exhibits when tool is offset towards advancing side.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ranjith, Girdharan, &amp; Senthil, 2017)</td>
<td>AA2014 T651 and AA6063 T651</td>
<td>FSW</td>
<td>ANN with (LM) algorithm</td>
<td>Pin Diameter (mm), Tool Degree Offset Tool Offset</td>
<td>TS</td>
<td></td>
<td>ANN predict the TS with an accuracy of 98% with 2% error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Process</td>
<td>Model/Methodology</td>
<td>Features/Parameters</td>
<td>Results/Outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Dehabadi, Ghorbanpour, &amp; Azimi, 2016)</td>
<td>AA6061</td>
<td>FSW</td>
<td>ANN model with Two feed forward (BP) Threads, tool tilt angle, and welding distance from centerline</td>
<td>Vickers micro hardness</td>
<td>For training and test data sets Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) did not exceed from 5.4% and 7.48%, respectively. Mathematical modeling techniques i.e. ANN can save time, material, costs, and results in optimized designs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Anand, Barik, Tamilmannan, &amp; Sathiya, 2015)</td>
<td>Incoloy 800H</td>
<td>FSW</td>
<td>ANNs based on (BBPNN), (IBPNN), (QPNN), (LMNN) and (GANN) Heating pressure (HP), heating time (HT), upsetting pressure (UP), and upsetting time (UT)</td>
<td>TS, micro hardness (H) and burn off length (BOL)</td>
<td>GANN process urged for keeping both forward and reverse mappings.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Paoletti, Lambiase, &amp; Di Ilio, 2015)</td>
<td>Polycarbonate sheets</td>
<td>FSSW</td>
<td>ANNs composed by three layers plunge rate, TRS, and DT</td>
<td>plunging force (Fmax), torque (Cmax), temperature (Tmax), heat resistance (Fr) of joint TS</td>
<td>The averages RMSE of training, testing and validation data are 0.9628, 1.2148 and 1.2196 respectively.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ghetiya &amp; Patel, 2014)</td>
<td>AA8014</td>
<td>FSW</td>
<td>ANN with (BP) algorithm TRS (rpm), WS (mm/s), and PD (mm)</td>
<td>UTS and hardness</td>
<td>Strong correlation is observed between experimental results and ANN predicted values. Result confirmed by low values of the Mean Absolute Errors (MAE).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ShojaeeFard, Behnagh, Akbari, Givi, &amp; Farhani, 2013)</td>
<td>AA7075 / AA5083</td>
<td>FSW</td>
<td>ANNs feed forward NN with BP algorithm and multi objective particle swarm optimization (MOPSO)</td>
<td>TRS (rpm), WS (mm/s)</td>
<td>ANN disclose a better interaction between the predicted data and the acquire data.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Manvatkar, Arora, De, &amp; DebRoy, 2012)</td>
<td>AA 7075</td>
<td>FSW</td>
<td>ANN TRS, TS, pin radius, tool shoulder, axial force, pin length.</td>
<td>Total torque, WS, peak temperature, bending stress and Max</td>
<td>The uncertainties in prediction of ANN models alter from 2.5% for peak temperature and 7.5% for torque, Max shear stress and traverse force.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The application of ANN in manufacturing used like cold forging to predict the flow stress during hot deformation, for tool wear, for machining behavior prediction and manufacturing process optimization along with other process (Ghetiya & Patel, 2014). (Tansel, Demetgul, Okuyucu, & Yapici, 2010) proposed FSW operation by using ANNs and choose the optimal tool rotational speed and feed rate by using genetic algorithm. The selection of GONNS for modeling the stir welding process founded a viable option for optimal solutions. (ShojaeeFard, Akbari, & Asadi, 2014) conduct the ANN analysis to model the correlation between the tool parameters (pin and shoulder diameter) and heat-affected zone, thermal, and strain value in the weld zone. (Fratini, Buffa, & Palmeri, 2009) linked ANN to a finite element model (FEM) and predicted the average grain size values of butt, lap and T type FSW joints. (Jayaraman, Sivasubramanian, Balasubramanian, & Lakshminarayanan, 2008) ANN modelling predicted the TS of A356 alloy which is a high strength Aluminum.

(Tansel et al., 2010) applied genetically optimized neural network system (GONNS) to evaluate the optimal operation condition of FSW process. The characteristics of FSW operation by using ANNS and the selection of parameters like TRS and TS proposed by using Genetic algorithm (GA). Only one ANN model assigned for five performance parameters of welding zone, TS, YS, elongation, weld metal hardness and hardness of HAZ. The input were same for five ANNs (TRS and TS). The error estimation of the ANNs were superior to average 0.5%. (BoldsaiKhan, Corwin, Logar, & ArbegaSt, 2011) introduced a real-time novel technique to detect the wormhole imperfection in FSW in a nondestructive method. In a way by utilizing the discrete Fourier transformation and the multilayer neural network to figure out the provided feedback forces by welding method. By trial and error a near optimum neural network value achieved. A classified testing result of 95% achieved with 60 input unit by optimum neural network, with 9 hidden units, and one output unit. A validation of experiment conducted to proof the generality of NN to characterize the weld quality. The suggested algorithm spent about 0.01 s on a 2700 MHz machine. (Khourshid, El-Kasas, & Sabry, 2015) investigated the mechanical properties to show the feasibility of FSW of Al 6061 on pipe. To conclude the TS, %EL and hardness of FSW weld of AA6061 aluminum ANN and RSM implanted. The obtained results of ANN and RSM model proved prosperous in term of settlement with experimental result ratio of 93.5% and 90%.
The acronym ANFIS derives its name from adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system. By utilizing a provided input/output data set, the toolbox function ANFIS build a fuzzy inference system (FIS) whose function membership framework are tuned by utilizing a back propagation (BP) algorithm or merger with a least squares type of method. This adaptation of fuzzy systems allow him learn from the data they are modeling. The ANFIS learning method works similarly as neural networks. Fuzzy modeling method obtained from neuro-adaptive learning technique to learn information about a data set (Jang, 1993). Fuzzy modeling is established on Fuzzy implications and interpretation are one of the most important field in Fuzzy system approach. The Fuzzy model build on input-output data that classified into two things, first is mathematical tool to show a model system and the second is identification method. The Fuzzy implication depend on input space of a Fuzzy partition. A linear input-output relation formed in each Fuzzy subspace. A fuzzy meddling system apply fuzzy if-then rules which can model the qualitative aspects of human expertise and analysis processes without exploit accurate quantitative investigation. First time Fuzzy modeling is systematically explore by (Takagi & Sugeno, 1985).

![FIGURE 5. ANFIS design model of two input rules](image)

(Babajanzade Roshan et al., 2013) tested the various arrangement of ANFIS model for each response (TS, YS, and hardness). Mechanical properties of FSW process predicted by two stage of ANFIS model, training and testing. The obtained structural model shown in figure, model that has a broad number of MFs shows overfitting and not be able to develop the ambitious value of root mean square error (RMSE). In the accuracy of ANFIS model the influential factor that affect is the class of membership function. It can conclude that a good relationship established between the predicted value of ANFIS and experimental value.

### TABLE 2. Applications of ANFIS techniques to various Friction Stir Welding processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>DOE</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Input parameters</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Shanavas &amp; Dhas, 2018)</td>
<td>AA5052</td>
<td>H32</td>
<td>FSW</td>
<td>Fuzzy logic model with four stages and RSM</td>
<td>Tool pin geometry, TRS, WS, and tool tilt angle.</td>
<td>Weld quality</td>
<td>• Fuzzy model anticipate an acceptable output with less than 4% error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Barath, Vaira Vignesh, &amp; Padmanab an, 2018)</td>
<td>AA202</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>FSW</td>
<td>Sugeno-Fuzzy logic mechanism uses ANFIS</td>
<td>TRS and WS</td>
<td>TS</td>
<td>• Regression model foresee the outcome less than 7%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA707</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The obtained result shows calculated F-ratio value is higher than tabulated F-ratio at 95% confidence level reveals that model is adequate.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regression analysis is the most frequently used conventional prediction approach to recognize the connection between the dependent and independent variables. The cooperation between dependent variable and predictor variables is develop as a linear model in Eq. (1)

\[ Y = \beta_0 + \sum \beta_i X_i + \epsilon_i \]  

(1)

In this formulation \( \beta_0, \ldots, \beta_p \) are the regression coefficients to be predicted according to scrutiny. To prevent multicollinearity problems, interrelationship between the predictors should be organized (the correlation coefficient of the descriptive variables should not surpass 0.7) (Anderson, Sweeney, & Williams, 2011). The last term \( \epsilon \), designate the random error and is attribute as the residual for examining the overall influence of the model and each regression coefficient. Error term is independently and normally distributed, with a mean of zero and a constant variance of \( \sigma^2 \) (Douglas C. Montgomery & Vining, 2012). Regression models describe the relationship between the output values and one or more input values. The multiple regression model is a parametric model. There are many statistic and machine learning method to generate the result like, linear, generalized and nonlinear regression model, containing mixed effect model and stepwise models. The connection between the numeric predictor and continuous target approximates by simple linear regression by using straight line. Relationship between a set of \( P>1 \) predictors and a single continuous target approximates multiple regression modeling using a \( P \)-dimensional plane (Vardhan & Bayar, 2013). The main objective of regression model designing to select a best suitable regresses that can develop an accurate response variable. Regression Trees (RT) and ANN are ambitious techniques for modeling regression problems. MLR is a classic method that provide many advantages: simplicity, interpretability, chances of being accommodated over the transformations of the variables, and the
performing of reasoning, supposing the hypothesis of normality, homoscedasticity and inter correlation between the error $\varepsilon$ and the predictor variables (Chakraborty, Chakraborty, & Chattopadhyay, 2018). (Heidarzadeh, 2019) applied first time the RSM in partnership with electron back scattered microscopy (EBSD) and TEM to examine the influence of parameters on tensile properties of brass plate.

### TABLE 3. Applications of RSM techniques to various FSW processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>DOE</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Input parameters</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| (Jenarthanan, Varun Varma, & Krishna Manohar, 2018) | AA2014 and AA6061 | Central- Composite method (CCD) | FSW | RSM | TRS (rpm), WS (mm/s), pin diameter | TS | • RSM validated by using confirmation test and error found within $\pm$ 5%  
• RSM is a powerful tool in optimizing the FSW process parameters.  
• The difference between the predicted and experimental strength values are marginal $\pm$ 5%. In modelling and optimizing process the RSM show better accuracy.  
• Developed model utilized to predict the response within $\pm$ 10 % of experimental values at 95% confidence level.  
• The fitted quadratic model is applied to get the response.  
• UTS, YS, and %EL effectively predict the joint at 95% confidence level  
• 2nd order polynomial equation used to response the model.  
• To predict the TSFL of joint an empirical relationship developed combine with welding parameters at 95% confidence level.  
• On TSFL plunge rate influence greater than PD, DT, and TRS. |
| (Kadaganchi, Gankidi, & Gokhale, 2015) | AA 2014- T6 | CCD with four process parameter | FSW | RSM | TRS (rpm), WS (mm/s), Tilt angle, Tool pin profile | % EL, YS and UTS |  |
| (Elatharasan & Kumar, 2013) | AA 6061- T6 | face- centered CCD design | FSW | RSM | TRS (rpm), WS (mm/s), Axial force (N) | UTS, TS, YS, and %EL |  |
| (Karthikeyan & Balasubramanian, 2010) | AA2024- T3 | CCD rotatable four-factor, five-level factorial design | FSSW | RSM | TRS (rpm), PD (mm), Plunge rate (mm/min), DT (s) | Tensile shear fracture load (TSFL) |  |

(Elatharasan & Kumar, 2012) applied the quadratic model of RSM to evaluate the UTS, YS and displacement of FSW joint. Multi objective optimization by utilizing the RSM is a valuable method to enhance the FSW parameters to achieve optimum UTS, YS and displacement of a joint at 95% confidence level. (Srinivasa Rao & Ramanaiiah, 2018) applied three factor central composite design with five level to construct a mathematical regression model for employing RSM. The importance of process parameters studied by implementing the RSM technique. The $R^2$ values of predicted model for hardness, UTS, %E, bending strength, and impact strength are respectively 83.90%, 95.47%, 86.47%, 90.73% and 93.78% which disclose a good combination between the response data and independent variables.
TABLE 4. Comparison of ANN/RSM techniques to various FSW processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>DOE</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Input parameters</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(M. Krishnan, Maniraj, Deepak, &amp; Anganan, 2018)</td>
<td>AA6063-T6 and A319.0</td>
<td>Three factor 5 level (CCC) design</td>
<td>FSW</td>
<td>(ANNS) with (BP) algorithm and RSM applied</td>
<td>TRS (rpm), WS (mm/s), and axial force(N)</td>
<td>YS, UTS, %EL, hardness</td>
<td>• ANN model cultivate to predict the exclusive input parameter and reciprocal effect like TS, and hardness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Regression model developed on experimental value of YS, TS, %EL and hardness and develop model validated for 95% confidence level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Lakshminarayan &amp; Balasubramanian, 2009)</td>
<td>AA7039</td>
<td>Three factor three level and (CCC) design</td>
<td>FSW</td>
<td>Comparison of RSM and ANN Model</td>
<td>TRS (rpm), WS (mm/s), and axial force(N)</td>
<td>TS</td>
<td>• More robust and accurate model found ANN in evolution of TS values.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• When compared ANN with the RSM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Jayaraman et al., 2008)</td>
<td>Commercial A356 aluminum</td>
<td>Central composite faced design (CCFD)</td>
<td>FSW</td>
<td>ANN with BP algorithm and RSM with cause and effect diagram</td>
<td>TRS (rpm), WS (mm/s), and axial force(N)</td>
<td>TS</td>
<td>• The mean errors for ANN and RSM were 0.258, 847% and 0.769, 831% respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• In comparison ANN model result are better and accurate than RSM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• ANN is good in estimating the tensile strength values.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The obtained R² value is 0.978398 of this model which is only 3% less of the total variation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The lower value of coefficient of variation (CV) is 2.556 which shows improvement in reliability and precision in experiment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Support Vector Machine (SVM)

In many machine learning tasks SVM is used, such as object classification, pattern recognition and in time series prediction, also containing forecasting of energy consumption. SVR is a procedure for regressions in support vector machine (SVMs). SVMs worked on the principle of structural risk belittlement. SVM build up one or more hyperplanes in a high dimensional space. The purpose of SVR is diminish the probability of the model that produce from input data set which will create an error on an unseen data item. The objective is accomplished by finding a solution which, best generalizes the training examples. (Vapnik, 2000) SVM segregate the data points into two classes. Each data point apply to one of the two classes distinguished by a linear classifier with a hyper plane. The data points are separated into two classes by using various linear classifier. To obtain best classification between the two classes it is necessary to select the hyperplane with utmost margin. SVM classify the testing data points by choosing the hyperplane with maximum margin. That utmost margin hyperplane is persistent by a subset of data points called support vector. (Dong, Cao, & Eang, 2005) enforced SVM to forecast the energy utilization of buildings in a tropical region. The obtained result have coefficient of variance (CV) less than 3% and percentage error within 4%.

Figure 6 demonstrate the hyperplanes $H_1$, $H_2$, and $H_3$ in which only $H_2$ gain maximum margin. The p-1 dimensional hyperplanes that allocate vectors but only one hyperplane that can escalate the margin between two classes. Otherwise, the nearest hyperplane between sides of this hyperplane is maximized. Such hyperplane called maximum-margin hyperplane and recognize as the SVM classifier (Nguyen, 2017).
SVM are capable of handling immense dimensionality greater than 1000 very well. However, accompanying concern like possible over-fitting has to be (Murty & Raghava, 2016; Widodo & Yang, 2007; Yang & Trewn, 2004) applied the SVM classification. Particularly the linear SVM which is perfectly appropriate to pledge with linearly separable classes. Based on linear discriminant function SVM is the most popular distributer. SVM is perfectly suitable for binary categorization. It extensively studied in data mining and in pattern recognition applications. Over the past three decades SVM turn into basic standard for classification due to exceptional software packages which developed consistently. (Cortes & Vapnik, 1995) explored the SVM classification problems for two group. The machine theoretically implement an idea: input vector non-linearly mapped to a very high dimension feature space. Decision surface assemble in this feature space. The immense generalization capability of the learning machine ensures by special characteristic of the decision surface. Previously SVM network carried out for limited condition where training data detached without any error. However, in this study the result extended to non-separable training data. Due to the extension, SVM consider as a new method of machine learning that is strong and comprehensive as neural networks.

**TABLE 5. Application of SVM techniques to various FSW processes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>DOE</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Input parameters</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Armansyah &amp; Astuti, 2018)</td>
<td>AA6061</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>FSW</td>
<td>SVM through Kernel function as pattern classification</td>
<td>TRS (rpm) and WS (mm/s)</td>
<td>TS (MPa)</td>
<td>• Performance evaluation and testation model developed for FSW.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA5052</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>FSSW</td>
<td>SVM through Kernel function as pattern classification</td>
<td>TRS (rpm), PD (mm), DT (s)</td>
<td>Shear Tensile load</td>
<td>• Prediction accuracy for TS found 100% for training and testing system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Bhat, Kumari, Dutta, Pal, &amp; Pal, 2015)</td>
<td>AA1100</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>FSW</td>
<td>SVM classification technique using Gaussian and polynomial kernel</td>
<td>TRS (rpm), WS (mm/s), and PD(mm)</td>
<td>Energy, Variance and Entropy</td>
<td>• SVM classification is implemented for pattern classification and model development for system model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The training and testing process of FSSW joint result found with 100% accuracy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• In comparison Gaussian kernel provide higher accuracy than polynomial kernel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The obtain result classifying with Gaussian and polynomial kernel with good and defective weld with 99% and 97% accuracy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Fleming et al., 2007) worked on fault detection in FSW. Fault such as tool misalignment and excessive flash can reduce the weld quality of the weld. SVM based method implemented to identifies the presence of gaps and determine the gap depth. The predicted result accuracy found 100% for each training and testing system, either for low TS or high TS class which demonstrates the effectiveness and accuracy of this technique that can be implemented in a variety of other FSW fault detection scenarios. (Zhu Lingyun, Cao Changxiu, Wu Wei, & Xu Xiaoling, 2003) applied a new method of computation intelligent using SVM to envision the bond welding quality. To develop SVM Classifier a
Machine learning is a waste field which is implemented in every field some commercially available fields of study are face recognition, image processing, manufacturing, and medical and in many more areas. Mostly in the application of ANN algorithm in FSW process parameters the major material that used is aluminum 5xxx, 6xxx and 7xxx series. The design of experimented (DOE) technique applied by few author which shows a lack of systematical approach in process parameters. The error percentage in prediction the result in ANN and RSM methods is less than 5% in overall. In case of comparison between RSM/ANN it found that the ANN is more robust and accurate than RSM. The result prediction accuracy in training and testing in SVM is 100% approximately in all present cases. In machine learning popularity the SVM technique overtook the ANN technique. In comparison between the regression model and ANFIS it found that ANFIS is more suitable in prediction the output with error percentage less than 4%. In manufacturing the most commonly used algorithm in supervised machine learning is SVMs.

In this study, an attempt made to highlights all the machine-learning approaches that recently implemented in FSW process to predict the response of the process parameters. The recent work on machine learning algorithms, which implemented in FSW and FSSW process parameter are ANNS, ANFIS, Regression model, and SVM classification. During this study found that the Deep Learning has not yet been applied to FSW or FSSW. There is much scope and gap of research available in the application of ANFIS and SVM method to apply in FSW or FSSW process parameters. In the knowledge of prescribed work that there is much need of implementation in machine learning techniques to predict the behavior of process parameters in FSW or FSSW.
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