

**ABSTRACT**

A sensor incorporating a three electrodes configuration have been fabricated using low cost screen-printing technology. These electrodes couples with Square Wave Stripping Voltammetry (SWSV) has provided a convenient screening tool for on-site detection of trace levels of Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cu(II). Modification of the graphite carbon surface based on in situ deposition of mercury film has been carried out. By appropriate choice of supporting medium and optimized parameters setting such as amount of mercury used the deposition potential, deposition time, frequency and scan rate, well resolved and reproducible response for Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cu(II) were obtained. The performance characteristics of the developed mercury film screen printed carbon electrode (MFSPCE) for 120 s deposition time showed that the linear range for Cd(II), Pb(II) and Cu(II) were 10 to 200 µg L$^{-1}$. The detection limit recorded for Cd(II), Pb(II) and Cu(II) were 2, 1 and 5 µg L$^{-1}$ with relative standard deviation (RSD) of 6.5%, 6.9% and 7.5%, respectively. Successful applications of the sensing device to waste-water and extracted soil samples were demonstrated.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The high demand for on-site monitoring of heavy metals using self-contained test-strips to replace bulky electrodes has attracted much attention from researchers. Different types of electrodes such as hanging mercury electrodes and mercury plated thin film glassy carbon electrode have been used in field experiments (Williams & D’Silva 1994). However, due to the difficulties in using the electrodes for field analysis (Bond et al. 1997), the development and application of single-use screen-printed electrodes have been widely reported (Desmond et al. 1998; Honeychurch et al. 2000; Masawat et al. 2003; Palchetti et al. 1999; Reeder & Heineman 1998; Wang & Tian 1992; 1993a; 1993b; Wang et al. 1993; Zen et al. 2002). Disposable screen-printed electrodes are designed for one use and therefore have the advantages of not being affected by the problems associated with carryover of contaminants and this minimise the damage often associated with a re-usable sensors (Desmond et al. 1998). The use of screen-printing technology also provides a pathway for a simple and inexpensive mass production of disposable electrodes.

Screen-printed electrodes (SPEs) are printed on an inexpensive plastic or ceramic support which consists of planar carbon, gold or silver working electrodes and a silver reference electrode. The strip which the sample droplet is placed on is called electrochemical cell and
can be considered as disposable electrode. The stripping performance of the strips is comparable with traditional electrodes which provide well-defined peaks with reproducible measurements, low background signals and could be used for laboratory and field applications (Wang 1994; Wang & Tian 1992). The presence of oxygen in the solution does not affect the measurement significantly and makes the SPEs even a stronger competitor for standard electrochemical approach (Wang et al. 1993). The electrodes can also be coupled to a compact and user-friendly electrochemical analyser (Wang 1994). Moreover, the SPEs can be electrochemically activated with simple procedures (Wang et al. 1996) and the surface can easily be modified using enzymes, redox compounds (Kroger & Turner 1997) or catalytic particles (Newman et al. 1995) thus increasing the range of compounds detectable. There are also many choices of carbon inks for the working electrode, which results in different electrochemical behaviour. Electroanalytical performance can be applied for specific electroanalytical application using different techniques (Wang et al. 1998).

Screen-printed electrodes which provide a highly stable response are very attractive for routine use, low cost and can be designed for single-use decentralised operations and have been applied widely for the stripping analysis of metal ions in recent years (Wang et al. 1992). Lead is the most common studied metal by stripping analysis and produces a well-defined and sharp peaks with very low detection limit in nM concentrations (0.2 μg L⁻¹) when mercury coated SPCE is used (Reeder & Heineman 1998; Wang & Tian 1992; Wang et al. 1993). The most widely used electrode material in electrochemical stripping analysis is mercury. This is due to its many advantageous with respect to stripping applications such as a readily renewable and reproducible surface, a high hydrogen overpotential and the ability to dissolve many metals that aids the preconcentration process (Khoo & Guo 2002). Wei et al. (2010) reported the latest progress on disposable sensor based on mixture of multi-walled carbon nanotubes, chitosan and mercury, which avoids using much mercury and is used for facile, sensitive, and on-site analysis of heavy metal ions. Table 1, summarises some of the published works on the applications of SPCEs as electrochemical metal sensors.

In this work, the applicability and suitability of mercury film screen-printed carbon electrode (MFSPCE) to voltammetric stripping analysis of heavy metal ions was tested using inks (graphite and Ag/AgCl) obtained from Ercon (USA). The aim was to establish a simple method for the analysis of waste-water and soil samples using on-board three electrode configuration (carbon working electrode, carbon counter electrode and silver/silver chloride). We also made comparison between the results obtained with screen-printed cysteine modified carbon electrode/gold electrode (SPGE) for waste-water and extracted soil samples analysis as described in Md Noh and Tothill (2006) and Md Noh et al. (2005). The MFSPCE was produced by in situ deposition of mercury (II) with the target analytes onto the bare SPCE. The mercury film formed on the SPCE strips behaved satisfactorily and allowed the detection of cadmium (II), lead (II) and copper (II) with very close characteristics to what can be expected on mercury drop electrodes. The established method has several advantages to other published methods (Palchetti et al. 2005; Wang et al. 1992, 1993). The method used small amount of solution, 100 μL drops on the SPCE which will minimize the use of mercury as we know that mercury is toxic. Other methods require the electrode to be dipped in solution for preplating and stirring to improve the signal (Palchetti et al. 2005). We also deposited the metals on the electrode at shorter time of 120 s since the signal obtained was sufficient for quantification purposes.

EXPERIMENTAL

APPARATUS AND REAGENTS

A computer-controlled electrochemical Analyser (Autolab PGSTAT10) with general purpose electrochemical software operating system GPeS version 4.7 or 4.8 (Eco Chemie, The Netherlands) was used for square-wave stripping voltammetric measurements. The screen-printed electrodes were fabricated in-house (Md. Noh et al. 2005; Md. Noh & Tothill 2006) for the electrochemical measurements. A specially adapted electrical edge connector from Maplin (Milton Keynes, UK) was used to connect with electrochemical analyser.

All chemicals received were of analytical grade. Hydrochloric acid and potassium chloride were obtained from BDH Ltd. (Poole, England). Ammonium acetate was purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Potassium hexacyanoferrate (III), atomic spectroscopic standard stock solutions of 1000 mg L⁻¹ in 1 wt % HNO₃ of cadmium (II), lead (II), copper (II), mercury (II) were obtained from Aldrich (Gillingham, Dorset, UK).

SOIL/SEDIMENT SAMPLE TREATMENT

The sampling protocols and sample treatments using conventional methods were carried out by Grup de Techniques de Separació (Universitat Autonoma Barcelona, GTS-UAB, Spain) and by Technicas de Protección Ambiental S.A. (TPA, Madrid, Spain). The description of the extraction process applied to soil and sediment samples can be explained in two steps:

STEP 1 A portion (1 g) of soil sample was placed in a 65 mL stoppered extraction tube with 40 mL of 0.11 M acetic acid (pH2.85) added and shaken for 16 hours in a rotary rack shaker. Then the mixture was centrifugated for phase separation and the aqueous phase filtered with filters of 0.22 μm pore size (Millex ®-GS, Millipore Corporation, Bedford MA).

STEP 2 A portion (0.25 g) of the solid sample from step 1 was placed in a microwave vessel and 9 mL of aqua-
**TABLE 1. Some of published work on the applications of SPCEs as electrochemical metal sensors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Detection limit</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Urine drinking water</td>
<td>DPASV</td>
<td>0.05 μg L(^{-1})-Cd</td>
<td>Wang &amp; Tian 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>0.03 μg L(^{-1})-Pb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PSASV</td>
<td>0.4 μg L(^{-1})-Cd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>0.3 μg L(^{-1})-Pb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>Drinking water</td>
<td>SWASV</td>
<td>0.1 μg L(^{-1})-Lead</td>
<td>Wang et al. 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td></td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>0.3 μg L(^{-1})-Lead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ASV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Desmond et al. 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ASV</td>
<td>55 μg L(^{-1})-zinc</td>
<td>Desmond et al. 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td>SWASV</td>
<td>0.6 μg L(^{-1})-lead</td>
<td>Pachetti et al. 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Drinking water</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yarnitsky et al. 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>SWASV</td>
<td>0.81 μg L(^{-1})</td>
<td>Zen et al. 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>Certified Reference Material Lake water</td>
<td>ASV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Choi et al. 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>CRM 601 lake sediment</td>
<td>CCSCP</td>
<td>6 μg L(^{-1})</td>
<td>Kadara et al. 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>Extracted soil Samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>Waste water</td>
<td>SWASV</td>
<td>0.3 μg L(^{-1})-lead</td>
<td>Palchetti et al. 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Extracted soil sample</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 μg L(^{-1})-cadmium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 μg L(^{-1})-copper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>Waste water</td>
<td>DPASV</td>
<td>1.4 μg L(^{-1})-lead</td>
<td>Cooper et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Extracted soil sample</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.1 μg L(^{-1})-cadmium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32.6 μg L(^{-1})-copper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>Sea Water</td>
<td>SWASV</td>
<td>1.8 μg L(^{-1})-lead</td>
<td>Guell et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.9 μg L(^{-1})-cadmium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>River Water</td>
<td>SWASV</td>
<td>23 nM - lead</td>
<td>Wei et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12 nM - cadmium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 nM - copper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CCSCP: Constant current Stripping Chronopotentiometry, SWASV: Square wave anodic stripping voltammetry, PSA: Potentiometric Stripping Analysis, DPASV: Differential pulse anodic stripping voltammetry

---

regia (6 mL concentrated HCl, 2 mL concentrated HNO\(_3\), and 1 mL water) were added and then left closed for 10 hours to predigest before microwave treatment. After that, total digestion proceeded by first ramping to 200°C for 4 minutes and then maintaining for 6 min using pressure between 160 - 180 psi. The vessel was cooled down to room temperature before opening and the remaining solution brought up to 100 mL final volume. The solution was filtered with Millipore filters of 0.22 μm pore size. The extracts from the two steps were kept refrigerated at 4°C prior to the analyses being carried out.

**WATER SAMPLE TREATMENT**

Aliquots of water samples were filtered with a 0.22 μm pore size filters and used for the analysis.

**PROCEDURE**

Voltammetric techniques are based on the recording of the current, I, which flows between the working electrode (WE) and an auxiliary electrode (AE), due to the reduction or oxidation of the test element, as function of the potential, E, imposed on the WE and expressed with respect to that of a reference electrode (RE). Electrochemical measurements
were carried out in triplicate by placing a 100 μL sample drop on a new three-electrode strip in non-deaerated and unstirred solution. Metal- standard solutions were prepared by diluting the standard stock solution of 1000 mg L⁻¹ with deionised water or supporting electrolyte (0.1 M HCl/0.5 M NH₄Ac). Other reagents were prepared by dissolving it in deionised water.

Square-wave anodic stripping voltammetry (SWASV) measurements were carried out by placing the samples (metal ions of cadmium, lead and copper) on the screen-printed carbon electrode surfaces plated in situ with metallic films of mercury. A deposition potential of -1 V (vs screen-printed Ag/AgCl reference electrode) was applied to pre-concentrate the metals for 120 s onto the surface of working electrode. After the deposition period, the pre-concentrated metals were stripped from the surface of the working electrode until a limit of 0 V. A SW frequency of 50 Hz, a step potential of 5 mV and square-wave amplitude of 25 mV were used for the measurements. The background signal for the supporting electrolyte was also measured in the same manner. The analytical signal was subtracted from the background signal.

**REAL SAMPLE ANALYSIS**

The concentrations for cadmium (II), lead (II) and copper (II) in the waste-water samples, acetic acid soil or sediments and soil or sediment aqua regia extracts were quantified by the standard additions method following an initial screening of the target species in the samples. SWASV was conducted by diluting the waste-water samples, soil and sediment extracts in the supporting electrolyte. The diluted samples were then analysed by spiking with appropriate concentrations of standard metal ion solutions for standard addition experiments.

The voltammetric measurements of the sample solutions were carried out by placing a 100 uL sample drop on the three-electrode strip. Each electrochemical measurement was carried out in triplicates with a new electrode strip in non-deaerated for the stripping measurements.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

All experiments carried out in this study were repeated three to five times with different SPEs. The data represent the mean value of three measurements and the error bar represents the standard deviation. SPSS software was used for data analysis.

A 0.1 M HCl + 0.5 M NH₄Ac (pH4.5) was chosen as the supporting electrolyte to use for separate cadmium (II), lead (II) and copper (II) analysis on the basis of sensitivity as well as the need for chloride ions in the test solution for stabilizing the potential of the on-board screen-printed Ag/AgCl reference electrode (Choi et al. 2001; Desmond et al. 1997, 1998). This pH was used for supporting medium due to the problem of hydrogen evolution at low pH and thus reduces the sensitivity of cadmium (II). Hydrochloric acid (HCl) was used instead of potassium chloride (KCl) because HCl is normally free from metal ion impurities compared to KCl (Kadara 2003) and ammonium acetate was added to increase the pH to around 4.5.

Square-wave anodic stripping voltammetry studies were carried out with 0.1 M HCl + 0.5 M ammonium acetate. The effect of experimental variables such as mercury (II) concentration on the stripping responses of cadmium, lead and copper, the effect of deposition potential, the effect of deposition time and the concentration dependence for each of the metal ions were investigated. In situ plating of the bare SPCE was the chosen mode of forming mercury film on the electrode because it simplified the experimental procedure.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

As part of the work carried out, the influence of mercury(II) concentration on the stripping responses of lead(II), cadmium(II) and copper(II), the metals were examined in the mercury ion concentration range of 10 to 100 mg L⁻¹. Figure 1(a), (b) and (c) show the influence of mercury(II) concentration on the square-wave stripping voltammetry response of cadmium(II), lead(II) and copper(II). Cadmium, lead and copper stripping response levelled off above a mercury concentration of about 70, 80 and 100 mg L⁻¹, respectively after increasing rapidly at lower mercury(II) concentration. Hence a mercury concentration of 80 mg L⁻¹ was used for subsequent stripping voltammetric work. There is decreased in peak area was observed for Hg(II) concentrations up to 200 mg L⁻¹ for cadmium(II), lead(II) and copper(II). This might be due to the electrode saturation with metal-amalgam. Using SWASV, an optimized mercury(II) ion concentration of 80 mg L⁻¹ was used for co-deposition with cadmium.

The effect of deposition time on stripping voltammetric of cadmium(II), lead(II) and copper(II) are shown in Figure 2 (a), (b) and (c). As can be seen, the stripping response of cadmium(II) increased rapidly with deposition time from 30 to 300 s. However, lead(II) and copper(II) stripping voltammetry peak showed that at 250 s and 200 s, respectively, the peak start to level off and these were due to the saturation of the mercury coated SPE surface. A deposition of 120 s was used for subsequent experiments, as this is sufficient to obtained well-defined stripping peaks for cadmium, lead and copper at microgram per liter concentrations. Deposition longer than required can also deplete the metal ions in the bulk solution or lead to the formation of intermetallic complexes, all of which will affect the definition and size of the stripping peaks (Wilson & Dewald 2001). The presence of break-point in the peak area vs. accumulation time plot may be due to the changes in the mechanism of deposition after longer periods (Honeychurch et al. 2002). Such changes in the dynamics of deposition might be a result of changes in the electrode surface during the accumulation period.

The effect of deposition potential on the stripping response for cadmium(II), lead(II) and copper(II) were also examined (Figure 3). For the three metals, the SWASV
FIGURE 1. Effect of mercury concentration on the stripping voltammetric response of 50 μg L⁻¹ (a) cadmium(II), (b) lead(II) and (c) copper(II) in 0.1 M HCl/0.5 M ammonium acetate solution. Experimental conditions: deposition potential = -1.1 V, final potential = -0.05 V, deposition time = 120 s, SW frequency = 25 Hz, step potential = 5 mV, SW amplitude = 0.025 V

FIGURE 2. Effect of deposition time on the stripping voltammetric response of 50 μg L⁻¹ (a) cadmium(II), (b) lead(II) and (c) copper(II). Deposition potential = -1.1 V, SW frequency = 25 Hz, step potential = 5 mV, amplitude = 25 mV, Hg²⁺ = 80 mg L⁻¹
signal increased when the deposition potential proceeded to more negative potentials. However, at potentials more negative than -1.1 V, the background response started to increase, thus affected the reproducibility of the stripping responses, probably due to the electrohydrolytic evolution of hydrogen (Choi et al. 2001). A potential of -1.1 or -1.0 V were employed for simultaneous detection of cadmium, lead and copper because they provided the best compromise between sensitivity of the main peak and the background response (Figure 3).

For the effect of frequency on the stripping response, the SWASV signals for cadmium, lead and copper decreased when the frequency proceeded to higher value (data not shown). As a compromise among the three metals analysed, frequency of 20 Hz was used as it provided the most stable peak shape for the simultaneous analysis.

Figure 4 shows the stripping voltammograms obtained for increasing concentration of cadmium(II), lead(II) and copper(II) in the range 10 to 200 μg L⁻¹. The calibration plot obtained for cadmium(II) was found to be bimodal with linear ranges between 10 and 200 μg L⁻¹ (correlation coefficient for Cd, (r²) = 0.9889) (Figure 5(a)). The precision (relative standard deviation) of the measurements determined by performing 6 replicate measurements of 5 μg L⁻¹ cadmium was 6.5%. A detection limit of 2 μg L⁻¹ was estimated for 120 s deposition using 3 × standard deviation of the blank.

The stripping voltammograms obtained for increasing concentration of lead(II) in the range of 0 to 200 μg L⁻¹ is depicted in Figure 4. For the calibration graph presented in Figure 5 (b), a linear concentration was obtained between the stripping response (peak area) and lead(II) concentration in the range 10 to 200 μg L⁻¹ (correlation coefficient, r² = 0.9726) for 120 s deposition. Above concentration level of 100 μg L⁻¹, there was deviation in the linearity of the calibration plot. The precision measurement (R.S.D.) determined by performing 6 replicate measurements of lead(II) on solutions containing 50 and 100 μg L⁻¹ with the calculated relative standard deviation values of 6.9% and 9.1%, respectively. A detection limit of 1 μg L⁻¹ was estimated using a deposition time of 120 s.

The stripping voltammograms and calibration plot obtained for increasing concentration of copper are depicted in Figures 4 and 5(c). There was a linear correlation between the stripping peak area and copper concentration in the range 10 to 200 μg L⁻¹ (r² = 0.9842) for 120 s deposition time. There was a leveling off of the calibration curve at higher than 200 μg L⁻¹. This was due to the saturation of the in situ plated mercury film SPCE. The precision of the measurements (R.S.D.) determined by
performing 6 replicate measurements of 10 μg L⁻¹ copper was 7.5%. A detection limit of 5 μg L⁻¹ was estimated for 120 s deposition.

The performance characteristics of the developed MFSPCE for 120 s deposition time showed that the linear range for cadmium(II), lead(II) and copper(II) were 10 to 200 μg L⁻¹. The detection limit recorded for cadmium(II), lead(II) and copper(II) were 2, 1 and 5 μg L⁻¹ with relative standard deviation (RSD) of 6.5%, 6.9% and 7.5%, respectively for 120 s deposition time. The performance of the MFSPCE based on the results obtained was lower in comparison to other results reported for cadmium(II), lead(II) and copper(II) detection at mercury film plated SPCEs considering the conditions applied to this work (Table 1). For instance, Wang and Tian (1992) obtained limits of detection of 0.05 and 0.03 μg L⁻¹ for cadmium(II) and lead(II) using SWASV and longer deposition time of 600s, respectively. Wang et al. (1993) reported detection limits of 0.1 μg L⁻¹ for SWASV for measurements of lead(II) using deposition time of 240s. Employing DPASV with no
stirring of solutions involved during the deposition step, Desmond et al. (1998) reported high detection limits of 71 and 64 μg L\(^{-1}\) for cadmium(II) and lead(II), respectively using a longer deposition time of 300s. Palchetti et al. (1999), using a stirring step as part of the measurement protocol, quoted detection limits of 1 and 0.4 μg L\(^{-1}\) using SWASV for cadmium(II) and lead(II). A longer deposition time of 180s was employed with the SWASV measurements. Recently, Guell et al. (2008), reported detection limit of 1.8 and 2.9 μg L\(^{-1}\) for lead(II) and cadmium(II) using carbon working electrode modified sensor for sea water analysis. The higher limit of detection obtained for the analysis of copper(II) may be due to its poor solubility in mercury when compared to cadmium(II) and lead(II) (Honeychurch et al. 2002), which would therefore result in the formation of less amount of amalgam. The use of screen-printed carbon electrodes without chemical modification has been reported to yield a detection limit of 8.2 μg L\(^{-1}\) for Cu (II) after DPASV analysis of copper(II) (Honeychurch et al. 2002).

SAMPLE EVALUATIONS

The concentrations of metal ions in the waste-water and extracted water samples obtained from Aznalcollar mining area were determined by the extrapolation of the standard addition plot constructed using the standard addition method. Figure 6 shows typical stripping voltammograms

![Stripping voltammograms](image)

**FIGURE 6.** (a) Stripping voltammograms and (b), (c), (d) standard addition plot for the determination of cadmium(II), lead(II) and copper(II) on mercury coated SPCE in one of the extracted soil samples. Depending on the real concentrations, standard solutions of cadmium(II), lead(II) and copper(II) were added in 40, 80 and 120 and 160 μg L\(^{-1}\) increments to the sample. Hg\(^{2+}\) = 80 mg L\(^{-1}\), deposition time = 120 s, deposition potential = -1 V, final potential = 0.05 V, frequency = 20 Hz, SW amplitude = 25 mV, step potential = 5 mV.
and standard addition plots obtained for one of the acetic acid extracted sample and subsequent standard additions of different concentrations of cadmium(II), lead(II) and copper(II). The results in Table 2 are for the square-wave stripping voltammetric (SWASV) determination of cadmium, lead and copper in waste-water and soil acetic-acid extracted at MFSPCE. The results of SWASV determination were verified by using the data obtained for ICP-MS.

Comparison between concentration data for Pb obtained for different acetic acid and aqua regia extracted soil samples using SWASV coupled to the integrated mercury film screen-printed carbon three-electrode device and ICP-MS (the reference method) is presented in Figure 7. There was no significant difference between data obtained using ICP-MS and SWASV for Pb ($p = 0.151$) using t test which proved good agreement between the results obtained with ICP-MS and SWASV. However, Cd and Cu generally showed larger concentration values using SWASV as compared to ICP-MS and they showed significant difference ($p<0.05$). These were due to high dilution factor employed during the analysis and it affects the final results. A relatively high correlation coefficient value obtained between the two methods of determination ($r^2=0.9442$) confirmed the validity of the proposed method (SWASV coupled to MFSPCE) for Pb detection in environmental soil samples using 0.1 M HCl/0.5 M NH₄Ac as the supporting medium (Figure 7).

**Conclusion**

This paper presented the results obtained for electrochemical stripping voltammetric analysis of cadmium, lead and copper using in-house fabricated electrodes. The three-electrode configuration system coupled with SWASV has provided a means of a relatively inexpensive on-site detector for trace levels of trace metals. Detection of these metals was carried out on MFSPCE using the optimized procedures developed for measurements in this work. The bare SPCE acted as supports for the *in situ* plating of mercury film. With the optimized working conditions, the results obtained indicate that the screen-printed electrochemical sensors are sensitive and reproducible enough for the SWASV determination of cadmium, lead and copper in the parts per billion range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>ICP-MS (μg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>SWSV (μg l⁻¹) SPMFE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metals</td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>Cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3b</td>
<td>2853 ± 35.4</td>
<td>195 ± 2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4b</td>
<td>1537 ± 27.1</td>
<td>17 ± 2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5c</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6c</td>
<td>5294</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7c</td>
<td>2423 ± 20.8</td>
<td>12 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8c</td>
<td>3046 ± 26.2</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9c</td>
<td>2799 ± 44</td>
<td>50 ± 34.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b – acetic acid extract; c – aqua regia extract; S – sample; nc – not certified; nq – not quantified; *Value is the mean of triplicate determination ± standard deviation.

**Figure 7.** Correlation plot between SWASV-MFSPCE and ICP-MS for the determination of lead in extracted soil samples.
Although the linear concentration range obtainable with SWASV was narrow (10 - 200 μg L⁻¹), it can still be used for determining cadmium, lead and copper in synthetic and waste-water and extracted soil samples. The pre-concentration of cadmium, lead and copper metallic phase from aqueous solutions was favoured when co-depositing with mercury onto the bare electrode surface. These approaches allowed the trace metal determination of cadmium, lead and copper with limit of detection below 10 μg L⁻¹. The reproducibility of the measurements was below 15% for MFSPCE.

For environmental samples, the usefulness of SWASV coupled to screen-printed electrodes for the determination of cadmium, lead and copper in waste-water and extracted water samples was evaluated. Overall, the results showed that the developed screen-printed electrochemical sensors are capable of measuring cadmium, lead and copper in waste-water and extracted water samples. After comparison to ICP-MS, the data generated with the electrochemical sensors revealed fairly accurate measures of lead, however cadmium and copper were at higher side in waste-water and extracted water samples.
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