Effects of Soil Chemical Properties and Seasonality on Mycorrhizal Status of Prickly Pear (Opuntia ficus-indica) Planted in Hot Arid Steppe Rangelands
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ABSTRACT
Mycorrhizal fungi are an essential component to consider for better management of soil fertility, particularly in degraded rangelands of drylands. The present article presents a field survey of colonization and intensity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) on prickly pear (Opuntia ficus-indica) roots from young (5 years old) and old (more than 20 years) plantations. The results observed were explained by seasonality and edaphic factors. Prickly pear roots showed a mycorrhizal frequency (F%) up to 100% of colonization and a mycorrhizal intensity (M%) that may exceed 70%. According to ANOVAs, both F% and M% varied significantly between Prickly pear plantation ages, but only M% between seasons. The Generalized linear model showed that edaphic factors have no effect on the variation of F%. However the statistical model showed that M% were significantly influenced by active CaCO₃, organic matter, carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus contents and C/N. Our findings highlight the importance of mycorrhization in rehabilitation programs of degraded rangelands by prickly pear plantations in semiarid and arid lands, particularly during early plant ages and under environmental abiotic stresses such as climate and soil type.
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INTRODUCTION
In arid and semi-arid lands, the degradation of plant communities (vegetation structure and species diversity) is concomitant with the degradation of physicochemical and biological properties of soil (Requena et al. 1996). However, the functioning and stability of terrestrial ecosystems are primarily depending on the composition and species diversity of vegetation cover (Tilman et al. 1996).

Mediterranean ecosystems are considered as very fragile systems since they are susceptible to various forms of degradation (Ferrol et al. 2004), which is correspondingly the case of the Algerian rangelands, where ecological studies focused on the degradation status of both soil and vegetation (Aïdoud et al. 2006). The latter is generally organized according to a mosaic of vegetation pockets (patchy distribution) composed from pioneer plant species. Moreover, due to the exposure of soils to wind and water erosions, genetic and functional diversity of soil microflora is intensely affected by these abiotic disturbances (Sanon 2009).

Mycorrhizal fungi are among the microbial components of soil that are susceptible to desertification and which diversity and abundance are significantly...
Opuntia ficus-indica (Pomel., L.) is an exception to this rule (Hart et al. 2001). The status of this diversity and distribution) and mycorrhization is no factors influence the ecology of soil microflora (abundance, Our assumptions revolve around the fact that soil unexplored at the North African scale field investigation on the species remains completely status of prickly pear, nor under arid conditions. Actually so far has been specifically focused on the mycorrhizal Hamza et al. 2010; Mejstřík & Cudlín 1983); no study mycorrhizae worldwide, additionally to Algeria works (Beddiar 2003; Chafi & Fortas 1999; Fraga-Beddiar & Le Tacon 1990; Meddad-Albeddiar & Abda 2002; Fraga-Beddiar & Le Tac 1990; Meddad-Hamza et al. 2010; Mejstřík & Cudlín 1983); no study so far has been specifically focused on the mycorrhizal status of prickly pear, nor under arid conditions. Actually field investigation on the species remains completely unexplored at the North African scale. Our assumptions revolve around the fact that soil factors influence the ecology of soil microflora (abundance, diversity and distribution) and mycorrhization is no exception to this rule (Hart et al. 2001). The status of this influence in terms of rehabilitation by vegetative plantation under arid climate conditions endorse the hypothesis that variation of soil physicochemical properties effect traits of soil microbes 'symbiotic fungi of mycorrhization' (Guissou et al. 1988), and thus soils proprieties would be improved accordingly when vegetation is established by rehabilitation 'planting' (Neffar et al. 2014). Therefore, we predict an increase in mycorrhization patterns, in particular mycorrhization intensity and diversity of fungal spores. However, since plants supply their nutritional requirements through mycorrhizae (Jeffries et al. 2003; Zhu et al. 2010), with in mind that these requirements varies according to plant age and seasonal conditions; we hypothesize that mycorrhization rates would significantly increase under unfavourable environmental conditions or when the energetic demand of the plant increases: low values of physicochemical soil parameters; hot-dry season and severe drought; early life stages of the symbiotic plant; and/or plant growing stage in spring.

The research questions of the current study are: What is the status of symbiotic mycorrhize of introduced prickly pear variety amyclaea in semi-arid and arid lands of North Africa; whether the age of prickly pear plantations and seasons affects the mycorrhization; and whether soil factors affect mycorrhization under arid conditions. Therefore the study aimed to estimate the frequency and intensity of mycorrhizal colonization in roots of prickly pear planted in an arid area of Eastern Algeria (M’zara Station, Southern Tebessa). Moreover the survey targets the understanding and explaining of the spatiotemporal variations of mycorrhization at rhizosphere of the shrub.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

STUDY AREA

M’zara site (34°51’08.4”N and 08°15’05.3”E, 780 m asl) was chosen as a representative area of degraded arid Mediterranean ecosystems. Located in Tebessa province (Eastern Algeria), its climate is arid-type with very hot-dry summer and cold-dry winter. Average annual temperature is about 14.1°C, with a minimum of 5.8°C in January and a maximum of 23.5°C in July. Average annual precipitation is 163 mm (Figure 1).

The sampled site represents an open degraded grassland steppe that is characterized by a sandy soil texture, skeletal structure and low rate of organic matter (Neffar et al. 2011). Desertification is the main factor involved in the natural process of degradation of these rangelands in Algeria; however climate change coupled with certain human activities such as the exploitation of natural lands for agriculture and overgrazing further accelerated the process (Aïdoud et al. 2006; Benabderrahmane & Chenchouni 2010). According to Neffar et al. (2014), the vegetation is dominated by grasses with some very sparse patches of slow-growing shrubs dominated by Stipa tenacissima L., Lygeum spartum L., Arthrophytum scoparium Pomel., Artemisia herba-alba Asso and Astragalus armatus Willd.
SAMPLING OF SOIL AND ROOTS

Soil samples were extracted in two types of plots planted with prickly pear: young plantations of at most 5 years and old plantations with more than 20 years. Three plots, of about 3 ha in size and similar topographic properties, were chosen as replicates for each plantation-type located in separate plantations. The sampled plots were selected close to each other to decrease spatial variations of edaphic and climatic factors. In each plot, 6–8 soil samples of about 1–2 kg per season were collected randomly under different prickly pear shrubs. Samples were carried out around the shrub trunk at a maximum depth of 10–20 cm. As the prickly pear has a superficial root system, the finest rootlets were collected at the same time including the sampled soil. Samples were put in bags and brought to the laboratory for processing. The sampling was carried out during four seasons from spring 2008 to winter 2009.

SOIL ANALYSIS

In the laboratory, the fine fraction (Ø < 2mm) of soil samples was retained for physical and chemical analysis according to standard methods and protocols (Neffar et al. 2014; Pansu & Gautheyrou 2006). Electrical conductivity ‘EC’ and pH were measured in a 1/5 (w/v) aqueous solution. The levels of total calcium carbonate equivalent ‘CCE’ were determined by volumetric calcimetry. Whereas Drouineau’s method was used to determine the amount of active calcium carbonate equivalent ‘ACCE’. Organic carbon ‘C’ was analysed by dichromate oxidation method, then the rate of organic matter ‘OM’ was estimated by multiplying the percentage of carbon by 1.72. Total nitrogen ‘N’ was estimated by Kjeldahl method. The Olsen method was used to determine available (= extractable) phosphorus ‘AP’ using a molybdate reaction for colorimetric detection. Total Phosphorus ‘TP’ was analysed using perchloric acid digestion method. Finally, particle size was determined by wet sieving method, once for each plantation type of prickly pear plantation. Then soil texture was derived by projecting particle fraction values of clay, silt and sand on the textural triangle according to USDA classification (Pansu & Gautheyrou 2006).

ROOT PREPARATION

Separated from soil, the finest roots were washed with water, cut into fragments of 1 cm length then placed in test tube with 10% of KOH solution and kept during 24 h. The test tube was kept at boiling point in water bath for 60 min. The KOH solution was drained and the samples were washed with distilled water until the brown colour disappeared. Then Alkaline H$_2$O$_2$ was added to the samples for 10 min or until the roots were bleached. The samples were again rinsed to remove H$_2$O$_2$. These samples were treated with 2% HCl for 30 min and stained in Chlorazol black E (0.1%) in the water bath at 90°C for 60 min (Phillips & Hayman 1970). For each plot of plantation type of prickly pear per season, thirty root fragments were set up in glycerol on glass slides, with ten root fragments per glass slide. Samples were observed under a light microscope at magnifications ×10 and ×40.

ESTIMATION OF MYCORRHIZATION

Mycorrhization was assessed according to the method of Trouvelot et al. (1986) on the root fragments installed on glass slides previously prepared (containing 10 root
RESULTS

PHYSICOCHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF SOIL

Grain size data showed that all sampled soils had sandy texture, characterized by an alkaline pH (8.09 to 8.14) and a mean electrical conductivity ranged from 767 to 826 μS cm⁻¹. Chemical analysis showed that the studied soils were generally poor of nutrients, especially C, N and AP; as well the C/N ratio that had low values in both plantation types during all study seasons. The values of total CaCO₃ were around 12% in young prickly pear plantations and 14% in old plantations. Overall soil analyses showed some heterogeneity of edaphic features between the two plantation ages. Actually ANOVA tests showed a significant variation in values of EC, pH, ACCE, N and AP between the sampled plots (Table 1).

TEMPORAL VARIATION OF MYCORRHIZATION

The frequency of mycorrhization (F%) showed very slight variations between seasons and the age of prickly pear plantations. Its rates were very high during the four study seasons, but with a maximum (up to 100%) in young prickly pear shrubs during fall and winter. The minimum value (F% = 67%) was recorded during spring in old plantations (Figure 2(a)).

The ANOVA showed a significant effect (p=0.005) for the factor ‘age of plantation’ of means of F%. The effect of ‘season’ and the interactive effect of ‘age × season’ were not significant on variations of mycorrhization frequency (Table 2). The multiple comparisons of means using the LSD for the plantation type showed two homogeneous groups: The first consists of young plantations with an average of F% = 94.44% and the second consists of old plantations with an average of 78.13%.

---

**Table 1.** Physicochemical soil properties of sites planted with prickly pear in arid steppes of Eastern Algeria. Values were given in means (± standard deviation: SD), interquartile range (IQR) and coefficient of variation (CV) for each prickly pear plantation-type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil traits</th>
<th>Young plantations (&lt; 5 years)</th>
<th>Old plantations (&gt; 20 years)</th>
<th>ANOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>CV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC (μS cm⁻¹)</td>
<td>765.5±25.9</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>8.14±0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCE (%)</td>
<td>12.05±3.73</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCE (%)</td>
<td>4.82±2.37</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM (%)</td>
<td>0.60±0.43</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (%)</td>
<td>0.35±0.25</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>0.07±0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/N</td>
<td>6.52±6.12</td>
<td>9.91</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP (ppm)</td>
<td>69.96±40.55</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP (ppm)</td>
<td>1.80±0.42</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay (%)</td>
<td>13.52</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand (%)</td>
<td>79.71</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt (%)</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

appressorium (Figure 3(c)), vesicles with different shapes and sizes (Figure 3(d)) and spores inside the sampled roots (Figure 3(e)), most likely of *Glomus intraradices*, morphological traits fits the description of Błaszkowski (2003). Over all, fungal mycelia colonized the roots in the form of non-partitioned filament that may be straight or tortuous, thin or large, in both inside and outside the root.

**EFFECTS OF EDAPHIC FACTORS ON MYCORRHIZATION**

The GLMs applied for mycorrhization parameters showed no significant linkage of the intercept of both models with *F%* and *M%* (Table 3). The first GLM showed that the proportion of endomycorrhized root fragments (*F%) was not affected by any soil factors. However the second GLM, applied for mycorrhization intensity (*M%*), indicated a significant positive linkage (positive *Z*-value, *p*<0.001) of organic matter and available phosphorus with *M%*. Likewise the latter parameter was negatively related to active CaCO₃, carbon, nitrogen, C/N ratio and total phosphorus content. Therefore it is mainly nutrient chemical elements of soil (*OM, C, N, C/N, TP* and *AP*), which have significant effects (*P*<0.001) on *M%* (Table 3).

**DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSION**

Soil physicochemical analysis of the study sites showed sandy texture with low electrical conductivity values and slight contents of nutrients. These particular properties are common in soils of arid and semi-arid lands (Bradai et al. 2014; West et al. 1994). In general, mycorrhization parameters showed high levels during most seasons of the year, except spring, with lower values in old plantations compared with young plantations. This high mycorrhization could be a stimulus in soil stabilization since the aggregation of soil elements and particles is significantly supported by the growth of hyphae in the sand (Degens et al. 1999).

The literature characterizing mycorrhization worldwide showed that mycorrhizal results vary over time; for example Lingfei et al. (2005) reported that AMF colonization significantly fluctuates throughout the year.

![Figure 2](image-url)

**FIGURE 2.** Seasonal variation of mycorrhizal frequency (*F%) and intensity (*M%) according to prickly pear plantation ages. Values with the same letters are significantly not different (*p*<0.05) following the Newman and Keuls test.

The intensity of mycorrhization (*M%*) varied significantly (*p*<0.001) according to prickly pear plantation types, study seasons and the interaction effect of ‘age × season’ (Table 2). Indeed, values of *M%* were higher in young plots with percentages varying between 60 and 75% during summer and fall-winter, respectively; but its values decreased up to 11% in spring. In contrast, its rates were low in old plots during the four seasons, where *M%* ranged between 3 and 25% (Figure 2(b)).

The colonization of prickly pear roots by AMF was manifested by the presence of mycelia (Figure 3(a), 3(b)), appressorium (Figure 3(c)), vesicles with different shapes and sizes (Figure 3(d)) and spores inside the sampled roots (Figure 3(e)), most likely of *Glomus intraradices*, morphological traits fits the description of Błaszkowski (2003). Over all, fungal mycelia colonized the roots in the form of non-partitioned filament that may be straight or tortuous, thin or large, in both inside and outside the root.

**TABLE 2.** Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) of mycorrhizal frequency (*F%) and intensity (*M%) according to prickly pear plantation age, season and their interaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Variation</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>F%</em></td>
<td>Age of plantations</td>
<td>1595.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1595.8</td>
<td>10.83</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Season</td>
<td>1249.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>416.4</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age × Season</td>
<td>220.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residuals</td>
<td>2357.1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>147.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5422.6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M%</em></td>
<td>Age of plantations</td>
<td>10647.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10647.5</td>
<td>114.54</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Season</td>
<td>6331.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2110.6</td>
<td>22.71</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age × Season</td>
<td>2334.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>778.2</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residuals</td>
<td>1487.3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20801.2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where each plant species has different seasonal patterns of mycorrhization. In addition, the frequency and intensity of mycorrhization are dependent on several factors such as the age of the host species (Kessler et al. 2010), the physicochemical properties of soil (Escudero & Mendoza 2005; Pande & Tarafdar 2004), biogeographical location, seasonal and climatic variations (Bradai et al. 2015; Gui & Nobel 1992; Mekahlia et al. 2013; Pimienta-Barrios et al. 2002), the number of propagules in the soil, and sporulation (Nicolson 1960) found that colonization frequency in sand dune was not associated with variation of soil pH and calcium carbonate content, but mycorrhization has parallel variation as organic carbon content; which is in agreement with our findings. Moreover, Koske and Halvorson (1981) found no relationship between levels of soil inorganic nutrients and mycorrhizal colonisation, which is inconsistent with our results (i.e. GLM of F% in Table 3). However Wang et al. (1985) proved that the AMF behaviour was affected by soil pH and nutrient level. Indeed Dhillion and Friese (1992) demonstrated that plant species with high affinity for mycorrhization may have differential responses to AMF colonization due to nutrient availability and presence of soil microbes. AMF colonization may be differentially influenced due to a variety of factors (Owens et al. 2012). The significant effect of the main factors (season and plantation age) on the variation of mycorrhization and the presence of a significance interactive effect (age × season) within the current study (Table 2) could be explained by the bioecological and ecophysiological characteristics of the host plant itself. Indeed, the Prickly pear, whose metabolism is type Crassulacean Acid Metabolism ‘CAM’ (Owen & Griffiths 2014), is installed in a poor environment. Therefore it must maintain a high level of mycorrhization at all time to ensure the best physiological performance, in particular during seasons with harsh

**Figure 3.** Mycorrhizal structures developed by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) in cleared and stained cortical cells of prickly pear roots. (a), (b): Intra-root mycelium (im) extra-root mycelium (em) (×80), (c) appressorium (ap) (×320), (d) vesicles (v) of different shapes (×80), (e) spores (s) (×80) and (f) uncolonized root (×80)
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climatic conditions like summer vs. spring (Mekahlia et
Moreover, during the early stages, the growth of plants is usually exponential (Fitter & Hay 2012), so plants refer to mycorrhization in order to supplement their nutritional requirements (Biró et al. 2006). This statement is clearly observed through high mycorrhizal values of young plantations on the one hand and low values recorded in old plantation and during spring on the other hand (Figure 2).

Furthermore, the high rates of mycorrhization are probably attributed to: poor environmental conditions due low values of edaphic factors recorded in study plots; prickly pear variety planted in the region; and the aridity and drought that characterizes the area, which would have contributed to the increased level of root colonization. Actually it was demonstrated that colonization by AMF was higher in arid environments (Bohrer et al. 2004; Gui & Nobel 1992; Mekahlia et al. 2013), and during dry-hot seasons, in particular (Mekahlia et al. 2013). Unlike annual plants, longevity of perennials meant they have need for mycorrhizae during the long periods of drought (Collier et al. 2003). In addition, the anatomy and morphology of roots may affect the intensity of colonization since the thick roots tend to have high rates of mycorrhization (Collier et al. 2003).

Regardless of the effects of edaphic factors on mycorrhizal status, the ANOVA showed a high significant variation of mycorrhizal colonization (M%) between the study seasons. Likewise these seasonal changes of mycorrhization patterns may also vary from one year to another depending on climatic conditions. Indeed, seasonal dynamics of AMF was demonstrated significant along different habitat types (Bohrer et al. 2004; Escudero & Mendoza 2005), as well along a large gradient of climatic and hydrologic gradients, including temperate grasses and desert succulents (Escudero & Mendoza 2005; Gui & Nobel 1992; Mekahlia et al. 2013). This may be explained by seasonality differences in AMF biological activities, where we distinguish fungi that make sporulation in late spring and others in late summer (Bever et al. 2001; Caravaca et al. 2003).

We agree that this process is seasonal. It depends of both plants and soil factors which are very difficult to separate. Moreover, one of the more common justifications is to point out that is a result of a balance between the rate of growth of the roots within the soil and the fungus within the root. Probably, or may be surely in this case, the lower rate of colonization in spring for both populations is due to the higher growth rate of roots compared to that of fungus (Garcia & Mendoza 2008). This is consistent with the beginning of the growing season and the increases shown in rainfall and air temperate (Figure 1).

The genus Opuntia develops symbiotic associations with the AMF either in natural habitats or in glasshouse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>CI 2.5%</th>
<th>CI 97.5%</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Z-value</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>-18.00</td>
<td>22.86</td>
<td>10.418</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-2.33</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>1.305</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCE</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCE</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>-1.32</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>-32.36</td>
<td>41.78</td>
<td>18.907</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-7.66</td>
<td>-71.15</td>
<td>55.87</td>
<td>32.394</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>0.813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>-2.69</td>
<td>-5.49</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.427</td>
<td>-1.89</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/N</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-1.48</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-1.80</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.463</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CI 2.5%, CI 97.5%: confidence intervals based on the profile likelihood, SE: standard error, D₀: null deviance, D_m: residual deviance, df: degree of freedom. For abbreviations of edaphic factors, see Table 1.
(Montiel & Olivares 1997), but the overall colonization rate was low (Gui & Nobel 1992; Pimenta-Barrios et al. 2002). However, the Prickly pear planted under hot arid conditions has high rates during different seasons (except spring), where colonization can reach 100% in winter. In addition, these mycorrhization rates are higher during young ages of the plant because at that stage, it has not yet grown enough in both aerial and radical systems to ensure its basic physiological performance independently of mycorrhizal means. Therefore mycorrhizae in the prickly pear planted in drylands are considered as compensable tool against various abiotic stresses.

Conducted with the aim of assessing the mycorrhizal status of the prickly pear planted in arid areas for the rehabilitation of the degraded soils, the present study revealed that the host plant was capable of developing an endomycorrhizal symbiosis with high mycorrhization rates throughout the year. The AMF present in the rhizosphere varied significantly according to soil nutrients (ACCE, OM, C, N, C/N, TP, AP), which have low values according to pedological analysis. These edaphic factors indicate the high degree of the degradation of the studied habitat. The rhizosphere likely contains AMF species that could detect high infectivity. In our context, a further study would be desirable to isolate and multiply AMF contained naturally in soils planted by Prickly pears, and test the effectiveness of the produced inoculum.
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