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ABSTRACT

Inflammation plays an important role to the process of prostate carcinogenesis by increasing the rate of cell proliferation, which contributes to an aggressive tumour phenotype. Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) has been found overexpressed in various types of cancer cells including prostate. The aim of this study was to investigate the COX-2 expressions in different types of human prostate tissues. Paraffin-embedded prostate tissues from 263 samples were examined for the expression of COX-2 marker by immunohistochemistry method. COX-2 was found highly expressed in prostate adenocarcinoma (p=0.001) as compared to benign and normal tissues. The score of COX-2 expressions in most of normal prostate was weak 49 (77.8%), while only 16 (16%) of BPH showed strong expression. 56 cases (56%) prostate cancer showed strong COX-2 expression. Prostate cancer cases showed significant differences in staining patterns as tumour grade increased. In addition, COX-2 expression was significantly correlated with Gleason score in cancerous tissues. This study suggests that COX-2 overexpression is associated with prostate cancer and higher grade tumour.
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ABSTRAK

Inflamasi memainkan peranan penting dalam proses pembentukan kanser prostat melalui peningkatan kadar pembahagian sel. Inflamasi juga bertanggungjawab dalam pembentukan fenotip kanser prostat yang lebih agresif. Siklooksigenase-2 (COX-2) didapat lebih tinggi daripada aras biasa dalam pelbagai jenis sel kanser termasuk prostat. Tujuan kajian ini dijalankan adalah untuk mengkaji pengekspresan COX-2 dalam tisu prostat manusia yang berbeza. Sebanyak 263 tisu prostat tertanam parafin telah digunakan untuk mengkaji aras penanda COX-2 melalui kaedah imunohistokimia. Pengekspresan COX-2 didapat lebih tinggi dalam tisu adenokarsinoma prostat (p=0.001) berbanding tisu benigna dan tisu prostat yang normal. Kebanyakan tisu prostat normal mengekspres COX-2 yang lemah 49 (77.8%) dan hanya 16 (16%) tisu BPH menunjukkan pengekspresan COX-2 yang kuat. Manakala, 56 (56%) tisu kanser prostat menunjukkan pengekspresan COX-2 yang kuat. Tisu kanser prostat juga menunjukkan perbezaan corak perwarnaan COX-2 yang signifikan dengan peningkatan gred tumor. Selain itu, pengekspresan COX-2 menunjukkan perhubungan yang signifikan dengan skor Gleason dalam tisu kanser. Kajian ini mencadangkan bahawa terdapat hubungan antara pengekspresan COX-2 yang sangat tinggi dengan kanser prostat dan tumer gred tinggi.
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INTRODUCTION

Prostate adenocarcinoma (PCA) is one of the most frequently diagnosed cancers among males in Malaysia. A report by National Cancer Registry (2008) showed that, prostate cancer is the fourth frequent cancer occurred and accounted for 7.3% of the total cancers occurrence among males. Out of total cases, the majority of morphologically reported cases were adenocarcinoma which is 96%. Today diagnosis of prostate cancer is commonly based on morphological interpretation by use of cell architecture, nuclear features and presence or absence of basal cell layer. Nevertheless, this method is usually not adequate in equivocal cases in which it might require histopathologists to use immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining to resolve the differential diagnosis (Varma & Jasani 2005). Despite of the clinical importance of prostate cancer, understanding of mechanism underlying development and progression of this disease is poorly understood. Many researches have suggested that inflammation was linked to the development of tumours in several organs, including the prostate itself (Weitzman & Gordon 1993). Cyclooxygenase (COX), was referred as prostaglandin (PG) endoperoxidase synthase, is an enzyme that converts arachidonic acids to PGs and other eicosanoids. COX exists in two isoforms, namely COX-1 and COX-2. COX-1 is expressed constitutively in many tissues and cell types and involved in normal cellular physiological functions. Meanwhile, COX-2 exists as pro-inflammatory in nature and inducible by variety of factors, including growth factors, cytokines, tumour promoters and mitogens (Herschman 1991). Aberrant
or increased expression of COX-2 has been described in most of human carcinomas such as gastric cancer, esophageal carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, lung carcinoma and breast carcinoma (Chan et al. 1999; Hwang et al. 1998; Kazuhiro et al. 1998; Koga et al. 1999; Wolff et al. 1998; Zimmermann et al. 1999) Additional studies showed elevated level of COX-2 induces cancer cell proliferation, inhibits apoptosis, promotes tumour cell vascularization, as well as increases metastatic potential (Kakiuchi et al. 2002; Sheng et al. 2001). Early studies on relationship of COX reported that COX-1 and COX-2 expression levels were found to be approximately equal in various human tissues (O’Neill & Ford-Hutchinson 1993). In year 2000, a study which has been done in Japan proved that COX-2 was highly expressed in prostate adenocarcinoma compared to benign and normal prostate (Yoshimura et al. 2000). Song et al. (2002) demonstrated increased COX-2 expression in prostate cancer cells in vitro correlates with a decreased in the apoptotic index. Additionally, studies on animal models of prostate cancer suggested a link between arachidonic acid and its precursor, linoleic acid which is the major ingredient of animal fat and vegetable oils in diet that contribute to increase of risk of getting prostate cancer (Bosland et al. 1999).

In this study, we aimed to examine the expression of COX-2 in series of normal, BPH and prostate adenocarcinoma cases using immunohistochemistry as a method to determine the role of COX-2 in prostate carcinogenesis and its possible associations with some clinicopathological parameters of malignant cases.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**PATIENT SAMPLES**

A total of 263 paraffin embedded specimens were obtained between year 2006 and 2008. The specimens selected for this study consist of 63 normal prostate tissues, 100 from post-mortem of dead body which was died due to an accident. Whereas, the benign and prostate cancer tissues specimens were obtained from patient who underwent radical prostatectomy. Ethical approval was obtained from Research Ethic Committee of Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), National Medical Research Registration (NMRR) and HKL. The tumour histopathology was classified by Gleason grading and scored as low group; Gleason 6 to 7 and high group; Gleason 8 to 9. Tumour volume was classified as low amount, ≤ 5% and high amount, >5%. Pre-treatment PSA were grouped as either ≤ 4.0 ng/mL and >4.0 ng/mL.

**IMMUNOHISTOCHEMISTRY**

COX-2 immunohistochemistry staining was done using the DAKO REAL EnVision kit (Dako, Ca, USA). Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded blocks were sectioned at 4 μm thickness and preceded with Hematoxyline and Eosin (H & E) staining to confirm the diagnosis before the immunohistochemistry procedure. Sections were mounted onto poly-L-lysine glass slide and dried overnight at room temperature. Then, sections were dewaxed with absolute xylene and rehydrated with gradient alcohol and lastly run under tap water. Antigens were retrieved by microwaving at 1000 W for 10 min high temperature followed by 10 min medium low temperature in citrate buffer (0.01 M, pH 6.0). After cooled down at room temperature for 20 min, sections endogenous peroxidase activity was inactivated in 3% H2O2, for 5 min. Then, sections were rinsed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) 2 times for 5 min followed by 1 h incubation with monoclonal mouse anti-human COX-2 (clone CX-294, Dako, USA) at a dilution 1:100. Secondary antibody detection system (Dako, EnVision+ System-HRP labeled, Dako, USA) was added to the sections and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Finally, diaminobenzidine (Dako, USA) was used as a chromogen to verify immunoreactions and followed by counterstaining by using hematoxylin. Negative control was processed simultaneously from the same samples and protocol but primary antibody step was replaced by antibody diluents. Colon adenocarcinoma sections were used as a positive control because this tissue is well known to express COX-2.

**COX-2 EXPRESSION ANALYSIS**

A representative area with 500 tumour cells was assessed for the positive and negative staining by two pathologists that were blinded from the original diagnosis. The degree of staining intensity scored was recorded as 0 to 4+ by using ten randomly ocular fields under X200 magnification. The extent and intensity of positive tumour cells was graded as 0, none; 1+, weak; 2+, moderate; 3+, strong; and 4+, very strong (Yoshimura et al. 2000). Positive staining was considered if more than one percent of tumour cells stained and together with membranous, or cytoplasmic and/or diffuse (membranous + cytoplasmic) staining pattern were detected. Intensity score was further grouped as low (0 - 2+) and high (3+ - 4+) COX-2 expression in order to see any association with the clinicopathological parameters. All slides were evaluated without any knowledge of the patient’s information and clinical reports.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Data were recorded and statistically analysed using SPSS for Windows Version 17.0 (Chicago, USA) and the statistical results were considered significant when the P value is less than 0.05 at 95% confidence intervals. Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the expression of COX-2 between normal, BPH and PCA. Chi-square test was also used to see the association between clinicopathological variables with COX-2 expressions.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Total specimens included in this study were in the ages of 28 to 91 (mean age, 64.54±10.8 years). Expression of COX-2 was compared between normal, BPH and PCa tissues. Of the total 100 PCa cases; 37 cases were Gleason 6, 37 cases had Gleason 7, 10 cases were Gleason 8 and the other 16 cases had Gleason 9. There was constitutively weak COX-2 expression observed in all cases (77.8%) of normal prostate. Weak expression was also seen in 84 cases (84%) of BPH and 44 cases (44%) of PCa. In contrast, strong COX-2 expression was detected in 56 of PCa cases (56%) with the score of 3+ to 4+. Only 16 cases (16%) of BPH showed strong COX-2 expression. Whereas none of the normal prostate tissues showed strong COX-2 expression. There is a significant different of COX-2 expression between normal, BPH and PCa, COX-2 expression was found constitutively greater in PCa ($p<0.001$) than benign and normal prostate (Table 1). Three patterns of staining were found in COX-2 expression, in which from membranous, cytoplasmic and diffuse (mix of both patterns). Staining pattern was concentrated around membrane of the cells and scattered into cytoplasmic region. COX-2 was expressed in glandular epithelial cells but not in the stroma (Figure 1(a)-1(f)).

Majority of normal prostate cases (92.1%) and BPH (76%) cases exhibited a membranous staining pattern. None of both cases however showed diffuse staining pattern. In contrast, 32 (32%) PCa cases showed cytoplasmic staining and 28 (28%) cases showed diffused staining pattern (Table 2). Membranous staining pattern was seen in 32 (86.5%) in Gleason 6 cases whereas 21 (56.8%) cases of Gleason 7 showed cytoplasmic staining pattern. In contrast, 6 (60%) cases of Gleason 8 and 14 (87.5%) cases of Gleason 9 exhibited diffused staining patterns (Table 3). Generally, variety of staining pattern exhibited in PCa cases was correlated with increasing tumour grade ($p=0.0001$)(Table 3).

Beside that, association between COX-2 expression with clinicopathological parameters (age, PSA level, Gleason score and tumour amount) was also studied and grouped into certain cut-off points (Wang et al.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>$p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tissue type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>49 (77.8%)</td>
<td>14 (22.2%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>63 (100%)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPH</td>
<td>7 (7%)</td>
<td>36 (36%)</td>
<td>41 (41%)</td>
<td>15 (15%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>100 (100%)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCa</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10 (10%)</td>
<td>34 (34%)</td>
<td>41 (41%)</td>
<td>15 (15%)</td>
<td>100 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 1](image1.png)
Staining intensity was correlated to Gleason score \( (p = 0.011) \) in which COX-2 expression was found significantly increased together with Gleason score (Table 4). There is a significant relationship seen between age group and COX-2 expression in BPH cases but none in PCa cases. There is no significant association between COX-2 expression and PSA level and tumour amount (Table 4).

COX-2 has been extensively studied in various disease and cancer including prostate cancer. In these current studies, COX-2 expression level was found significantly different in normal, BPH and PCa tissues. None of the normal cases showed strong COX-2 expression whereas all (100%) of normal prostate cases showed weak COX-2 expression (1+ and 2+). In contrast, more than half (56%) of PCa cases showed strong COX-2 expression (3+ and 4+).

The increased intensity of COX-2 staining was also seen with the increased of Gleason score (Figure 1(c)-1(f)). This finding suggested, increased COX-2 expression has a role in the aggressiveness of tumour phenotype in PCa. The present finding was closely similar to the study done by Yoshimura et al. (2000), who found that COX-2 expression was very weak in all BPH and normal prostate samples. They also found, a very strong COX-2 expression in the high grades of prostate adenocarcinomas. High COX-2 expression in PCa cases of this study was also paralleled to the latest study done in Egypt. They found that 86.7% of PCa cases showed strong COX-2 expression and PCa grade 3 cases showed COX-2 expression stronger than those of grade 2 with statistically significant (Mostafa et al. 2009).

Consistent with our results, many studies indicated that

---

### Table 2. COX-2 staining pattern in normal, BPH and PCa cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staining pattern distribution</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membranous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoplasmic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse (membranous + cytoplasmic)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>58 (92.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPH</td>
<td>76 (76%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCa</td>
<td>40 (40%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. COX-2 staining pattern in different grades of PCa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution of staining pattern</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membranous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoplasmic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granular</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gleason score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>32 (86.5%)</td>
<td>5 (13.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8 (21.6%)</td>
<td>21 (56.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>4 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Relationship between COX-2 expressions with clinicopathological parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinicopathological parameter</th>
<th>COX-2 expression</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age group in BPH (mean 68.13±7.42)</td>
<td>Low expression (0 - 2+)</td>
<td>High expression (3+ - 4+)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \leq 68 ) years</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( &gt;68 ) years</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group in PCa (mean 66.78±6.23)</td>
<td>Low expression (0 - 2+)</td>
<td>High expression (3+ - 4+)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \leq 66 ) years</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( &gt;66 ) years</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA (ng/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \leq 4.0 )</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( &gt;4.0 )</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gleason score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \leq 7 )</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( &gt;7 )</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour amount (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \leq 5 )</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( &gt;5 )</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COX-2 was expressed at high levels in PCa (Gupta et al. 2000; Liu et al. 2000; Wang et al. 2005).

In term of staining patterns, COX-2 staining pattern was differently expressed in normal, BPH and PCa cases. We found that, COX-2 was expressed from membranous, cytoplasmic to diffuse staining patterns in various prostate tissues. Interestingly, the membranous pattern expression was found in the luminal glandular cells of the majority of normal (92.1%) and BPH (76%) cases. In contrast, COX-2 expression in PCa was marginally greater tumour cells than in benign and normal cells. COX-2 expression in majority of PCa cases was showed heterogeneous from moderate to strong cytoplasmic and diffuse staining pattern. The pattern shift from membranous to diffuse within the PCa cases in which it was correlated with increasing tumour grade (p<0.001). This finding was similar to the study done by Madaan et al. (2000) which they reported that aggressiveness of PCa was related to the staining pattern. They added, intracellular localization of COX-2 in the epithelial cells in BPH and PCa was different. PCa predominantly localized in the cytoplasmic region whereas BPH was mainly at the membrane region. This study supported Madaan et al. (2008) study in which Gleasons 8 and 9 showed more diffuse staining patterns as compared to the Gleason 6 which was membranous staining pattern. Naturally, COX-2 was expressed in cell membrane in the luminal cells of BPH, which is consistent with the co-localization of the enzyme and its substrate. Linoleic acid is the major constituents of the lipid bilayer of the cell membrane and is a precursor of arachidonic acid, from which prostaglandins are, synthesized (Gottard 1986). This feature of COX-2 expression was lost during cellular transformation, as the expression became cytoplasmic and diffused (Madaan et al. 2008).

In addition, we also found that Gleason >7 cases showed higher COX-2 expression level than those cases with Gleason score ≤ 7 with statistically significant (p=0.01). Increased level of COX-2 expression in high grade PCa (Gleason > 7) was generally in line with previous studies (Gupta et al. 2000; Madaan et al. 2000; Wang et al. 2005; Yoshimura et al. 2000). However, there were discrepancies reported by Zha et al. (2001), as they mentioned that COX-2 expression was not consistently elevated in PCa but in proliferative inflammatory atrophy (PILA) of prostate, and furthermore, the extent of positive staining did not correlate with Gleason score. Another study also reported the same finding as Zha et al. (2001) although they found high COX-2 expression in high grade prostate tumour cells (Shappell et al. 2001). Age of BPH group was found correlated with COX-2 expression (p=0.043), with the expression showed consistently low level in almost all BPH tissues but significantly increased with aging. In contrast, age of PCa group was not found correlated with COX-2 expression (p=0.100). Furthermore, there was no significant association found between PSA level and tumour amount with the COX-2 expression. Although, PSA has been used for a long time in diagnostic consideration on PCa, however its sensitivity was not perfect enough to be used alone. PSA generally fails as a marker to evaluate the extent of tumor phenotype for prostate cancer (Rodney 2005). Tumour amount did not show any significant finding with the level of COX-2 expression, it is suggested due to the variability in interpretation of the tumour amount itself by pathologists during the histopathological procedure.

In addition, to prove evidence that COX-2 has a significant role in the prostate carcinogenesis and aggressiveness, we also provide different views in animal and cell culture studies that showed the same finding as what we have obtained. Hla et al. (1999) mentioned that prostaglandin (PG) production generally was elevated in cancer cells, suggesting that COX-2 induction has the potential in promoting tumour growth and its progression. Animal study showed COX-2/Apc<sup>−/−</sup> double-gene ‘knockout’ mice have lesser and smaller intestinal polyps than Apc<sup>−/−</sup> knockout mice. This study clearly showed that COX-2 is responsible for the aggressiveness of intestinal polyps phenotype in mice (Oshima et al. 1996). Liu et al. (1998) reported that a selective COX-2 inhibitor has a potential inducing apoptosis and down-regulates bcl-2 expression in prostate cancer cell line (LNCaP). Latest study done by Hao et al. (2011) found that prostate cancer cell growth can be inhibited by directly inhibiting COX-2 expression. According to the report, tumour-suppressive miRNA (miR-101) has a direct effect on inhibition of COX-2 expression. Besides, exogenous miR-101 also suppresses the proliferation and growth of prostate cancer cells in vivo and in vitro.

There are many evidences by which COX-2 plays a role in carcinogenesis either direct or indirect mechanism may be involved in prostate cancer development and progression. Many studies have been discussing on how over-expression of COX-2 can promote cell proliferation and metastasis of cancer cells (Hla et al. 1999). Prostaglandin production generally was elevated in most of cancer cells. Additionally, induction of COX-2 expression induces networks of regulatory factors that influence cell survival, growth and differentiation (Cousens & Werb 2001). COX-2 also induces vascularization (Chu et al. 2003; Leung et al. 2002; Wang et al. 2005). This process is important for tumour growth and metastases. Wang et al. (2005) mentioned that proinflammatory cytokines, released by T-lymphocyte and macrophages, up-regulate COX-2 in adjacent tumour cells and stimulate the angiogenesis in stromal tissues within prostate cancer. Direct relationship of angiogenesis between COX-2 and PCa was also consistent with observation in liver, colon, endometrial and breast tumour (Chapple et al. 2002; Davies et al. 2003; Fujiwaki et al. 2002; Rahman et al. 2001). Over-expression of COX-2 has also been implicated in up-regulation of bcl-2 expression and this leads to decrease in apoptosis (Tsujii et al. 1998). In contrast, down-regulation of bcl-2 expression was reported when it is treated with a selective COX-2 inhibitor (NS-398) (Liu et al. 1998). Consistent with Liu et al. (1998), the latest study demonstrates that colorectal cancer cells undergo greater apoptosis as a result of exposure to specific COX-2 inhibitor in vivo (Rahman et
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In conclusion, the study proved that the over-expression of COX-2 has a significant association with prostate
cancer and higher grade tumour. Although we did not see a
significant association between COX-2 expression and clinicopathological parameters such as age, PSA and tumour
amount, there was a clear significant finding that showed
COX-2 expression was over-expressed in high grade cancer
specimens. Additionally, the shift from membranous to
cytoplasmic and diffuse staining pattern was correlated
with neoplastic progression of prostate tissues from
normal to benign and malignant prostate tissues. There
are strong arguments and suggestions that COX-2 should
be evaluated further as one of the potential therapeutic
targets for prostate cancer or even other types of cancers in
Malaysia. We also hope that this study might be useful
as a baseline data to other studies in order to develop the
best prognostic marker for prostate cancer.
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