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ABSTRACT

The present work was carried out to investigate the blood flow behavior and the severity of blockage caused in the arterial passage due to the different geometries such as elliptical, trapezium and triangular shapes of stenosis. The study was conducted with respect to various sizes of stenosis in terms of 70%, 80% and 90% area blockage of the arterial blood flow. The study was carried out numerically with the help of advance computational fluid dynamic software. It was found that the shape of the stenosis plays an important role in overall pressure drop across the blockage region of artery. The highest level of pressure drop was observed for trapezoidal shape of stenosis followed by elliptical and then by triangular shaped stenosis. The wall shear stress across the stenosis is great for trapezoidal shape followed by triangular and elliptical stenosis for same blockage area in the artery.
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INTRODUCTION

The development of atherosclerotic plaque on the inner side of the wall of arteries causes the myocardial infraction which is one of the most widespread diseases in human causing millions of deaths around the globe each year. The atherosclerotic plaque brings the most effective changes in the pressure, velocity, wall shear stress and impedance (flow resistance). The flow patterns such as velocity, pressure, directions strongly influenced by the geometry of the stenosis formed. It is more complex to assess the physiological severity of an intermediate stenosis in a single vessel or branched vessel using usual coronary angiogram or multi slice computed tomography (Tobis et al. 2007). There are a number of investigators such as Fatemi and Rittgers (1994), Giddens et al. (1976), Khalifa and Giddens (1978), Lee (1994) and O’Brien and Ehrlich (1985) who have reported that the flow pattern disturbance is a sensitive indicator of mild to moderate stenosis. It is known that the shape and dimension of stenosis play an important role in deciding the flow behavior in the cylindrical rigid artery as demonstrated by Lorenzini and Casalena (2008) that the trapezium plaques shape geometries are the most sever pathologies as they favor higher stain and further more chances of depositions on the walls of artery. The wall shear stress plays a vital role in the progression and development of arterial diseases was demonstrated by Fry (1973). The blood behavior inside the arteries have been subject of differing opinion as many researchers such as Deshpande et al. (1976) and Young (1968) have considered blood as Newtonian fluid for steady analysis through stenosed artery, whereas Shukla et al. (1980) and Misra and Shit (2006) considered the generalized model of Non-Newtonian fluid and analyzed the blood as a Casson and Herschel-Bulkley fluid. The pulsatile blood flow in the curved artery with varying degree of stenosis was analyzed by Ha and Lee (2014), Keshavarz et al. (2011), Liu (2007) as well as Paul and Larman (2009) who have investigated the effect of spiral blood flow in stenosed artery.

Although a significant amount of work has been carried out to investigate the blood flow in stenotic arteries in the past few decades, but not much work has been
reported on the blood flow across different shapes and sizes of stenosis in the arteries. Thus the current work was motivated to investigate the effect of different shapes of stenosis on the blood flow in the various percentage of area stenosis.

METHODS

STENOSIS GEOMETRY

There are a number of clinical and previously published data (Berglund et al. 1997; Lorenzini & Caselena 2008; Moser et al. 2000; Tang et al. 2003) which showed that stenosis does not have particular shapes. However, there are substantial evidences that the coronary artery area stenosis in the range of 70% to 90% blockage needs special attention since it may cause significant changes in the flow behavior and may lead to fatal conditions. Therefore, in order to study the effect of different shapes of stenosis on the flow behavior, the size of the stenosis is varied in 3 steps such as 70% (moderate), 80% (intermediate) and 90% (severe) area stenosis (AS). The blood flow behavior is investigated due to formation of stenosis in 3 different shapes namely, elliptical, trapezium and triangular. Figure 1 represents the different shapes of stenosis considered in the current study. The diameter of the artery is 3mm and the stenosis length has been fixed to 10mm in all models. Table 1 shows the dimensions used for the triangle and trapezium to develop the models of stenosis considered in the current study. The trapezoidal model in current study is based on the clinical study conducted on the group of patients by Back and Denton (1992), Roy et al. (2005) and Wilson et al. (1988). There are many studies in which the shape of stenosis was considered as elliptical in a patient specific coronary artery (Chaichana et al. 2013, 2012; Govindaraju et al. 2016a; Kamangar et al. 2017a, 2014; Ryou et al. 2012). The elliptical shape stenosis model was developed by using (1) (Dash et al. 1999).

\[
\frac{\eta(\bar{z})}{a} = 1 - \frac{h}{a} \sin \pi (\frac{\bar{z} - d}{L}), \quad d \leq \bar{z} \leq d + L, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \eta(\bar{z}) \) is the radius of stenosis, \( a \), is the radius of an artery, \( \bar{z} \) is along the axis of the artery and \( h \) is the maximum projection of the stenosis into the lumen. The governing equation for the non-Newtonian and Carreau model given by

\[
\mu = \mu_\infty + (\mu_0 - \mu_\infty)[1 + (\lambda \gamma)^2]^{n/2}, \tag{5}
\]

where \( \lambda \) (time constant) = 3.313s; \( n \) (power law index) = 0.3568, \( \mu_0 \) (low shear viscosity) = 0.56P, \( \mu_\infty \) (high shear viscosity) = 0.0345P and the density of the blood \( (\rho) \) is assumed as 1050 kg/m\(^3\) (Govindaraju et al. 2014; Peelukhana et al. 2009). Finite volume software ANSYS CFX 14.5 (ANSYS Inc) was used for flow simulations.

BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

In order to ensure the realistic physiological conditions, the 3D numerical simulation was considered with a transient Pulsatile pressure \( p(t) \) (Figure 2(a)) at the inlet and transient parabolic velocity \( u(t) \) at the outlet (Figure 2(b)) (Konala et al. 2011) with no slip condition at the arterial wall. The same inlet and outlet boundary conditions were used to solve all the models. The mean hyperemic flow rate \( (\tilde{Q}) \) 175, 165 and 115 mL/min was used to acquire the velocity

FIGURE 1. Schematic diagram for elliptical, trapezium and triangular stenosis geometry

Here \( v \) is three-dimensional velocity vector, \( t \) is time, \( \rho \) = blood density, \( P \) = pressure and \( \tau \) = stress tensor.
profile for 70%, 80% and 90% AS, respectively (Konala et al. 2011). The shear stress transport (SST) turbulence model belonging to k-ω model was used for modelling the flow which gives the accurate and robust results for high Reynolds number as noted by Jozwik and Obidowski (2010), and Kagadis et al. (2008). The uncertainty in shear layer could be possible during the hypermic flow conditions because of disturbances in the cardiac cycle and irregularities in the different shapes of stenosis (Banerjee et al. 2013; Mallinger & Drikakis 2002; Rajabi-Jagharghet al. 2011).

Initially, steady-state flow analysis was performed. This was followed by transient flow analysis considering the results from the steady-state analysis as the initial guess in CFD simulation.

For the steady state analysis, the following values of parameters at the inlet and outlet were taken, namely: mean physiologic pressure at the inlet: 89.04 mmHg; and mean velocity at the outlet: 0.413, 0.389 and 0.271 m/s correspond to 70%, 80% and 90% AS (Govindaraju et al. 2016b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area stenosis (AS)</th>
<th>r_d</th>
<th>r_m</th>
<th>Triangle l_c</th>
<th>l_m</th>
<th>l_r</th>
<th>Elliptical l_c</th>
<th>l_m</th>
<th>l_r</th>
<th>Trapezium l_c</th>
<th>l_m</th>
<th>l_r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**METHODS**

The 3D computational domain was initially meshed with hexahedral elements in the range of 250,000 and 5,00,000 for 70%, 80% and 90% AS for all the three different shapes of models. The commercially available software CFX 14.5 (ANSYS CFX, 14.5 Canonsburg, PA) was employed for blood flow simulation (Kamangar et al. 2017b). Figure 3 shows the discretized domain for the trapezoidal model with 80%AS. The fine grid structure of 368,244 tetrahedral elements was generated. The grid dependent study was carried out to highlight that the above-mentioned 368,244 elements are right choice of mesh size for this particular study. Details of mesh independent study are shown in Table 2 and Figure 4. The transient flow analysis was run for 640 time steps (0.005 s per time step) representing 4 cycles (0.8 s each) of pulsatile flow with each time step converging to a residual target of $1 \times 10^{-5}$.
The current study was carried out for the hyperemic flow rates, therefore to validate the current methods a steady state simulation for the flow rates of 50 and 100 mL/min was performed. The numeric results obtained was compared with the closely related articles previously published in the open literature (Banerjee et al. 2003). It can be observed clearly from Figure 5 that the axial pressure drop for the flow rate of 50 and 100 mL/min is almost close. Therefore, the results are found to be good agreement with the previous published article.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figures 6, 7 and 8 show the comparison of radial velocity for the various shapes of stenosis models in 70%, 80% and 90% AS during the cardiac cycle. As expected, the velocity profile is higher for systolic as compared to the diastolic period of cardiac cycle in all the models. It is observed that the blood velocity for the different shapes of stenosis does not vary much for proximal (2 mm before stenosis) position during the cardiac cycle. Whereas, the velocity is increased as percentage area stenosis increased. The highest velocity is found for the 90% AS stenosis models. It was also observed that the maximum velocity is found at the throat (mid of the stenosis) sections. It is noted that the trapezoidal shape of stenosis has the maximum velocity followed by the elliptical and triangular shape of the stenosis. Similarly, the velocity is maximum for the trapezoidal model as compared to the other two models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>80%AS trapezoidal model</th>
<th>No. of elements</th>
<th>Max pressure drop (mmHg)</th>
<th>Difference (mmHg)</th>
<th>Times in H:min:s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mesh 1</td>
<td>265825</td>
<td>20.5388</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3:38:34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesh 2</td>
<td>368244</td>
<td>20.9187</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>4:23:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesh 3</td>
<td>507608</td>
<td>21.1073</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>6:46:38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 4. Comparison of mesh-independent study for various numbers of elements during the peak systole

FIGURE 5. Comparison of present study with previous published data of Banerjee et al. 2003
FIGURE 6. Velocity profile for various shapes of stenosis in 70%AS during the systole and diastole period of cardiac cycle: (a) and (b) proximal, (c) and (d) mid of stenosis and (e) and (f) distal across the distal (2 mm after the stenosis) section. The maximum velocity was observed for the trapezium model as compared to the elliptical and triangular models during the diastolic period of cardiac cycle.

The pressure drop developed due to the presence of stenosis is very important parameter to understand the severity of blockage that may lead to fatal situation if not diagnosed and measured accurately. It is well known that the doctors in under developed countries rely heavily on angiographic images to make decision about severity of stenosis where %AS is considered as deciding parameter without giving much attention to the geometry of stenosis. The pressure drop across the length of artery at various pulse time and geometry of stenosis is shown in Figure 9. The pressure drops suddenly when blood flow encounters stenosis and then starts recovering after having passed through the blockage area. As expected, the preserve drop across stenosis increases substantially when %AS
increases. It is evident from Figure 9 that the pressure drops around 3 times for elliptical stenosis when %AS increases from 70% to 90%, which represents a severe condition for patient. It is obvious from Figure 9 that the shape of stenosis has significant effect on pressure drop since trapezoidal stenosis produces substantial higher drop in pressure as compared to elliptical stenosis which in turn is higher than that of triangular shape stenosis. For instance, the pressure drop across elliptical stenosis for 90% AS is 20 mmHg greater than triangular stenosis and trapezoidal shape gives 27 mmHg higher pressure drop than that of elliptical shape for same %AS. This is substantial variation of pressure drop when geometry of stenosis changes thus the geometry of blockage area cannot be ignored while evaluating the severity of the stenosis.

Figure 10 shows the overall transient pressure drop $\Delta P = P_a - P_r$ (where $P_a$ is the pressure measured at 3 mm proximal to the start of converging portion and $P_r$ is the
distal recovery pressure) which was taken during the third and fourth cycles for the stenosis. The mean pressure drop by integrating over the cardiac cycle shown by the horizontal dash line in Figure 10, were -12.6, -15.7 and -19.8 mmHg for the triangular, elliptical and trapezoidal shapes of stenosis. The previously mentioned mean pressure drop values further emphasis that the trapezoidal shaped stenosis poses a significant higher risk to the patient as compared to the other two geometries.

Figure 11 shows the stress at the arterial wall which is the indication of health of arterial wall in the long run. The stress at the wall around stenosis area is much higher than the other areas and the stress increases with increase in the blockage area. It is found that the arterial wall has to endure much higher stresses due to trapezoidal stenosis as compared to that of triangular stenosis and elliptical shape produces smallest wall stress of the three geometries of stenosis being investigated. Thus it can be conveniently

FIGURE 8. Velocity profile for various shapes of stenosis in 90%AS during the systole and diastole period of cardiac cycle: (a) and (b) proximal, (c) and (d) mid of stenosis and (e) and (f) distal
said that the chances of arterial wall rupture due to stenosis formation are highest in trapezoidal shape followed by triangular and elliptical stenosis shape.

CONCLUSION

The findings of current work can be summarized as follows: The increase in percentage area stenosis, increase
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the velocity profile inside the blockage region. The shape of stenosis has significant effect on the flow characteristics leading to varied diagnostics parameters such as pressure drop across the blockage area. The pressure drop is highest in case of trapezoidal stenosis and least for triangular shape for same area blockage due to stenosis thus the severity level of patients increases in case of trapezoidal geometry. The arterial wall is more prone to rupture due to trapezoidal stenosis than the elliptical or triangular shapes.
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FIGURE 11. Wall shear stress along the artery at various time steps during the cardiac cycle
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