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ABSTRACT

Heavy reliance on herbicides for goosegrass (Eleusine indica Gaertn.) control has led to the development of paraquat, glufosinate, fluazifop and/or glyphosate resistance in goosegrass. This study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of pre-emergence herbicide, S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond powder on inhibition of resistant biotypes of goosegrass under glasshouse and field conditions. The oil palm frond (OPF) powder was treated with S-metolachlor at its suboptimal rate and applied as mulch. The herbicide-resistant goosegrass plants were found to be more inhibited when treated with S-metolachlor-treated OPF mulch at the rate of 12 g ai ha⁻¹ S-metolachlor + 1.5 t ha⁻¹ OPF (with the exception of the glufosinate-resistant goosegrass biotype in the sandy loam soil) compared to the growth of the resistant biotypes in silty loam soil under glasshouse conditions. Field experiments in an ambarella (Spondias dulcis L.) farm further showed that OPF -treated with S-metolachlor mulch at the rate of 32.0 g ai ha⁻¹ S-metolachlor + 4.0 t ha⁻¹ OPF provided great suppression of glyphosate-resistant biotypes of goosegrass with more than 85% reduction of weed density and biomass, respectively. These results suggested that the residue of OPF have the potential to reduce the application rate of S-metolachlor without compromising on the excellent control obtained in combating these herbicide-resistant biotypes of goosegrass.
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INTRODUCTION

Goosegrass (Eleusine indica Gaertn.) is one of the most troublesome of the annual grassy weed in the world (Holm et al. 1977). It seriously affects the production of 46 different crop species in over 60 countries (Stecker 2010). It has been documented as one of the most difficult to control among the turfgrass weeds in the tropical and subtropical regions because the seed heads are typically present throughout the year (Wiecko 2000). Besides, it is also tolerant to a wide range of salt stress, pH (Chauhan & Johnson 2008) and water stress (Ismail et al. 2003, 2002) which results in the plant’s ability to survive under various unfavorable conditions. Goosegrass seeds buried at 20 cm depth still had 79% viability after two years (Chuah et al. 2004a). A recent study by Ma et al. (2015)
demonstrated that goosegrass at a density of 4 plants m⁻¹ per row significantly reduced cotton yields by 20 to 27%. Rizzardi and Wandscheer (2014) documented that *E. indica* was more competitive than *Sorghum sudanense* in mixed infestations with corn but in contrast *S. sudanense* was more competitive than *E. indica*, in mixed infestations with soybean.

Herbicide usage has increased in both zero tillage and conventional systems because it remains the most common strategy to practice due to its convenience, time/ labour saving and for generally being the most effective method of weed control. *Eleusine indica* can be controlled by various groups of herbicides namely metribuzin, trifloxysulfuron and pendimethalin (Odero et al. 2013). However, the application of a single type of herbicide over a long period of time will facilitate the development of herbicide resistance. For example, multiple resistance in *E. indica* has been reported previously and this encompasses simultaneous resistance to two different herbicides (Chuah et al. 2010). In the year 2014, an experiment was conducted to evaluate the possibility of multiple resistances to a range of herbicides with four different modes of action (Jalaludin et al. 2015). The results confirmed that the goosegrass biotype was the first case whereby the development of multiple resistance across the three non-selective herbicides, namely glyphosate, glufosinate and paraquat.

Moreover, the same population also showed target-site resistance to ACCase-inhibiting herbicides, possibly due to the Trp-2027-Cys mutation (Jalaludin et al. 2015). Various combinations of herbicides have been introduced to provide better and longer term control of goosegrass (Chuah et al. 2004b; Clewis et al. 2008; Everman et al. 2009). Nevertheless, a mixture of glyphosate and glufosinate is not recommended for goosegrass due to antagonistic activity (Bethke 2013; Chuah et al. 2008).

Metolachlor, a pre-emergence and systemic herbicide, comprises equal proportions of R-isomers and S-isomers, with S-isomers providing most of the herbicidal activity. An enantiomerically enriched form (>80% S-isomers) of metolachlor, called S-metolachlor has been developed to reduce the applicationand chemical load to the environment, while increasing the biological activity of the herbicide (Blaser & Spindler 1997). S-metolachlor can be used to control broadleafed weeds, but it is mainly used to control annual grassy weeds such as foxtail, crabgrass, barnyardgrass and red rice. S-metolachlor is currently registered and used for over 70 crops in vegetable farms and orchards worldwide (O’Connell et al. 1998). Although S-metolachlor was introduced 20 years ago, there has been no reported cases of resistance arising in the weeds as a consequence of widespread use worldwide (Heap 2017).

In general, organic mulches may provide good suppression of annual grassy weeds, but not for perennial weeds (Wilen 1999). Teasdale and Mohler (2000) have quantified the relationship between weed emergence and physical characteristics of mulch for various dry mulch applications at different rates. It is stated that weed suppression is due to the obstructing elements making up the mulch (which physically impede seedling growth) and thus limit light penetration (Teasdale & Mohler 1993). However, some of the research findings have proved that the residues of crops such as rye, sunflower, wheat and barley not only provide physical obstruction, but also release allelochemicals that suppress weed growth. Generally, the effect of crop residues for weed management declines after four to six weeks due to the breakdown of the allelochemicals (Batish et al. 2007). Recently, it has been reported that the oil palm rachis powder reduced emergence and growth of goosegrass seedlings by approximately 20 and 50% at 4 t ha⁻¹, respectively, while its extract provided complete inhibition of goosegrass germination at a concentration of as low as 1.0% (w/v) (Chuah & Lim 2015). In addition, Dilipkumar et al. (2017) have showed the potential use of oil palm frond mulch treated with imazethapyr for weed control in Malaysian coconut plantation. However, limited information is available on the potential of oil palm frond residues in the management of goosegrass although these residues are usually left as mulch between oil palm trees for weed suppression and nutrient recycling purposes (Berger 2003). Thus, the present research was aimed at evaluating the potential of using the oil palm frond residues in combination with S-metolachlor for the control of herbicide-resistant biotypes of goosegrass.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**GOOSEGRASS SEEDS**

Seeds of glufosinate, -glyphosate, -paraquat- and fluazifop-resistant biotypes of goosegrass were provided by Dr. Cha Thye San, Institute Marine Biotechnology, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu whereby these seeds were confirmed to have developed resistance to the respective herbicides from previous studies (Cha et al. 2014a, 2014b; Chuah et al. 2010). The herbicide-susceptible goosegrass seeds were collected from the roadside of Gong Badak in Kuala Terengganu, where no history of herbicide application has been recorded. Goosegrass seeds were scarified to remove the seed coat, using sand paper. The naked seeds were soaked in 0.2% potassium nitrate solution for 24 h to break the seed dormancy. The viability of the goosegrass seeds was tested to ensure the seeds had germination percentage higher than 90%. The seeds were then rinsed with distilled water before use in the subsequent experiments.

**HERBICIDE**

The herbicides used in the study were S-metolachlor, fluazifop-b-butyl, glufosinate-ammonium, glyphosate isopropylamine and paraquat dichloride. These herbicides were purchased from KPT Peladang Sdn. Bhd, Kuala Terengganu.

**SOIL TYPES**

Three types of soil belonging to three different soil series were used in the present study. The ‘Kangkung’ soil series...
was collected from a coconut plantation at the Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI) Hilir Perak Station in Teluk Intan, Perak (3°53’N, 100°51’E). The ‘Rhu Tapai’ soil series was collected from the Rhu Tapai of Agricultural Station in Setiu, Terengganu (5°30’N, 102°58’E). The ‘Bidor’ soil series was collected from an ambarella (Spondias dulcis) farm located at Kampung Coldstream in Bidor, Perak (4°02’N, 101°14’E). Soil samples were collected from the surface to 20 cm depth and transferred to a glasshouse from the respective locations. Each type of soil was sun-dried, ground and sieved to pass through a 2 mm screen. The Kangkung and Rhu Tapai soil samples were used for the glasshouse experiments.

SOIL ANALYSIS
The texture of each soil type was determined using the textural triangle (Anderson & Ingram 1993). The soil pH was determined by placing a glass electrode with a pH meter (HI 3220) in a 1:1 mixture ratio of soil and deionized water (Singh & Ratnasingham 1977). The soil cation exchange capacity (CEC) was determined using the ammonium acetate method at pH7.00 (Chapman 1965). The soil was mixed with an excess amount of 1 M ammonium acetate (NH4OAc) to cause an exchange of cations available in the soil. The amount of exchangeable ammonium was determined by distillation and titration using the Automatic Distillation Unit (VELP Scientifica VDK 149).

The soil organic carbon was determined using the Walkley-Black chromic acid and wet oxidation method (McLeod 1973). About 1 N of K2Cr2O7 solution was used to oxidize the oxidizable matter in the soil. The reaction was assisted by the heat generated from the 2:1 ratio mixture of H2SO4 and dichromate. The excess dichromate was titrated with ferrous sulphate and this titre was used to indicate the amount of carbon present in the soil sample.

The total bacterial count was determined using the standard spread-plate method (Seeley & Vandemark 1981). One g of the soil sample (dry weight equivalent) in 10 mL of sterile water was used to prepare a soil suspension (1 g in 10 mL sterile water). The soil suspension was then diluted serially (ten-fold) for estimation of the total bacterial count by the standard spread-plate dilution. Nutrient agar (NA) containing 0.015% (w/v) nystatin was used for bacterial isolation and the data was expressed as colony forming units (CFU) per gram of dry soil.

GLASSHOUSE EXPERIMENTS
A preliminary test was conducted and it showed that the best combination of S-metolachlor (S-meto)-treated oil palm frond mulch (OPF) at a ratio of 40:60, provided synergistic action (Lim 2015). This combination was therefore further examined in the experiment. S-metolachlor was applied at a suboptimal rate when combined with the oil palm frond mulch (compared to the recommended rate of S-metolachlor @ 150 g ai ha⁻¹).

A total of 20 glufosinate-resistant goosegrass seeds were sown evenly onto 75 g of the Kangkung or Rhu Tapai soil samples contained in plastic cups with holes at the bottom for drainage. On the day after sowing, the S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond mulch was applied evenly on the soil surface at the following rates: 8.0 g ai ha⁻¹ S-meto + 1.0 t ha⁻¹ OPF, 12.0 g ai ha⁻¹ S-meto + 1.5 t ha⁻¹ OPF, 16.0 g ai ha⁻¹ S-meto + 2.0 t ha⁻¹ OPF under glasshouse conditions. The glasshouse conditions were maintained at relative humidity of 85%, temperature 35-38°C, a 12 h photoperiod and light intensity @ 800-1000 µm mol m⁻² s⁻¹. The experiment was repeated using seeds of glyphosate-, fluzifop-, and paraquat-resistant biotypes of goosegrass. A complete randomized design with five replications was used. The goosegrass seedling emergence was counted while shoot fresh weight was determined 30 days after treatment. Seedlings were considered emerged when the shoot length was >2 mm. All data was expressed as percentages of the control.

FIELD EXPERIMENTS
The experiment was initiated from 11th November 2014 to 10th February 2015 at an ambarella orchard located at Kampung Coldstream in Bidor, Perak (4°02’N, 101°14’E). The trees were planted in September 2012 at a planting distance of 1.5 × 1.5 m and 2.0 m between rows. This area was 80% infested with the glyphosate-resistant biotype of goosegrass (Lim 2015). The experimental area consisted of 3 rows of the ambarella trees (15 m length × 3 m width), corresponding to 60 ambarella trees in an area of 45 m². Meteorological data at the experimental site is shown in Table 1.

Plots measuring 0.8 m² circular area with 1 m diameter were established under the canopy of the ambarella trees. The experimental areas were sprayed with a combination of glyphosate and clodherim at their respective recommended rates to eliminate emerging weedy plants at the tree base. Two weeks after herbicide application, the areas were cleared manually by hand weeding and flattened while weedy plants growing in between rows were controlled using a weed cutter before the treatments were applied at the areas below ambarella trees. A total of five treatments including the untreated plots were arranged in a randomized completed block design (RCBD) with three replications as follows: (T5) control: where the plots were not sprayed, (T3) chemical control: where the pre-emergence application

| TABLE 1. Meteorological data at the experimental site from November 2014 to Jan 2015 |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Average monthly rainfall (mm)| Nov. 2014 | Dis. 2014 | Jan. 2015 |
| Minimum temperature (°C)      | 22.8   | 22.9   | 21.6   |
| Maximum temperature (°C)      | 32.7   | 31.7   | 33.4   |
| Average temperature (°C)      | 27.8   | 27.3   | 27.5   |
| No. of rainy days (Day)        | 22     | 23     | 16     |
| Highest 24 h rainfall (mm)    | 111.2  | 32.0   | 34.2   |
of S-metolachlor at a rate of 32 g ai ha⁻¹ was carried out using a compression sprayer with a flat-fan nozzle, delivering a spraying volume of 450 L ha⁻¹ at 200 kPa. (T4): pre-emergence application of oil palm frond residue (OPF) as mulch at 4 t ha⁻¹. (T1): pre-emergence application of S-metolachlor-treated OPF residue mulch at the rate of 16 g ai ha⁻¹ S-metolachlor mixed with 2 t ha⁻¹ OPF mulch and (T2): pre-emergence application of S-metolachlor-treated OPF mulch at a rate of 32 g ai ha⁻¹ S-metolachlor and 4 t ha⁻¹ OPF mulch. For T1, T2 and T4 treatments, water was applied using a compression sprayer to deliver 470 mL of water per tree onto the soil surface of 0.8 m² circular areas (before the application of the mulch).

Weed density and dry weight of goosegrass were determined at two months after each treatment by placing two quadrats (0.25 × 0.25 m) in each plot. The weed samples were washed with tap water and counted to obtain weed density. The dry weight was determined after the weed samples were dried in the glasshouse for three weeks. All treatments were applied at the circular areas from the base of the ambarella tree.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The data of glasshouse experiments were tested for the normality and homogeneity of variance before being subjected to the two-way analysis of variance, ANOVA (SPSS version 16 for Windows, SPSS Inc., 233 South Wacker Dr., Chicago, IL 60606). The arcsine square root and log transformations were performed on percentage data of emergence and shoot fresh weight for the glyphosate-resistant biotypes, respectively. Then the data were subjected to Tukey’s test for comparison of means at the 5% level of significance. The ED₅₀ values were estimated from the graphs plotted.

The data of the field experiments were subjected to the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Since the block factor was not significantly different, data were pooled and combined. The data were checked for homogeneity of the variance test before being subjected to the one-way ANOVA. Log transformation was performed on plant density and dry weight data before being subjected to the one-way ANOVA. Tukey’s test was carried out to compare means of treatments at the 5% level of significance.

**RESULTS**

**PHYTOTOXIC EFFECTS OF S-METOLACHLOR-TREATED OIL PALM FROND RESIDUE TO HERBICIDE-RESISTANT BIOTYPES OF GOOSEGRASS IN DIFFERENT SOIL TYPES**

The soil analysis showed that the Kangkung series is categorized as silty loam soil (21.8% clay, 52.6% silt and 25.6% sand), while the Rhu Tapai series is classified as sandy loam soil (3.2% clay, 30.0% silt and 66.8% sand). The value of the cation exchange capacity (C.E.C) was two-fold higher in the Kangkung series compared to that in the Rhu Tapai series, while the total bacterial count of the Kangkung series was two times lower than that of the Rhu Tapai series. The soil pH of the Kangkung series was 4.0 which are more acidic than the soil pH of 5.2 of the Rhu Tapai series. Besides, the respective organic carbon levels of the Kangkung and Rhu Tapai series were 1.6 and 2.7%.

The analysis showed that the soil samples collected from the ambarella farm were loamy soil, which consisted of 43.3% clay, 16.6% silt and 40.1% sand. The other properties such as 3.2% organic carbon, 5.5 meq g⁻¹ C.E.C. and pH 6.0 were almost similar to those of Rhu Tapai soil series, except for the bacterial content (3.4 × 10⁶ CFU g⁻¹) which was 390 fold higher than that in the Rhu Tapai soil series (Table 2).

The S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond mulch as rated-by-soil-series interaction was significant in glufosinate-, glyphosate-, and fluazifop-resistant biotypes of goosegrass (Figures 1 & 2). In general, all the resistant biotypes of goosegrass exhibited higher inhibitory effects when treated with S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond mulch in the Rhu Tapai soil series compared to those exhibited by the plants in the Kangkung soil series. The ED₅₀ values of the seedling emergence of glyphosate-, glufosinate-or fluazifop-resistant biotypes of goosegrass in the Kangkung series ranged from 13 to 15 g ai ha⁻¹ S-metolachlor + 1.6 to 1.9 t ha⁻¹ oil palm frond mulch (Figure 1). Higher suppressive effects were seen in the Rhu Tapai series where lower ED₅₀ values of only 9 to 11 g ai ha⁻¹ S-metolachlor + 1.2 to 1.4 t ha⁻¹ oil palm frond mulch were needed (Figure 1).

In the present study, the suppressive effect of S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond mulch on the glufosinate-resistant biotype of goosegrass was extremely poor when grown in the Kangkung soil series (Figure 2(a)).

**TABLE 2. Physico-chemical characteristics, texture and microbial analyses of the Kangkung and Rhu Tapai soil series**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Kangkung series</th>
<th>Rhu Tapai series</th>
<th>Bidor series</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil texture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay, %</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt, %</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand, %</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>76.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon, %</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cation exchange capacity, meq 100 g⁻¹</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bacteria count, CFU g⁻¹</td>
<td>4.6 × 10³</td>
<td>8.7 × 10³</td>
<td>3.4 × 10⁶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations: meq, milliequivalents; CFU, colony forming units*
This finding suggested that the S-metolachlor may have been applied frequently in the previous years before the glufosinate-resistant biotypes of goosegrass seeds were collected from the vegetable farms. As a consequence, the glufosinate-resistant biotypes may have developed resistance to S-metolachlor. The rate required to provide ED$_{50}$ of the seedling growth of this goosegrass biotype could not be achieved even when the plants were subjected to the highest rate of S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond mulch. Meanwhile the glyphosate -and fluazifop-resistant biotypes of goosegrass (Figure 2(b) and 2(c)) required about 12-14 g ai ha$^{-1}$ S-metolachlor + 1.5-1.7 t ha$^{-1}$ oil palm frond residue to provide the same level of inhibition. When tested on the Rhu Tapai soil series, the ED$_{50}$ of the glufosinate-resistant biotype of goosegrass was achieved at 10 g ai ha$^{-1}$ S-metolachlor + 1.25 t ha$^{-1}$ oil palm frond residue while the glyphosate -and fluazifop-resistant biotypes of goosegrass required 6-7 g ai ha$^{-1}$ S-metolachlor + 0.8-0.9 t ha$^{-1}$ oil palm frond residue to provide the same suppression.

Since no S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond mulch rate-by-soil-series interaction was observed in the seedling emergence (Figure 3) and growth (Figure 4) of the paraquat-resistant biotype of goosegrass, data were pooled together and the main effects presented. It was noted that 16 g ai ha$^{-1}$ S-metolachlor + 2.0 t ha$^{-1}$ oil palm frond mulch gave strong inhibition, with goosegrass seedling emergence and shoot fresh weight being reduced approximately by 80-85% on the average across the soil series. In comparison, no significant difference was
observed in shoot fresh weight of goosegrass (60-65% of non-treated plants) when subjected to 8 g ai ha$^{-1}$ S-metolachlor + 1.0 t ha$^{-1}$ oil palm frond mulch and 12 g ai ha$^{-1}$ S-metolachlor + 1.5 t ha$^{-1}$ oil palm frond mulch although 12 g ai ha$^{-1}$ S-metolachlor + 1.5 t ha$^{-1}$ oil palm frond mulch treatment provided higher inhibition of goosegrass seedling emergence (compared to 8 g ai ha$^{-1}$ S-metolachlor + 1.0 t ha$^{-1}$ oil palm frond mulch treatment). For the average S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond mulch rate, seedling emergence (Figure 3(b)) and shoot fresh weight (Figure 4(b)) of goosegrass sown in Rhu Tapai soil (15-35% of the non-treated plants) were lower compared to those in Kangkung soil (45% of the non-treated plants).

**FIGURE 2.** Pre-emergence application of S-metolachlor (S-meto) - treated oil palm frond mulch (OPF) on the shoot fresh weight of glufosinate-(A), glyphosate-(B) and fluazifop-(C) resistant biotypes of goosegrass in the kangkung (—) and Rhu Tapai (⋯⋯⋯⋯⋯⋯) soil series. Vertical bars represent standard deviation (SD) of the mean.

**PHYTOTOXIC EFFECT OF S-METOLACHLOR-TREATED OIL PALM FROND RESIDUE TO GLYPHOSATE-RESISTANT BIOTYPES OF GOOSEGRASS IN THE FIELD**

Research conducted under field conditions provides more practical information on the efficacy of weed management employing S-metolachlor-treated mulch. It is interesting to note that plots which received 32.0 g ai ha$^{-1}$ S-metolachlor + 4.0 t ha$^{-1}$ oil palm frond residue treatments provided the highest suppressive effect on goosegrass emergence and growth. This was followed by a single application of S-metolachlor at 32.0 g ai ha$^{-1}$ which had higher inhibition on goosegrass seedlings compared to that from the rate of 16.0 g ai ha$^{-1}$ S-metolachlor + 2.0 t ha$^{-1}$ oil palm frond residue. However, no significant difference was observed...
FIGURE 3. Main effects of S-metolachlor (S-meto) - treated oil palm frond (OPF) (A) mulches and soil series (B) on seedling emergence of paraquat- resistant biotypes of goosegrass one month after treatment

Vertical bars indicate the standard deviation (SD) of the mean. Means followed by similar alphabets indicate has no significant difference at $p \leq 0.05$ as determined by the Tukey test.
between the untreated plot and plots subjected to oil palm frond mulch alone on goosegrass seedling emergence and biomass (Figure 5). Surprisingly, a combination rate of 16.0 g ai ha⁻¹ + 2.0 t ha⁻¹ S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond mulch gave moderate control of the glyphosate-resistant biotypes of goosegrass although this treatment provided excellent control of the various types of herbicide-resistant biotypes of goosegrass under glasshouse conditions.

**DISCUSSION**

The Rhu Tapai soil series which has lower clay content and cation exchange capacity but higher pH value (Table 2) might have been responsible for more S-metolachlor molecules being made available for plant uptake, thus leading to a better suppression of goosegrass emergence and seedling growth. Westra et al. (2014) showed that, an Oleyney fine sandy loam soil with low clay content was able to retain >90% of S-metolachlor in 7.5 cm of the soil profile. Soil pH plays an important role in herbicide sorption. The decrement of soil pH will cause an increment of herbicide sorption on the soil. This is due to the modification of the polar or ionic herbicide charges and/or the decrease of the variable charges of the soil constituents, favouring the adsorption of non-polar herbicides (Barriuso & Calvet 1992; Grey et al. 1997). S-metolachlor has been reported to have $K_{oc}$ 74.4 and $K_d$ 2.157 mLg⁻¹ when applied on sandy loam soil with 9.2% of clay and pH 5.9. Further increase of the pH value will result in lower $K_{oc}$ and $K_d$ values which indicate a much lower sorption potential of S-metolachlor in the soil (Boger et al. 2000).

The reduced efficacy of S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond residues in the field may be attributed to heavy rainfall after treatment (Table 1). Field experiments carried out in the present study were conducted from November 2014 to January 2015. High rainfall of 111 mm in November 2014 may have accelerated the leaching and run off potential of S-metolachlor when the herbicide molecules were slow-released from the oil palm frond residue mulch. This result is supported by previous findings where the shorter the interval between rainfall and the S-metolachlor application, the greater the herbicide is exported (Caron et al. 2012; Rector et al. 2003; Southwick et al. 2009). Furthermore, 2 t ha⁻¹ oil palm frond residue mulch with a relatively fine-texture may not be sufficient to stay intact in the soil and can be easily washed away by

![FIGURE 5. Pre-emergence application of S- metolachlor (S-meto) -treated oil palm frond (OPF) mulch, S-metolachlor and oil palm frond mulch on density (A) and dry weight (B) of glyphosate- resistant biotype of goosegrass at the ambarella farm two months after treatment.](image)

Vertical bars represent the standard deviation (SD) of the mean. Mean of treatments followed by a similar alphabet indicates no significant difference at $p \leq 0.05$ as determined by the Tukey test.
heavy rainfall. A field experiment conducted by Somireddy (2011) found that hardwood mulch of relatively fine-texture and smaller average particle size than pine nuggets was easily washed away by heavy rainfall. Billeaud and Zajicek (1989) showed that the mulch particle size would influence weed control, where the small-sized particles gave the poorest weed control during the rainy season. Another experiment conducted by Case and Mathers (2006) documented that there was higher suppression of weeds with the combination of herbicide and pine nuggets compared to that from the combination of hardwood mulch plus herbicides. It is likely that 16.0 g ai ha\(^{-1}\) + 2.0 t ha\(^{-1}\) S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond mulch hardly stayed intact at the soil surface for a long period of time during the wet season compared to that during the dry season, to suppress goosegrass growth and emergence.

A study on the degradation and leaching potential of metolachlor has shown that metolachlor molecules are relatively mobile in soil and categorized as the ‘transient leacher’ (Caracciolo et al. 2005). It was found that the matrix flow and preferential flow phenomena through soil macropores may help metolachlor to reach the deeper layers of the soil. Potential groundwater contamination may occur if the degradation phenomena at the soil surface do not significantly reduce their concentration (Caracciolo et al. 2005). Ng and Clegg (1997) have indicated that the variations of herbicide losses in the runoff components are largely influenced by the magnitude and occurrence time of rainfall during high intensity rainfall. For instance, 30-60 mm of rainfall occurring in two different days resulted in a massive loss of 3,000-8,000 mg of metolachlor. This may explain the lower efficacy of 32.0 g ai ha\(^{-1}\) S-metolachlor application alone as compared to that of 32.0 g ai ha\(^{-1}\) + 4.0 t ha\(^{-1}\) S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond mulch in reducing goosegrass density and dry weight under field conditions in the present study.

Furthermore, the total bacterial count of soil samples collected from the ambarella farm showed that the bacterial population is 740-and 390-folds higher than that in the Kangkung and Rhu Tapai soil series (Table 2). Hence, it is likely that reduced efficacy of 16.0 g ai ha\(^{-1}\) + 2.0 t ha\(^{-1}\) S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond mulch and a single application of S-metolachlor at the rate of 32.0 g ai ha\(^{-1}\) on the inhibition of goosegrass emergence and dry weight was due to the degradation of S-metolachlor by the soil microbes. The ability of soil microbes to degrade metolachlor has been proven by some researchers (Accinelli et al. 2001; Ma et al. 2006). For example, Candida xestobii and Bacillus simplex sampled from silty-clay soil were able to degrade 60 and 30% of the added metolachlor, respectively, after 4 and 5 days of growth in a medium (Munoz et al. 2011). Another study demonstrated that the soil microorganisms were able to mineralize metolachlor by using it as a source of carbon (Locke & Harper 1991).

Some of the previous studies have indicated that the presence of soil organic matter may reduce the bioavailability of herbicides in the soil (Wu et al. 2011) through their adsorption to the organic carbon (Weishaar et al. 2003). Likewise, soil organic carbon also plays an important role in S-metolachlor adsorption. Previous studies have shown that degradation of herbicides in soils with high organic matter and soil moisture was faster than that in soils with low organic matter, due to the presence of higher levels of microbial activity in the high organic matter soils (Bolan & Baskaran 1996; James et al. 2002). Bedmar et al. (2011) observed a 1.78 fold higher adsorption of S-metolachlor in the soil with 4.4% organic carbon compared to soil with 0.2% organic carbon. Based on the results of soil analysis, organic carbon in the soil samples collected from the ambarella field was about 3.2% while organic carbon of the Kangkung soil series used in the glasshouse study was 1.6%. This may explain the reduced efficacy of 16.0 g ai ha\(^{-1}\) + 2.0 t ha\(^{-1}\) S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond mulch due to higher adsorption of S-metolachlor in soil at the ambarella fields, thereby reducing the bioavailability of S-metolachlor for goosegrass uptake.

Various factors have been proposed above to explain the reduced performance of 16.0 g ai ha\(^{-1}\) + 2.0 t ha\(^{-1}\) S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond mulch and 32.0 g ai ha\(^{-1}\) of S-metolachlor alone with the absence of oil palm frond mulch. Interestingly, when the application rate was doubled in the presence of oil palm frond residue, control of the glyphosate-resistant biotype of goosegrass improved. The treatment of 32.0 g ai ha\(^{-1}\) S-metolachlor + 4.0 t ha\(^{-1}\) oil palm frond mulch performed better with high rainfall intensity, whereby 85-95% of the goosegrass density and dry weight was reduced compared to that at zero treatment. Apparently, the confounding factor in reducing the efficacy of this treatment in the presence of rainfall is negligible; in contrast, the rainfall appeared to increase the S-metolachlor bioavailability in the soil for goosegrass uptake. Somireddy (2011) also reported that after the application of trifluralin + isoxaben-treated pine nuggets at the experimental site, heavy rainfall caused more herbicides to be dislodged from the pine nuggets into the soil, thus improving weed suppression compared to that obtained at low rainfall intensity. On the other hand, Simmons and Derr (2007) have demonstrated that more pendimethalin was released from pendimethalin-treated pine bark after regular application of irrigation water. The researcher stated that the herbicide was highly available at the soil surface and could possibly increase weed control effectiveness. The application rate of 32.0 g ai ha\(^{-1}\) S-metolachlor + 4.0 t ha\(^{-1}\) oil palm frond combination may be able to stay intact in the soil longer without reduction of its efficacy on goosegrass control. Furthermore, the higher rate of oil palm frond residue of 4.0 t ha\(^{-1}\) may provide thicker soil cover and help reduce run off and leaching of S-metolachlor more effectively during the rainy season. Aforementioned previous studies have reported that a higher rate of crop residue suppressed weed emergence and growth more effectively through reduction of light transmittance (Teasdale & Mohler 1993) and this has proven to be significantly effective against goosegrass because goosegrass germination is highly dependent on light (Chauhan & Johnson 2008).
A previous study conducted to compare the herbicide bioavailability in paper pulp and sawdust showed that the crop suffered greater injury when alachlor or chlorpropham was applied on the sawdust (46% organic carbon), compared to that on the paper pulp (22% organic carbon) as the planting medium (James 2008). The reason could be that sawdust is usually in a raw, undecomposed state and is comprised mainly of relatively big particles of cellulose with very low surface area to mass ratio whereas paper pulp is much more degraded as the cellulose has been broken down during the chemical processes of paper making. Paper pulp with 22% organic carbon as planting medium is believed to provide a longer binding site for herbicide adsorption and hence a higher tolerance level of the herbicide on the crop was observed. Similarly, in the present study, the oil palm frond residue with 52.28% organic carbon content (Sukiran et al. 2009) was found to enhance the S-metolachlor activity in the soil because the oil palm frond residue was in a raw, undecomposed state and comprised mostly of relatively big particles of cellulose even though the residue had been ground to powder form. As a result, the oil palm frond residue could not reduce the bioavailability of S-metolachlor in the soil, but most likely acted as a slow release carrier for the S-metolachlor.

The application of 4.0 t ha$^{-1}$ of oil palm frond mulch alone did not provide better control of goosegrass under field conditions although at the same rate it was able to provide more than 90% inhibition of goosegrass emergence under glasshouse conditions (Figure 4(a) and 4(b)). When compared to the untreated plot, the reduction of goosegrass density and dry weight was insignificant when treated with 4.0 t ha$^{-1}$ of oil palm frond mulch under field conditions. The poor performance of the oil palm frond residue alone might be due to the presence of confounding factors such as rainfall (Somireddy 2011) and wind (Athy et al. 2006). Furthermore, the occurrence of rain droplets may have caused uneven distribution of the mulch. Some of the goosegrass plants might have escaped from being covered by mulch and this could have led to lower efficacy of the control (Buhler 1995; Chauhan et al. 2006). Chauhan and Abuigho (2012) and Chauhan et al. (2012) have reported that, the use of crop residue alone as mulch will not provide a complete and long term control of weeds and therefore, alternative strategies by integrating herbicide into the residue has been suggested.

**CONCLUSION**

It can be concluded that the emergence and seedling growth of goosegrass plants were greatly suppressed when treated with S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond mulch at 12 g ai ha$^{-1}$ S-meto + 1.5 t ha$^{-1}$ oil palm frond residue (OPF), regardless of the biotype of goosegrass used, with the exception of the glufosinate-resistant biotypes of goosegrass in the Rhu Tapai soil series and those in the Kangkung soil series under glasshouse conditions. Field experiments in an ambaraella farm further showed that S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond mulch at the rate of 32.0 g ai ha$^{-1}$ S-meto + 4.0 t ha$^{-1}$ OPF had the highest suppressive effects on glyphosate-resistant biotypes of goosegrass, compared to those caused by 16.0 g ai ha$^{-1}$ S-meto + 2.0 t ha$^{-1}$ OPF. By doubling the rate of the S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond mulch to 32.0 g ai ha$^{-1}$ + 4.0 t ha$^{-1}$ better inhibition of goosegrass was obtained. Nevertheless, the rate of 16.0 g ai ha$^{-1}$ S-meto + 2.0 t ha$^{-1}$ OPF still gave good control of goosegrass with 66 and 87% reduction of weed density and biomass, respectively.

Further research is needed to determine the role of OPF when applied in combination with S-metolachlor. It is hypothesized that the OPF residue may act as a slow release carrier for S-metolachlor or the presence of various allelochemicals in the OPF may act synergistically with S-metolachlor in suppressing the goosegrass emergence and growth. This information is essential for the development of an alternative approach whereby less chemical inputs are used but more effective weed control is achieved in orchards, vegetable farms, nurseries of ornamental plants, potted plants and landscaped areas. Application of the S-metolachlor-treated oil palm frond residue at the canopy plot area of ornamental plants at landscaped areas and gardens is recommended as an alternative to hand weeding which is labour intensive and time consuming. Besides, allelochemicals present in the OPF residue is could possibly enhance the performance of S-metolachlor in combination with OPF and the discovery of allelochemicals in the OPF residue may help in the development of a novel and environmental-friendly natural herbicide obtained from transforming agricultural waste into wealth and creating a better environment for human society.
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