Effect of Salinities on Gastric Emptying and Nutrient Absorption of Tiger Grouper × Giant Grouper (Epinephelus fuscoguttatus × E. lanceolatus) Hybrid

(Kesan Kemasinan terhadap Pengosongan Gastrik dan Penyerapan Nutrienn pada Hibrid Kerapu Harimau × Kerapu Kertang (Epinephelus fuscoguttatus × E. lanceolatus))

ABSTRACT

The effects of salinity on the gastric emptying time (GET) and absorption of nutrient along the alimentary tract of tiger grouper (TG) × giant grouper (GG) (Epinephelus fuscoguttatus × E. lanceolatus) hybrid were studied. Juveniles TG×GG hybrid grouper (10.0 ± 0.5 cm total length; 50.5 ± 2.0 g) were reared in different salinities (10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 parts per thousand (ppt)) and fed with commercial pellet diet during the 60-day experimental period. The fish were then slaughtered sequentially at different time intervals after initial feeding to obtain GET. Our results showed that low salinity (10-20 ppt) lead to a shorter GET in the hybrid grouper. The shortest and longest GETs were observed in 15 ppt (12 h) and 30 ppt (18 h) treatments, respectively. Apparent digestibility coefficient (ADC) using ash contents was measured to determine the absorption of nutrient in each treatment. The absorption of macronutrient in TG×GG hybrid grouper was increased as the salinity decreased. The highest absorption occurred in 15 ppt (72% protein, 75% lipid, 68% carbohydrate and 74% energy) while the lowest absorption occurred in 30 ppt (59% protein, 64% lipid, 34% carbohydrate and 55% energy). The findings of this study suggested that 15 ppt salinity facilitates faster digestion and maximize the nutrient absorption of TG×GG hybrid and may enhance the growth rate of this newly developed grouper species.
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INTRODUCTION

Salinity affects the feed intake, feed requirements, feed conversion ratio, digestibility and other physiological functions in fish (Sutthinon et al. 2015). Studies showed that a significant effect on digestibility conditioned to different salinities are observed in different fish species such as milkfish Chanos chanos (Feraris et al. 1986), gilthead sea bream Sparus aurata (Conides et al. 1997) and black bream Acanthopagrus butcheri (Partridgen & Jenkins 2002). As many of the world’s cultured species are euryhaline, information on the effects of salinity on nutritional physiology can therefore assist on the understanding of the physiology of euryhaline fish species such as groupers.

Groupers are among the cultivable marine fish which are considered to grow better in the euryhaline environment especially during juvenile stages (Sutthinon et al. 2015). Studies have been carried on the effects of salinity on the growth of grouper species such as, the optimum salinity for better growth of giant grouper E. lanceolatus was 15
- 25 ppt (Singhabun & Kummee 2015) and orange spotted grouper, *Epinephelus coioides* was 12 - 18 ppt (Su-jiu et al. 2011). However, research on the salinity effects on growth and digestion physiology of newly developed TG×GG hybrid grouper is yet to be explored.

Alimentary tract plays a critical role in the acquisition of food with subsequent absorption of important nutrients (Eusebio et al. 2004). In general, digestion studies involved the measurement of the time for complete gastric emptying and absorption of different nutrients (Wetherbee et al. 1987). This depend on several factors such as fish size, water temperature, feeding frequency, food quantity and quality as well as salinity (Speczjar 2002; Temming & Herrmann 2001; Wunschel & Werner 2004). Determination of gastric emptying in cultivable fish species is important for aquaculture, where estimation of species’ food consumption is needed for management purposes. Also, studies on the food passage through the alimentary tract plays an important role for the development and formulation of an efficient food supply and dietary for captive and cultured species.

Therefore, this study was conducted to investigate the effects of salinity in the GET of TG×GG hybrid grouper juvenile. This was done by collecting data on the nutrient absorption along the alimentary tract of TG×GG hybrid grouper cultured in different salinities (10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 ppt). This investigation will provide the first account of some basic parameters of digestion physiology in TG×GG hybrid grouper.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**SAMPLE COLLECTION AND EXPERIMENTAL SETUP**

TG×GG hybrid grouper (*N* = 225, length = 10.0±0.5 cm, weight=50.5±2.0 g) was transported from a local hatchery of Banting, Selangor (2°'0"N, 101°'0"E) to the marine farm in different salinities (10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 ppt). The diet and alimentary tract of fish were weighed to the nearest mg and further analyzed according to methods by AOAC (1995). The alimentary tract of TG×GG hybrid grouper (typical carnivorous type; shorter comprises with pyloric caeca) were then removed and cut into four sections, namely stomach, anterior intestine, mid intestine and posterior intestine in order to test the food movement and nutrient absorption effectively (Firdaus-Nawi et al. 2013). Following that, the contents were placed into sample container (50 mL) and homogenized before kept at −16°C and freeze dried at −60°C for three days (Christ Alpha 1–2 L Dplus, Shropshire, UK). The analysis was performed on three samples (replicates) from the alimentary tract. For moisture content, the measurement was done by calculating the weight loss after the samples were kept in the freezer (Christ Alpha 1–2 L Dplus, Shropshire, UK) at −40 to −60°C for 3 – 4 days depending on the size of the samples. For moisture content, the measurement was done by calculating the weight loss after the samples were kept in the freezer (Christ Alpha 1–2 L Dplus, Shropshire, UK) at −40 to −60°C for 3 – 4 days depending on the size of the samples. The dried samples were ground to a homogenous powder using a mortar and pestle and kept in small glass vials in desiccators for further biochemical analyses. The inorganic matter or ash content of each part of gut samples was calculated as the weight difference between the initial and final dry weight of the sample. Total nitrogen content of diet and gut content was determined using a CHNS analyzer (EAGER 300, Thermo Finnigan, Italy). Protein content was calculated from nitrogen content multiplied by the factor of 6.25 (Jones 1931). The lipid content of diet and gut content was measured by petroleum ether extraction using a Soxtec System (2055 Soxtec Avanti; Foss Teacator, Hoganas, Sweden). Carbohydrate levels of dry weight were calculated by the formula following Xin et al. (2008):
Carbohydrate (%) = 100 % - (protein% + lipid % + ash %)  

Energy content (kJ g⁻¹) (gross energy, GE) of the samples was determined by bomb calorimeter (IKAR C200 Calorimeter System; IKAR Werke GmbH & Co. KG, Staufen, Germany). Absorption of nutrient in the four sections along the alimentary tract was calculated by the apparent digestibility coefficient (ADC):

$$ADC(\%) = 100\% \times [1 - (Ash_a: Nutrient_a + Ash_b: Nutrient_b)]$$

based on ash content of samples collected from adjacent segments of the alimentary tract (Das et al. 2014; Maynard et al. 1979).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All nutrient data, except for experimental meal, were tested for normality (Anderson-Darling test) and homogeneity of variances (Levene test) using Minitab statistical software version 14. Data were found to be normally distributed, therefore, one-way ANOVA tests were employed for multiple comparisons of means. To compare nutrient concentrations in experimental meals and feces, a Mann-Whitney test was used to account for the small sample size. The level of significance used was at $p=0.05$.

RESULTS

GASTRIC EMPTYING TIME EXPERIMENT

GET decreased as the salinity increased from 25 to 30 ppt (Figure 1). The faster gastric emptying was observed at 15 ppt which takes 12 h to completely digest the food item. On the other hand, the longest gastric emptying occurred at 30 ppt where fish completely digested the food after 24 h.

NUTRIENT ABSORPTION

Experimental food pellets contained less ash (39.2%) and moisture (9.4%) (Table 1) than faeces of fish reared in different salinities 10, 15 and 20 ppt and 25 to 30 ppt salinity (Table 2). Meanwhile, stomach contents of fish reared in all salinities contained less ash content than anterior and posterior intestines (Table 3). The ash content increases from stomach towards the posterior intestine with the significantly ($p<0.05$) highest in 15 ppt (36.8%) salinity while the lowest in 30 ppt (33.1%). In addition, ash content increased progressively towards posterior intestine in decreasing salinity with the significantly ($p<0.05$) highest in 15 ppt (56.2%) and lowest in 30 ppt (52.5%). It was also observed that the moisture content decreased significantly ($p<0.05$) with increasing salinity with the significantly lowest in 15 ppt (41.05%) while the significantly highest in 30 ppt (44.5%) (Table 3).

Protein was the largest organic matter present in the experimental pellet (42.24%), with less lipid (11.1%) and low carbohydrate level (0.50%). In faeces of TG×GG hybrid grouper cultured in different salinities, the values for protein and lipid were reduced (Table 2). Stomach contents of TG×GG hybrid grouper cultured in different salinities contained higher protein and lipid content than the intestine (Table 3) with the significantly ($p<0.05$) highest protein content in 15 ppt (50.1%) and significantly ($p<0.05$) lowest in 30 ppt (41.9%). Carbohydrate fractions were normally <1% of dry mass for all portions of the alimentary tract of TG×GG hybrid grouper cultured in different salinities (Table 3).

The highest energy content was observed in faeces of TG×GG hybrid cultured in 15 ppt (12.8 kJ/g) and the lowest was observed in 30 ppt (10.3 kJ/g) (Table 2). This trend was also observed in the energy content for different portions of the gut in TG×GG hybrid grouper cultured in different salinities (Table 3).

For TG×GG hybrid grouper cultured in 15 ppt, approximately 15% protein, 35% total lipids and 25% carbohydrate appeared to have been absorbed in passage between the stomach and the anterior intestine (Figure 2(A)-2(C)). An apparent negative absorption between samples from the stomach and anterior intestine was observed for carbohydrate analyzed (Figure 2(A)-2(C)). In the passage of the remaining nutrients from mid-intestine to posterior intestine, approximately 40% protein, 50% total lipids and 40% carbohydrate were absorbed (Figure 2(A)-2(C)). Meanwhile, for TG×GG hybrid grouper cultured in 30

### TABLE 1. Nutrient analysis (mean ± SE) of experimental pellet used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ash (%)</th>
<th>Moisture (%)</th>
<th>Protein (%)</th>
<th>Lipid (%)</th>
<th>Carbohydrate (%)</th>
<th>Energy (kJ/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39.2±0.15</td>
<td>9.4±0.33</td>
<td>42.24±0.75</td>
<td>11.1±0.37</td>
<td>0.50±0.01</td>
<td>14.6±0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 1. Gastric emptying time (h) in TG×GG hybrid grouper (±SE, n=6) at five different salinities (ppt)
TABLE 2. Nutrient analysis (mean ± SE; n=6) of faeces of TGxGG hybrid in different salinities. Mean values within the same column having the same superscript are not significantly different (p>0.05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salinity (ppt)</th>
<th>Ash (%)</th>
<th>Moisture (%)</th>
<th>Protein (%)</th>
<th>Lipid (%)</th>
<th>Carbohydrate (%)</th>
<th>Energy (kJ/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>53.2±0.30b</td>
<td>67.2±0.5b</td>
<td>23.0±0.30b</td>
<td>3.6±0.17b</td>
<td>0.53±0.04a</td>
<td>12.7±0.5b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>52.5±0.2b</td>
<td>67.0±0.5b</td>
<td>23.5±0.33b</td>
<td>4.0±0.22b</td>
<td>0.55±0.05b</td>
<td>12.8±0.48b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>53.1±0.2b</td>
<td>67.0±0.4b</td>
<td>23.3±0.31b</td>
<td>3.8±0.23b</td>
<td>0.55±0.04b</td>
<td>12.5±0.48b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>55.8±0.35c</td>
<td>68.5±0.22c</td>
<td>21.0±0.40c</td>
<td>3.0±0.25c</td>
<td>0.51±0.03b</td>
<td>10.6±0.36c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>56.2±0.28c</td>
<td>69.0±0.75c</td>
<td>20.6±0.37c</td>
<td>2.8±0.30c</td>
<td>0.50±0.02c</td>
<td>10.3±0.40c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 3. Nutrient analysis of digesta (% dry weight ±SE) along the alimentary tract section. Mean values (n=6) within the same column of a given salinity having the same superscript are not significantly different (p>0.05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salinity (ppt)</th>
<th>Ash (%)</th>
<th>Moisture (%)</th>
<th>Protein (%)</th>
<th>Lipid (%)</th>
<th>Carbohydrate (%)</th>
<th>Total energy (kJ/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) 10 ppt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>35.0±0.45a</td>
<td>69.5±0.15a</td>
<td>45.4±0.25a</td>
<td>10.7±0.55a</td>
<td>0.55±0.01a</td>
<td>17.0±0.35a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid intestine</td>
<td>47.8±0.44a</td>
<td>50.3±0.15a</td>
<td>37.8±0.40a</td>
<td>7.5±0.28a</td>
<td>0.50±0.02a</td>
<td>14.0±0.40a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior intestine</td>
<td>54.2±0.50a</td>
<td>42.6±0.23a</td>
<td>27.6±0.55a</td>
<td>5.0±0.58a</td>
<td>0.42±0.02a</td>
<td>9.5±0.40a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) 15 ppt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>36.8±0.45b</td>
<td>67.5±0.60b</td>
<td>50.1±0.25b</td>
<td>11.6±0.25b</td>
<td>0.62±0.02b</td>
<td>18.2±0.25b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior intestine</td>
<td>40.4±0.42b</td>
<td>58.9±0.55b</td>
<td>46.4±0.15b</td>
<td>10.0±0.25b</td>
<td>0.65±0.03b</td>
<td>16.8±0.24b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid intestine</td>
<td>48.7±0.51b</td>
<td>52.3±0.53b</td>
<td>45.7±0.28b</td>
<td>8.3±0.33b</td>
<td>0.70±0.03b</td>
<td>15.7±0.25b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior intestine</td>
<td>56.2±1.04b</td>
<td>44.5±0.60b</td>
<td>30.9±0.20b</td>
<td>6.3±0.20b</td>
<td>0.62±0.01b</td>
<td>12.5±0.25b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) 20 ppt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>35.4±0.43c</td>
<td>68.1±0.44c</td>
<td>48.0±0.15c</td>
<td>11.1±0.30c</td>
<td>0.58±0.02c</td>
<td>17.5±0.30c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior intestine</td>
<td>39.7±0.38c</td>
<td>57.1±0.48c</td>
<td>44.5±0.20c</td>
<td>9.2±0.33c</td>
<td>0.60±0.02c</td>
<td>18.3±0.33c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid intestine</td>
<td>48.2±0.46c</td>
<td>51.7±0.40c</td>
<td>38.4±0.28c</td>
<td>7.8±0.25c</td>
<td>0.62±0.02c</td>
<td>17.0±0.35c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior intestine</td>
<td>55.8±1.05e</td>
<td>43.4±0.41c</td>
<td>30.2±0.30c</td>
<td>5.6±0.30c</td>
<td>0.57±0.03c</td>
<td>12.2±0.28c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D) 25 ppt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>34.0±0.44d</td>
<td>70.8±0.40d</td>
<td>44.2±0.20d</td>
<td>10.0±0.35d</td>
<td>0.53±0.02d</td>
<td>16.2±0.25d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior intestine</td>
<td>38.2±0.48d</td>
<td>55.3±0.43d</td>
<td>39.1±0.25d</td>
<td>7.8±0.28d</td>
<td>0.47±0.03d</td>
<td>16.8±0.25d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid intestine</td>
<td>45.2±0.55d</td>
<td>49.0±0.45d</td>
<td>34.7±0.28d</td>
<td>6.7±0.27d</td>
<td>0.42±0.02d</td>
<td>13.0±0.26d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior intestine</td>
<td>53.1±0.88d</td>
<td>41.5±0.50d</td>
<td>25.3±0.28d</td>
<td>4.3±0.25d</td>
<td>0.34±0.02d</td>
<td>8.5±0.28d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E) 30 ppt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>33.1±0.40e</td>
<td>72.1±0.38e</td>
<td>41.9±0.44e</td>
<td>9.6±0.25e</td>
<td>0.50±0.03e</td>
<td>15.0±0.27e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior intestine</td>
<td>40.0±0.43e</td>
<td>54.8±0.42e</td>
<td>38.0±0.50e</td>
<td>7.6±0.33e</td>
<td>0.45±0.03e</td>
<td>15.0±0.25e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid intestine</td>
<td>45.0±0.38e</td>
<td>48.3±0.43e</td>
<td>30.6±0.50e</td>
<td>6.3±0.35e</td>
<td>0.35±0.03e</td>
<td>10.6±0.28e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior intestine</td>
<td>52.5±0.52e</td>
<td>41.0±0.44e</td>
<td>18.2±0.55e</td>
<td>3.8±0.38e</td>
<td>0.25±0.05e</td>
<td>6.0±0.30e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the present study, gastric emptying was found to be highly salinity dependent. It was observed that at 25 and 30 ppt, longer time is needed to empty the digestive tract indicating the fish might be in stressful condition. Comparably, a study showed that feeding rates of European sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax* and grey snapper, *Lutjanus griseus* decreased with decreasing salinity (Wuenschel & Werner 2004). As these fishes are euryhaline similar to grouper hybrid, the author suggests that higher metabolic occurs at higher salinity (Wuenschel & Werner 2004). The fish operate at higher efficiency and maintain high growth rates at lower salinities (15-20 ppt). This provides important implications when considering habitat use constrains whereby estuarine nurseries will provide conditions that allow faster GET than the coastal nurseries.

DISCUSSION
Furthermore, low salinity gives a better effect to juvenile sole, *Solea sole* where faster GET observed at 15 ppt (14 h) while higher salinity 35 ppt slower the GET (16 h) in *S. senegalensis* (Vinagre et al. 2007).

From the results, it is observed that the nutrient absorption is higher as the salinity decreased with the highest nutrient absorption occurred at 15 ppt and lowest at 30 ppt. In all salinities, the pattern of nutrient changes (protein, lipids and energy) are the same as it passed along the alimentary tract. Nutrient content changes because of the addition of mucus and enzymes during the digestion process (Mazlan & Groove 2003). The amount of protein, lipids and energy decreased along the tract. This is due to the nutrient absorption occurred higher in the initial gut where the degradation process takes place in the stomach and the process continues in the anterior and posterior intestine (Mazlan & Groove 2003). The decreased amount of protein, lipids and energy along the gut have been documented in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Austreng 1978), Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua* (Lied 1982), whiting *Merlangius merlangus* (Mazlan & Groove 2003) and archerfish *Toxotes jaculatrix* (Das et al. 2014). Lipids and proteins were not reserved or removed from the stomach compare to the other nutrients.

Protein was absorbed in the anterior intestine, where pyloric caeca is situated. The caeca increase the volume of the anterior midgut and the absorptive area of the digestive tract which is important for protein absorption (García-Meilán et al. 2016). The results are in accordance with findings in the European sea bass *Dicentrarchus labrax* (Zambonino-Infante et al. 2017) and Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua* (Hamre et al. 2011) where absorption of protein happened in the pyloric caeca. More than 30% of the protein was absorbed between the stomach and rectum compared to other nutrients in *TG×GG* hybrid grouper as the stomach is a highly efficient organ for degrading proteins...
(Ikeda et al. 2017). Further, the fecal analysis measurement supported that the protein may leach into the experimental water through its feces. Furthermore, it is suggested that the carbohydrate level raised in the anterior intestine was probably because of the emission of mucus (Gisbert et al. 2009; Mazlan & Groove 2003). However, carbohydrate digestion has received less attention than the protein and lipid due to the low carbohydrate content of the pellet.

Lipid absorption happened in the anterior intestine, where the pyloric caeca is situated where it is important for lipid absorption besides protein. Pancreatic lipase is secreted in the caeca (Borlongan 1990; García-Meilán et al. 2016). The presence of pancreatic lipase activity in fish has been described in the stomach tissue of catfish Glyptosternum maculatum in order to assist lipid absorption (Xiong et al. 2011). It was observed that more than 30% of lipid was absorbed between the mid intestines. The lipid absorption agrees with previous studies on European seabass Dictenterarchus labrax, gilthead seabream Sparus aurata (Diaz et al. 1997), flounder Hippoglossus stenolepis (Murray et al. 2003), zebrafish Danio rerio (Anderson et al. 2011) and archerfish Toxotes jaculatrix (Das et al. 2014).

The absorption of carbohydrates was significantly low compared to proteins and lipids. This is due to the fact that the pellet contained relatively low carbohydrate content and lead to lower energy value. This has been observed for example in Asian sea bass Lates calcarifer (Orsod et al. 2012), white bream Blicca bjoerkna (Cara et al. 2003) and black seabream Pagellus bogaraveo (Ribeiro et al. 2008). Besides that, the patterns for energy removal closely followed the pattern for lipids. It is observed that more than 80% of the food (pellet) energy was expelled through reaching the posterior intestine. Theoretically, the ADC value between food and feces should have been higher as the absorption completes (Das et al. 2014). However, dilution may happen in the experimental water, where the form of feces excreted was in the form of fluid than solid. Although the data from different salinities give generally similar patterns, the higher absorption occurred as the salinity decreased showing that salinity plays role in the nutrient absorption. These studies further showed that exposure of TG×GG hybrid grouper to higher salinity treatments (25 and 30 ppt) affect the absorption of nutrient and the digestibility which consequently affect the growth performance in gilthead seabream Sparus aurata, as described by Moutou et al. (2004). As the intestine plays a major role in osmoregulation (Grosell 2006), salinity-mediated decrease in digestibility may therefore be due in part to a higher rate of food movement in fish maintained at high salinities and thus reduce the time required for more complete digestion and absorption of nutrients. These results are in agreement to those reported by Alava (1998) in milkfish, Chanos chanos. Moreover, higher salinity contributes to a higher rate of food movement, lower digestibility and poor nutrient absorption in trouts (Morgan & Iwama 1991). Marine fish drink water for osmoregulatory process. Possibly, the digestive efficiency and nutrient absorption is compromised in marine fish due to the food motility changes required by this osmoregulatory process (Boeuf & Payan 2001). Therefore, it was suggested that the digestibility and nutrient absorption might be better if the salinity is manipulated by maintaining the optimum salinity levels in order to increase the digestion process and lead to higher growth rate. In this study, it is suggested that the optimum salinity level is 15 ppt in order for better nutrient absorption in TG×GG hybrid grouper juveniles.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, the present study specifies that GET in TG×GG hybrid grouper increase with decreasing salinities (10-20 ppt), however, decrease in comparatively higher salinity range between 25 and 30 ppt. The findings show variation in optimum salinity for absorption of nutrient in TG×GG hybrid grouper juveniles, with decreased salinity was optimum for nutrient absorption. Maximum nutrient absorption was seen at 15 ppt. This lead to faster digestion in 15 ppt. Overall, these findings may have important consequences for optimization of commercial production of TG×GG hybrid grouper as better digestion may lead to higher growth performance.
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