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ABSTRACT
C-4-phenacyloxy phenyl calix[4]resorcinarene (CPPCR) was synthesized by acidic condensation of 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde and resorcinol. The adsorption of CPPCR for Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III) has been studied by measuring the metal concentration in aqueous solution using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry (AAS). The effects of solution pH, contact time and initial concentration of metal ions on the adsorption capacity of CPPCR were investigated. The adsorption kinetic of all the ions obeyed the Ho and McKay pseudo 2nd order rate law and the adsorption isotherm profile matched very well with Langmuir model. The separation factor (R_s) of CPPCR for Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III) were 0.190, 0.017, and 0.065, respectively, indicating the formation of monolayer behaviour. The Gibb free energy (ΔG) of the adsorption for all the metal ions have negative values indicating the adsorption process of Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III) by CPPCR are spontaneous.
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ABSTRAK
C-4-fenasiloksifenilcalix[4]resorsinarena (CPPCR) telah disintesis melalui tindak balas berasid antara 4-hidroksilbenzaldehid dan resorcinol. Penjerapan terhadap Pb(II), Cd(II) dan Cr(III) oleh CPPCR telah dilakukan dengan mengukur kepekaan logam dalam larutan akueous menggunakan spektrofotometer serapan atom (AAS). Eksan pH larutan, masa sentuhan dan kepekaan awal ion logam ke atas kapasiti CPPCR telah dijalankan. Kinetik jerapan bagi semua ion logam tersebut mematuhi hukum pseudo-2 Ho dan McKay serta profil isoterma jerapan pula menyetujui model Langmuir. Faktor pemisahan (R_s) bagi Pb(II), Cd(II) dan Cr(III) masing-masing adalah 0.190, 0.017 dan 0.065 yang menunjukkan berlaku tabii monolapisan. Nilai tenaga bebas Gibb bagi penjerapan semua logam bernilai negatif sekaligus menunjukkan proses yang spontan.

Kata kunci: Kaliks[4]resorsinarena; penjerapan ion; penjerapan isoterma; penjerapan kinetik; 4-fenasiloksibenzdaldehid

INTRODUCTION
Removal of organic waste and toxic metals contaminants from industrial activities are continuously being studied until now. It is a global problem as many countries are moving toward technological advancement and self-sustainability. The non-biodegradable nature and ecotoxicological and harmful effect on the human physiology of the metals are well established (Jaishankar et al. 2014). Lead, cadmium, chromium and other metals derived from mining industry waste, metal coatings, battery manufacture, chemical fertilizers, pharmaceuticals, electronics and textiles are toxic to animals and humans (Barnhart 1997; Flora et al. 2012; Satarug et al. 2003). Research on looking for new materials which are less toxic requires considerably a long time and removal or recycle the wastes is one popular strategy to overcome the problem. Among the conventional and unconventional methods of separating metal ions from the solution, adsorption was found to be effective, technically feasible and relatively low-cost in term of materials and process (Gunati Lake 2015). Therefore, there have been a lot of studies on the adsorption technique especially looking for an effective absorbent.

Absorption of metal ions by activated carbon from different sources such as rice husk (Zhang et al. 2014), biomass (Joshi & Sahu 2014; Schneider et al. 2001), apricot stone (Abbas et al. 2014; Mouni et al. 2011) and method of treatment in order to get more active sites and large surface area have received much attention from the beginning until present. However, activated carbon from plants and animals based biomaterials are reported to having disadvantages such as high in cost and the used adsorbents may be considered as hazardous waste materials and also limited by less selectivity and probably caused agricultural problems (Reddy & Rao 2016).

The highest adsorption capacity achieved so far for lignin carbon against lead is 1865 mg g⁻¹ (Babel & Kurniaiwa 2003). The synthesized nano size carbon such as graphene oxide (GO) has impressive maximum adsorption capacities toward Pb(II) and Cd(II) up to 1119 and 530 mg g⁻¹, respectively (Sitko et al. 2013). However, it has been reported that it has a poor selectivity toward certain metals (Peng et al. 2017). The functionalized GO with silica (silica grafted GO) could increase the adsorption selectivity toward Pb(II) ions to reach over...
99% in the presence of other metal ions (Li et al. 2015). Montmorillonite clay has been reported to have adsorption capacity toward Pb(II) and Cd(II) around 33.0 and 32.7 mg g\(^{-1}\) (without treatment) and its capacity increased to 34.0 and 33.2 mg g\(^{-1}\) after acid-activation (Bhattacharyya & Gupta 2008; Gupta & Bhattacharyya 2009).

Agro-based industrial waste is another potential absorbent which is plentiful and cheap. Indonesia and Malaysia are the world major producers of palm oil. The amount of empty fruit bunch (EFB) fiber by-products from the oil-palm industry is huge. The presence of hydroxyl groups in the EFB enables it to be modified to enhance the adsorption capacity. Modification via grafting of carboxylic and amine groups on the EFB backbone has shown good adsorption capability for the removal of an organic dye such as methylene blue (Sajab et al. 2013). In addition, it has also demonstrated an increase in adsorption capacity for metal ions such as Cu(II), Ni(II), Mo(VI) and As(V) in aqueous solution in both single and binary systems. The adsorption behavior fitted quite well with the kinetic-uptake model and also Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models (Sajab et al. 2017).

Biopolymers, such as chitosan, alginate, cellulose and keratin are naturally biodegradable and non-toxic materials (Tran et al. 2016). Despite their great abundance in nature, each of them requires further treatment or process into a usable form such as films and fibers which may become less cost-effective (Mututuvari 2014). In 2011, Benavente et al. (2011) reported the adsorption capacity of chitosan from shrimp shell waste for Cu(II), Hg(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) were 79.94, 109.55, 58.71 and 47.15 mg g\(^{-1}\), respectively. A recent study reported the adsorption capacity of chitosan were 10 and 41.4 mg g\(^{-1}\) against Cd(II) and Cr(VI), while modification to chitosan-activated carbon composite significantly increases the capacity up to 52.63 and 90.9 mg g\(^{-1}\), respectively (Sharififard et al. 2016).

Among the synthetic absorbents, calixarenes have a unique cup-like structure which may capture natural molecules or ions or provide host-guest interaction leading to adsorption. In addition, it is a macrocyclic oligophenols not only providing active sites but also chemical modifications through the hydroxyl groups. A number of calix[4]arenes have been reported as potential absorbents for heavy-metal ions (Akkus et al. 2009; Kunsagi-Mate et al. 2005). A larger macrocyclic calix[6]-Na-n-hexasulphonate also displayed similar activity. Twenty four adsorption of dye waste by calix[n]arene was also reported (Ming et al. 2011). Calix[n]resorcinarene has benzene derivatives groups as the linkers connecting the diphenol groups and offers wide functionalization to modify the structure that might be tailored to the desired adsorption capability.

C-4-hydroxyphenylcalix[4]resorcinarene has shown adsorption capability for Mn(II), Cu(II), Co(II), Zn(II) and Pb(II) ions (Trawneh 2015). The study of adsorption characteristics of Pb(II) and Cr(III) ions onto C-4-methoxyphenylcalix[4]resorcinarene (CMMCPR) in batch and fixed bed column systems has been conducted (Jumina et al. 2007). In their studies, the adsorption kinetic of Pb(II) and Cr(III) adsorptions followed pseudo 2\(^{nd}\) order kinetics model. The \(R^2\) value also shows that the adsorption of Pb(II) \(R^2 = 0.145\) was more favorable than Cr(III) \(R^2 = 0.372\). The adsorption capacity of Pb(II) was 4.166 mg g\(^{-1}\) in the column system which is much higher than from batch system with only 0.85 mg g\(^{-1}\). Similar result was also shown by CMMCPR toward Cr(III) with adsorption capacity of 0.895 and 0.61 mg g\(^{-1}\) for column and batch system, respectively. The adsorption properties of C-methylcalix[4]resorcinarene (CMCR) prepared by one-step reaction of resorcinol and acetalddehyde and HCl was reported (Jumina et al. 2011). The adsorption capacity of CMCR for Cr (III) and Pb(II) was found to be 3.644 mg g\(^{-1}\) (70.07\(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\)) and for was 9.201 mg g\(^{-1}\) (44.45 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\)), respectively.

In continuing our work on the adsorption of calix[4] resorcinarene, a new 4-phenacyloxyphenylcalix[4] resorcinarene (CPPCR) containing both carbonyl and hydroxyl groups active sites was synthesized via the condensation reaction of 4-phenacyloxybenzaldehyde and resorcinol (Figure 1). The adsorption study of CPPCR for Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III) are reported.

### EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

All reagents and solvents were purchased from either E. Merck Inc. or Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. Reagents used in this research include resorcinol, hydrochloric acid (HCl), methanol, Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III) standard solution (1000 ppm); and aqua bidest. Intermediate compound 4-phenacyloxybenzaldehyde (PBA) was synthesized according to Rastuti et al. (2016).

#### INSTRUMENTATIONS

NMR spectra were recorded using NMR Spectrophotometer, JOEL ECZ 500 M (\(^1\)H-NMR 500 Hz; \(^31\)C-NMR 125 Hz). Mass spectra were acquired with High-Resolution Mass Spectrophotometer, HRMS ESI-TOF, LCT Premier XE. IR spectra were recorded with an FTIR, Shimadzu Prestige 21. Melting point was determined using Electrothermal 9100. The concentration of ions was determined using an Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS, AA-6650F Shimadzu).

#### GENERAL PROCEDURE

**Synthesis of C-4-Phenacyloxy Phenylcalix[4]Resorcinarene (CPPCR)** CPPCR was synthesized based on Gutche’s method (Gutsche 2008) with slight modification. A mixture containing resorcinol (0.50 g, 5 mmol), 4-phenacyloxybenzaldehyde (1.2 g, 5 mmol) and concentrated HCl (0.50 mL) in methanol (50 mL) was refluxed at 65°C for 24 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to reach room temperature and added with water (50 mL). The precipitate formed
was then filtered, washed using methanol: water (1:1), neutralized with water and dried in a desiccator (silica gel) to get brown solid in a yield of 75.90%; m.p. 288°C (dec.); FT-IR (KBr) (cm\(^{-1}\)): 3387 (OH phenol), 3070 (CH aromatics), 2978 (CH aliphatic), 1689 (C=O ketone), 1604-1504 (C=C aromatics), 1442 (–CH– bending), 1226-1080 (COC ether). \(^1\)H-NMR (δ ppm, acetone-d6, 500 MHz): 8.00 (4H, s, -OH); 6.18-7.51 (22H, m, aromatic proton); 5.70 (4H, s, methylene –CH\(_2\)–); and 5.39 (2H, s, -CH-).

\(^{13}\)C-NMR (δ ppm, acetone-d\(_6\), 125 MHz): 195.32 (C=O), 154.27 and 158.22 (aromatic carbon adjacent to oxygen ether and alcohol), 115.21-135.90 (aromatic carbons), 42.36 (methylene carbon –CH\(_2\)–). HRMS: m/z M+Na\(^+\) = 1351.49.

**General Experimental Protocol**

The batch adsorption experiments were conducted in a set of 10 mL sized flask containing CPPCR adsorbent and metal solutions in various initial concentrations. The pH of the solution was adjusted with 0.01 M HCl and 0.01 M NaOH prior to the adsorption experiments. The bottles were agitated in an isothermal water-bath shaker at room temperature until the equilibrium is reached. The solution was then filtered and the concentration of the residual metal ions in the solutions was determined by measuring their absorbance using AAS spectrophotometer. The uptake amount of metal ion was calculated by subtracting the initial concentration with the concentration after adsorption process. The adsorbed quantity and percentage removal of metal ions were calculated using the following equations:

\[
q_e = (C_o - C_e) \times \frac{V}{M}
\]

\[
q_t = (C_o - C_t) \times \frac{V}{M}
\]

and

\[
\%\text{ removal} = \frac{(C_o - C_t)}{C_o} \times 100\%
\]

where \(q_e\) is the adsorption capacity at equilibrium (mg/g); \(q_t\) is the adsorption capacity of adsorbent for metal ions at time \(t\) (mg/g); \(C_o\) is the initial metal ion concentration in the aqueous phase (mg/L); \(C_e\) is the equilibrium metal ion concentration in the aqueous phase (mg/L); \(C_t\) is the concentration of metal ions at time \(t\) (mg/L); \(V\) is the volume of the solution used (mL); and \(M\) is the mass of the adsorbent used (mg).

**Adsorption Profile Experiments**

Similar procedure as described in the general protocol was followed in the studies of adsorption profile. A set of seven flasks of a solution containing 10 mL of 10 ppm metal ions (Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III)) with adjusted pH at 4, 6 and 4.5 were prepared, respectively. CPPCR absorbent (0.01 g) was then added to each of the solution set. In addition, each flask was paired with exactly similar solution sample except for the existence of the adsorbent as a blank or control for the determination of metal concentration by AAS spectrometer. The solutions were then agitated at room temperature for seven different contact times of 5, 15, 30, 60, 120, 180 and 240 min. At each contact time, the solution was quickly filtered and measured their absorption by using the AAS together with its blank solution. Adsorption profile was determined based on the following equation:

Lagrenge equation:

\[
\log(q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - \frac{k}{2.303} t
\]

Ho and McKay equation:

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{kq_e^1} + \frac{1}{q_e}
\]

Santosa equation :

\[
\frac{\ln(C_o / C_t)}{C_t} = \frac{k}{C_t} t + k
\]
where \( q_e \) is the amount of metal ions absorbed at equilibrium (mg/g); \( q_t \) is the amount of metal ions absorbed at time \( t \) (mg/g); \( k \) is the rate constant of adsorption (min\(^{-1}\)); \( t \) is the contact time (min); \( C_o \) is the initial concentration of metal ions in the aqueous phase (mg/L); and \( C_t \) is the concentration of metal ions at time \( t \) (mg/L).

**Effect of Solution pH** Optimum pH is described as the pH values that give the highest adsorption uptake (%) of metal ions. In this study, determination of optimum pH was carried out by measuring the CPPCR adsorption to the Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III) metal ions at various pH values. A series of metal ions solution (10 ppm) was prepared, respectively and it consisted of six solutions with pH of 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7. Into the solution sample was added with as much as 0.01 g adsorbent (CPPCR). Each solution has its own blank which was similar to the sample solution without addition of CPPCR adsorbent. Both series of sample and blank solutions were stirred for 3 h at room temperature and the solid adsorbent was then filtrated. The concentration of metal ions in the sample and blank solutions were determined by measuring their absorbance using AAS spectrometry. The amount of adsorbed metal ion was calculated by subtracting the initial concentration (blank solution) with the concentration after adsorption process.

**Effect of Adsorbent Dosage** Optimization of the initial concentration of Pb(II), Cd(II) or Cr(III) ions was conducted by varying the initial concentration of metal ions (0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 ppm) and 0 ppm was ascribed as a blank solution. A 0.01 g of CPPCR was added into 10 mL of each metal ion solution at the optimum pH. The mixture was then stirred for a corresponding time (optimum time) at room temperature. The solid CPPCR was filtered and the concentration of metal ions in the filtrate was determined by measuring their absorbance using AAS spectrometry. The amount of metal cation adsorbed was determined from the different metal ions concentration in the solution before and after adsorption process.

Three adsorption isotherm equations, Langmuir, Freundlich and Temkin were used to determine the adsorption isotherm and displayed as follow:

**Langmuir equation:**

\[
\frac{1}{q_e} = \frac{1}{K_L q_m C_o} + \frac{1}{q_m}
\]  

(7)

\[
\frac{C_o}{q_e} = \frac{1}{K_L q_m} + \frac{C_o}{q_m}
\]  

(8)

where \( C_o \) is the equilibrium concentration of metal ion (mg/L); \( q_e \) is the amount of metal adsorbed per gram of the adsorbent at equilibrium (mg/g); \( q_m \) is the maximum monolayer coverage capacity (mg/g); and \( K_L \) is the Langmuir isotherm constant (L/mg).

**Freundlich equation:**

\[
\log(q_e) = \log(K_F) + \frac{1}{n} \log(C_o)
\]  

(9)

where \( K_F \) is the Freundlich isotherm constant (mg/g); \( n \) is the adsorption intensity; \( C_o \) is the equilibrium concentration of adsorbate (mg/L); and \( q_e \) is the amount of metal adsorbed per gram of the adsorbent at equilibrium (mg/g).

**Temkin equation:**

\[
q_e = B \ln A_t + B \ln C_o
\]  

(10)

where \( B \) is \( \frac{R b}{b} \); \( A_t \) is Temkin isotherm equilibrium binding constant (L/g); \( b \) is the Temkin constant; and \( R \) is the universal gas constant (8.314 J/mol/K).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**SYNTHESIS OF C-4-PHENACYLOXY PHENYL CALIX[4]RESORCINARENE (CPPCR)**

C-4-phenacyloxy phenyl calix[4]resorcinarene (CPPCR) was obtained as a solid powder with a yield of 75.9%. No melting point was observed but it began to decompose at about 288°C. The FTIR spectrum showed the disappearance of an aldehyde absorption band at 1689 cm\(^{-1}\) (Figure 2(b)) and the presence of distinct broad absorption band at 3387 cm\(^{-1}\) due to the hydroxyl groups (-OH) stretching from the resorcinol.
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**FIGURE 2.** (a) IR spectrum of 4-phenacyloxy benzaldehyde and (b) 4-phenacyloxyphenylcalix[4]resorcinarene (CPPCR)

The \(^1\)H-NMR spectrum (Figure 3) showed a rather complex splitting pattern that make an assignment work a bit difficult with 12 benzene rings and the possibility of several conformations exists in the solution. However, it is still possible to locate the chemical shift range for the protons (Figure 3). Generally, hydrogen bonded aromatic hydroxyl protons appear down field and in this case at \( \delta \) 8.0 ppm with singlet appearance marked as a. The proton at \( \delta \) 5.39 ppm indicates the methine bridge. The proton...
g at δ 5.70 shift shows the methylene proton (-CH₂-) in the phenacyl group. Protons b and c at δ 6.18-6.67 ppm show aromatic protons on the resorcinol benzene. Protons e and f at δ 6.69 and 7.15 ppm, respectively are the protons of the phenyl aromatic rings. Chemical shift i, j and h in the range of δ 7.49-7.51 show protons in the phenacyl group. The fact that the total number of protons calculated from the nmr integration is half of the actual number indicates that the molecule is symmetrically generated. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum (Figure 4) showed carbon of carbonyl group (C1) appears at δ 195 ppm. Carbon of methine bridge (C15) is shown at δ 15 ppm. Methylene carbon (C14) appears at δ 42 ppm. All the carbons on the aromatic ring of resorcinol, the benzene and the phenacyl group (C2-C13) appear at δ 46-158 ppm.

The high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) spectrum (Figure 5) further confirm the formation of cyclotetramer structure of CPPCR as shown by the presence of molecular ion peak at m/z 1352 (M+Na⁺) which is the molecular weight of CPPCR.

**Adsorption Study of CPPCR**

**Adsorption Profile** The adsorption profile of CPPCR against Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III) was obtained by measuring the adsorption of the metal ions at different contact times 5, 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, and 240 min. Plots of adsorption (C₀-Cₜ) against time for all the metals showed an increase in the quantity of metal ions adsorbed as the contact time increases until it reaches an equilibrium time at 60, 120 and 120 min for Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III), respectively. This pattern was followed by a slow decrease after the equilibrium times indicating a desorption step (Figure 6). The adsorption of Cr(III) was somewhat peculiar with spontaneous adsorption to reach equilibrium within 10 min followed by a decrease in adsorption before increasing again. A similar pattern was observed in the previous adsorption studies of CMPCR for Cr(III). In fact, the increase was almost vertical before reaching a steady value which took about 5 min (Jumina et al. 2007). In the present work, the adsorption of Cd(II) reached equilibrium quite rapidly and became steady within 10 min. The adsorption of Pb(II)
showed a typical relatively slow increase approaching the equilibrium maxima within an hour and followed by a slow decrease (Figure 6).

The plots of adsorbed Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III) metals ($q_t$) and percentage removal against time showed the typical adsorption behavior on the active surface (Figures 6 & 7). It was also realized that the maximum adsorption of chromium and cadmium were lower compared to lead. Adsorption capacity of CPPCR toward Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III) at equilibrium state were 4.63, 2.28 and 3.70 mg g$^{-1}$, respectively. (Pb(II) > Cr(III) > Cd(II)). Meanwhile, it gave different order based on the maximum percentage of removal i.e. Cd(II) > (Pb(II)) > Cr(III) with 95.5, 55.8 and 19.4%, respectively. Therefore, it may not be possible to analyze the data in detailed for Cr(III).

As a preliminary study, the UV-Vis spectrum of Cr(III) (NO$_3$)$_3$ solution in the presence of the absorbent was recorded for 2.5 h at 5 min interval and the result could give some information regarding what happened in the solution. Pb(II) and Cd(II) solutions do not show uv-vis peak for the same study. Under low concentrations no significant peak shift of Cd ($\lambda_{max} = 577, 410$ and $300$ nm) after the addition of calix was observed. The decrease of absorbance at all wavelengths was very low, only about 0.4% of Cr was absorbed even after 24 h of contact time (Figure 8). The observation seems to be in agreement with the result obtained by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) measurement. Further study by varying the concentration of absorbant-absorbate will certainly give more information about the adsorption behaviour.

**Adsorption Kinetics**

Kinetic order and the adsorption parameters were analyzed by applying the Santosa and Lagergren 1$^\text{st}$ order kinetic and also Ho-MacKay pseudo 2$^\text{nd}$ order models. The correlation coefficient ($R^2$) was determined from a linear plot of $\ln(C_o/C_t)/C_t$ vs $t/C_t$; $\log(q_e-q_t)$ vs $t$ and $t/qt$ vs $t$. Table 1 shows the kinetic modeling for CPPCR against Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III). Based on the result, it appeared that the Ho-MacKay pseudo 2$^\text{nd}$ order was the best kinetic modeling of CPPCR. The plots of the pseudo 2$^\text{nd}$ order showed a good straight line (Figure 9) with a good $R^2$ value. This result was similar to the previous study of C-4-methoxyphenylcalix[4]resorcinarene (CMPCR) and C-methylcalix[4]resorcinarene (CMCR) as an adsorbent for Pb(II) and Cr(III), that also follow the Ho-MacKay pseudo 2$^\text{nd}$ order as the best kinetic modeling of CPPCR. The plots of the pseudo 2$^\text{nd}$ order showed a good straight line (Figure 9) with a good $R^2$ value. This result was similar to the previous study of C-4-methoxyphenylcalix[4]resorcinarene (CMPCR) and C-methylcalix[4]resorcinarene (CMCR) as an adsorbent for Pb(II) and Cr(III), that also follow the Ho-MacKay pseudo 2$^\text{nd}$ order model (Jumina et al. 2011, 2007). Trawneh et al. (2015) also investigated the adsorption kinetics of C-4-hydroxyphenylcalix[4]-resorcinarene against Cu(II), Co(II), Zn(II), Mn(II), and Pb(II) metal ions and the kinetic also follow the pseudo 2$^\text{nd}$ order kinetic model.

Based on the 2$^\text{nd}$ order rate constants (k), the adsorption rate of Cd(II) (1.423 g mg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$) was about 10 fold times higher than Pb(II) (0.135 g mg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$) and almost 100 times of Cr(III) (0.016 g mg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$). This result implied that the adsorption of CPPCR toward Cd(II) ions was the fastest although its adsorption capacity ($q_e$)
FIGURE 7. Adsorption and %removal against time plot for (a) Pb(II) (b) Cd(II) and (c) Cr(III)

FIGURE 8. The spectrum of chromium nitrate solution in the presence of CPPCR recorded immediately (top spectrum) and after 95 min. \([\text{CPPCR}]_0 = 0.01 \text{ g} ; [\text{Cr(III)}]_0 = 20 \text{ mg/L} ; T = 26^\circ\text{C}\)

TABLE 1. Kinetic modeling and adsorption parameters of CPPCR toward Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Lagergren</th>
<th>Ho &amp; McKay</th>
<th>Santosa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(R^2)</td>
<td>(k (\text{min}^{-1}))</td>
<td>(k (\text{g mg}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.6187</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.9974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.4369</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.9977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.6256</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.9244</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for Cd(II) \((2.28 \text{ mg g}^{-1})\) was the lowest compared to Pb(II) \((4.63 \text{ mg g}^{-1})\) and Cr(III) \((3.70 \text{ mg g}^{-1})\).

**Adsorption Isotherms Behavior** The adsorption isotherm behavior of CPPCR for Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III) metals was determined by applying the Langmuir, Freundlich and Temkin models. The linear plot of the adsorption isotherm of CPPCR is presented in Figure 10 (Langmuir), Figure 11 (Freundlich) and Figure 12 (Temkin model).

On the basis of correlation coefficient the adsorption
isotherm of CPPCR against Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III) preferred Langmuir models with the highest $R^2$ (> 0.90). Therefore, it can be assumed that the adsorption process occurs on the monolayer system or model (de Namor et al. 2011; Kim et al. 2015). The Langmuir, Freundlich and Temkin equation parameters of the CPPCR against Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III) are also presented in Table 2.

The separation factor ($R_L$) can be calculated from the Langmuir equation, $R_L = 1 + K_L C_o$ where $C_o$ (mg/L) is the initial metal ions concentration and $K_L$ (L/mg) is the adsorption effectiveness. The fact that $R_L$ for the Pb(II), Cd(II), Cr(III) metals were 0.190; 0.017 and 0.065, respectively, indicating that the determining step of isotherms rate for the adsorption onto CPPCR was favorable. In general, the adsorption is favorable when $0 < R_L < 1$ and the lower value of $R_L$ the more favorable adsorption will be.

Thermodynamic parameter of Gibbs free energy ($\Delta G$) for the retention of adsorbate on adsorbent was determined

**FIGURE 9.** Ho-MacKay pseudo 2nd order plots for the adsorption of (a) Pb(II) and (b) Cd(II) and (c) Cr(III) by CPPCR in aqueous solution

**FIGURE 10.** Langmuir plots for the adsorption of (a) Pb(II), (b) Cd(II) and (c) Cr(III) by CPPCR
FIGURE 11. Freundlich plots for the adsorption of (a) Pb(II), (b) Cd(II), and (c) Cr(III) by CPPCR

FIGURE 12. Temkin plots for the adsorption of (a) Pb(II), (b) Cd(II), and (c) Cr(III) by CPPCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>qm (mg/g)</th>
<th>Kₐ (L.mg⁻¹)</th>
<th>E (kJ.mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>K_f (mg.g⁻¹)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>b (L.g⁻¹)</th>
<th>A_g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.9808</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>-32.45</td>
<td>0.8746</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.8749</td>
<td>2664.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.9906</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>58.18</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>-39.13</td>
<td>0.5195</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>0.4712</td>
<td>24892.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.9910</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>-27.99</td>
<td>0.5734</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>0.6084</td>
<td>5831.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2. Adsorption isotherms parameters based on Langmuir, Freundlich and Temkin

To evaluate the feasibility of the adsorption process with the aid of equation \( \Delta G = -RT \ln K \), where R is the ideal gas constant (8.314 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹), T is the absolute temperature (K) and K is the adsorption equilibrium constant (L.mol⁻¹). The Gibbs free energy for adsorption based on the Langmuir isotherm model is presented in Table 2. The negative calculated \( \Delta G \) values obtained for Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III) indicate the adsorption were spontaneous. Both
The initial pH of the solution can affect the adsorption of Pb(II) and Cr(III). The Gibbs free energies were also found to be higher than 20 kJ.mol\(^{-1}\) (27.99; 32.45; and 39.13 kJ.mol\(^{-1}\)) indicating the adsorption involves chemisorption as an important step.

The reported \(\Delta G\) values for C-4-methoxyphenylcalix[4]resorcinarene (CMMCPR) adsorption for Pb(II) and Cr(III) adsorption were -29.576 and -23.332 kJ.mol\(^{-1}\), respectively (Jumina et al. 2007). De Namor et al. (2001) has synthesized calix(4)arene ketone derivatives (5,11,17,23-tetra-tert-butyl-25,26,27,28-tetra(benzoyl) methoxycalix(4)) and investigated its interaction with alkali metal. The Gibbs energies of the calix(4)arene ketone derivatives in various solvents at 298.15 K was found within the range of 15-20 kJ.mol\(^{-1}\). In the present work, CMMCPR has Gibbs free energy for Cd(II), Pb(II), and Cr(III) of 39.13; 32.45 and 27.99 kJ.mol\(^{-1}\), respectively, which are much higher than that of the previous calixarenes. This may be due to the active sites such as carbonyl (C=O), ether (-O-) and hydroxyl (OH) which can interact with Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III) (Utomo et al. 2009). On the other hand, CMMCPR has only hydroxyl active group and the calix(4)arene ketone derivatives have two active groups of carbonyl and ether.

**Effect of pH** The initial pH of the solution can affect the speciation of the metal ions in aqueous solution, surface charge and dissociation or association of the functional group on the active sites of the absorbent surface. The effect of pH on the adsorption capacity of CMMCPR was studied in the pH range 2.0-8.0. Figure 13 shows an unusual dependency pattern of Pb(II) adsorption which gave maximum adsorption capacity at pH 4 and 6. This result is similar to the adsorption of Pb(II) on polypropyliccalix[4]arene which has 2 optimum pH at 2.0 and 5.0, while for Cr(III) was at pH 5.0 (Akpomie & Dawodu 2014). On the other hand, the optimum pH of CMMCPR adsorption against Cd(II) only showed one optimum pH at 6.0 and Cr(III) around 4.5.

At lower pH, the phenolic and carbonyls group may get protonated and the metal exists as [M(H\(_x\))\(^+\)]\(^n\) cations. Moreover, at higher concentration of H\(^+\) ions present in the solution will initiate the competition between the metal ions and the protons to the absorbent’s active sites (Bell et al. 1998). Since both adsorbate and adsorbent have a similar charge, the electrostatic repulsive force will not allow the effective binding and adsorption to occur. The reduction of repulsive force as pH increases will increase the adsorption. The increase of adsorption uptake as pH increases was observed for both metals Pb(II) and Cr(III) with the optimum pH at 4 and 4.5, while the optimum capacity for Cd(II) was higher at pH 6.0. As the pH is increased, the metal ions can undergo hydrolysis to form several species in equilibrium. Lead is known to form several species such as Pb(OH)\(^+\), Pb(OH)\(_2\), Pb(OH)\(_3\), Pb\(_2\)(OH)\(_4\), Pb\(_3\)(OH)\(_5\), Pb\(_4\)(OH)\(_6\) and Pb\(_5\)(OH)\(_7\) (Mulya et al. 2014). The anionic species will certainly bind with protonated calix and caused the increase of the adsorption. It is known that when the pH is greater than 6.7, the neutral Pb(OH)\(_2\) (if it is produced) will be precipitated and consequently reduce the adsorption. In the alkaline environment, the phenolic groups of the calix will be deprotonated and the active sites will be more negative. In this condition, if there are still many hydrolyzed lead species with a positively charged, it will bind to calix and give the second maximum adsorption. In the case of C-4-hydroxyphenylcalix[4]resorcinarene, it has only one maximum adsorption condition was observed at pH 5.6.

Based on the speciation of lead and cadmium metals, Pb\(^{2+}\) is present at pH below 7 and Cd\(^{2+}\) species at below 9. In this work, the optimum pH of CMMCPR was achieved at pH 4.0 and 6.0 for the adsorption of Pb(II) and Cd(II), respectively. Thus, it can be concluded that the removal of lead and cadmium was largely due to adsorption and not by precipitation. This fact is consistent with the work conducted by Das and Jana (2006) on the adsorption of Pb, Cu, and Cd on manganese nodule leached residues.

Figure 14 shows the percentage removal order of the metal ions by CMMCPR is in the order of Cd(II) > Pb(II) > Cr(III). The lowest % removal of Cr(III) was due to the presence of Cr\(^{3+}\) species in an acidic solution (pH less than 2) which expected to compete with the protonation (H\(^+\)). The maximum adsorption of Cr(III) onto CMMCPR was observed at pH4.5, although the Cr\(^{3+}\) species compete with the formation of Cr(OH)\(^{2+}\), Cr(OH)\(^2\)\(^+\) and Cr\(_3\)(OH)\(_{12}\). Ramos et al. (1995) has investigated the adsorption of activated carbon for Cr(III) which showed an effective adsorption above pH2.0 and below pH6.4 with optimum pH at pH5. This range of pH gave a profile that there were no Cr(III) adsorbed at pH2 while at pH6.4 the precipitation as Cr(OH)\(_2\) was formed and it was not adsorbed by the activated carbon matrix.

**Effect of Initial Metal Ion Concentrations** The adsorption capacity (mg g\(^{-1}\)) is the maximum adsorption of metal per g adsorbent. The initial concentration of the adsorbate also influences the adsorption capacity in a fixed amount of absorbent. Figure 15 shows the varying maximum
capacity of 0.01 g CPPCR to Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III) while the initial concentration of the metal ions was varied between 0.5 and 25 mg L\(^{-1}\). The maximum capacity of Pb(II) reached its highest amount when the initial concentration was 10 mg L\(^{-1}\) while for Cd(II) and Cr(III) were 2 and 15 mg L\(^{-1}\), respectively. Above these values, the adsorption capacity begins to decrease. Generally, increasing of adsorption capacity of adsorbent with the increase of metal ion concentrations could be due to the metal ions interaction with adsorbent that could overcome the resistance of the mass transfer of metal ions between the aqueous phase and the adsorbent (Arshadi et al. 2014; Bell et al. 1998). Meanwhile, the decreasing of the adsorption capacity is due to the declining of active sites vacancies that might become saturated after they reach some concentration (Ramos et al. 1995).

**Morphology of Absorbent** Unlike carbon or carbohydrate which has surface with pores, the calix-based adsorbent is solid granule with irregular shape with the size between 250 and 100 mesh as shown by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) micrograph in Figure 16. The surface of CPPCR before and after adsorption process against Pb(II) was observed. The figure shows that CPPCR was sparely porous and there was little change in the morphology of the calix except that the irregular solid became less agglomerate after the adsorption. Moreover, SEM analysis indicated that structure of C-4-phenacyloxy phenyl calix[4]resorcinarene was amorphous.

**CONCLUSION**

C-4-phenacyloxy phenyl calix[4]resorcinarene (CPPCR) with more functional groups present compare to its previously reported calixes also showed good adsorption capacity against Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III). The adsorption kinetics followed Ho’s pseudo-second-order kinetic model and the adsorption isotherm adopted Langmuir isotherm model. The adsorption Gibb free energy of Cd(II), Pb(II) and Cr(III) onto CPPCR are 38.13, 32.45 and 27.29 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), respectively. Meanwhile, the adsorption capacity of CPPCR for Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cr(III) are 4.63, 2.28 and 3.70 mg g\(^{-1}\), respectively. Although the maximum capacity for calix and other synthetic compounds are lower than the carbons their potential to remove low level metal content in solution is very promising.
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