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ABSTRACT
A theoretical study is presented for three-dimensional flow of bioconvection nanofluids containing gyrotactic micro-organisms over a bi-axial stretching sheet. The effects of anisotropic slip, thermal jump and mass slip are considered in the mathematical model. Suitable similarity transformations are used to reduce the partial differential equation system into a nonlinear ordinary differential system. The transformed nonlinear ordinary differential equations with appropriate transformed boundary conditions are solved numerically with the bvp4c procedure in the symbolic software, MATLAB. The mathematical computations showed that an increase in Brownian motion parameter corresponds to a stronger thermophoretic force which encourages transport of nanoparticles from the hot bi-axial sheet to the quiescent fluid. This increases the nanoparticle volume fraction boundary layer. Fluid temperature and thermal boundary layer thickness are decreased with increasing stretching rate ratio of the bi-axial sheet. The present simulation is of relevance in the fabrication of bio-nanomaterials and thermally-enhanced media for bio-inspired fuel cells.
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INTRODUCTION
Heat transfer enhancement has and continues to draw significant attention in many engineering and industrial problems. Various techniques have been implemented to improve the heat transfer efficiency. There include modifications in flow geometry, increasing thermal conductivity of working fluids, incorporating radiative heat transfer or heat source or by changing boundary conditions (Webb & Kim 2004). Several studies have reported that heat transfer can be strongly enhanced by suspending higher thermal conductivity micro/nano solid particles in the base fluid. Although the high density and large size of the solid particles and associated settling of the solid particles may cause clogging problems in microsystems, these issues can be resolved by using lower concentrations of very small sized particles which are referred as nanofluids. Nanofluids were introduced by Choi and Eastman (1995) and constitute fluids which contain a dispersion of nanoparticles having diameters ranging between 1-100 nm in base fluid (water, lubricants, ethylene glycol, polymer solutions) to ensure heat transfer enhancement. Nanoparticles are generally made up of metal, metal oxides, carbides, nitrides or other non-metallic materials. The motion of nanoparticles within nanofluids is generally attributed principally to Brownian motion and thermophoresis, although other effects (micro-convection & ballistic collisions) may contribute. Buongiorno (2006) introduced a popular convective transport model for nanofluids where Brownian and thermophoresis diffusion are the dominant effects. Michaelides (2015) studied Brownian movement and thermophoresis of nanoparticles in liquids and observed that thermophoretic
The combined interaction between nanofluids and bioconvection patterns has also been shown to be of great potential in improving microfluidic devices (bacteria-powered micro mixers). Nanoparticles enhance thermal performance in bioconvection flows and micro-organisms simultaneously increase the stability of nanofluids. Bioconvection has been defined as a microscopic convection in a fluid by up-swimming microorganisms which are heavier than water. These self-propelled microorganisms enhance the density of the base fluid due to swimming directions which are controlled by different taxes (light, chemical reactions, gravity, magnetic fields & torque) and manifesting in bioconvection. The presence of water (base fluid) in nanofluids is very important to keep the microorganism alive. Bioconvection can be applied in bio-microsystems (enzyme biosensors) and biotechnology owing to mass transport enhancement and mixing, which are significant issues in many micro-systems (Sokolov et al. 2009; Tsai et al. 2009). Kuznetsov and Avramenko (2004) first studied the bioconvection of gyrotactic microorganism that contain solid small particles. Kuznetsov (2012) later proposed the theory of suspension of oxytactic microorganism and nanoparticle, in which swimming velocity of oxytactic microorganisms is determined by the oxygen concentration gradient. Khan et al. (2013) and Uddin et al. (2013) investigated the free convection flow of non-Newtonian nanofluids in a porous medium containing gyrotactic microorganisms. Xu and Pop (2012) studied fully developed mixed convection channel flows of nanofluids containing gyrotactic microorganisms. Siddiqua et al. (2016) considered bioconvection flow from an undulating surface geometry (cone) with heat and mass transfer of nanoparticles containing gyrotactic microorganisms. Amirson et al. (2016) have studied the stagnation point bioconvection nanofluid flow with variable transport properties. Very recently, the effect of variable thermal properties of nanofluids on bioconvection along a uniformly heated vertical cone was investigated by Begum et al. (2017).

In many real-world applications, slip phenomena arise at the interface between solid boundaries and fluids. Many studies have considered slip boundary conditions which may be hydrodynamic, thermal or of other types. Tripathi et al. (2014) considered momentum slip effects on peristaltic propulsion of viscoelastic fluids in deformable tubes. Prasad et al. (2013) examined thermal and velocity slip effects on viscoplastic heat transfer from a curved body. Rao et al. (2016) investigated thermal jump and momentum slip effects in rheological porous media transport. Akbar et al. (2016) considered momentum and thermal slip effects on ciliated channel magnetic physiological flow. Maqbool et al. (2016) investigated hydrodynamic wall slip effects on several rotating and oscillatory hydromagnetic non-Newtonian porous media channel flows. Turkyilmazoglu (2015) studied hydrodynamic and thermal slip effects on transport in a wedge nozzle (converging channel), deriving unique, double, or triple solutions and showing that these are strongly dependent on the slip effects. Slip effects in nanofluid dynamics have also received considerable attention in recent years. They have been studied in bioconvection nanofluid expanding/contracting wall channel flows (Bég et al. 2017), transient micropolar nanofluids bioconvection from stretching sheets (Abdul Latiff et al. 2016), radiative-convective nanofluid flows from extending/contracting sheets (Uddin et al. 2015) and time-dependent bioconvection nanofluid transport from expanding curved bodies (Md Basir et al. 2016).

The mentioned studies have all considered isotropic slip. Anisotropic slip is directionally dependent and can arise in lubrication problems with partially wetted bearing surfaces, and superhydrophobic boundaries (Chen et al. 2013). Slip in different directions has been shown to exert a significant influence on velocity, temperature and also mass transfer characteristics in such systems. Rashad (2017) has investigated the influence of anisotropic slip on unsteady ferrofluid heat transfer with thermal radiation from an inclined stretching sheet. Wang (2013) has studied anisotropic slip effects on an axisymmetric stagnation flow of a Newtonian fluid using asymptotic analysis for large slip and numerical integration. Uddin et al. (2016) obtained numerical solutions for anisotropic momentum and thermal slip effects on three-dimensional stagnation point nanofluid bio-convection flow. Jao et al. (2016) have developed a robust model for anisotropic hydrodynamic slip in rheological flows. Anisotropic slip bioconvection nanofluid flows have been addressed by Raees et al. (2016) and Uddin et al. (2016). Recently, several nanofluid flows past a surface (movable/non-movable) are inspected under various physical constraints (for fluid flow) (Akbarzadeh et al. 2018; Alamri et al. 2019; Aziz et al. 2018; Hassan et al. 2018a, 2018b; Hussain et al. 2018; Jamaludin et al. 2018; Mahat et al. 2018; Rashidi et al. 2018; Shehzad et al. 2018; Zeeshan et al. 2018).

The present study investigates the effects of anisotropic slip, thermal jump and mass slip on boundary layer bioconvection flow of nanofluids containing motile microorganisms over a bi-axial stretching sheet. Most of studies concerning nanofluids and bioconvection on stretching/contracting surfaces have been confined to one-dimensional stretching or shrinking. In certain fabrication processes of nanomaterials, both shrinking, and contraction may be achieved simultaneously in mutually
orthogonal directions, a scenario known as bi-axial stretching/contracting. Several studies have examined fluid dynamics of such systems. Wang (2011) presented one of the earliest analytical solutions for heat transfer in a fluid film sprayed on a biaxial shrinking/stretching sheet, observing that for certain rates of shrinking it is possible for the film to sustain a free surface of constant depth. Wang (2015) further studied numerically the uniform flow of a Newtonian fluid from a bi-axial stretching surface, noting that the shear stress is enhanced with lateral stretching. Liu and Andersson (2008) computed solutions for the thermal convection in steady three-dimensional viscous flow driven by the bidirectional stretching of an elastic surface, showing that boundary layer thickness is decreased when there is a reduction in sheet temperature or heat transfer rate is increased in one or both lateral directions. Mustafa et al. (2015) studied the influence of radiative flux on three-dimensional flow and heat transfer from a bi-axial exponentially stretching sheet. In the context of nanofluids, Khan et al. (2015) investigated numerically the three-dimensional flow of nanofluid over a bi-directional stretching sheet using the Keller-box method. To the best of authors’ knowledge, thus far the bioconvection nanofluid flow from a biaxially stretching/contracting sheet has not been considered in the literature. The current work extends the study of Khan et al. (2015) to consider bioconvection and anisotropic slip and Liu et al. (2013) to consider anisotropic slip, nanofluids and bioconvection (by incorporating the combined effects of Brownian motion and thermophoresis). Numerical solutions are presented. The study is relevant to bio-nano-materials processing. Slip effects are relevant to real flows with bio-nano-polymers (non-adhesion). Bioconvection is relevant to doping fuel cell media with microscopic swimmers to influence heat and mass transfer rates. Nanoparticles are used for enhancing thermal properties. Together these effects are required to simulate properly the manufacturing of bio-nano-materials via bi-axial stretching.

MATHEMATICAL MODEL

We consider three-dimensional steady flow of incompressible viscous Newtonian nanofluids containing motile micro-organisms from a moving bi-axial stretching sheet with anisotropic slip, thermal jump, mass slip and microorganism slip effects. The physical regime is illustrated in Figure 1. The sheet at \( \zeta = 0 \) is stretched in the \( \bar{x} \) and \( \bar{y} \) directions with velocities \( \bar{u}_w(\bar{x}) = a(\bar{x} + \bar{y})^n + N_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \zeta} \) and \( \bar{v}_w(\bar{y}) = b(\bar{x} + \bar{y})^n + N_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \zeta} \), respectively, where \( a, b, n > 0 \) denote constants. The boundary layer equations for three-dimensional bioconvection flow of viscous nanofluids are (Khan et al. 2015a):

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{x}} + \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{y}} + \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial \zeta} = 0, \quad (1)
\]

and

\[
C = C_w + C_{slip},
\]

\[
N = N_w + N_{slip},
\]

\[
\bar{v} = \bar{v}_w(\bar{y}),
\]

\[
T = T_w + T_{slip},
\]

\[
\bar{u} = \bar{u}_w(\bar{x}), \quad \bar{v} = \bar{v}_w(\bar{y}), \quad \bar{w} = \bar{w}_w(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \quad \bar{C} = \bar{C}_w, \quad \bar{N} = \bar{N}_w,
\]

\[
(2)
\]

\[
(3)
\]

\[
(4)
\]

\[
(5)
\]

\[
(6)
\]

FIGURE 1. Physical configuration and coordinate system (Khan et al. 2015a)

The boundary conditions on the sheet and in the free stream are:

\[
\bar{u} = \bar{u}_w(\bar{x}) = a(\bar{x} + \bar{y})^n + N_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \zeta},
\]

\[
\bar{v} = \bar{v}_w(\bar{y}) = b(\bar{x} + \bar{y})^n + N_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \zeta},
\]

\[
\bar{w} = 0, \quad T = T_w + D_1 \frac{\partial T}{\partial \zeta}, \quad C = C_w + D_2 \frac{\partial C}{\partial \zeta}, \quad \bar{N} = N_w + D_3 \frac{\partial N}{\partial \zeta}, \quad \text{at } \zeta = 0,
\]

\[
\bar{u} \to 0, \quad \bar{v} \to 0, \quad T \to T_w, \quad C \to C_w, \quad N \to 0 \quad \text{as } \zeta \to \infty, \quad (7)
\]

where \( \bar{u}, \bar{v} \) and \( \bar{w} \) are the velocity components along the axes, respectively; \( \nu_t \) is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid; \( b \) is the chemotaxis constant; \( W \) is the maximum cell swimming speed; \( D_1 \) is Brownian diffusion.
coefficient; \( D_T \) is therphoretic diffusion coefficient; \( D_n \) is microorganisms diffusion coefficient; \( \alpha \) is thermal diffusivity; \( N_1 \left( \bar{x}, \bar{y} \right) \) is velocity slip factor at \( \bar{x} \) direction; \( N_2 \left( \bar{x}, \bar{y} \right) \) is velocity slip factor at \( \bar{y} \) direction; \( D_1 \left( \bar{x}, \bar{y} \right) \) is thermal slip factor; \( E_1 \left( \bar{x}, \bar{y} \right) \) is mass slip factor; and \( F_1 \left( \bar{x}, \bar{y} \right) \) is microorganism slip factor.

Introducing the following set of similarity transformations (Khan et al. 2015a):

\[
\eta = (\bar{x} + \bar{y}) \sqrt{\frac{a}{v_T}}, \quad \bar{u} = a(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) f'(\eta), \quad \bar{v} = a(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) g'(\eta), \\
\bar{w} = -\frac{a}{v_T} (\bar{x} + \bar{y}) \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} (f' + g') + \frac{n-1}{2} \eta (f' + g') \right], \\
\beta(\eta) = \frac{T - T_a}{T_e - T_a}, \quad \phi(\eta) = \frac{C - C_e}{C_e - C_a}, \quad \chi(\eta) = \frac{N}{N_a}.
\]

(8)

Here all parameters are defined in the nomenclature. Using (8), the continuity (1) is identically satisfied and governing Equations (2) - (6) are transformed into a system ordinary differential equations (ODEs):

\[
f^* + \frac{n+1}{2} \left( f' + g' \right) f^* - n \left( f' + g' \right) f' = 0, \quad \tag{9}
\]

\[
g^* + \frac{n+1}{2} \left( f' + g' \right) g^* - n \left( f' + g' \right) g' = 0, \quad \tag{10}
\]

\[
\theta^* + \frac{n+1}{2} \frac{Pr}{Nb} (f' + g') \theta^* + Nb \theta' + N \theta^2 = 0, \quad \tag{11}
\]

\[
\phi^* + \frac{n+1}{2} \frac{Sc}{ Nb} (f' + g') \phi^* + \frac{N}{Nb} \phi' = 0, \quad \tag{12}
\]

\[
\chi' = Pe \left[ \chi \phi' + \phi \chi' \right] + \frac{n+1}{2} Lb (f' + g') \chi' = 0. \quad \tag{13}
\]

The transformed boundary conditions emerge as:

\[
f'(0) = -1, \quad g'(0) = \lambda + gq_0(0), \quad f(0) = -g(0) = 0, \quad \theta(0) = \frac{1}{r} r' \theta(0), \quad \phi(0) = \frac{1}{r} r' \phi(0), \quad \chi(0) = 1, \quad \text{at } \eta = 0, \quad f'(\infty) \to 0, \quad g'(\infty) \to 0, \quad \theta(\infty) \to 0, \quad \phi(\infty) \to 0, \quad \chi(\infty) \to 0 \quad \text{as } \eta \to \infty, \quad \tag{14}
\]

where \( Pr \frac{v_T}{\alpha} \) is Prandtl number, \( Nb = \frac{\tau D_a \left( C_e - C_a \right)}{\alpha} \) is Brownian motion parameter, \( Nt = \frac{\tau D_a \left( T_e - T_a \right)}{\alpha T_a} \) is thermophoresis parameter, \( Sc = \frac{v_T}{D_b} \) is Schmidt number, \( Pe = \frac{b W}{D_n} \) is Péclet number, \( Lb = \frac{v_T}{D_n} \) is bioconvection Lewis number, \( \lambda = \frac{b}{a} \) is the ratio of the stretching rate along the \( x \)-direction to the \( y \)-direction, \( p = \left( N_1 \right) \frac{a}{v_T} \) is velocity slip along the \( x \)-direction, \( q = \left( N_2 \right) \frac{a}{v_T} \) is velocity slip along the \( y \)-direction, \( r = \left( D_1 \right) \frac{a}{v_T} \) is thermal slip, \( s = \left( E_1 \right) \frac{a}{v_T} \) is mass slip, \( k = \left( F_1 \right) \frac{a}{v_T} \) is microorganism slip.

Important parameters of engineering interest are local skin friction factors \( C_{f_x}, C_{f_y} \), local Nusselt numbers \( Nu_x, Nu_y \), local Sherwood numbers \( Sh_x, Sh_y \), local density number of motile microorganism \( Nm \). These quantify the transfer rates of momentum, heat, nano-particle species and motile micro-organisms at the sheet surface. They are defined respectively as:

\[
C_{f_x} = \frac{\tau_{xx}}{\rho f v_w^2}, \quad C_{f_y} = \frac{\tau_{yy}}{\rho f v_w^2}, \quad Nu_x = \frac{(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) q_w}{k(T_e - T_a)}, \quad \tag{15}
\]

\[
Sh_x = \frac{(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) q_m}{D_b \left( C_e - C_a \right)}, \quad Nu_y = \frac{(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) q_n}{D_N N_w}.
\]

(15)

where \( \tau_{xx} \) and \( \tau_{yy} \) are the wall shear stresses; \( q_w \) is the wall heat flux; \( q_m \) is the wall mass flux and \( q_n \) is the wall motile microorganism flux and are defined by:

\[
\tau_{xx} = \mu \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{x}}, \quad \tau_{yy} = \mu \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{y}}, \quad q_w = -D_b \frac{\partial C}{\partial \bar{x}}, \quad q_m = -D_N \frac{\partial N}{\partial \bar{x}}, \quad q_n = -D_N \frac{\partial N}{\partial \bar{y}}.
\]

(16)

Substitution of (8), (14) and (16) into (15), yields the following non-dimensional expressions:

\[
Re_x^{1/2} C_{f_x} = f'(0), \quad Re_x^{1/2} \lambda^{1/2} C_{f_y} = g'(0), \quad \tag{17}
\]

\[
Re_x^{1/2} Nu_x = -\theta(0), \quad Re_x^{1/2} Sh_x = -\phi(0), \quad Re_x^{1/2} Nu_y = -\chi(0), \quad \tag{17}
\]

\[
Re_x^{1/2} Nm = -\chi(0),
\]

where \( Re_x = \frac{a(\bar{x} + \bar{y})^{nl}}{v_T} \) and \( Re_x = \frac{b(\bar{x} + \bar{y})^{nl}}{v_T} \) are the local Reynolds numbers along the \( x \) and \( y \) directions, respectively.
NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF NONLINEAR BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

The nonlinear coupled ordinary differential equation system defined by (9)-(13) with boundary conditions (14) is solved via a BVP4C numerical method available in the symbolic code, MATLAB. The velocity components along the x and y directions, temperature, nanoparticle concentration and microorganism density number profiles are computed for different values of the governing parameters. The value of parameters used range between \( n \geq 1, 0 \leq Nb, Nt \leq 0.5, \) and \( 0 \leq Pe, Sc, Lb \leq 5. \) To verify our numerical results, we have compared our results with those reported by Khan et al. (2015b) in Table 1. Based on the accuracy of the present results, we found that the results are in very good agreement. We observed that the results obtained via BVP4C are found to be in very good agreement with the Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg quadrature, as documented in Table 2. For the validation of the BVP4C numerical procedure, the results for \(-\theta'(0)\), are compared with a Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg shooting algorithm. Further details of these numerical techniques are available in Hunt et al. (2014).

Confidence in the present BVP4C computational method is therefore high. We further note that in Table 1, the parameter \( \lambda = \frac{b}{a} \) represents the x-direction stretching rate to the y-direction stretching rate. For \( \lambda = 1 \) both x and y direction stretching rates are equal i.e. the sheet is stretched bi-axially at the same rate. For \( \lambda = 0.5 \) the y-direction stretching rate is double that of the x-direction stretching rate i.e. this corresponds to unequal bi-axial stretching. When \( \lambda \) is increased and all other parameters remain fixed, the heat transfer rate is significantly decreased which agrees with the findings of Wang (2015). The prescription of \( n=3 \) in Table 1 also implies nonlinear stretching of the sheet in both directions (\( n=1 \) corresponds to the linear stretching case).

NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Selected computations have been visualized in Figures 2-10. These consider principally the influence of slip parameters and biaxial stretching rates on the transport phenomena, although certain other parameters e.g. nanoscale parameters and bioconvection Lewis number are also addressed.

Figure 2(a) and 2(b) shows the variations in horizontal and vertical components of velocity with an increase velocity slip, \( p. \) An increase in \( p \) generates a decrease in \( x \)-component velocity whereas it enhances the \( y \)-component velocity. Momentum diffusion rates are significantly affected by the velocity slip which leads to an acceleration in the \( y \)-component and a deceleration in the \( x \)-component. A similar observation has been made by Wang (2015, 2011). Figure 2(c) and 2(d) indicates the temperature profile and nanoparticle volume fraction

Table 1. Comparison results for \( f^*(0) \) and \( g^*(0) \) for different values of \( n \) and \( \lambda \) at \( Pr = Nb = Nt = Sc = Pe = Lb = \lambda = p = q = r = s = k = 0, \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( \lambda )</th>
<th>( f^*(0) )</th>
<th>( g^*(0) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Khan et al. (2015b) (RKF45)</td>
<td>Present (BVP4C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Khan et al. (2015b) (RKF45)</td>
<td>Present (BVP4C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1.001396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.223745</td>
<td>-1.225266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-1.414214</td>
<td>-1.414441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.624356</td>
<td>-1.624549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.989422</td>
<td>-1.989473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-2.297186</td>
<td>-2.297203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Heat transfer rates (wall temperature gradient \(-\theta'(0)\) for \( Nb = Nt = 10^{-5} \) for various parameter values with RKF45 and BVP4C numerical methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( \lambda )</th>
<th>( Sc )</th>
<th>( Pr )</th>
<th>( -\theta'(0) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RKF45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.705070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.473561</td>
<td>1.473558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.227795</td>
<td>1.227789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.417734</td>
<td>1.417734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.327196</td>
<td>2.327196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.908586</td>
<td>3.908586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.621042</td>
<td>6.621042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10.832949</td>
<td>10.832951</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
profile increase with an increasing of $p$. Greater momentum slip at the wall serves to heat the boundary layer and increases thermal boundary layer thickness. Similarly, increase in nanoparticle volume fraction profile implies that slip at the sheet surface encourages species diffusion of nanoparticles and enhances the nano-particle concentration boundary layer thickness. In all these plots the case for $n = 1$ (linear biaxial stretching sheet) always attains magnitudes of the respective variable which are greater than the $n = 10$ case (nonlinear stretching biaxial sheet).

Figure 3 displays the influence of Brownian motion ($Nb$) and thermophoresis parameter ($Nt$) on the nanoparticle volume fraction. The impact of Brownian motion is strongly related to the size of nanoparticles. Increasing values of $Nt$ indicate a stronger thermophoretic force (due to the temperature gradient) which displaces the nano-particles from the hot sheet to the quiescent fluid thereby increasing the nanoparticle volume fraction boundary layer. Interestingly, the increase in nanoparticle volume fraction with $Nt$ reduces when the $Nb$ effect intensifies (when $Nb$ changes from 0.1 to 0.3 since larger $Nb$ values correspond to smaller sized nano-particles).

Increasing $Nb$ reduces the wall slope of the concentration nanoparticle volume fraction and also eliminates the near-wall overshoot associated with lower $Nb$ values. For smaller $Nb$, the nano-particle volume fraction profiles become steeper when $Nt$ is increased whereas the opposite
trend is apparent with a stronger Nb. Nb represents the random motion of small colloidal particles suspended in a fluid, caused by the collision of the fluid molecules with the particles (Khan et al. 2015b). Nb magnitudes as elaborated earlier are associated with the size of suspended nanoparticles which clearly exert a substantial influence on transport phenomena in the regime.

Figure 4(a) and 4(b) presents the effects of stretching rate ratio $\lambda$ and y-direction velocity slip $q$ on the dimensionless $x$-component velocity and $y$-components velocity. An increment in $\lambda$ and $q$ leads to decrease in the $x$-component velocity. However, increasing $\lambda$ and $q$ exert the opposite influence on $y$-component velocity i.e. they induce a strong acceleration. Figure 4(c)-4(e) depicts the response in dimensionless temperature, nanoparticle volume fraction and microorganism density with various values of $\lambda$ and $q$. Evidently, temperature is reduced with an increase in stretching ratio, $\lambda$, whereas it is markedly increased with an increase in $y$-direction slip parameter. As stretching rate ratio is increased from 0.5 through 0.7 to 1 (equal stretching in both $x$- and $y$-directions) greater quantities of colder nanofluid are entrained near the

![Graphs showing the effects of stretching rate ratio and y-direction velocity slip on various parameters.](image-url)
stretching sheet which leads to a cooling effect and an associated depletion in thermal boundary layer thickness. A similar response is computed for the nanoparticle volume fraction and micro-organism density number. Both are reduced with greater stretching rate ratio and enhanced with greater $y$-direction slip effect. Nanoparticle concentration boundary layer thickness and motile micro-organism boundary layer thickness are therefore both enhanced with greater $y$-direction slip whereas they are decreased when the $y$-direction and $x$-direction stretching rates approach each other i.e. as $\lambda$ increases towards unity.

Figure 5(a) and 5(b) illustrates the influence of thermal slip ($r$) and nanoparticle mass slip ($s$) on temperature and nanoparticle volume fraction. In all cases, increasing thermal slip induces a significant depletion in magnitudes. Both temperature (Figure 5(a)) and nanoparticle volume fraction (Figure 5(b)) are also decreased with greater mass slip parameter. Effectively thermal boundary layer thickness and nano-particle concentration boundary layer thickness are both enhanced with greater $y$-direction slip whereas they are decreased when the $y$-direction and $x$-direction stretching rates approach each other i.e. as $\lambda$ increases towards unity.

Figure 6 presents the impact of bioconvection Péclet number ($Pe$) on the motile microorganism density number, $\chi(\eta)$. Higher $Pe$ signifies lower advection compared to diffusion and thus results in lower values of $\chi(\eta)$ i.e. the concentration of motile micro-organisms is depleted. It should also be noted that, as $Pe$ decreases and approaches zero, $\chi(\eta)$ also will tend to zero. For $Pe>1$, swimming motions will dominate species diffusivity of micro-organisms and this will lead to a reduction in $\chi(\eta)$.

The converse behaviour would arise for $Pe<1$. Motile micro-organism boundary layer thickness is substantially suppressed with greater bioconvection Péclet number ($Pe$). With increasing micro-organism slip ($k$), there is also a tangible reduction in motile micro-organism number.
density i.e. concentration of gyrotactic micro-organisms is strongly reduced. This effect is sustained throughout the boundary layer regime.

Figure 7 depicts the response in motile micro-organism density number with Schmidt number ($Sc$) and bioconvection Lewis number ($Lb$). Schmidt number represents the ratio of momentum diffusion rate (i.e. kinematic viscosity, $\nu_f$) to nano-particle species diffusion rate ($D_B$). This parameter therefore relates to the species diffusion of nano-particles in the regime. The bioconvection Lewis number however expresses the relative rate of momentum diffusion to micro-organism species diffusion. As both parameters increase the net effect is to depress the magnitudes of motile micro-organism density number, and therefore to decrease motile micro-organism boundary layer thickness. The micro-organisms are self-propelled whereas the nano-particles are not. The influence of bioconvection Lewis number is direct as it features in the micro-organism species conservation boundary layer equation i.e. (13) in the term $\frac{n+1}{2} Lb(f+g)\chi'$. However, the influence of Schmidt number is indirectly experienced by the micro-organism field via coupling to the nano-particle conservation (12) i.e. via the term $\frac{n+1}{2} Sc(f+g)\psi'$. Even with relatively small values of Schmidt number a strong effect is induced on the micro-organism field indicating that micro-organism diffusion is sensitive to the species diffusivity of nano-particles in addition to the species diffusivity of the micro-organisms themselves. Asymptotically smooth profiles are computed for all combinations of $Lb$ and $Sc$, confirming that an adequately large infinity boundary condition is imposed in the bvp4c numerical code. Indeed, in all other plots (i.e. Figures 2-6) where the transformed coordinate $\eta$ is the abscissa, smooth profiles are consistently achieved in the free stream.

Figure 8 exhibits the variations of the skin friction coefficient $f'(0)$ with respect to $y$-direction hydrodynamic (velocity) slip, $q$ and stretching rates ratio, $\lambda$ for different values of stretching power-law parameter ($n$). Clearly, the $x$-direction skin friction (i.e. non-dimensional shear stress at the surface) is weakly decreased with greater values of $y$-direction hydrodynamic slip. The dominant influence of the $q$ parameter is on the $y$-direction velocity component ($g$) as expressed in the wall boundary condition. The secondary ($y$-direction) velocity field is influenced and indirectly via coupling with the primary ($x$-direction) velocity field ($f$) a less pronounced effect is transmitted to the $x$-direction shear stress. A much more prominent influence is exerted by increasing the stretching rate ratio ($\lambda$). The $x$-direction skin friction is noticeably elevated with a relatively weak increase in $\lambda$, even with small magnitudes of $\lambda$. With greater nonlinearity of the stretching sheet i.e. higher values of $n$, there is a marked increase in $x$-direction skin friction component. Therefore, overall higher stretching rate and nonlinearity in stretching serve to accelerate the $x$-direction flow and will be accompanied with a decrease in momentum boundary layer thickness.

Figure 9 illustrates the variations of the local Nusselt number, $-\theta'(0)$ i.e., wall heat transfer rate with Brownian motion parameter ($Nb$) for different values of thermal slip parameter ($r$) and thermophoresis parameter ($Nt$). Greater Brownian motion parameter values weakly decrease the local Nusselt number. Brownian motion exerts a weak influence (enhancement) on the temperature field and a much stronger influence (enhancement) on the nano-particle volume fraction distributions. A much stronger reduction in local Nusselt number is induced however with an increase in thermophoresis parameter. This is due to the strong increase in temperatures with increasing $Nt$ values. This is caused by encouraged transport of thermal energy into the body of the nanofluids bioconvection regime away from the wall (sheet) and therefore, a reduction in wall heat transfer rates. A substantial decrease in local Nusselt number is also computed with greater thermal slip (jump) effect.
Figure 10 presents the allocations for local mass transfer rate, $-\phi'(0)$ versus Schmidt number, $Sc$ and mass slip, $s$ with different values of thermophoresis parameter ($Nt$). The graph discloses that $-\phi'(0)$ upsurges with Schmidt number and thermophoresis parameter whereas it is degraded noticeably with profounder mass slip effect. Slip basically retards the fluid motion which finally shows a decrease in net molecular movement. Consequently, less molecular movement decreases both temperature and mass fraction fields. Since if the thermal slip parameter can control the temperature inside the flow then it is quite possible the mass transport phenomenon can be controlled by the concentration slip parameter. Thus, we have also analysed the combined effects of velocity, thermal and concentration slip parameters on the flow. Quite interestingly we have found that the effects of concentration slip parameter are quite like those of thermal slip parameter. Schmidt number $Sc$ is the ratio of dynamic viscosity and Brownian diffusion coefficient. Schmidt number is dependent on the Brownian diffusion coefficient. Therefore, higher values of Schmidt number lead to the lower Brownian diffusion coefficient. As a result, this shows a weaker nanoparticle concentration but increases the local Sherwood number. The non-inclusion of slip effects will clearly lead to erroneous computations which will diverge from results of relevance to practical applications.

Figure 11 presents the distributions for local micro-organism mass transfer rate, $-\chi'(0)$ versus Péclet number, $Pe$ and micro-organism slip, $k$ with different values of bioconvection Lewis number, $Lb$. The graph shows that $-\chi'(0)$ increases with bioconvection Lewis number and bioconvection Péclet number whereas it is reduced considerably with greater micro-organism slip effect. The inclusion of micro-organism slip is therefore important since omission of this effect will lead to over-estimation in magnitudes of local micro-organism mass transfer rate, $-\chi'(0)$. This implies that the adoption of no-slip conditions will produce results which deviate from real situations encountered in industrial systems.

CONCLUSION

Enhancement of mathematical model has been done for three-dimensional bioconvection flow of nanofluids containing gyrotactic micro-organisms from a bi-axial stretching sheet with anisotropic slip, thermal jump and mass slip effects. The dual (primary and secondary) momentum, nano-particle concentration, energy and micro-organism density conservation equations have been transformed with appropriate variables and under specified boundary conditions. The emerging nonlinear two-point ordinary differential boundary value problem has been solved with the BVP4C MATLAB numerical code. Validation
of solutions has been included with an RKF shooting quadrature. A parametric study has been conducted of the influence of anisotropic slip factors, nanoscale parameters and bioconvection parameters on the momentum, heat, nano-particle and micro-organisms transport phenomena. The present computations have shown that:

The x-direction skin friction is weakly decreased with y-direction hydrodynamic slip whereas it enhanced with increasing bi-axial stretching rate ratio and power-law index. The local Nusselt number is decreased weakly with an increment of Brownian motion whereas it is strongly reduced with greater thermal slip and thermophoresis parameters. The local motile micro-organism mass transfer rate at the sheet is decreased with increasing micro-organism slip whereas it is enhanced with bioconvection Pécllet number and bioconvection Lewis number. Motile micro-organism density number and micro-organisms species boundary layer thickness are both reduced with increasing Schmidt number and bioconvection Lewis number. Motile micro-organism boundary layer thickness is significantly decreased with an increase in bioconvection Pécllet number and micro-organism slip factor. Nano-particle concentration and motile micro-organism density number are elevated with increasing y-direction slip whereas they are reduced with increasing stretching rate ratio. Temperature, nanoparticle volume fraction and motile micro-organism density number are decreased with greater thermal slip. Temperature and nanoparticle volume fraction (concentration) are depressed with increasing nano-particle mass slip parameter, whereas motile micro-organism density is increased substantially. Temperature and nanoparticle volume fraction magnitudes are enhanced with increasing x-direction slip.

The current analysis has presented some insight into anisotropic slip and bi-axial stretching in nanofluids bioconvection boundary layer flow. The computations may be relevant to materials processing of nano-bioconvection systems and nano-bio-fuel cells. Attention has been confined however to Newtonian viscous base fluids and shape effects of nano-particles have been ignored. Future studies will aim to examine nanoparticle shape effects and non-Newtonian base fluid models (Hassan et al. 2017; Majeed et al. 2018; Soid et al. 2018; Zokri et al. 2018).
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