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**ABSTRACT**

This study aims to compare the chemical composition of honey samples produced by *Heterotrigona itama* and *Tetrigona binghami* which originated from Sarawak, Malaysia. One hundred and six (106) honey samples were collected from local bee farms and analysed in terms of their chemical profiles. The chemical analysis conducted includes physicochemical composition such as moisture, total phenolic content, sugar, 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (5-HMF), pH and organic acids and proximate analysis which included ash, protein, carbohydrates and energy. Independent T-test was used as a statistical tool to investigate the significant difference between the composition of both honey samples. The results showed that honey samples of *Heterotrigona itama* and *Tetrigona binghami* possessed significant difference (*p*<0.05) in moisture, total phenolic content, fructose, glucose, pH, protein, gluconic acid, acetic acid, ash, carbohydrates and energy. The honey samples of *Heterotrigona itama* exhibited significantly higher fructose and glucose at the average of 22.00 ± 3.48 g/100 g and 23.45 ± 3.23 g/100 g, respectively. Besides, the honey samples also possessed higher pH value, gluconic acid, ash, carbohydrates and energy. Meanwhile, *Tetrigona binghami* honey samples possessed significantly (*p*<0.05) higher moisture content, total phenolic content, protein and acetic acid compared to the *Heterotrigona itama*’s honey samples. To conclude, the geographical and floral origins of honey are the two important quality parameters which fundamentally affect the physical-chemical properties as well as biological activities of honey samples.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Stingless bees (*Meliponini*) belong to the order of Hymenoptera in the family of Apidae. They consist of five genera, the most common being *Trigona* and *Melipona*. The *Meliponinae* are the only group of bees which have been imprinted in the fossil record spanning most of the Cenozoic (Rasmussen & Cameron 2009). The cultural importance of the species known as Xunan-Kab (*Melipona beecheii*), was recorded by the Maya in their codices (Cortopassi-Laurino et al. 2006). As highly eusocial insects, stingless bees exhibit extreme task specialisation especially in collecting nectars. Besides, they are also recognised for their role as natural pollinator and provider of the ecosystem service. Stingless bees do not sting but they may have large colonies for their nest protection.

To date, over eight hundred (800) species in 61 named genera of the stingless bee reside in most tropical and subtropics rainforest around the globe, mainly in South America, Africa, Australia and Asia (Cortopassi-Laurino et al. 2006; Michener 2013). In Malaysia, a total of...
twenty-nine (29) stingless bee species was documented in Peninsular Malaysia and out of this, 17 species were known to inhabit the virgin forest. The most common meliponiculture species in Malaysia are *Heterotrigona itama* (Figure 1) due to the high demand and abundance. *Heterotrigona itama* is known as a pollinating agent for most of the crops in Malaysia. Meliponiculture is a term used for stingless bee keeping. The domestication of all Malaysian stingless bee species could not be achieved as some forest stingless bee species such as *Tetrigona binghami* (Figure 2) is resin dependent (Jaapar et al. 2016; Leonhardt et al. 2011). Removal of these species from the rainforest will collapse their habitat as there are no resources for their food and hives.

The honey of stingless bees is a sugary liquid with a sour taste and aroma. It can be grouped into a few categories according to its physical and chemical constituents, which are fundamentally related to the physiology of the production of the raw material, ethnological and botanical sources, geographical origin, bee species and conditions of the ecosystem of the habitat (Alvarez-Suarez et al. 2010; Badolato et al. 2017; Escuredo et al. 2014; Kek et al. 2017; Sousa et al. 2016). Several researchers reported that the variability of the chemical compositions and characteristics of honey are dependent on the bee species and botanical origin (Boussaid et al. 2018; Gil & Pehlivan 2018; Kumar et al. 2018; Tuksiita et al. 2018). There are significant differences in terms of the composition of the stingless bees honey produced by different bee species and geographical origins such as Brazil (Biluca et al. 2016; Da Silva et al. 2013; Sousa et al. 2016), Thailand (Chuttong et al. 2016) and Malaysia (Kek et al. 2014; Moniruzzaman et al. 2014; Tuksiita et al. 2018). As an example, the moisture content of the stingless bees honeys, *Homotrigona fimbriata* and *Lepidotrigona terminata* from Thailand are 41 g/100 g and 30 g/100 g, respectively (Chuttong et al. 2016). Besides, Biluca et al. (2016) also showed a variation of moisture content, electric conductivity, acidity free, pH and diastase activity for stingless bee’s honey samples produced by *Melipona bicolor* and *Melipona quadriasciata* which originated from Brazil.

The increasing production of stingless bees honey and overwhelming demands of the market for natural remedies necessitate research on the composition of honey from different geographical origins and species which reflected the originality of the honey products. Meanwhile, there is a lack of identity and quality standards on the stingless bees’ products (Guerrini et al. 2009). The standards set by the Codex Alimentarius Commision (2001) could not be applied for the stingless bee honey as this standard is specifically applied to the *Apis mellifera* honey. Currently, the Standards (2017) is the main reference point for checking the physiochemical and nutritional properties of the stingless bee honey produced in Malaysia.

In the present study, the physicochemical and nutritional properties of *Heterotrigona itama* and *Tetrigona binghami* honey samples which originated from Sarawak, Malaysia were analysed and compared using a statistical approach. The honey samples were collected from the bee farms located at Nanga Dap (inland region) and Tanjung Manis (coastal region) of Sarawak, Malaysia (Table 1). Several analyses such as the moisture content, total phenolic content, predominant sugars content, 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (5-HMF), acetic acid, gluconic acid, pH, ash, energy, protein, carbohydrates and energy were conducted. Following this, an independent T-test was employed using the SPSS software to determine the significant difference between the data for the honey samples.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**HONEY SAMPLES**

The honey samples of raw stingless bee were provided by Rimbunan Hijau Bee Farms Sdn. Bhd, Sibu, Sarawak, Malaysia. As illustrated in Table 1, a total of ninety-six (96) *Heterotrigona itama* honey samples are collected from two bee farms in Sarawak. Meanwhile, ten (10) *Tetrigona binghami* honey samples are collected from both farms due to the limited availability of this resin dependant stingless bees. All the honey samples were harvested in November 2017.

The nectar sources was mainly from the *Acacia mangium* trees. The honey samples were extracted using laboratory syringe from independent honey hives and inserted into individual glass bottles. All the samples were refrigerated at 4°C until the analysis was conducted within a period of two weeks.
Table 1. Sample collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Location of bee farm (Sarawak, Malaysia)</th>
<th>Number of honey samples, n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heterotrigona itama</td>
<td>Nanga Dap, Kanowit</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tanjung Manis, Mukah</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrigona binghami</td>
<td>Nanga Dap, Kanowit</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tanjung Manis, Mukah</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Physicochemical analysis**

In the physicochemical analysis, six (6) types of chemical properties were investigated – moisture content, total phenolic content (TPC), sugars content, 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural (5-HMF), pH and organic acids.

**Moisture content**

The moisture content of the honey samples was measured using a refractometer (RHF-30ATC, China) according to AOAC Official Method 919.38 (AOAC 2016). All the measurements were performed at 20°C.

**Total phenolic content (TPC)**

The TPC was examined using the Folin Ciocalteu spectrophotometric method (Kek et al. 2014). The honey sample (1 g) was diluted to 20 mL with distilled water. The honey solution (1 mL) was then pipetted into 5 mL of Folin Ciocalteu reagents (0.2 N) and incubated for 5 min in room temperature. Then, 4 mL of 7.5% w/v aqueous sodium carbonate solution was added and further incubated at room temperature for 2 h. The absorbance of the mixture was measured at wavelength 765 nm against distilled water blank using the UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Cary 60, Agilent Technologies, U.S.A). Gallic acid was used to produce the standard calibration curve with the concentration ranging from 2 to 10 g/L. The sugar content was expressed in g per 100 g of honey.

**5-Hydroxymethylfurfural (5-HMF) analysis**

The 5-HMF analysis was conducted according to AOAC Official Method 980.23 (AOAC 2016) using the HPLC 1200 Infinity LC system (Agilent Technologies, U.S.A) equipped with an autosampler and a photodiode array detector. A 5% w/v of the honey solution was prepared and filtered through a 0.45 µm nylon filter. Isocratic elution was performed on a ZORBAX Eclipse XDB C18 column size 4.6 × 150 mm, 5 µm (Agilent Technologies, U.S.A) and mobile phase methanol-water (10:90, v/v) at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. The injection volume was 20 µL, column temperature of 25°C and a wavelength of 280 nm (Mendes et al. 1998). The standard calibration curve was generated with a concentration of 5-HMF from 5 to 25 mg/kg. The amount of 5-HMF was recorded in the unit mg per kg of honey.

**pH analysis**

The pH of honey samples was determined according to the AOAC method 962.19 (AOAC 2016). A waterproof HI60 pH meter (Hach, USA) was used to measure the pH value.

**Organic acids analysis**

The two organic acids, gluconic acid and acetic acid in the honey samples were identified and quantified using the HPLC method with minor modifications (Cherchi et al. 1994). One gram of the stingless bee honey sample was dissolved in 20 mL of distilled water, filtered with 0.45 µm filter paper followed by being injected into the HPLC system equipped with a photodiode array detector. The identification of gluconic acid and acetic acid was performed by isocratic elution with reversed phase column, ZORBAX Eclipse XDB C18 (Agilent Technologies, U.S.A) and ion-exclusion column, Phenomenex Rezek ROA Organic acid column (Phenomenex, U.S.A), respectively. The experiments were conducted with the mobile phase of 0.005 N sulphuric acid and flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. The injection volume was 10 µL with the column temperature of 40°C and the 210 nm of wavelength. The organic acids standard curves were prepared for the concentrations ranging from 2 to 10 g/L each. The organic acids were expressed in the form of percentage (%) of each compound.
PROXIMATE ANALYSIS

In the proximate analysis, the energy value, carbohydrates content, crude protein and ash were evaluated. The analyses were performed according to AOAC (2016).

ENERGY VALUE

The energy value was calculated using (1).

\[
\text{Energy value (in kcal per 100 g honey)} = \frac{[(\text{Protein} \times 4) + (\text{Total Carbohydrate} \times 4) + (\text{Fat} \times 9)]}{100} \times 9 \times 4
\]

CARBOHYDRATES CONTENT

The carbohydrates contents were calculated using (2).

\[
\text{Carbohydrates (in unit g/ 100 g) = 100 – [Moisture + Ash + Fat + Protein]} \quad (2)
\]

CRUDE PROTEIN

The Kjeldahl method according to AOAC 920.52 (AOAC 2016) was applied in the determination of crude protein content. The honey sample (2 g) was digested with digester machine (FOSS Labtec Line, Sweden) equipped with a scrubber at 420°C for 1 h 15 min. After cooling, the digester tube underwent a distillation process with a distillation machine (FOSS Kjeltc 8100, Sweden). Then, titration step was done using 0.1 N of the hydrochloric acid (HCl) with a receiver solution that consists of methyl red and bromocresol green as indicators in the 0.4% boric acid. The percentage of protein was calculated from the percentage of nitrogen content (3) with a universal conversion factor of 6.25 (4).

\[
% \text{N} = \frac{[\text{Normality of HCl} \times (\text{Volume sample} - \text{Volume blank})] \times 0.014 \times 100}{\text{Weight of sample}} \quad (3)
\]

\[
% \text{Protein} = % \text{N} \times 6.25 \quad (4)
\]

ASH CONTENT

The ash content of the honey samples was measured according to the AOAC Official Method 920.181 (AOAC 2016) by placing a crucible at 100°C in an oven for 1 h. After being cooled in a desiccator, the weight of the empty crucible was measured. Then, five grams of honey was placed into a crucible and then incinerated at 600°C for 2 h in the furnace (Nabertherm, Germany). The weight of the crucible was measured again after cooling in a desiccator.

STATISTICAL DATA ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis was carried out with IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) (SPSS Inc., U.S.A) version 23. Independent T-test was conducted in order to evaluate the significant difference at a confidence level of 95% (\( p<0.05 \)) between the quantified physicochemical and proximate compositions of the stingless bee honey samples from the two different geographical origins.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The physicochemical analysis of the honey samples included moisture, total phenolic content, fructose content, glucose content, sucrose content, maltose content, 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (5-HMF), pH and organic acids (gluconic acid and acetic acid) (raw data not shown). Meanwhile, the protein, ash, energy and carbohydrates are the four proximate composition under investigation (raw data not shown). The present study conducted an independent T-test for the statistical analysis using the SPSS software version 23. Tables 2 and 3 show the statistical analysis results for physicochemical and proximate analysis for the honey samples. The results with a significant difference in the same column are denoted with different superscript letters in the same column.

From the ninety-six (96) *Heterotrigona itama* honey samples, the average moisture content is 30.80 ± 1.37 g/100 g while the moisture content of the ten (10) *Tetrigona binghami* honey samples is 36.45 ± 1.75 g/100 g, respectively (Table 2). *Tetrigona binghami* honey exhibits higher moisture content compared to the honey samples produced by the *Heterotrigona itama* species. An independent T-test shows a significant difference (\( p<0.05 \)) between the moisture content of both species. The stingless bee colonies are predominantly found in the tropical and subtropical regions of the world (Guerrini et al. 2009). Tropical areas which include rainforests have the benefit of abundant rainfall and high humidity. Hence, they contribute to the higher moisture content of stingless bee honey. In comparison with the normal stinging bee honey *Apis mellifera*, the stingless bee honey usually has a higher moisture content. For example, the moisture content of all the tested Saudi *Apis mellifera* honey was found to range from 12.12% to 17.32% (Alqarni et al. 2012).

The average value of the total phenolic content for *Heterotrigona itama* honey samples is 477.30 ± 133.59 mg GAE/kg which is significantly lower compared to the total phenolic content of the *Tetrigona binghami* honey samples which is 578.00 ± 137.50 mg GAE/kg (Table 2). Honey from *Trigona* spp. exhibits higher levels of polyphenolic content compared to the honey from *Apis* spp (Kek et al. 2014). The existence of polyphenolic compounds in the honey is directly related to botanical resources and floral origins (Aljadi & Kamaruddin 2004; Khalil et al. 2011).

Da Silva et al. (2013) reported there were fourteen (14) different phenolic compounds commonly present in the methanol extracts of the stingless honey samples. The polyphenolic compounds present in the honey are normally from the nectar of flowers, pollen and propolis (Da Silva et al. 2013; Estevinho et al. 2008). In addition, the total phenolic content may become a significant indicator of the antioxidant capacity of honey samples (Da Silva et al. 2013; Khalil et al. 2011). In the present study, the honey samples from the coastal area, Tanjung Manis have a higher total phenolic content (509.20 ±126.7 mg GAE/kg) compared to honey from Nanga Dap (435.38 ± 133.9 mg GAE/kg). Hence, the samples from Tanjung Manis might
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Honey</th>
<th>Moisture (%)</th>
<th>Total phenolic content (mg GAE/kg)</th>
<th>Fructose (g/100 g)</th>
<th>Glucose (g/100 g)</th>
<th>Sucrose (g/100 g)</th>
<th>Maltose (g/100 g)</th>
<th>5-HMF (mg/kg)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Gluconic acid (%)</th>
<th>Acetic acid (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Heterotrigona itama</em></td>
<td>30.80 ± 1.37&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>477.30 ± 133.59&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22.00 ± 3.48&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23.44 ± 3.23&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.24&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>27.41 ± 8.32&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.48 ± 1.37&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.30 ± 0.19&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.80 ± 1.51&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.19&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(<em>n</em> = 96)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tetrigona binghami</em></td>
<td>36.45 ± 1.75&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>578.00 ± 137.50&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15.43 ± 3.97&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>19.84 ± 4.87&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ND&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>27.95 ± 3.47&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.44&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.90 ± 0.37&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.51 ± 1.49&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.34&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(<em>n</em> = 10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND= Not detected
Mean values in the same column with different superscript letters are significantly different (*p* < 0.05).
possess higher antioxidant capacity in comparison with the samples collected from the inland, Nanga Dap.

The total sugar content for *Heterotrigona itama* honey samples are higher compared to the *Tetrigona binghami* honey samples. According to Table 1, the average fructose content of *Heterotrigona itama* honey samples is 22.00 ± 3.48 g/100 g which is 42.6% higher compared to honey samples of *Tetrigona binghami*. Besides, an independent T-test indicates that there is a significant difference (*p*<0.05) between the simple sugars found in the honey samples of *Heterotrigona itama* and *Tetrigona binghami*. The taste of raw honey produced by *Heterotrigona itama* is sweeter compared to the honey samples of *Tetrigona binghami*. However, the total simple sugars of these stingless bees’ honey such as fructose and glucose are lower compared to the Tunisian honeys and Colombian stingless bee honey (Fuenmayor et al. 2013). The simple sugars such as fructose and glucose are reported to be lower in stingless bee honey samples compared to the *Apis mellifera* honey samples (Chuttong et al. 2016). Sucrose is not detected in most of the honey samples. A similar outcome is found by Chuttong et al. (2016) when only five out of the twenty-eight stingless bee honey samples were detected with sucrose.

The 5-HMF level is a major freshness indicator for honey. Fresh honey samples do not usually contain 5-HMF, but it increases depending on the pH of the honey and the storage condition (Alqarni et al. 2012). Standards (2017) permits a maximum 5-HMF level of 30.0 mg per kg of honey. Meanwhile, the maximum proposed value of HMF by Codex (2001) is 80 mg/kg. In the present study, eighty-one (81) out of ninety-six (96) honey samples of *Heterotrigona itama* do not contain 5-HMF. The total of 80% honey samples of *Tetrigona binghami* do not contain 5-HMF. The results indicated that these stingless bees honey samples are very fresh. Table 1 shows that there is no significant difference between the 5-HMF level of honey samples from both species.

Table 2 shows the pH of the honey samples of *Heterotrigona itama* ranges from 2.92 to 3.58. Meanwhile, the pH of the honey samples of *Tetrigona binghami* ranges from 2.01 to 3.46. An independent T-test shows that there is a significant difference (*p*<0.05) of pH and organic acids between the honey samples of both species. The honey samples of *Tetrigona binghami* possess higher pH and acetic acid compared to the honey samples of *Heterotrigona itama*. On the other hand, stingless bee honey samples of *Heterotrigona itama* exhibit a higher percentage of gluconic acid. Gluconic acid or pentahydroxycaproic acid as it is also known is a product of glucose through a dehydrogenation reaction catalyzed by glucose oxidase. Fermentation is the most common conversion method to produce gluconic acid (Ramachandran et al. 2006). The presence of organic acids in stingless bee honey contributed to low pH and sourness of the honey.

Four types of proximate analyses were conducted in this study (Table 3). The honey samples were evaluated on the ash, protein, carbohydrates and energy. A significant differences (*p* < 0.05) can be found between the honey samples of *Heterotrigona itama* and *Tetrigona binghami* for all the proximate parameters.

The protein levels of honey samples of *Tetrigona binghami* was significantly higher (0.26 g/100 g to 1.49 g/100 g) compared to the honey samples of the *Heterotrigona itama* (0.09 g/100 g to 1.05 g/100 g). Kek et al. (2017) reported that the protein for Malaysian stingless bee honey was 0.85 g/100 g which was similar to the findings of the current study. The protein level of the honey samples of *Heterotrigona itama* agrees well with Alvarez-Suarez et al. (2010) who stated that the honey normally possessed a small amount of protein. Consumption of protein and amino acids provides several benefits to humans which include immune-stimulating, anti-thrombotic and anti-inflammatory activities (Ares et al. 2013).

The carbohydrates level of the honey samples of *Heterotrigona itama* ranges from 64.75 g/100 g to 72.04 g/100 g is higher compared to the the carbohydrates content of the honey samples of *Tetrigona binghami* ranges from 59.88 g/100 g to 65.06 g/100 g. The higher total amount of sugar in the honey samples of *Heterotrigona itama* has contributed to their high carbohydrate and energy levels. As illustrated in (1), the total energy value is dependent on the amount of protein and the carbohydrates. Therefore, the high level of protein and carbohydrates in the honey samples of *Heterotrigona itama* contributed to the high energy content of samples.

The mean value for the ash content of the honey samples of *Heterotrigona itama* (0.29 ± 0.16 g/100 g) and *Tetrigona binghami* (0.20 ± 0.04 g/100 g) is in permissible level set by the Standards (2017). The ash content in honey samples of *Heterotrigona itama* is significantly higher compared to the samples of *Tetrigona binghami*. The percentage of ash was measured according to the remaining inorganic residue found after the incineration of the honey samples.

### Table 2. Independent T-test of proximate properties of honey samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Honey</th>
<th>Protein (g/100 g)</th>
<th>Ash (g/100 g)</th>
<th>Energy (g/100 g)</th>
<th>Carbohydrates (g/100 g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Heterotrigona itama</em> (<em>n</em> = 96)</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.19&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.16&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>275.31 ± 5.92&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>68.53 ± 1.39&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tetrigona binghami</em> (<em>n</em> = 10)</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.38&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>256.43 ± 11.61&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>62.37 ± 1.89&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean values in the same column with different superscript letters are significantly different (*p* < 0.05)
From the present study, a significant difference ($p<0.05$) is observed for all the parameters except for sucrose, 5-HMF and maltoose content. Given the proximate compositions, both types of honey samples are found with a significant difference ($p<0.05$) in the ash, protein, carbohydrates and energy values.

**Conclusion**

The research findings suggested that the physicochemical properties of local Kelulut honey are in accordance with the Malaysia Standard, MS 2683: 2017: *Kelulut* (Stingless bee) honey – Specification (Standard 2017) and thus, we can conclude that honeys from both species are of high quality. However, the present study also indicated that the levels of protein and total phenolic content of honey of *Tetrigna binghami* species are significantly higher while the reducing sugar is relatively low compared to honey of *Heterotrigona itama*. According to Yeow et al. (2013), many factors influence the consumers’ buying behaviours of honey and honey related products. The factors are product quality, medical conditions, brand reputation and pricing. Many of the purported beneficial health effects of consuming honey are due to its total phenolic contents. Since honey of *T. binghami* is rich in total phenolic contents, one might conclude that honey of this species is more valuable compared to the others. Nevertheless, the taste of honey is also an important consideration. Honey of *T. binghami*, with low reducing sugar, tastes sourer than honey of *H. itama*. Consumers that prefer sweetness in their honey may therefore go for the latter.
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