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INTRODUCTION

Leiomyomas are the most common gynecologic and uterine neoplasms which involves almost about 20% to 30% of female in their reproductive age (Fasih et al. 2008). Extra uterine leiomyomas, on the other hand is a rare condition of uterine smooth muscle benign tumour presented outside the uterine. Four main subtypes of extra uterine leiomyoma consist of disseminated peritoneal leiomyomatosis (DPL), benign metastasizing leiomyoma, intravenous leiomyoma, and retroperitoneal leiomyoma (Fasih et al. 2008). It can be seen almost everywhere in the body, from the lung and spine (Barber et al. 2019), to the breast (the differential diagnosis is not always simple because of radiological similarity between the different benign lesions.)

ABSTRACT

Disseminated peritoneal leiomyomatosis (DPL) is a rare condition characterized by the development of multiple smooth muscle-like nodules in extra-uterine region specifically in the peritoneal cavity. We introduce a case of DPL in a 50 year-old-female who presented with symptoms of abdominal pain suspicious for bowel obstruction. With the previous history of total abdominal hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy (TAHBSO) since 10 years ago, bowel obstruction due to adhesion was the first provisional diagnosis. The following CT imaging of the abdomen showed a mass within the pelvis with subsequent laparotomy and evacuation of the mass. Biopsy and histopathology result showed leiomyoma. Second episode of bowel symptoms appeared one year later with abdomino-pelvic CT imaging demonstrated the recurrence of the mass at the same location within the pelvis and she was proceeded with removal of mass with similar histopathological result. While the growth of leiomyoma is mainly dependent on oestrogen hormones, absence of the ovaries as the main source of oestrogen in our case, makes it less likely to become a differential diagnosis. So far, few cases of DPL have been reported in post menopause women. This case report may bring clinical implication in term of guideline management of DPL. A careful history taking and thorough investigation with multidisciplinary experts involvement become a crucial aspect for DPL to be suspected so that the appropriate management, surgical intervention, subsequent follow up and surveillance can be achieved to improve patient outcome.

Keywords: Disseminated peritoneal leiomyomatosis; leiomyoma; post TAHBSO

ABSTRAK


Kata kunci: Leiomioma; leiomiomatosis yang tersebar di peritoneum; pasca TAHBSO
CASE REPORT

Our patient underwent THABSO operation when she was 40 years old for uterine leiomyoma. Ten years later, she presented with an abdominal colicky pain. The CT scan showed a single intra-abdominal mass with a small umbilical hernia and partial intestinal obstruction (Figures 1-3). Umbilical hernia was not the reason for partial bowel obstruction as it was an old pathology with wide neck. Thus, patient was subjected to operation and the peritoneal mass was removed and sent for histopathology. The result of pathology had proved the presence of spindle cell soft tissue tumor in favor of Leiomyoma.

A year later, patient was admitted again into the hospital with the same complaint. Subsequent CT study showed recurrence of intra-abdominal mass at the same location as the previous study with partial bowel obstruction (Figure 4). The operation was performed with removing the mass, and the result of histopathology approved multiple benign-appearing smooth muscle tumour in the abdominal cavity, consistent with leiomyomatosis peritonealis disseminata. The pathologic assessment has also showed some evidence of focal mitotically active areas, based on which further follow up was advised.

FIGURE 1. This sagittal reformate contrast enhanced CT abdomen shows absence of Uterus. Well-defined lobulated avidly enhancing mass within the pouch of Douglas superior to vaginal vault and upper rectum
FIGURE 2. This contrast enhanced CT abdomen showing absence of Uterus and ovaries. There is also a well-defined lobulated, avidly enhancing mass within the pouch of Douglas, superior to vaginal vault and upper rectum.

FIGURE 3. This CT image shows enhancing mass in the pouch of Douglas with no evidence of enlarged Elvis lymph nodes. Incidental finding of an anterior lower abdominal wall hernia with a loop of small bowel within.
DISCUSSION
Leiomyoma the most common gynecologic tumour, which is benign in most of the cases (Mülayim 2015). However, there is possibility of malignant transformation, and so far near 10 cases of malignant transformation was reported (Jeyarajah et al. 2009). While the definite etiology of disseminated peritoneal leiomyomatosis (DPL) is not exactly clear, however, there are few hypothesis based on some evidences and clues presented in published cases that could have been resulted from previous surgical intervention and diagnostic instrumentation on the abdomino-pelvic region (Benton et al. 2018; Chin et al. 2014; Ciebiera et al. 2017; Jeyarajah et al. 2009; Ordulu et al. 2009; Parmar et al. 2017) resulting extra-uterine seeding and disseminated of tumour particles. This consists of hormonal influence, genetic reason, and iatrogenic causes (Al-Talib & Tulandi 2010) which commonly play role in the pathophysiologic process. Hormonal influence itself can be due to excess estrogen and progesterone levels such as long time consumption of oral contraceptive or during pregnancy or increased sensitivity and responsiveness of the receptors (Oi et al. 2018; Rezai 2017). This can justify presence of DPL in post menopause patients and may also happen in men (Wang et al. 2015). In genetic hypothesis, it is postulated that DPL and uterine leiomyoma might be due to a chromosomal abnormality of the X or other chromosomes (Al-Talib & Tulandi 2010).

In our case, DPL was seen after 10 years post TAHBSO. This might be in favour of iatrogenic etiology. Another clue which support this idea is the recurrence of the tumour at the same place after one year. Pathologically, while this tumour is benign in most of the cases, however, there is still minimal risk of malignant transformation. In our case, the histopathology result at the second recurrence reported the evidence of active mitosis where the follow up is essential in such a condition. A few previous cases were also reported almost similar situation where 6 years post TAHBSO for DPL (Momtahan et al. 2011) and 10 years post hysterectomy
for intra-uterine leiomyoma (Saha et al. 2015) subjected for laparotomy and mass removal eventually showed as leiomyoma in histopathology.

While in most of the time, uterine leiomyoma is readily seen in ultrasound examination of the pelvis and there is no need for further study such as CT scan since it does not have any characteristic imaging findings. DPL CT scan would be more beneficial in the case extra-uterine leiomyoma. The CT findings, depending of the size of the tumour, will show a well-defined enhancing mass (most common heterogenous). Sometimes, calcification or cystic degeneration might be seen within the mass. These features are coming within the differential diagnosis list of many other abdominal pathology, some the most important ones include peritoneal carcinomatosis, mesothelioma, tuberculosis, desmoid tumour and GIST (Gottlieb et al. 2018). Absence of enlarged abdominal lymph nodes in DPL, except in the case of malignant transformation, lack of ascites, possible location near to the myomectomy site are some other imaging points which highlight the DPL occurrence. Further differentiation can be obtained from the medical history of the patient. History of the uterine fibroid or myomectomy is the most helpful evidence.

In comparison to CT findings, MR imaging would be more accurate to diagnose DPL. As in the case of leiomyoma, it will follow the signal intensity of the muscles on both T1 an T2 sequences (Hiremath et al. 2016). In spite of all mentioned above, the definite diagnosis of DPL would be finalized by histopathology. Although imaging is beneficial to find out the exact location of the tumour, the numbers, the anatomical relation with nearby structures, presence or absence of calcification, recurrence or any further complication such as compression effect to adjacent organs or bowel obstruction.

Hormonal therapy is one of the commonest options for conservative therapy which may provide disease control, but the response rate is still not clearly elucidated. However, the systemic chemotherapy appeared to have potential response (Lin et al. 2008). Aggressive surgery, on the other hand, might bring the risk of recurrence at the site of operation due to dissemination of the tumor cells and may be reserved in the case of high-risk malignant transformation (Marwah et al. 2012).

CONCLUSION
Leiomyomas occur infrequently outside the uterus. Although they are histologically benign, extra uterine leiomyomas may mimic malignant tumors at imaging and may present a diagnostic challenge. The clinical symptoms and imaging features depend on the location of the lesion and on its growth pattern. Familiarity with potential extra uterine sites and with the complete spectrum of imaging features of these tumors, including their more unusual manifestations, facilitates their timely diagnosis and appropriate management.
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