Seasonal Occurrence, Distribution and Group Size of Irrawaddy Dolphins (*Orcaella brevirostris*) in the Bay of Brunei, Brunei Darussalam
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FAKHRAH DAYANA HAJI ISMAIL, NURLISA AZIZUL, SAIFULLAH ARIFIN JAAMAN*, AZMI MARZUKI MUDA, MOHD VOL MOMIN, BOHARI ABDULLAH, XUELEI ZHANG & HAIRUL MASRINI MUHAMAD

ABSTRACT

The uniqueness of the Bay of Brunei makes it an ideal place to be inhabited by Irrawaddy dolphins. However, the increasing potential impacts of anthropogenic factors and environmental changes on the dolphins are alarming. The objectives of this study were to determine the seasonal occurrence and distribution, and to estimate the group size of Irrawaddy dolphins in the Bay of Brunei. Surveys were conducted between January 2016 and April 2018. The total distance surveyed was 2,439.2 km in 189.13 h (survey effort = 13,081.93 km.h). Forty-nine groups of Irrawaddy dolphins were recorded with a sighting rate of 0.37 per 100 km.h. Thirteen of the groups were observed with calves. Overall, the group size ranged between 1 and 15 individuals with a mean of 4.5 individuals (SD = 3.3). There was no statistical significance in the number of dolphin sightings between four different monsoon seasons (Northeast, Southwest, April Inter-monsoon, and October Inter-monsoon) ($\chi^2 = 5.66$, df = 3, p > 0.05). The number of sightings and number of individuals suggest that Irrawaddy dolphins are residents of the Bay of Brunei and are in need of a conservation management plan.
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INTRODUCTION

Cetacean species are widely distributed in marine environments. Of the world’s ca. 90 cetacean species, three can be found only in freshwater habitat (Amazon river dolphin *Inia geoffrensis*, South Asian river dolphin *Platanista gangetica* and Yangtze river dolphin *Lipotes vexillifer*) and another four species inhabit both coastal and freshwater environment (Yangtze finless porpoise, *Neophocaena asiarientalis* ssp. *asiarientalis*, Irrawaddy dolphin, *Orcaella brevirostris* and tucuxi *Sotalia*...
Irrawaddy dolphins (*Orcaella brevirostris*) were first mentioned in the literature of Owen (1866). It was described that the species was found in 1852 at the mouth of the Vishakapatnam (previous known as Vizagapatam) river along the east coast of India (Stacey & Leatherwood 1997).

Irrawaddy dolphins are patchily distributed in coastal, brackish and fresh waters in the tropical and sub-tropical Indo-Pacific (Jackson-Ricketts 2016; Jefferson et al. 2008). They have been recorded in rivers (Bali et al. 2017; Jefferson et al. 2008; Smith 2004; Smith & Beasley 2004a, 2004b), lakes (Beasley et al. 2003, 2002; Pattnaik et al. 2007) and coastal waters (Hines et al. 2015; Minton et al. 2017; Perrin et al. 1996; Pilleri & Gihr 1974; Smith et al. 1997; Sutaria & Marsh 2011) across Southeast Asia. Generally, the status of coastal Irrawaddy dolphins reported by the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species was Endangered (Rodríguez-Vargas et al. 2019) and river Irrawaddy dolphin’s status was Critically Endangered due small population size (<50 mature individual) (Minton et al. 2017). Their coastal and freshwater distribution exposes them to numerous anthropogenic threats such as accidental bycatch in fisheries, entangle by the fishing net and habitat loss (Junchompoo et al. 2013), over fishing (Silvestre & Garces 2004), boat disturbance, i.e. noise (Jackson-Ricketts 2016) and intense shipping and maritime activities (Lim 1992).

The Bay of Brunei is one of the areas that are inhabited by the Irrawaddy dolphins in Asia. In the Bay of Brunei, whilst Irrawaddy dolphins have been sighted in Brunei waters (Elkin 1992; Gibson-Hill 1950, 1949; Jiang et al. 2020; Stacey & Letherwood 1997; Weber 1923), much of the literature for the region focuses on the species in Malaysian waters. Past studies on Irrawaddy dolphin in Malaysia side of the bay have been mostly investigated on their distribution, population, abundance, and acoustics (Jaaman et al. 2016; Jiang et al. 2020, 2019; Mahmud 2016; Mahmud et al. 2018a, 2018b; Muhamad et al. 2020, 2018). Species presence in the bay may be due to favorable environmental conditions. The bay receives fresh water input from the rivers of Sungai (Sg.) Batang, Sg. Bengkulut, Sg. Punang, Sg. Awar-awat, Sg. Sundar, and Sg. Trusan. The bay is predominantly bordered by mangrove forest, which is known to support high diversity of marine species (i.e. fish and shrimp) (Ministry of Industry and Primary Resources 2015). In addition, the area is secluded from strong winds and rough seas, providing shelter for nurturing calves. At present, information on the distribution of the Irrawaddy dolphins in the bay is rudimentary and inadequately documented (Jaaman & Bali 2011). Missing baseline data on Brunei’s Irrawaddy dolphins is required as it may affect the conservation of the species across the entire bay.

The well documented information is required to support the development of effective conservation management plans of Irrawaddy dolphin. Thus, the current study aims to determine the occurrence, distribution, and the group size of Irrawaddy dolphins in the Brunei waters of the Bay of Brunei during Northeast monsoon (November-March), April Inter-monsoon (April), Southwest monsoon (May-September), October Inter-monsoon (October).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**STUDY SITE**

The surveys were conducted in the Bay of Brunei, Brunei Darussalam, located at the north-western coast of the Borneo Island and east of Bandar Seri Begawan (5°00’43.44” N, 115°17’26.66” E). The bay is split into four jurisdictions, Malaysian Sarawak, Sabah and Federal Territory of Labuan, and Brunei (Figure 1). The unique ecosystems of the bay support several ecosystems, including mangrove forests, seagrass beds, coral reefs, and mudflats.

Annually, the area receives a substantial amount of rain and has a high humidity level (70% to 90%) with daily temperature between 24 °C-30 °C. According to Tisen (2011), the bay is affected by two types of monsoon seasons which are Northeast (November - March) and Southwest (May - September). The transitions between these two monsoons occur in April and October. Major periods of heavy rain occur during the Northeast monsoon. It is the rainstorm climate frameworks, in conjunction with cool air episodes from Siberia, which create downpours that continue for several days. In contrast, during the Southwest monsoon, the weather is hotter and drier. The winds blow toward the south-west at speeds of less than 15 knots. This condition may also lead to the formation of haze in the land area.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Comprehensive boat-based surveys were conducted in the Bay of Brunei for two to five days every four months between January 2016 and April 2018, using standard direct count method. Four different routes were designed to ensure the entire area was covered thoroughly, covering mangrove, riverine, and coastal areas. Survey routes followed modified lines transect selected based on the
topography of the area. For this study, the transect lines were designed within less than five kilometers from the shore. This is because according to Atkin et al. (2004), the dolphins preferred to utilize the area within two kilometers of the shore extensively. However, when modifying the survey routes, the limitation factors such as depth, tides, security and the size or endurance of the boat were considered and it prompted the transect line to not be placed randomly.

The data collected covered all the monsoon seasons (Northeast monsoon, Southwest monsoon, April Inter-monsoon, October Inter-monsoon). The surveys were conducted only during daytime between 0800 h and 1700 h, in sea conditions no more than Beaufort 3. The speed of the survey boat was maintained between 10 km per h and 15 km per h. According to Weir (2009), the speed of the boat should not exceed 15 km per hour, to ensure the observers were able to distinguish their surroundings noticeably.

During surveys, there were a minimum of three observers searching for dolphins. One observer observed the port side and one observed the starboard side, both used naked eyes and occasionally scanned the area with NIKON marine binoculars $7 \times 50$ WP Compass from the bow to the stern (180°). The third observer used the same technique to observe 90° on either side of the bow and acted as a data recorder.

When dolphins were spotted, the effort was adjourned and all the observers were notified to the dolphin’s sighting position. The boat approached the dolphins at slower and constant speed to avoid any disturbance towards dolphin’s behaviour and direction. The GPS position of the dolphins and time were immediately recorded using a GARMIN GPSMAP 78s handheld Global Positioning System (GPS). The fundamental data on the number of individuals in the group, the presence of mother and calf pairs, time and Beaufort Sea state were recorded. A distance of between 20 m and 50 m from the dolphins was maintained and they were followed until they disappeared. All navigational and sighting data collected during the surveys were transferred and saved in a Microsoft Excel database. The seasonal distribution maps were generated by using the GPS points transferred into QGIS 3.16 Hannover Software.

The survey effort was determined by multiplying the distance surveyed by the time surveyed (1). Wang et al. (2001) stated that the analysed data should be standardized to both distance and time used (km.h).
The standardization to time alone may not accurately represent amount of area surveyed if the time spent for observing the animals or the boat’s speed for searching were different. The same concept was applied to distance as it would not represent the amount of effort per unit of distance. The modification for differences in effort was using the same principle as catch per unit effort (CPUE).

Survey effort = Distance surveyed (km) × time surveyed (h)                                               (1)

The occurrence of Irrawaddy dolphins was determined by calculating a sighting rate. Sighting rates were calculated as number of sighting per unit effort (2). The data were presented according to monsoon seasons.

\[
\text{Sighting rate} = \frac{\text{Total number of sighting}}{\text{Total survey effort (km.h)}} \times 100 \quad (2)
\]

Chi-square (\(\chi^2\)) test (using IBM SPSS Statistics 26 for windows software) was used to find the relationship between the occurrence and the monsoon seasons. The mean group size of Irrawaddy dolphins was determined from the best count of individuals in each group sighted.

**RESULTS**

**SURVEY EFFORT**

Overall, 36 days were spent conducting boat survey in the Bay of Brunei from January 2016 to April 2018. The total distance covered was 2,439.2 km during 189.13 h of ‘on effort’ searching (Figure 2). The total daily effort (the total of surveyed distance and time summed over all trip) was 13,081.93 km.h and all the effort were made in Beaufort Sea state < 4. The number of sightings recorded between January 2016 and April 2018 was 49.

**SIGHTING DISTRIBUTION AND RATE**

Sightings of Irrawaddy dolphins were made during all four seasons (Table 1) and were not distributed evenly between each season (Figure 3). The sightings of Irrawaddy dolphins in the river area mostly reported during Southwest monsoon and no sighting was reported in this area during Northeast monsoon. During Northeast monsoon and October Inter-monsoon Irrawaddy dolphins were commonly found in the middle area of the bay. The sightings were concentrated near to Pulau Cermin and Pulau Pelumpung during April Inter-monsoon.
Overall, the sighting rate reported from January 2016 to April 2018 was 0.37 per 100 km.h. April Inter-monsoon recorded the highest sighting rate which was 0.59 sightings per 100 km.h of survey effort. The lowest sighting rate was during the Northeast monsoon where the sighting rate was only 0.25 per 100 km.h of survey effort, making the number of sightings in the April Inter-monsoon double compared to the Northeast monsoon. Southeast monsoon and October Inter-monsoon shared the same number of sightings which were 11 with a sighting rate of 0.37 and 0.32 per 100 km.h of survey effort, respectively. The observed and expected number of dolphins sighted during the four monsoon seasons were not statistically different ($\chi^2 = 5.66, df = 3, p = 0.129$).

### TABLE 1. Summary of boat surveys conducted in the Bay of Brunei between January 2016 and April 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seasons</th>
<th>Day surveyed</th>
<th>Distance (km)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Survey effort (km.h)</th>
<th>No. of sighting</th>
<th>Sighting rate (100 km.h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Monsoon</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>691.9</td>
<td>51.13</td>
<td>3610.39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April Inter-Monsoon</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>656.2</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>3036.24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest Monsoon</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>504.4</td>
<td>41.06</td>
<td>2990.57</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October Inter-Monsoon</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>586.7</td>
<td>51.84</td>
<td>3444.73</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2439.2</td>
<td>189.13</td>
<td>13081.93</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 3. Sightings of Irrawaddy dolphins during Northeast monsoon (n = 9), April Inter-monsoon (n = 18), Southwest monsoon (n = 11), and October Inter-monsoon (n = 11)
GROUP SIZE
Throughout the survey period, Irrawaddy dolphins were the only species of cetacean recorded in Bruneian waters making the overall mean of the group size 4.5 (SD = ±3.3). As the total number of sightings was 49, only 13 (26.53%) sightings were recorded with association of mother and calf. The group size ranged between 1 and 15 individuals (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seasons</th>
<th>Num. of sighting (% of total)</th>
<th>Sighting rate (Per 100 km.h)</th>
<th>Num. of groups with calf</th>
<th>Num. of individual (% of total)</th>
<th>Group size</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northeast monsoon</td>
<td>9 (18.3%)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>62 (28.2%)</td>
<td>6.9 ± SD = 4.2</td>
<td>1 - 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>18 (36.7%)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>88 (40.0%)</td>
<td>4.9 ± SD = 10.2</td>
<td>1 - 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-monsoon</td>
<td>11 (22.5%)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33 (15.0%)</td>
<td>3.0 ± SD = 1.5</td>
<td>1 - 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest monsoon</td>
<td>11 (22.4%)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>37 (16.8%)</td>
<td>3.4 ± SD = 1.6</td>
<td>1 - 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-monsoon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.5 ± SD = 3.3</td>
<td>1 - 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION
The sighting rate of the Bay of Brunei, Brunei Darussalam was 0.37 per 100 km.h. It is relatively high compared to the sighting rate of the adjacent area where according to Jaaman et al. (2016) the sighting rate in the Bay of Brunei, Malaysia was 0.21 per 100 km.h. Statistically, the seasonal study of Irrawaddy dolphins showed that there was no significant difference between seasons by comparing the number of sightings per hour of survey efforts. Thus, it indicates that the occurrence can happen throughout the entire year (Figure 4). The same results occurred in Banten bay, Indonesia (Khalifa et al. 2014), the Bay of Brunei, Malaysia (Mahmud 2016), and Kep Archipelago, Cambodia (Tubbs et al. 2020).

The Bay of Brunei is mainly surrounded by the mangrove forest and receives a substantial amount of freshwater input. Prey may be provided by the extensive mangrove forests which provide important breeding grounds for many fish species. Irrawaddy dolphins have been found to feed on several species of estuarine and demersal fishes (Baird & Mounsouphom 1997; Barros et al. 2004; Jefferson 2000; Jefferson & Hung 2004; Marsh et al. 1998; Ross 2002). The movements of this highly mobile dolphin species may have been influenced by the movement of the preferred prey. In addition, the records of Irrawaddy dolphins in areas where freshwater and saltwater mix, may be the result of prey aggregation in these areas. Baird and Mounsouphom (1994) had mentioned that the dolphin in Mekong River was less sighted in the study area during high water season as the dolphins followed the prey species to the larger tributaries.

For other small coastal species, there was a study of Indo-Pacific Humpback dolphins in Xiamen Bay, China showed that the seasonal changes affected the availability of prey resources (Wang et al. 2016). During Northeast monsoon, the prey’s distributions were widely dispersed away from the coast while they were amassed closer to the river during Southwest monsoon (Wang et al. 2016).

The variation in freshwater input due to seasonal variation in rainfall may also affect the seasonal sighting rate. The study on the impact of flood event on dolphin occupancy conducted by Fury and Harrison (2011) showed...
that during Northeast monsoon (wet season) the Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops aduncus) tend to move further away from the river mouth. Possibly, the records of Irrawaddy dolphins in the Bay of Brunei were affected by the same factor as only 33% of dolphins were recorded to be closer with the main rivers during Northeast monsoon.

According to Hashim and Jaaman (2011) and Stacey and Hvenegaard (2002), Irrawaddy dolphins show high sensitivity to noise pollutions such as roaring sounds from boats and ship engines, excessive ship and boat traffic, and coastal construction work. Based on the observation, all those activities were occurred in this area. During the time of the study, Temburong Bridge was being constructed along the bay, causing noise pollution. At early stage of the construction process there were a lot of piling events, yet Irrawaddy dolphins were still sighted and the numbers of sightings were increase over the years passes except during October Inter-monsoon (Table 2). There were records of Irrawaddy dolphin feeding and socializing near to the piling activity area. They went deep dive and had a quick surfacing to breath when the pilling activity were carried out or when the speed boat passing through the area. They also had positive interaction with the fishermen as they were found to swim towards fishermen boats and surfaced behind the trawler to feed on the fish caught in the net. 22% of occurrences were recorded near Pulau Cermin, which is located approximately 800 m from the bridge, less than 1000 m from the shore and 1500 m from Kampung Ayer (water village). It shows that the dolphins have positively adapted to the new environment. Irrawaddy dolphins may adapt to this disturbance as they have done in other locations for example by doing deep diving, decrease surfacing time for breathing as they have in the Mekong River (Stacey & Hvenegaard 2002) and changing the swimming direction when they encountered container barged in the Mahakam river (Kreb et al. 2007).

Living in a group provides some advantages to the dolphins such as increased foraging opportunity, predator avoidance efficiency, and access to breeding opportunities (Stacey & Hvenegaard 2002). The mean group size of Irrawaddy dolphins in the Bay of Brunei, Brunei Darussalam found during this study was 4.5 (SD = 3.3, range 1-15). In the current study, only 13 (26.5%)
of the 49 groups contained calves. Whereas, Jaaman et al. (2016) reported more than 50% of Irrawaddy dolphin occurrences in Malaysia’s Bay of Brunei to have calves present, and Mahmud (2016) stated the maximum of two calves were observed in large sized group, while zero to one individual can be sighted in small and medium group size in the Bay of Brunei, Malaysia.

Comparatively, Jaaman et al. (2016) and Mahmud (2016) found mean group sizes to be 7.6 (SD = 7.36, range 1 - 35) and 6 (SE = 0.66, range 1 - 18), respectively, in Malaysia’s dolphins Bay of Brunei. Referring to Kuit et al. (2019), the mean group size of coastal Irrawaddy dolphins recorded in Matag, Perak was 6.4 individuals (S.E. = 0.3, range = 1-32, n = 253) and most of the sighting were made while they were foraging. The same author has stated that 19% of the sightings were recorded with more and equal than 10 individuals. Based on 95 sightings made in Trat Bay, Trat Province, Thailand, it showed the mean group size was 4.94 ± 4.9 and the calves were usually been sighted between December and February (Junchompoo et al. 2013). The group size reported in Trat bay was various depends on the dolphins’ behavior such as foraging for cephalopods (7.2 individual dolphins, SD ± 4.2, n = 12, range = 2 to 18) and herding activity (17.3 individual dolphins SD ± 7.6, n = 12, range = 9 to 30) (Ponnampalam et al. 2013). The mean group size in the Sundarbans mangrove forest was 2.3 (SD ± 1.36, range = 1-6) (Smith et al. 2006).

CONCLUSION

The current study represents the first fundamental information on the occurrence, distribution, and group size of Irrawaddy dolphins in the Bay of Brunei. Overall, 49 sightings were made during the boat surveys carried between January 2016 and April 2018. The average group size was 4.5 ± 3.3 (range 1 to 15) and there were only 13 out of the 49 groups recorded with association of mother and calf. Calf occurrence in the bay indicates that breeding is occurring. This research should be continued to monitor and develop the knowledge of the Irrawaddy dolphins in the Bay of Brunei, and to provide better understanding on population threats. Long term conservation and management plans are needed to lessen the impact of anthropogenic activities on the population. The government should implement speed limits for boats and ships, and enforce fisheries regulation to restrict the use of high-rich bycatch gears. In addition, increased local Irrawaddy dolphin awareness can be achieved through focus group discussion with local fisher communities.
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