Sains Malaysiana 51(1)(2022): 27-38 http://doi.org/10.17576/jsm-2022-5101-03

# Super- or Single Infection: *Wolbachia* Supergrouping of Wild Mosquito Populations from Varied Location Types in Peninsular Malaysia

(Jangkitan Super atau Tunggal: Superkumpulan *Wolbachia* Populasi Nyamuk Liar dari Pelbagai Jenis Lokasi di Semenanjung Malaysia)

NOOR SHAZLEEN HUSNIE MOHD MOHTAR, EMELIA OSMAN, MOHD FARIHAN MD YATIM & AISHAH HANI AZIL\*

## ABSTRACT

Wolbachia has the ability to cause reproductive abnormalities in infected hosts including cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI). CI is activated when there are multiple Wolbachia supergroups or strains infection present in insect populations. Wolbachia-transinfected mosquitoes have been used widely in some countries as a biological control agent. In order to ensure a successful Wolbachia establishment, it is important to determine the diversity of natural Wolbachia present in the wild mosquito populations. The adults and immature stages of mosquitoes were collected from urban, suburban and rural areas and were reared into adults and identified to species before being subjected to molecular analysis. We found that 22% out of 222 males and 34.6% of 543 females tested were carrying Wolbachia based on PCR amplification of the Wolbachia 16S rDNA genes technique. PCR digestion for Wolbachia supergrouping showed that most of the Ae. albopictus were superinfected with Wolbachia (52.41%), whereas 21% and 28% of the positive samples were singly infected with supergroup A and B, respectively. There is an indication that prevalence of Wolbachia varies between mosquito populations in different areas. However, further studies to incorporate both PCR amplication of the Wolbachia to diversity of Wolbachia supergroups is expected to facilitate Wolbachia strategy by helping us to better understand the patterns and impact of the bacteria's transmission in the environment.

Keywords: 16S rDNA; Culicidae; PCR digestion; Wolbachia supergroup

#### ABSTRAK

Wolbachia berkebolehan menyebabkan keabnormalan reproduktif kepada perumah yang dijangkitinya, antaranya ketidakserasian sitoplasma (CI). CI diaktifkan apabila terdapat kepelbagaian jangkitan daripada superkumpulan atau strain Wolbachia yang hadir di dalam sesuatu populasi. Nyamuk transjangkitan Wolbachia ini telah digunakan secara meluas di sesetengah negara sebagai agen kawalan biologi. Namun bagi memastikan keberjayaan Wolbachia untuk bermandiri, adalah penting untuk mengenal pasti kepelbagaian Wolbachia yang hadir secara semula jadi di dalam populasi nyamuk liar. Nyamuk peringkat dewasa dan pra-matang disampel daripada kawasan bandar, pinggir bandar dan pedalaman yang kemudiannya dibiak sehingga dewasa dan spesiesnya dikenal pasti sebelum diteruskan dengan analisis molekul. Berdasarkan kaedah amplifikasi PCR yang menyasarkan gen 16S rDNA, kajian mendapati 22% daripada 222 nyamuk jantan dan 34.6% daripada 543 betina membawa Wolbachia. Pencernaan produk PCR dilakukan bagi menentukan super-kumpulan Wolbachia dan hasilnya majoriti Aedes albopictus dijangkiti Wolbachia daripada kedua-dua superkumpulan A dan B (52.41%) manakala 21% dan 28% daripadanya masing-masing terjangkit secara tunggal, superkumpulan A dan B. Ini menandakan taburan kumpulan Wolbachia adalah berbeza antara populasi nyamuk di kawasan yang berbeza. Namun, kajian lanjutan yang melibatkan sampel saiz yang lebih besar serta gabungan penggunaan dua gen Wolbachia 16S rDNA dan wsp amat diperlukan bagi mengukur kadar jangkitan Wolbachia di Malaysia. Data garis dasar mengenai kepelbagaian superkumpulan Wolbachia yang hadir dijangka dapat membantu mempermudahkan untuk memahami taburannya dan kesan penyebarannya pada persekitaran.

Kata kunci: 16S rDNA gen; Culicidae; pencernaan PCR; superkumpulan Wolbachia

#### INTRODUCTION

Outbreaks of dengue and chikungunya are occurring in Malaysia for the past few years with a higher number of dengue cases reported in 2019 (130,101) compared to 2018 (80,615). However, the number of dengue cases reported until 7 November 2020 was 83,752, indicating a reduction of 25.5% compared to previous year (KKM 2020, 2019). These diseases are transmitted by Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus. Previously, Ae. *albopictus* is predicted to be the main vector responsible for transmission of DENV during the dengue outbreak in China mainland (Luo et al. 2017; Xu et al. 2007). Meanwhile, in Malaysia, Ae. albopictus was abundantly found in urban residential areas, for example Kampung Baru which are located at the centre of Kuala Lumpur (Chen et al. 2006; Rozilawati et al. 2015). Thus, this species could serve as a potential vector for the virus transmission in the areas. Due to increasing reported cases of the vector-borne diseases, extensive control must be planned and executed including those that target the vector. However, high usage of thermal spraying or fogging can result in the development of insecticide resistance in the vectors (Hamdan et al. 2005; Loke et al. 2010). Therefore, biological control which involves the release of Wolbachia-transinfected Ae. aegypti are suggested to be added to complement existing vector control methods. This method is predicted to limit the transmission of dengue viruses by manipulating the Aedes populations.

Releases of females or males infected with selected strain of Wolbachia will play a major role in the successful of population replacement and suppression. The first release of Ae. aegypti transinfected Wolbachia (wMel strain) were conducted in Cairns region of northern Queensland, Australia and a successful of Wolbachia establishment in the released mosquito populations has been reported (Hoffman et al. 2011). Such releases were conducted via the World Mosquito Program involving several dengue-affected countries including Fiji which has undertaken wMel mosquito deployment in 2018 (WMP 2019). Meanwhile, the Wolbachia project in Malaysia involves the releases of Ae. aegypti carrying Wolbachia strain of wAlbB at six localities of dengue endemic areas (Nazni et al. 2019). Two mechanisms are predicted to occur. First mechanism is population replacement which takes place when infected females mate with uninfected males or infected males carrying same supergroup or strain in the field which will result in all progeny carrying Wolbachia. Second, population suppression which occurs when males infected with *Wolbachia* cross-mate with infected females carrying different strain or uninfected females in the field which will produce unhatched eggs. The successful establishments of introduced *Wolbachia* strains were recorded in Australia and Malaysia (Hoffmann et al. 2011; Nazni et al. 2019).

However, the releases of Wolbachia-infected mosquitoes have been involving only Ae. aegypti thus far. It is more straightforward to introduce transinfected Ae. aegypti into the aposymbiotic mosquito populations compared to Ae. albopictus populations, which naturally carry Wolbachia. Many surveys have been conducted on the prevalence of natural Wolbachia strain present in various mosquito species and most of the Ae. albopictus samples collected were found to be positive with Wolbachia (Kittayapong et al. 2000; Nugapola et al. 2017; Rasgon & Scott 2004; Ricci et al. 2002; Zhou et al. 1998). Wolbachia does not induce pathogen interference when it naturally lives inside the host (Mousson et al. 2012). Wolbachia act as a potential gene-driving system by manipulating vector populations when they are artificially infected and cause a wide range of reproductive abnormalities called cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) (Sinkins 2004). The CI activation enables Wolbachia to spread rapidly and replace the uninfected mosquito populations with introduced strain. Several studies have shown the successful development of Wolbachia-transinfected Ae. albopictus through the embryonic microinjection with the selected strains and the capability to suppress the population of Ae. albopictus was demonstrated in the laboratory (Calvitti et al. 2015; Fu et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2016). The successful development of these strains is useful for future dengue vector control by targeting endemic areas which are abundant with Ae. albopictus populations.

However, a better understanding on natural *Wolbachia* infection in the populations are needed before *Wolbachia*-transinfected *Ae. albopictus* are released. Therefore, our main objective was to determine the distribution of *Wolbachia* supergroups infection in the selected mosquito populations located in Peninsular Malaysia using a conventional method, PCR targeting 16Sr DNA gene and supergrouping by PCR-digestion. Based on study conducted by Marcon et al. (2011), both target gene 16S rDNA and *wsp* have been proved to be highly specific and sensitive in detection of *Wolbachia*. The primers designed targeting 16S rDNA have been proved as stand-alone primers which can be used both as detection and *Wolbachia* supergroup classification (Marcon et al. 2011) but *wsp* gene is more preferable

for the phylogenetic study. Furthermore, this study contributes to the current knowledge about *Wolbachia* strains prevalence in wild mosquito populations of a variety of species and locations.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### STUDY AREA AND MOSQUITO COLLECTIONS

This cross-sectional study was conducted in randomly selected areas involving several states in Peninsular Malaysia. Mosquitoes were sampled from March 2014 to May 2015 in several localities (Table 1), before the Wolbachia releases project by Ministry of Health which were conducted in another localities. Selected localities were classified as urban and rural areas. Mosquitoes from various species were collected using several collection methods; BG-Sentinel trap and human landing catch (HLC) for adults. Immature stages were collected using mosquito larvae trapping devices (MLTD) and larva survey. Most of the samplings were conducted during one-site-visit of each location such as BG-Sentinel, HLC and larva survey. MLTD or also known as autocidal trap have been used by Vector Unit of Kuala Lumpur City Hall (DBKL) as surveillance tools for dengue vector. MLTD is made from a cylindrical shape plastic container (24 x 13.5 cm), black funnel, cap and a jacket (black polybag) which used to cover the transparent container (Zainol-Ariffin et al. 2009). The MLTD was filled with approximately 1 L of dechlorinated water and monitored every week for the presence of larvae and eggs. All of these methods were used to maximize collections for both immature and adult stages from each location. The collected larvae and pupae were brought to the insectarium and reared into adults. Adult mosquitoes were identified using several keys of identification and were sorted according to species. Mosquitoes were kept in 95% ethanol and stored at -20 °C prior to DNA extraction.

#### Wolbachia DETECTION

Genomic DNA extraction procedure was conducted according to manufacturer's protocol with several modifications as stated by Noor-Shazleen-Husnie et al. (2018). The DNA extractions were performed by homogenizing whole body of adult mosquito, individually in 100  $\mu$ L of DNAzol® reagents (Life Technologies, USA). Subsequently, 50  $\mu$ L of absolute ethanol AR (Ajax Finechem Pty. Ltd., Australia) were added to the supernatant to precipitate the DNA. The DNA was washed twice with 75% ethanol before been eluted with 50  $\mu$ L of sterilized distilled water (ddH<sub>2</sub>O) and stored at -20 °C. *Wolbachia*-infected *Drosophila simulans* was used as an internal control for the DNA extraction method and act as a positive control during *Wolbachia* screening.

We used published primers targeting approximately 438 bp of 16S rDNA Wolbachia gene (Werren & Windsor 2000). Briefly, the PCR mixtures consisting 3 µL of extracted DNA, 2.5 µL of 10x PCR buffer (Invitrogen), 1 µL of MgCl<sub>2</sub> (50 mM), 0.8 µL of dNTPs (10 mM each), 1 µL of 20 pmol/µL forward and reverse primers and 0.3  $\mu$ L of Taq DNA polymerase (5U/ $\mu$ L). The PCR was performed on an Eppendorf Mastercycler® Pro S (Eppendorf, Germany) with the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 45 s, annealing at 57 °C for 45 s, extension at 72 °C for 1 min 30 s and final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were visualized on a 2.0% agarose gel and viewed under Gel Doc<sup>™</sup> EZ System (Bio-Rad, USA). Negative controls containing ddH,O were included in every run of PCR to exclude the possibility of contamination.

#### PCR DIGESTION

A total reaction of 25  $\mu$ L containing 18  $\mu$ L PCR product, 2.5  $\mu$ L of CutSmart® buffer (10x), 1  $\mu$ L restriction enzyme *Rsa*I (New England Biolabs, USA) and water were added to the final volume. The reaction mixtures were incubated overnight or at least for 16 h at 37 °C. The digestion products were analyzed using 3% agarose gel electrophoresis. Restriction enzyme, *Rsa*I were used to differentiate between *Wolbachia* supergroup A and B by cut the restriction sites GT^AC which are located on 16S rDNA gene which give supergroup A with three fragments (311, 83 and 46 bp) whereas supergroup B with five fragments (165, 146, 67, 46 and 16 bp) (Pourali et al. 2009).

#### ETHICAL APPROVAL

Ethical approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (Project code: FF-2014-074. Reference: UKM 1.5.3.5/244/FF-2014-074).

Location types	District/ Parliament/ State	Localities	Coordinates	Collection methods
Urban Batu		Batu 5, Ipoh Road (DBKL Stor 220)	3°11'47''N 101°40'44''E	MLTD
010mi		Sentul Pasar Road (DBKL Stor 225)	3°11'44"N 101°41'25"E	MLTD
	Bukit Nanas	Forested area in Bukit Nanas	3° 9'7"N 101°42'17"E	BG-Sentinel, HLC
	Bandar Tun Razak	Velodrome Cheras (DBKL Stor 215)	3° 6'37"N 101°43'42"E	MLTD
	Kampung Bharu	UKM College 4, Raja Muda Abdul Aziz Road	3°10'3"N 101°42'33"E	MLTD
		UKM College 5, Raja Abdullah Road	3°10'2"N 101°42'11"E	MLTD
	Melaka	Bendahara Road	2°11'55"N 102°15'08"E	HLC
	Seputeh	Klang Lama Road (DBKL Stor 200)	3° 6'21''N 101°40'40''E	MLTD
	Titiwangsa	UKM College 1, Temerloh Road	3°10'27"N 101°42'38"E	MLTD
	Wangsa Maju	Genting Kelang Road (Construction site)	3°11'55"N 101°42'51"E	Larva survey
		Seksyen 1 Block A8	3°12'31"N 101°44'6"E	MLTD
		Taman Melati Flat	3°13'33"N 101°43'29"E	Larva survey
Rural	Gombak	Ulu Gombak Forest Reserve	3°19'28"N 101°45'1"E	HLC
	Kuala Selangor	Kilang Gula Lama Road, Tanjung Karang	3°24'47"N 101° 9'55"E	BG-Sentinel, HLC, Larva survey
	Kuantan	Panching Road	3°47'57"N 103° 9'58"E	HLC
		Lembing River		
		Riverview Resort	3°54'56"N 103° 1'54"E	BG-Sentinel, HLC
		Islam Cemetery Sg. Mas	3°53'58"N 103° 4'21"E	HLC
		Kg. Sg. Mas (Nearby school)	3°53'58"N 103° 4'34"E	HLC
		Kg. Sg. Mas (Rubber Plantation)	3°53'57"N 103° 4'21"E	HLC
		Deer Farm	3°54'8"N 103° 4'44"E	HLC, Larva survey
	Perak	Batu Gajah, Kinta	4°27'34"N 100° 58'37"E	HLC
		FELDA Gunung Besout, Sungkai	3°50'55"N 101°17'15"E	HLC
	Rawang	Hutan Lipur Kanching	3°17'55"N 101°37'9"E	BG- Sentinel, HLC
	Kompin	Muauzani Shan	3° 3'34"N 103° 5'43"E	BG-Sentinel HIC
		Desa Tanjung	3° 3'26"N 103° 5'24"F	BG-Sentinel HI C
	G 1		2050)7001 10104()400E	MITD
	Serdang	Seksyen /, Bandar Baru Bangi	2°58′/″N 101°46′42″E	MLID
	Snan Alam	Kg. Jalan Kebun	2-39 40 N 101-30 0 E	EG-Senunei, HLC, Larva survey
	Temerloh	Kuala Krau		
		Kg. Felda Rumpun Makmur	3°43'48"N 102°23'1"E	BG-Sentinel, HLC
		Kg. Lubok Wong	3°46'2''N 102°14'27''E	HLC
		Kg. Paya Luas	3°43'31"N 102°19'32"E	HLC
		Kg. Penderas	3°43'49"N 102°17'2"E	HLC
		Kg. Terbol	3°48'49"N 102°13'45"E	HLC
		Gunung Senyum (rubber plantation)	3°41'41"N 102°25'53"E	HLC

#### RESULTS

A total of 1606 mosquitoes were collected and 765 of the mosquitoes belonging to 15 species and five genera were tested for Wolbachia detection by PCR. All samples (765 mosquitoes) were randomly chosen from the total of mosquitoes collected from each sampling sites with a minimum of 30 samples per localities. However, all samples were analysed if  $n \le 30$  for each locality. There are two types of location involved, urban (categorised into eight districts) and rural areas (nine districts) consisting of 32 localities (Table 1). As shown in Table 2, 49.4% (378/765) of the mosquitoes were caught by HLC followed with MLTD (34.6%; 265/765), BG-Sentinel (13.1%; 100/765) and larva survey (2.9%; 22/765). BG-Sentinel traps showed its capability to catch a variety of species (12 species), followed with HLC (7 species), larva survey (4 species) and MLTD (2 species). In this study, Ae. albopictus has the highest number of collections which were mostly caught using HLC and MLTD methods with a total of 266 and 251, respectively. Whereas, 22 of the Ae. aegypti tested were captured during larva survey and using MLTD method.

From the 765 mosquitoes tested across all study sites, 237 (31%) were positive for *Wolbachia* by PCR and more females were found to be infected with *Wolbachia* (34.6%; 188/543) compared to males (22.1%; 49/222). Out of 15 mosquito species tested, only five species were found to be positive for *Wolbachia*. The five species are Aedes albopictus, Armigeres subalbatus, Armigeres spp., Culex mimeticus and Cx. quinquefasciatus (Table 2). For Ae. albopictus, out of 600 mosquitoes tested, 189 of the mosquitoes were positive for Wolbachia with 35.8% for females (145/405) and 22.6% for males (44/195). The absence of Wolbachia in Ae. albopictus populations were shown in mosquitoes collected from Bukit Nanas (n=19), Melaka (n=2) and Rawang (n=17). However, another species of mosquitoes collected from Bukit Nanas, Armigeres subalbatus was found to be positive for Wolbachia. Similar finding was also recorded by mosquitoes collected from Kg. Sg. Mas, Sg. Lembing (grouped into Kuantan) in which 10 out of 13 of Ar. subalbatus captured from these locations were carrying Wolbachia. Despite that, all the Ae. albopictus tested (n=10) from these areas was free from Wolbachia. Different findings were reported for Kg. Rumpun Makmur (grouped into Temerloh); only Ae. albopictus was positive with Wolbachia. Meanwhile, others mosquito species collected from Kg. Rumpun Makmur were all negative. The mosquito species were Aedes (Paraedes) collessi, Ar. subalbatus, Coquillettidia crassipes, Culex gelidus, Cx. hutchinsoni, Cx. mimeticus, Cx. sinensis, Mansonia annulata, Ma. indiana and Ma. uniformis. Similarly, we also found that Ae. albopictus captured from Kg. Jalan Kebun (Shah Alam) were positive with Wolbachia and a total of 11 of Ar. subalbatus collected were tested negative for Wolbachia. However, Kg. Jalan Kebun recorded a very low infection rate of 8.5% for Ae. albopictus (4/47).

	Method of of Collections				Total				Untested		I.I		
Mosquito species	BG Sentinel	HLC	Larva survey	MLTD	mosquitoes tested		Status of infection	Wolbachia Supergroup		for Wolbachia) *		Mosquitoes	
					Male	Female	-	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Aedes aegypti	0	0	8	14	7	15	-	-	-	-	-	7	15
Aedes albopictus	78	266	5	251	195	405	+	41 (A,B,AB)	106 (A,B,AB)	3	39	151	260
Aedes (Paraedes) collessi	0	1	0	0	0	1	-	-	_	-	-	0	1
Armigeres subalbatus	22	47	0	0	1	68	+	1 (A)	29 (A,AB)	0	6	0	33
Armigeres spp.	5	0	0	0	0	5	+	-	1 (A)	0	0	0	4
Coquillettidia crassipes	0	5	0	0	0	5	-	-	_	-	-	0	5
Culex gelidus	5	0	0	0	0	5	-	-	-	-	-	0	5
Culex hutchinsoni	16	0	0	0	12	4	-	-	-	-	-	12	4
Culex mimeticus	1	0	7	0	4	4	+	1 (B)	1 (B)	0	0	3	3
Culex quinquefasciatus	8	1	2	0	3	8	+	3 (B)	6 (B)	0	0	0	2
Culex sinensis	1	0	0	0	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	0	1
Culex sitiens	1	14	0	0	0	15	-	-	-	-	-	0	15
Mansonia annulata	2	0	0	0	0	2	-	-	_	-	-	0	2
Mansonia indiana	1	0	0	0	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	0	1
Mansonia uniformis	1	3	0	0	0	4	-	-	-	-	-	0	4
					222	543	_	46	143	3	45	173 (78%)	355 (65%)
Total	100	378	22 (2.9%)	265 (34.6%)	765			189 237 (31%)		48			
	(13.1%)	(49.4%)											528

TABLE 2. List of mosquitoes species captured by four different collection methods and the status of Wolbachia infection

\* Mosquitoes were positive for Wolbachia but supergrouping was not performed

The prevalence of *Wolbachia* were focused on the *Ae. albopictus* populations categorised in two types of location, urban and sub-urban or rural areas (Table 3). The higher prevalence of *Wolbachia* were observed from Serdang with 95% (19/20) of the mosquitoes collected were carrying *Wolbachia* followed by Perak (73.3%; 22/30), Bandar Tun Razak (69.4%; 25/36), Wangsa Maju (68.4%; 26/38) and Muadzam Shah (50%; 20/40). Other locations showed a lower rate of infection within the range of 4 to 40%. We also found that 4 out of 14 *Wolbachia* positive locations showed the presence of all three *Wolbachia* supergroups. As shown in Table 3, Bandar Tun Razak recorded almost equal number of *Wolbachia* infection among both males and females. Meanwhile, *Ae. albopictus* from Titiwangsa and Kuantan

was predominantly superinfected with both A and B; and followed by single infection. Meanwhile, mosquitoes collected from other three locations were only found to be superinfected with *Wolbachia* (AB), which were the Seputeh (2/5), Gombak (1/12) and Muadzam Shah (20/40) groups. Interestingly, only three locations showed males with supergroup A single infection of *Wolbachia* which were Bandar Tun Razak (3/25), Titiwangsa (3/16) and Kuantan (1/9). In addition, five locations have showed single infection of females with *Wolbachia* from supergroup A. Meanwhile, single infection of males with supergroup B were also found at five locations and females at seven locations. Nonetheless, more males were singly infected by supergroup B with 60.7% (17/28) infection rate as compared to females (39.3%; 11/28).

TABLE 3. Status of Wolbachia supergroup infection of Aedes albopictus in several districts in Malaysia

Location	District/ Parliament/ State	Total mosquito tested (Positive <i>Wolbachia</i> )	Wolbachia Supergroup						Untested positive samples		Non- infected Mosquito	
types			А		В		AB					
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Urban	Batu	27 (2)	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	7	18
	Bukit Nanas	19 (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	18
	Bandar Tun Razak	36 (25)	3	3	5	3	2	7	0	2	0	11
	Kampung Bharu	53 (17)	0	0	1	1	1	14	0	0	27	9
	Seputeh	5 (2)	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	1
	Titiwangsa	75 (16)	3	2	0	1	2	5	1	2	35	24
	Wangsa Maju	38 (26)	0	3	5	1	6	3	1	7	3	9
	Melaka	2 (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Rural	Gombak	12 (1)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	7	4
	Kuala Selangor	25 (1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	7
	Rawang	17 (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	13
	Serdang	20 (19)	0	0	3	1	5	10	0	0	1	0
	Shah Alam	47 (4)	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	15	28
	Perak	30 (22)	0	5	0	0	0	8	1	8	2	6
	Kuantan	27 (9)	1	1	0	2	0	3	0	2	3	15
	Rompin	40 (20)	0	0	0	0	1	18	0	1	11	9
	Temerloh	127 (25)	0	0	3	1	0	6	0	15	16	86
Total		600 (189)	7	14	17	11	17	81	3	39	151	260
			(11.	21 23%)	28 98   (14.97%) (52.41%)							
			147						42		411	

\* Localities: Batu (DBKL MLTD Stor 220 Jalan Ipoh; DBKL MLTD Stor 225 Jalan Sentul Pasar), Bukit Nanas, Bandar Tun Razak (DBKL MLTD Stor 215 Velodrome Cheras), Kampung Bharu (UKM Residential 4 Jalan Raja Muda Abdul Aziz; UKM Residential 5 Jalan Raja Abdullah, now known as PICOMS Residential), Seputeh (DBKL MLTD Stor 200 Jalan Klang Lama), Titiwangsa (UKM Residential 1 Jalan Temerloh), Wangsa Maju (Taman Melati Apartment; DBKL houses Seksyen 1), Melaka (Jalan Bendahara), Gombak (Hutan Simpan Hulu Gombak), Kuala Selangor (Jalan Kilang Gula Lama, Tanjung Karang), Rawang (Hutan Lipur Kanching), Serdang (Seksyen 1 Bandar Baru Bangi), Shah Alam (Kg Jalan Kebun), Perak (Batu Gajah; Felda Gunung Besout), Kuantan (Sg Lembing; Sg Panching), Rompin (Muadzam Shah), Temerloh (Kg Rumpun Makmur; Kg Paya Luas; Kg Lubok Wong; Kg Penderas; Kg Terbol; Taman Eko Rimba Gunung Senyum). DBKL = Kuala Lumpur City Hall, UKM = National University of Malaysia, Sg = Sungai or River, Kg = Kampung or Village

	Male (♂)										
Female (♀)	wAlbA	wAlbB	wAlbAwAlbB	wAlbAwAlbBwMel <sup>a</sup>	wMel <sup>b</sup>	wRi <sup>c</sup>					
wAlbA	Fertile (♂♀A)	Bi-CI	Uni-CI	Bi-CI	Bi-CI	Bi-CI (Incomplete CI)					
wAlbB	Bi-CI	Fertile (♂♀B)	Uni-CI	Bi-CI		Bi-CI (Incomplete CI)					
wAlbAwAlbB	Fertile (♂♀AB)	Fertile (♂♀AB)	Fertile	Uni-CI (Complete CI)	Bi-CI <sup>a,b</sup> (Complete CI)	Bi-CI (Incomplete CI)					
wAlbAwAlbBwMelª	Bi-CI	Bi-CI	Uni-CI (Incomplete CI)	Fertile (Low hatch rate)	Fertile	-					
wMel <sup>b</sup>	Bi-CI	Bi-CI	Bi-CI (Complete CI)	Bi-CI (Complete CI)	Fertile (High hatch rate)	-					
wRi <sup>c</sup>	Bi-CI (Incomplete CI)	Bi-CI (Incomplete CI)	Bi-CI (Incomplete CI)	-	-	Fertile (Half hatch rate)					
Uninfected	Uni-CI	Uni-CI	Uni-CI <sup>b</sup> (Complete CI)	Uni-CI (Complete CI)	Uni-CI (Complete CI)	Uni-CI (Incomplete CI)					

# TABLE 4. Possible crossing patterns between wild mosquitoes from Bandar Tun Razak and (hypothetically) released mosquitoes containing introduced *Wolbachia* strain(s)

\*Supergroup AB = strain wAlbA+ wAlbB; Supergroup A = strain wAlbA; Supergroup B = strain wAlbB; Several pattern of crosses have been tested as annotation as (a) study by Ant and Sinkins (2018), (b) study by Blagrove et al. (2012), (c) study by Xi et al. (2006); The results of crosses were described as Complete CI which means eggs fail to hatch and Incomplete CI which means ability of eggs to hatch (but shows reduction in hatching rates)

#### DISCUSSION

This current paper extends from our previous article (Noor-Shazleen-Husnie et al. 2018). Here, we discussed in greater details on the types of Wolbachia infection occurred in males and females captured from different populations of mosquito. As previously reported, a lower rate of infection was recorded with 31% out of 765 mosquitoes molecularly tested were positive with Wolbachia. This study exhibited that more females (34.6%) were infected with Wolbachia compared to males (22.1%) (Table 2). Lower rate of infection was also reported from Thailand and Sri Lanka with positivity of 28.1% and 26.4%, respectively (Kittayapong et al. 2000; Nugapola et al. 2017). Out of 13 mosquito species collected from seven provinces of Sri Lanka, only four species were detected with Wolbachia which were Ae. albopictus, Ar. subalbatus, Cx. quinquefasciatus, and Ma. uniformis. Meanwhile, a study conducted in other regions of Thailand showed a high prevalence of

*Wolbachia*, 61.6% (n=1622 tested) and 28 species out of 74 species screened were infected (Wiwatanaratanabutr et al. 2013). Several reasons can be associated with these variations of the infection rate observed. For instance, different *Wolbachia* detection method employed and genes selection for testing could contribute to the variability of the results. A study has shown an increase in the number of *Wolbachia*-positive samples after they changed into a new target DNA, *wsp* gene from previously used, *ftsZ* (de Albuquerque et al. 2011). Previously, Marcon et al. (2011) have suggested that the combination of 16S rDNA and *wsp* targets genes is the best molecular method for *Wolbachia* detection that could prevent false negative results. In our study, we used 16S rDNA as the target gene and *RsaI* digestion to class the *Wolbachia* into supergroup.

Our surveillance on *Ae. albopictus* populations found that most of the localities were predominantly superinfected with *Wolbachia* by which females (55.9%; 81/145) and males (38.6%; 17/44). Both rural and urban

areas showed the presence of all three types of Wolbachia supergroup with a high number of supergroup single infections recorded in this study. Two previous studies conducted in Malaysia reported a very low or no-single infection recorded, albeit higher rate (almost 100%) of Wolbachia superinfection of Wolbachia were recorded from Ae. albopictus populations (Afizah et al. 2017, 2015). Although the mothers carried high density of Wolbachia, it is not confirmed that all its progeny will carry the same density of this endosymbiotic bacteria, Wolbachia. A study conducted by Ahantarig et al. (2008) showed a high-density infection of F<sub>0</sub> mother with wAlbB (supergroup B) did not produce  $F_1$  (progeny) with a high-density of wAlbB. The variation of Wolbachia density may plays role in the CI activation which enables the spreads of introduced strain (Wolbachia-transinfected mosquito) and Wolbachia is randomly passed through generations from mothers to male and female offspring (Ahantarig et al. 2008). However, in this study, we found a low infection rate was recorded in males as compared to females. This low infection of Wolbachia detected in males could be due to low Wolbachia density presence inside the mosquitoes making it difficult to be detected by conventional PCR method. Previously, reduction of wAlbA density in males at day 5 of post-emergence has been shown, whilst the density of wAlbA infection in females were found to increase throughout maturation. Mosquitoes age, sex, and different populations play role in Wolbachia distribution (Tortosa et al. 2010).

Our study has shown a variation of Wolbachia infections detected from different localities. The variation of Wolbachia supergroups detected in populations could be due to the activation of CI that changed the female fitness (Sinkins 2004). Superinfected females of Ae. albopictus have the advantages of having the compatibility to mate with all types of males (A, B, AB or non-infected) and all offspring will be carrying both supergroup A and B (Dobson et al. 2004; Kittayapong et al. 2002). Kittayapong et al. (2002) showed that superinfected mother from field collection can produce progeny carrying single infection of Wolbachia either supergroup A or B (12.5%; 10 out of 80 mothers). In our study, half of the mosquitoes collected from Rompin district were infected with both Wolbachia supergroups without the presence of single infection. This is different from Bandar Tun Razak which recorded almost equal number of Wolbachia supergroups infection in both males and females. In this condition, various possible crossmating are predicted to happen involving the activation of two types of CI which are unidirectional (Uni-CI) and bi-directional CI (Bi-CI). However, disadvantages will happen when the infected males do not harbor the same *Wolbachia* supergroup as in females which results in no offspring and suppresses the populations. The modification of sperm by infected *Wolbachia* cannot be rescued in embryo of infected females which then will activate the CI (Brelsfoard & Dobson 2009; Dobson et al. 2004). Due to the high prevalence of superinfected *Ae. albopictus* in natural environment, several studies have developed the artificial *Wolbachia* triple-strain superinfection in *Ae. albopictus*. Theoretically, this will enable the activation of Uni-CI that might increase the possibility of population replacement (Ant & Sinkins 2018; Fu et al. 2010).

We have predicted possible cross-mating that might occur in the case of Bandar Tun Razak if these artificially infected Ae. albopictus are introduced (illustrated in Table 4). All males at the Bandar Tun Razak were infected with Wolbachia. Therefore, population replacement with the introduced strain might be harder to achieve because the compatible crosses between male and female of artificially generated strains of wAlbAwAlbBwMel will result in low number of eggs hatched (Ant & Sinkins 2018). Meanwhile, a study by Fu et al. (2010) have successfully developed males Ae. albopictus carrying three Wolbachia strains which are wAlbA, wAlbB and wRi, and the cross-mating with natural superinfected females (wAlbA, wAlbB) showed a new pattern of Uni-CI but still able to produce eggs hatching rate of 16%.

Three localities of Ae. albopictus were free with Wolbachia which are mosquito collected from forested area in Bukit Nanas, Jalan Bendahara in Melaka and Hutan Lipur Kanching located at Rawang. It is difficult to conclude that Melaka group was entirely free from Wolbachia due to the low number of samples tested (n=2). Therefore, a further study is needed in order to confirm this. However, Ae. albopictus from Bukit Nanas and Rawang were free of Wolbachia and the location types may play roles in the absent of Wolbachia as both localities are categorized as natural rainforest which are located at Kuala Lumpur, Capital City of Malaysia. The geographical condition become the limitation for transportation to access thus, prevent the influx of outside mosquitoes (infected) into the population that free of Wolbachia. Similar finding was also reported in a study carried out in Lahore, Pakistan which showed that out of 24 Ae. albopictus tested, none of them were positive for Wolbachia (Gulraiz et al. 2019). The study has postulated that high temperature condition during the samplings had

caused the *Wolbachia* density inside mosquito to reduce which made detection difficult. Furthermore, a previous study in Panama indicated that extreme dry season had an effect towards the natural *Wolbachia* densities inside the beetle *Chelymorpha alternans* (Keller et al. 2004). In addition, the effect of constant temperatures (up to 40 °C) on *Wolbachia*-infected eggs have been tested and reduction of *Wolbachia* density in adult mosquitoes was shown (Ross et al. 2019a).

Other than Ae. albopictus, Ar. subalbatus, and Cx. quinquefasciatus, we have found that Cx. mimeticus captured from Sg. Lembing were positive with Wolbachia from supergroup B. This study is first to report Wolbachia-positive Cx. mimeticus, after negative infection status had been reported from previous studies (Kittayapong et al. 2000; Wiwatanaratanabutr et al. 2013). Nonetheless, Ae. aegypti collected from urban study areas showed negative infection of Wolbachia which are in line with most studies in other countries (Gulraiz et al. 2019; Kittayapong et al. 2000). Rossi et al. (2015) have postulated that the absence of Wolbachia in Ae. aegypti is associated with the presence of other types of bacteria in mosquito reproductive system known as Asaia. Symbiont bacteria, Asaia have the potential as biological control agent for vector borne diseases (Ricci et al. 2012). Previously, a Malaysian study reported the presence of Wolbachia in 25% of Ae. aegypti larvae collected from a collection site (Teo et al. 2017). Meanwhile, several studies recently have also reported the presence of natural Wolbachia from the screened Ae. aegypti (Carvajal et al. 2019; Kulkarni et al. 2019). Higher rate of infection was showed by Ae. aegypti collected from New Mexico, in which 57.4% out of 148 was found to be infected with Wolbachia from supergroup B (Kulkarni et al. 2019). In 2019, Ross et al. (2019b) conducted cross-mating experiment involving Ae. aegypti originated from the study sites of Kulkarni et al. (2019) and detection of Wolbachia was conducted using highly sensitive molecular methods. However, the results are contrary with the findings as none of the sample was positive with Wolbachia. They postulated that crosscontamination between positive mosquitoes in previous study may contributed to the false positive results. Our study had taken several protective measures to prevent the cross contamination such as by taking extra precaution while opening the sample tubes when doing the DNA extraction. In addition, negative and positive control were always included as internal control either during PCR or DNA extraction (Noor-Shazleen-Husnie et al. 2018). Furthermore, all mosquitoes were individually

tested instead of pool in group to prevent misdetection in low infected mosquito population (Kulkarni et al. 2019).

From our study, we have successfully detected Wolbachia in various species of mosquitoes such as Ae. albopictus, Ar. subalbatus, Cx. quinquefasciatus, and Cx. mimeticus by targeting 16S rDNA gene. A study conducted by Wong et al. (2020) showed that most of the mosquito tested were found positive when using 16S rRNA primers compared to wsp primers especially in Anopheles genera. Meanwhile, their study did not detect Wolbachia in Ar. subalbatus and only detected it in a low number of Ae. albopictus using 16S rRNA. However, our study found that Wolbachia was able to be detected using 16s rDNA primers in both of the mosquito species. Therefore, we believed that 16S rDNA could be used as target gene if we would like to conduct the Wolbachia detection when involving various species of mosquitoes as first screening molecular method.

Therefore, to overcome problems of low-density detection of Wolbachia in the infected mosquito, a highly specific and sensitive molecular technique such as LAMP is required. According to Gonçalves et al. (2019), the analytical sensitivity and specificity of the LAMP assay reached 99.6% and 92.2%, respectively, with a positive predictive value of 97.08% and a negative predictive value of 99.30%. In fact, several studies have reported that LAMP assay can be applied as an alternative technique to replace the gold standard, PCR for Wolbachia detection when involving large-scale screening (Gonçalves et al. 2019; Noor-Shazleen-Husnie et al. 2018). We recommend this assay as a rapid, costeffective and simple method that could be applied within the field at short notice and utilised by users with limited training. All the equipment that would be required would be a hot-block or water bath (Lau et al. 2011). Reagent-wise, the costs would be similar to that of PCR, but the real advantage of this would be the rapidity of this assay, yielding results within an hour of testing, compared to 4-8 hours taken with the PCR method (Notomi et al. 2015).

#### CONCLUSION

Wolbachia-infected mosquito is one of the potential control approaches that would enable reduction of the use of chemical application and our reliance on insecticide. This promising approach has been used in several dengue-endemic areas in Malaysia by releasing *Aedes aegypti* carrying selected strain of *Wolbachia*. Meanwhile, *Ae. albopictus* must not be forgotten as they also play role in the transmission of vector-borne diseases especially dengue and chikungunya. In the next few years, *Ae. albopictus* microinjected with selected *Wolbachia* strain(s) might be used as vector and disease control. Therefore, baseline data on the distribution of natural *Wolbachia* in wild mosquito populations, including *Ae. albopictus*, presented in our manuscript will help to predict and provide better understanding on the outcomes of progeny when CI is activated in the wild mosquito populations. However, further studies are needed to understand the distribution of natural *Wolbachia* infection in Malaysia mosquito populations using molecular technique that incorporated amplification of both 16S rDNA and *wsp* genes with large scale of mosquito screening before the application of this biological control can be implemented widely.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank staff from our department who were involved in the mosquito collections. Many thanks also go to Vector Unit staff from DBKL lead by Dr. Zainol Ariffin Pawanchee, for their help in providing mosquito samples collected from MLTD surveillance and larva surveys. This research was funded by UKM under Geran Galakan Penyelidik Muda (GGPM-2013-105) and Dana Fundamental PPUKM (FF-2014-074).

#### REFERENCES

- Afizah, A.N., Vythilingam, I., Lim, Y.A., Zabari, N.Z.A.M. & Lee, H.L. 2017. Detection of *Wolbachia* in *Aedes albopictus* and their effects on chikungunya virus. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 96(1): 148-156.
- Afizah, A.N., Roziah, A., Nazni, W.A. & Lee, H.L. 2015. Detection of *Wolbachia* from field collected *Aedes albopictus* Skuse in Malaysia. *Indian Journal of Medical Research* 142(2): 205-210.
- Ahantarig, A., Trinachartvanit, W. & Kittayapong, P. 2008. Relative Wolbachia density of field collected Aedes albopictus mosquitoes in Thailand. Journal of Vector Ecology 33(1): 173-177.
- Ant, T.H. & Sinkins, S.P. 2018. A Wolbachia triple-strain infection generates self-incompatibility in Aedes albopictus and transmission instability in Aedes aegypti. Parasites and Vectors 11(1): 295.
- Blagrove, M.S., Arias-Goeta, C., Failloux, A.B. & Sinkins, S.P. 2012. Wolbachia strain wMel induces cytoplasmic incompatibility and blocks dengue transmission in Aedes albopictus. In Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. pp. 255-260.
- Brelsfoard, CL. & Dobson, S.L. 2009. Wolbachia-based strategies to control insect pests and disease vectors. Asia-Pacific Journal of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology 17(3): 55-63.

- Calvitti, M., Marini, F., Desiderio, A., Puggioli, A. & Moretti, R. 2015. *Wolbachia* density and cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Aedes albopictus*: Concerns with using artificial *Wolbachia* infection as a vector suppression tool. *PLoS ONE* 10(3): e0121813.
- Carvajal, T.M., Hashimoto, K., Harnandika, R.K., Amalin, D.M. & Watanabe, K. 2019. Detection of *Wolbachia* in fieldcollected *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes in metropolitan Manila, Philippines. *Parasites and Vectors* 12(1): 361.
- Chen, C.D., Seleena, B., Nazni, W.A., Lee, H.L., Mohd Masri, S.M., Chiang, Y.F. & Sofian Azirun, M. 2006. Dengue vectors surveillance in endemic areas in Kuala Lumpur city centre and Selangor state, Malaysia. *Dengue Bulletin* 30: 197-203.
- de Albuquerque, A.L., Magalhães, T. & Ayres, C.F.J. 2011. High prevalence and lack of diversity of *Wolbachia pipientis* in *Aedes albopictus* populations from northeast Brazil. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* 106(6): 773-776.
- Dobson, S.L., Rattanadechakul, W. & Marsland, E.J. 2004. Fitness advantage and cytoplasmic incompatibility in Wolbachia single and superinfected Aedes albopictus. Heredity 93(2): 135-142.
- Fu, Y., Gavotte, L., Mercer, D.R. & Dobson, S.L. 2010. Artificial triple Wolbachia infection in Aedes albopictus yields a new pattern of unidirectional cytoplasmic incompatibility. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 76(17): 5887-5891.
- Gonçalves, D., Hooker, D.J., Dong, Y., Baran, N., Kyrylos, P., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Simmons, C.P. & O'Neill, S.L. 2019. Detecting wMel Wolbachia in field-collected Aedes aegypti mosquitoes using loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP). Parasites & Vectors 12(1): 1-5.
- Gulraiz, M., Alvi, F.M., Mustafa, T., Razzaq, A. & Latif, H.S. 2019. Distribution of *Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus* and *Culex* sp. and detection of *Wolbachia* among them in city district Lahore. *Journal of Fatima Jinnah Medical University* 13(2): 55-58.
- Hamdan, H., Sofian-Azirun, M., Nazni, W.A. & Lee, H.L. 2005. Insecticide resistance development in *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Say), *Aedes aegypti* (L.) and *Aedes albopictus* (Skuse) larvae against malathion, permethrin and temephos. *Tropical Biomedicine* 22: 45-52.
- Hoffmann, A.A., Montgomery, B.L., Popovici, J., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Johnson, P.H., Muzzi, F., Greenfield, M., Durkan, M., Leong, Y.S., Dong, Y. & Cook, H. 2011. Successful establishment of *Wolbachia* in *Aedes* populations to suppress dengue transmission. *Nature* 476(7361): 454-457.
- Keller, G.P., Windsor, D.M., Saucedo, J.M. & Werren, J.H. 2004. Reproductive effects and geographical distributions of two *Wolbachia* strains infecting the Neotropical beetle, *Chelymorpha alternans* Boh. (Chrysomelidae, Cassidinae). *Molecular Ecology* 13(8): 2405-2420.
- Kittayapong, P., Baisley, K., Sharpe, R., Baimai, V. & O'Neill, S. 2002. Maternal transmission efficiency of *Wolbachia* superinfections in *Aedes albopictus* populations in Thailand. *American Journal Tropical Medicine Hygiene* 66(1): 103-107.

- Kittayapong, P., Baisley, K.J., Baimai, V. & O'Neill, S.L. 2000. Distribution and diversity of *Wolbachia* infections in Southeast Asian mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology* 37: 340-345.
- KKM 2020. Kenyataan Akhbar Ketua Pengarah Kesihatan Malaysia Mengenai Situasi Denggi, Zika dan Chikungunya di Malaysia - ME 45.2020. Malaysia: Kementerian Kesihatan Malaysia (KKM). Accessed on 22 November 2020.
- KKM 2019. I-Dengue: Statistik Denggi. Malaysia: Kementerian Kesihatan Malaysia (KKM). Accessed on 22 November 2020.
- Kulkarni, A., Yu, W., Jiang, J., Sanchez, C., Karna, A.K., Martinez, K.J., Hanley, K.A., Buenemann, M., Hansen, I.A., Xue, R.D. & Ettestad, P. 2019. *Wolbachia pipientis* occurs in *Aedes aegypti* populations in New Mexico and Florida, USA. *Ecology and Evolution* 9(10): 6148-6156.
- Lau, Y.L., Fong, M.Y., Mahmud, R., Chang, P.Y., Palaeya, V., Cheong, F.W., Chin, L.C., Anthony, C.N., Al-Mekhlafi, A.M. & Chen, Y. 2011. Specific, sensitive and rapid detection of human *Plasmodium knowlesi* infection by loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) in blood samples. *Malaria Journal* 10(1): 1-6.
- Loke, S.R., Andy-Tan, W.A., Benjamin, S., Lee, H.L. & Sofian-Azirun, M. 2010. Susceptibility of field-collected Aedes aegypti (L.) (Diptera: Culicidae) to Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis and temephos. Tropical Biomedicine 27: 493-450.
- Luo, L., Jiang, L.Y., Xiao, X.C., Di, B., Jing, Q.L., Wang, S.Y., Tang, J.L., Wang, M., Tang, X.P. & Yang, Z.C. 2017. The dengue preface to endemic in mainland China: The historical largest outbreak by *Aedes albopictus* in Guangzhou, 2014. *Infectious Diseases of Poverty* 6(1): 148.
- Marcon, H.S., Coscrato, V.E., Selivon, D., Perondini, A.L.P. & Marino, C.L. 2011. Variations in the sensitivity of different primers for detecting *Wolbachia* in *Anastrepha* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* 42(2): 778-785.
- Mousson, L., Zouache, K., Arias-Goeta, C., Raquin, V., Mavingui, P., Failloux, A.B. & Lambrechts, L. 2012. The native *Wolbachia* symbionts limit transmission of dengue virus in *Aedes albopictus*. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 6(12): e1989.
- Nazni, W.A., Hoffmann, A.A., Noor Afizah, A., Cheong, Y.L., Mancini, M.V., Golding, N., Kamarul, G.M., Arif, M.A., Thohir, H., Nur Syamimi, H. & Zatil Aqmar, M.Z. 2019. Establishment of *Wolbachia* strain wAlbB in Malaysian populations of *Aedes aegypti* for dengue control. *Current Biology* 29(24): 4241-4248.
- Noor-Shazleen-Husnie, M.M., Emelia, O., Ahmad-Firdaus, M.S., Zainol-Ariffin, P. & Aishah-Hani, A. 2018. Detection of *Wolbachia* in wild mosquito populations from selected areas in Peninsular Malaysia by loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) technique. *Tropical Biomedicine* 35(2): 330-346.
- Notomi, T., Mori, Y., Tomita, N. & Kanda, H. 2015. Loopmediated isothermal amplification (LAMP): principle, features, and future prospects. *Journal of Microbiology* 53(1): 1-5.

- Nugapola, N.N.P., De Silva, W.P.P. & Karunaratne, S.P. 2017. Distribution and phylogeny of *Wolbachia* strains in wild mosquito populations in Sri Lanka. *Parasites and Vectors* 10(1): 230.
- Pourali, P., Roayaei, A.M., Jolodar, A. & Razi, J.M.H. 2009. PCR screening of the *Wolbachia* in some arthropods and nematodes in Khuzestan province. *Iranian Journal of Veterinary Research* 10(3): 216-222.
- Rasgon, J.L. & Scott, T.W. 2004. An initial survey for Wolbachia (Rickettsiales: Rickettsiaceae) infections in selected California mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). Journal of Medical Entomology 41(2): 255-257.
- Ricci, I., Valzano, M., Ulissi, U., Epis, S., Cappelli, A. & Favia, G. 2012. Symbiotic control of mosquito borne disease. *Pathogens and Global Health* 106(7): 380-385.
- Ricci, I., Cancrini, G., Gabrielli, S., D'amelio, S. & Favia, G. 2002. Searching for *Wolbachia* (Rickettsiales: Rickettsiaceae) in mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae): Large polymerase chain reaction survey and new identifications. *Journal of Medical Entomology* 39(4): 562-567.
- Ross, P.A., Callahan, A.G., Yang, Q., Jasper, M., Arif, M.A., Afizah, A.N., Nazni, W.A. & Hoffmann, A.A. 2019a. An elusive endosymbiont: Does *Wolbachia* occur naturally in *Aedes aegypti? Ecology and Evolution* 10(3): 1581-1591.
- Ross, P.A., Ritchie, S.A., Axford, J.K. & Hoffmann, A.A. 2019b. Loss of cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Wolbachia*-infected *Aedes aegypti* under field conditions. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 13(4): e0007357.
- Rossi, P., Ricci, I., Cappelli, A., Damiani, C., Ulissi, U., Mancini, M.V., Valzano, M., Capone, A., Epis, S., Crotti, E. & Chouaia, B. 2015. Mutual exclusion of *Asaia* and *Wolbachia* in the reproductive organs of mosquito vectors. *Parasites and Vectors* 8(1): 278.
- Rozilawati, H., Tanaselvi, K., Nazni, W.A., Masri, S.M., Zairi, J., Adanan, C.R. & Lee, H.L. 2015. Surveillance of *Aedes albopictus* Skuse breeding preference in selected dengue outbreak localities, Peninsular Malaysia. *Tropical Biomedicine* 32(1): 49-64.
- Sinkins, S.P. 2004. Wolbachia and cytoplasmic incompatibility in mosquitoes. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 34(7): 723-729.
- Teo, C.H.J., Lim, P., Voon, K. & Mak, J.W. 2017. Detection of dengue viruses and *Wolbachia* in *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* larvae from four urban localities in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. *Tropical Biomedicine* 34(3): 583-597.
- Tortosa, P., Charlat, S., Labbe, P., Dehecq, J.S., Barré, H. & Weill, M. 2010. Wolbachia age sex-specific density in Aedes albopictus: A host evolutionary response to cytoplasmic incompatibility? PLoS ONE 5(3): e9700.
- Werren, J.H. & Windsor, D.M. 2000. Wolbachia infection frequencies in insects: Evidence of a global equilibrium? In Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences. pp. 1277-1285.
- Wiwatanaratanabutr, I. 2013. Geographic distribution of wolbachial infections in mosquitoes from Thailand. *Journal* of Invertebrate Pathology 114(3): 337-340.

- WMP 2019. The World Mosquito Program's Wolbachia Method is Helping Communities Around the World Prevent the Spread of Mosquito-Borne Disease. Sri Lanka: World Mosquito Program (WMP).
- Wong, M.L., Liew, J.W.K., Wong, W.K., Pramasivan, S., Hassan, N.M., Sulaiman, W.Y.W., Jeyaprakasam, N.K., Leong, C.S., Low, V.L. & Vythilingam, I. 2020. Natural *Wolbachia* infection in field-collected *Anopheles* and other mosquito species from Malaysia. *Parasites and Vectors* 13(1): 1-15.
- Xu, G., Dong, H., Shi, N., Liu, S., Zhou, A., Cheng, Z., Chen, G., Liu, J., Fang, T., Zhang, H. & Gu, C. 2007. An outbreak of dengue virus serotype 1 infection in Cixi, Ningbo, People's Republic of China, 2004, associated with a traveler from Thailand and high density of *Aedes albopictus*. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 76(6): 1182-1188.
- Zainol, A.P., Ahmad, Z.Z., Norhayati, S., Umi, A., Osman, H., Awaluddin, M.A., Abdul, H., Omar, H. & Mohd, H.M. 2009. Using mosquito larvae trapping device as an additional tool for dengue fever control in Kuala Lumpur. *Malaysian Journal* of *Public Health Medicine* 9(Suppl. 2): 34.
- Zhang, D., Lees, R.S., Xi, Z., Bourtzis, K. & Gilles, J.R.L. 2016. Combining the sterile insect technique with the incompatible insect technique: III-robust mating competitiveness of irradiated triple *Wolbachia* infected *Aedes albopictus* males under semi-field conditions. *PLoS ONE* 11(3): e0151864.

Zhou, W., Rousset, F. & O'Neil, S. 1998. Phylogeny and PCRbased classification of *Wolbachia* strains using wsp gene sequences. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series* B: Biological Sciences 265: 509-515.

Noor Shazleen Husnie Mohd Mohtar, Emelia Osman & Aishah Hani Azil\* Department of Parasitology and Medical Entomology Faculty of Medicine Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia 56000 Cheras, Kuala Lumpur, Federal Territory Malaysia Mohd Farihan Md Yatim

Institute for Public Health Centre for Communicable Diseases Research National Institutes of Health Ministry of Health 40170 Shah Alam, Selangor Darul Ehsan Malaysia

\*Corresponding author; email: aishah.azil@ppukm.ukm.edu.my

Received: 1 December 2020 Accepted: 2 May 2021