## 1 Static analysis



The vertical end deflection under the load is then given by

$$
w=\frac{F L^{3}}{3 E I}
$$

Assume $L=1$ and that the load $F$ and the bending stiffness $E I$ are random variables with mean values of 1 and standard deviations of 0.1 . What are the mean value and the standard deviation of $w$ ?

One can attempt to compute the mean value of $w$ by inserting the mean values of $F$ and $E I$ into the above equation.

This results in $\bar{w}=1 / 3$

Alternately, we might try to solve the problem by Monte-Carlo simulation, i.e. by generating random numbers representing samples for $F$ and $E I$, compute the deflection for each sample and estimate the statistics of $w$ from those values.

```
1 M=1000000;
2 F=1+.1*randn(M,1);
3 EI=1+.1*randn(M,1);
4 w=F./EI/3.;
5 wm=mean(w)
6 ws=std(w)
7 cov=ws/wm
```

Running this script three times, we obtain
$1 \mathrm{wm}=0.33676$
$2 \mathrm{ws}=0.048502$

```
cov=0.14403
wm=0.33673
ws=0.048488
cov=0.14399
8
9 wm=0.33679
10 ws=0.048569
11 cov=0.14421
```

In these results,
wm denotes the mean value,
ws the standard deviation, and
cov the coefficient of variation (the standard deviation divided by the mean).
It can be seen that the mean value is somewhat larger than $1 / 3$.
Also, the coefficient of variation of the deflection is considerably larger than the coefficient of variation of either $F$ or $E I$.

## Exercise 1

Consider a cantilever beam as discussed in the example above, but now with a varying bending stiffness;

$$
E I(x)=\frac{E I_{0}}{1-\frac{x}{2 L}} .
$$

a) for deterministic values of $F, L$ and $E I_{0}$
b) for random values of $F, L$ and $E I_{0}$. Assume that these variables have a mean value of 1 and a standard deviation of 0.05 . Compute the mean value and the standard deviation of the end deflection using Monte Carlo simulation.

Solution:
The deterministic end deflection is

$$
w_{d}=\frac{5 F L^{3}}{12 E I_{0}}
$$

A Monte Carlo simulation with 1000000 samples yields a mean value of $w m=0.421$ and a standard deviation of $w s=0.070$.

## 2 Buckling analysis



$$
N_{c r}=\lambda_{1}^{2} E I=\frac{\pi^{2} E I}{4 L^{2}}
$$

The magnitude of the corresponding deflection remains undetermined.
Now assume that $L=1$ and the load $N$ is a Gaussian random variable with a mean value of 2 and standard deviation of 0.2 , and the bending stiffness $E I$ is a Gaussian random variable with a mean value of 1 and standard deviation of 0.1.

What is the probability that the actual load $N$ is larger than the critical load $N_{c r}$ ?

```
1 M=1000000;
2 N3=2+.2*randn (M, 1);
3 EI=1+.1*randn(M,1);
4 Ncr=pi^2*EI/4.;
5 indicator = N>Ncr;
6 pf=mean(indicator)
```

$1 \mathrm{pf}=0.070543$
$2 \mathrm{pf}=0.070638$
$3 \mathrm{pf}=0.070834$

In these results, pf denotes the mean value of the estimated probability.
This problem has an exact solution which can be computed analytically: $\mathrm{pf}=0.0705673$.

## Exercise 2

Consider the same stability problem as above, but now assume that the random variables involved are $N, L$ and $E I_{0}$.

Presume that these variables have a mean value of 1 and a standard deviation of 0.05 .
Compute the mean value and the standard deviation of the critical load applying Monte Carlo simulation using one million samples. Compute the probability that the critical load is less than 2.

Solution: Monte Carlo simulation results in $\mathrm{mn}=2.4675$, $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{n}=0.12324$ and $\mathrm{pf}=9.3000 \mathrm{e}-05$.
The last result is not very stable, i.e. it varies quite considerably in different runs.

## 3 Dynamic analysis



Now, we consider the same simple cantilever under a dynamic loading $F(t)$.
For this beam with constant density $\rho$, cross sectional area $A$ and bending stiffness $E I$ under distributed transverse loading $p(x, t)$, the dynamic equation of motion is

$$
\rho A \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}+E I \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{4}}=p(x, t)
$$

We would like to compute the probability that the load as given is close to a resonance situation, i.e. the ratio of the excitation frequency $\omega$ and the first natural frequency $\omega_{1}$ of the system is close to 1 .

$$
\rho A \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}+E I \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{4}}=0
$$

The fundamental natural circular frequency $\omega_{1}$ is

$$
\omega^{2}=\frac{\lambda_{1}^{4} E I}{\rho A}=\frac{12.362 E I}{\rho A L^{4}}
$$

Now we assume that the excitation frequency $\omega$ is a random variable with a mean value of 0.3 and a standard deviation of 0.03 .

The bending stiffness is a random variable with mean value 0.1 and standard deviation 0.01 , the cross sectional area is random with a mean value of 1 and a standard deviation of 0.05 .

The density is deterministic $\rho=1$, so is the length $L=1$.
We want to compute the probability that the ratio $\omega / \omega 1$ lies between 0.99 and 1.01 .

```
1 M=1000000;
2 om=1+0.1*randn(M,1);
3 EI=0.1+0.01*randn (M,1);
4 A=1+0.05*randn(M,1);
5 om1=sqrt(EI./A*12.362);
6 ind1 = om./om1>0.99;
7 ind2 = om./om1<1.01;
8 indicator = ind1.*ind2;
9 pr=mean(indicator)
```

Running
$1 \mathrm{pr}=0.046719$
$2 \mathrm{pr}=0.046946$
$3 \mathrm{pr}=0.046766$
In these results, pr denotes the mean value of the estimated probability.

Exercise 3 (Dynamic deflection)
Now assume that the random variables involved in the above example are $A, L$ and $E I$.
Let these variables have a mean value of 1 and a standard deviation of 0.05 .
Compute the mean value and the standard deviation of the fundamental natural circular frequency $\omega_{1}$ using Monte Carlo simulation with one million samples.

Compute the probability that $\omega_{1}$ is between 2 and 2.5

## Solution:

Monte Carlo simulation results in the mean value $\mathrm{mo}=3.55$, the standard deviation $\mathrm{s} \circ=0.38$ and the probability is of the order of $\mathrm{pf}=2.8 \mathrm{e}-4$.

## 4 Structural analysis

A four-story stucture is subjected to four static loads $F_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$. The floor slabs are assumed to be rigid and the columns have identical length $H=4 \mathrm{~m}$ and different bending stiffnesses $E I_{k}, k=1 \ldots 8$.

Loads and stiffnesses are random variables. The loads are normally distributed with a mean value of 20 kN and a COV of 0.4 , the stiffnesses are normally distributed with a mean value of $10 \mathrm{MNm}^{2}$ and a COV of 0.2 .

All variables are pairwise independent.

compute

- the mean value and standard deviation as well as the coefficient of variation of the horizontal displacement $u$ of the top story,
- the probability $p_{F}$ that $u$ exceeds a value of 0.1 m .

The analysis is to be based on linear elastic behavior of the structure excluding effects of gravity.
The top story deflection can be calculated by adding the interstory relative displacements

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{4}=\frac{F_{4} H^{3}}{12\left(E I_{7}+E I_{8}\right)} \\
& u_{3}=\frac{\left(F_{3}+F_{4}\right) H^{3}}{12\left(E I_{5}+E I_{6}\right)} \\
& u_{2}=\frac{\left(F_{2}+F_{3}+F_{4}\right) H^{3}}{12\left(E I_{3}+E I_{4}\right)} \\
& u_{1}=\frac{\left(F_{1}+F_{2}+F_{3}+F_{4}\right) H^{3}}{12\left(E I_{1}+E I_{2}\right)} \\
& u=u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}+u_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

```
1 Fbar=20;
sigmaF = Fbar*0.4;
3 EIbar=10000;
4 sigmaEI = EIbar*0.2;
5
6 NSIM=1000000;
7 ULIM=0.1;
8 UU=zeros(NSIM,1);
9
10 F1=Fbar + sigmaF*randn(NSIM,1);
11 F2=Fbar + sigmaF*randn(NSIM,1);
12 F3=Fbar + sigmaF*randn(NSIM,1);
13 F4=Fbar + sigmaF*randn(NSIM,1);
14
15 EII = EIbar + sigmaEI*randn(NSIM,1);
16 EI2 = EIbar + sigmaEI*randn (NSIM,1);
17 EI3 = EIbar + sigmaEI*randn (NSIM,1);
18 EI4 = EIbar + sigmaEI*randn (NSIM,1);
19 EI5 = EIbar + sigmaEI*randn (NSIM,1);
20 EI6 = EIbar + sigmaEI*randn(NSIM,1);
21 EI7 = EIbar + sigmaEI*randn (NSIM,1);
22 EI8 = EIbar + sigmaEI*randn (NSIM,1);
23
24 H=4;
25
26 u4=F4./(EI7+EI8)/12*H^3;
27 u3=(F3+F4)./(EI5+EI6)/12*H^3;
28 u2=(F2+F3+F4)./(EI3+EI4)/12*H^3;
29 u1=(F1+F2+F3+F4)./(EII+EI2)/12*H^3;
30 u=u1+u2+u3+u4;
31
32 UM=mean (u)
33 US=std(u)
34 COV=US/UM
35 indic=u>ULIM;
36 PF=mean(indic)
```

$1 \mathrm{UM}=0.054483$
$2 \mathrm{US}=0.012792$
$3 \mathrm{COV}=0.23478$
$4 \mathrm{PF}=7.1500 e-04$

## 5 Monte Carlo simulation

This is a frequently used method to deal with the effect of random uncertainties. Typically its application aims at integrations such as the computation of expected values (e.g. mean or standard deviation).


In order to illustrate the close relationship between the computation of probabilities and integration, consider the determination of the area of a quarter circle of unit radius.

As we know, the area is $\pi / 4$, which can be computed using analytical integration.
Using the Monte Carlo Method we can obtain approximations to this result based on elementary function evaluations.

When we use 1000 uniformly distributed random numbers $x$ and $y$, and count the number $N_{c}$ of pairs $(x, y)$ for which $x^{2}+y^{2}<1$, we get an estimate $\pi / 4 \approx N c / 1000=791 / 1000=0.791$.

This differs from the exact result $\pi / 4=0.7854$ by about $1 \%$.

```
1 M=1000
2 x=rand (M,1);
3 y=rand (M, 1);
4 r2=x.^2+y.^2;
5 indic = r2<1;
6 NC=sum(indic)
```

```
area=NC/M
```

8 fid=fopen('circle.txt','w');
9 for $i=1: s i z e(x)$
10 fprintf(fid, '\%g \%g\n', $x(i), y(i))$;
11 end
12 fclose(fid);


## 6 Latin Hypercube sampling

In order to reduce the statistical uncertainty associated with Monte Carlo estimation of expected values, alternative methods have been developed. One such strategy is the Latin Hypercube sampling method (Imam and Conover 1982).



The coefficient of correlation in the left figure is $\rho=0.272$, whereas the coefficient of correlation in the right figure is only $\rho=-0.008$, i.e., it is virtually zero.

