Dissolved organic matter (DOM) in natural waters is a complex mixture of compounds and contains most of the reduced carbon in aquatic ecosystems. DOM is ubiquitous in surface water and cannot be easily treated or disinfected using the conventional treatment. DOM in the water samples from Semenyih River Water Treatment Plant were isolated and fractionated using resin adsorbents into six classes. These classes are operationally categorized as hydrophilic acid, hydrophilic neutral, hydrophilic base, hydrophobic acid, hydrophobic neutral and hydrophobic base. The increase of DOM presents a challenge for drinking water management because DOM could lead to the formation of carcinogenic disinfection by-products (DBP). The concentration of DOM and the effectiveness of unit process along the treatment plant were studied. The evolution of chlorine demand and the trihalomethanes formation potential in the sample of all the DOM fractions was also monitored. Experiments showed that the water samples with higher DOM concentration are found to have a higher chlorine demand and the formation of trihalomethanes. It can be concluded that DOM can be characterized as a function of chlorine demand. Hydrophilic neutral and hydrophobic acid fractions were found to play an important role in causing a high chlorine demand. The identification and the specific elimination of DOM in the water responsible for the chlorine demand would resolve most of the problems related to the formation of the DBP.
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Abstract

Dissolved organic matter (DOM) in surface water is a complex mixture of compounds and contains most of the reduced carbon in aquatic ecosystems. DOM is ubiquitous in surface water and cannot be easily treated or disinfected using the conventional treatment. DOM in the water samples from Semenyih River Water Treatment Plant were isolated and fractionated using resin adsorbents into six classes. These classes are operationally categorized as hydrophilic acid, hydrophilic neutral, hydrophilic base, hydrophobic acid, hydrophobic neutral and hydrophobic base. The increase of DOM presents a challenge for drinking water management because DOM could lead to the formation of carcinogenic disinfection by-products (DBP). The concentration of DOM and the effectiveness of unit process along the treatment plant were studied. The evolution of chlorine demand and the trihalomethanes formation potential in the sample of all the DOM fractions was also monitored. Experiments showed that the water samples with higher DOM concentration are found to have a higher chlorine demand and the formation of trihalomethanes. It can be concluded that DOM can be characterized as a function of chlorine demand. Hydrophilic neutral and hydrophobic acid fractions were found to play an important role in causing a high chlorine demand. The identification and the specific elimination of DOM in the water responsible for the chlorine demand would resolve most of the problems related to the formation of the DBP.
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Introduction

Dissolved organic matter (DOM) in surface water is a complex and heterogeneous mixture of compounds and contains most of the reduced carbon in aquatic ecosystems and has a wide range of physicochemical properties [1]. The study of DOM is one of the most important topics in the treatment process as it significantly influences the operations and the managements of the water treatment plants, especially the disinfection units. In the disinfection process with chlorine, DOM serves as a precursor to the formation of undesirable disinfection by-products [2]. Chlorination of drinking water has been successfully used to inactivate pathogenic organisms and it is the most widely used method of disinfection in Malaysia today. This practice has virtually killed and removed a broad-spectrum of pathogenic micro-organisms responsible for waterborne diseases.
for waterborne diseases [3]. However chlorinating water that has not first been treated to remove dissolved organic matter produces a number of disinfection by-products (DBPs) [4,5,6]. Hence, DOM impacts on the efficiency and effectiveness of chlorination processes and on the final water quality reached the customer tap.

It is generally known that DOM is a major cause of odor and color in the surface water [7]. DOM also acts as an important precursor of DBPs [8-11] and enables the micro-organism to grow in the water treatment plant and the distribution system [12,13]. During chlorination, the chlorine reacts with DOM present in water. The reaction produces various DBP mainly trihalomethanes (THMs), haloacetic acids (HAAs) and haloacetonitriles (HANs). The reaction is reflected in the following equation:

\[
\text{Chlorine + DOM} \rightarrow \text{THMs} + \text{HAAs} + \text{HANs} + \text{Chloral hydrate} + \text{halopropanones} + \text{Cyanogen halides} + \text{chlorepicrin}
\]

DOM has been defined as the material that can pass through a 0.45-µm pore size membrane filter paper [14]. The definition that is related to the material consists of truly dissolved molecules and colloidal organic matter [15,16]. DOM is an aggregate parameter and it is not a direct indicator of the organic compounds act as precursor of DBPs. In order to further understand the role and chemistry of DOM in surface water, it is often necessary to fractionate DOM. A wide range of procedures has been used to fractionate DOM from surface water [17,18]. Various methods have been used to fractionate DOM from natural water. The ordinary methods are using the macroporous resins [19], by ultrafiltration [20] and by gel filtration [21,22]. Adsorption techniques utilizing various resins have been proven to be useful in the fractionation analysis [23].

An extraction protocol that utilizes sequential hydrophobic and ion exchange resin sorption steps has been developed that simultaneously extracts and concentrates DOM into hydrophobic acid (HPOA), hydrophobic base (HPOB), hydrophobic neutral (HPON), hydrophilic acid (HPIA), hydrophilic base (HPIB) and hydrophilic neutral (HPIN) fractions [24]. This method is based on the separation of the hydrophilic-hydrophobic and acidic-base breaks to evaluate the characteristic of DOM in the surface water. The fractionation allows a thorough understanding of the chlorine consumption and the formation of DBPs during the water treatment process. The characterization of the specific DOM fraction and the removal of DOM fraction responsible for the chlorine demand are important to enhance the drinking water quality and it will help source management and process selection for the drinking water supply. Knowledge about the reaction between chlorine and DOM and the chloride dosage control are essential to establish the optimal treatment strategy for the introduction of advanced water treatment in Malaysia.

The purpose of this study were (i) to quantify and qualify the DOM in the surface water, (ii) to fractionate DOM, which plays the role of chlorine demand in the unit process along the treatment plant (iii) to measure trihalomethanes formation potential (THMFP), and (iv) to examine the relationship between DOM fraction and chlorine demand.

### Experimental

#### Semenyih river water treatment plant

Water samples were taken from the Semenyih river water treatment plant (SRWTP) located in Precint 19, Putrajaya, Malaysia. The SRWTP is one of the largest water treatment plant in Malaysia and has an average treated water production of about 140-145 million gallons per day (mgd). The SRWTP is supplying treated water to most of the major city in Selangor which serves more than 1 million inhabitants living in this region. The SRWTP was design to produce quality water complying with drinking water standard. The water treatment processes involved in SRWTP are coagulation, flocculation, sedimentation, filtration, fluoridation and chlorination. In this study, there were four main sampling points along the processes of the water treatment plant. These were raw water, settled water, filtered water and treated water. The study was carried out from January to October 2005 and the samplings were performed once a month.

#### Analytical methods

**Sample collection and preservation.** The samples were collected in 1 L amber glass vials capped with Teflon-faced septum. Samples were carefully transferred to the laboratory in an ice cooler and stored at 4°C to minimize changes in the constituents. They were brought back to room temperature prior to examination. Samples were prepared by filtering through a 0.45µm membrane and were ready for analysis.
THMFP. Seven-day THMFP measurement was determined in accordance with the protocol in the Standard Methods 5710B [25]. The solutions were buffered by phosphate solution at pH 7. The test was conducted by estimating the chlorine demand of each sample. The samples were then chlorinated with an excess of free chlorine and stored in the dark at 25°C for 7 days. At the end of 7 days reaction period, the free chlorine residual was measured using N,N-diethyl-p-phenylenediamine (DPD) colorimetric method. Samples must have a remaining 3-5 mg/l of free residual chlorine. THMFP were then analyzed by purge and trap coupled with capillary column gas chromatograph with electron capture detector. Hewlett-Packard HP5690 series II gas chromatograph with HP chemstation was used to generate THM data.

Chlorine demand. Free residual chlorine was analysed, in triplicate, by using the DPD colorimetric method to determine chlorine demand. 7 days chlorine consumption was measured in accordance with Standard Methods 5710 B. Chlorine demand of the samples were calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Chlorine demand (mg/l) = Initial chlorine concentration – Chlorine residual after 7 days}
\]

Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) analysis. DOC concentration was measured by ultraviolet irradiation activation using AnaTOC analyzer. This oxidation method provide rapid and precise measurement of DOC in the water as describe in Standard Methods 5310 C. Water samples were filtered through a prewashed 0.45 µm membrane prior to analyzing DOC to remove the suspended particles. At least three replications were made for each sample.

Isolation and fractionation procedure. Column chromatography was used to fractionate DOM in waters into 6 fractions: hydrophobic acid (HPOA), hydrophobic base (HPOB), hydrophobic neutral (HPON), hydrophilic acid (HPIA), hydrophilic base (HPIB) and hydrophilic neutral (HPIN). A non-ionic DAX-8 resin column adsorbs hydrophobic compounds, a cationic resin adsorbs bases and a weak anionic resin adsorbs HPIA fraction. The amount of the DOM fraction adsorbed in each resin is quantified by measuring the effluent and the influent of each column. The sequence and adsorption procedure used is adapted by Leenheer [24] and Marhaba et al. [26]. In this work, the sample was adjusted to pH 7 and passed through the DAX-8 column. The HPON fraction was extracted out by using methanol. After that, the pH of the sample was adjusted to 10 and passing through the second DAX-8 resin column. HPOB fraction was retained and was eluted by hydrochloric acid. The effluent was then acidified to pH 2 and passed through the third DAX-8 column. The HPOA fraction was collected by eluting from resin with sodium hydroxide. The sample passed through the AG-MP-50 resin column and HPIB was eluted with sodium hydroxide. The effluent was then passed through the column containing WA-10 resin. The effluent was collected as the HPIN fraction and the adsorbent was eluted by sodium hydroxide as HPIA fraction. The conditions under which the fractionations were carried out were controlled carefully.

Results and Discussion

Characterization of DOM

The DOM of the water samples were divided into six different fractions, resulting in a unique physicochemical signature of the various samples that were sampled. Details of percentage of the DOM in each process along the SRWTP are illustrated in Fig. 1. The distribution proportion of all the DOM fractions is almost the same in every process. In every sample, HPIN was predominant compared to the other fraction. The proportion of DOM fraction in all the samples could be summarized from the highest to the lowest were HPIN, HPOA, HPIA, HPIB, HPON and HPOB. These results showed a non-noticeable reduction of all the fractions along the treatment process but the concentration of each fraction was reduced steadily.
According to the data shown in Fig. 1, the average distribution percentage of HPIN was the highest. Possible constituents of the HPIN fraction include organic compounds make up of ketones, esters, polysaccharides and aldehydes. These signatures indicate that the HPIN byproducts predominate the metabolic pathways in both naturally occurring and wastewater ecosystem in Semenyih river basin. The results from Fig. 1 probably show that raw water from Semenyih river contains more hydrophilic fraction than hydrophobic fraction. This indicated that the raw water from Semenyih river was contaminated mainly by proteinaceous material, polysaccharides, hydroxyl acids and carboxylic acids.

The recovery efficiency of the fractionate DOM was investigated and could be used in isolating the DOM in the water samples in SRWTP. The effectiveness of the fractionation procedure in this study gave a 12 to 15% tolerance of DOM recovery. The percentage of tolerance was measured using the total of the fractions’ mass compared to the original unfractionated samples. The result showed 15% tolerance for the raw water, 14% for the settled water and 12% for the filtered and treated water. The fractionation technique used in this study was considered acceptable as previous reported experience with resin based DOM fraction resulted in 10-15% tolerance of DOM recovery [27].

THMFP and DOM

The existence of DOM in the SRWTP implies that there is a possibility that THM will be formed if chlorine is dosed. It is known that different surface water may vary significantly with respect to concentration and DOM fraction which act as the precursors for THM formation. THMs evaluated in this study were the sum of four THM species which were chloroform (CHCl₃), bromodichloromethane (CHCl₂Br), dibromochloromethane (CHClBr₂) and bromoform (CHBr₃). However, two brominated species, dibromomochloromethane and bromoform were not detected in this study. Fig. 2 displays the total THMFP obtained from each DOM fraction.
HPIN fraction in this study has the highest reactivity to the formation of THM in all the samples tested. HPOA was found to be the second reactive precursor fraction towards the formation of THM. The average contribution for HPIN and HPOA fractions were 31% and 21%, respectively. The high reactivity of THMFP of these two fractions is due to the mass distribution in the water. As shown in Fig. 1, HPIN and HPOA fractions were the most (average of 76%) in the water samples. An interesting findings observed in this study was the THMFP of HPOB and HPIB fractions. The THMFP of HPOB and HPIB fraction were greater in general compared to the percentage of mass distribution in the water samples. This shows the reactivity of HPOB and HPIB fractions to form the THM during the chlorination process although they were not the main component of DOM fractions. Fig. 2 demonstrates the reactivity of THMFP from each DOM fraction in all the samples follow an order from high to low as: HPIN, HPOA, HPIB, HPOB, HPIA, HPON. The data in Fig. 2 is an expected result from the mass distribution of DOM fractions except for the HPIB and HPOB fractions. The comparison between Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 illustrates the relation between the THMFP and the mass distribution of DOM fractions. In general, higher DOM fractions concentration produced higher THMFP.

In order to consider the reactivity of the THMFP on a per carbon basis, all the THMFP data was normalized to the ratio between THMFP and DOM of each organic fraction to obtain specific THMFP yield.

Fig. 3 shows the concentration for the specific THMFP yields in each DOM fractions along the treatment process. It shows the reactivity of each fraction to form the THMs. In terms of specific THMFP, HPOB and HPIB fractions gave the highest result. This indicated that both of these fractions were reactive precursor in forming THMs. Both HPOB and HPIB fractions were found to be present in the lowest concentration among all the six DOM fractions. However, this study showed that even HPOB and HPIB fractions exist in small quantities, they could lead to the formation of THM reactively. As shown in Fig. 2, HPIN was predominant constituent in all the DOM fractions. Its THMFP was found to be quite low. This indicated that HPIN fraction did not led to the formation of THMs reactively. However, removal of this fraction was extremely needed as it was the main precursor in the formation of THMs. The major precursor in terms of the specific THMFP from the highest to the lowest concentration of DOM fractions were HPOB, HPIB, HPON, HPIN, HPIA and HPOA. This study indicated that the reactivity of the DOM fraction to form THMs were as follow: base>neutral>acid.
Chlorine demand and DOM

One of the main factors influencing chlorine consumption in the SRWTP is the reaction with the DOM in the water sample. This study indicated that all the DOM fractions contribute to the chlorine demand in the water. The concentration of DOC in each fraction had a strong correlation with chlorine demand. Fig. 4 shows the relation between chlorine demand and the DOC concentration of each organic fraction.

It was clearly observed that the DOC correlates well with the chlorine demand, which indicates that concentration of DOM were the function of chlorine demand for the model. Table 1 lists the relations between chlorine demand and the DOC of each organic fraction. The evaluated linear equation based on the DOM concentration and the chlorine demand showed that the data fit the model quite well with the correlation coefficients ranging from 0.8801 to 0.9773. Overall, the chlorine demand of the water sample is highly depended upon the concentration of the DOM fractions.

Conclusion

This study was performed to characterize the DOM fraction along the treatment process of a treatment plant in Malaysia. The SRWTP was selected as a study location as it is one of the largest water treatment plants in Malaysia. The mass distribution of DOM fractions sequences from high to low were HPIN, HPOA, HPIA, HPIB, HPON and HPOB. The reactivity of total THMFP from each organic fraction follow an order from high to low as HPIN, HPOA, HPIB, HPOB, HPIA and HPON. The main fractions in the water source were HPIN and HPOA. They were also the main precursors in forming total THMFP. However, in the terms of specific THMFP, the most active species from the highest to the lowest concentration were HPOB, HPIB, HPON, HPIA, HPIN and HPOA. Organic fractions with higher concentration could form more THMs, but the reactivity of the formation of the THM does not follow the same trend.
A clear linear relationship between the DOM fraction and the chlorine demand was observed. All the DOM fractions have a similar general trend which showed good correlation between chlorine demand and the DOM concentration. Although these six equations were developed with few data, it could be used to predict the chlorine demand in the water. More study is required towards development of an advance treatment process with enhanced removal of THM and its precursor and to reduce chlorine demand of raw and treated water.
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