

## **Classification of Human Heart Abnormality using Time-frequency and Image Processing Technique**

Fadzlul Rahimi Ahmad Bustami<sup>1</sup>, Mohd Hanif Md Saad<sup>1</sup>, Mohd Jailani Mohd Nor<sup>1</sup>, Bilkis Banu Aziz<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> MEMS-Automotive Research Group  
Department of Mechanical and Materials Engineering  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia,  
43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor,  
Malaysia

<sup>2</sup> Pediatric Department,  
Faculty of Medicine,  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia,  
Bandar Tun Razak, Kuala Lumpur,  
Malaysia

Received Date: 29<sup>th</sup> August 2006    Accepted Date: 14<sup>th</sup> February 2007

---

### **ABSTRACT**

This paper describes heart abnormalities classification procedures utilising features obtained from time-frequency spectrogram of ECG heart and image processing techniques. Enhanced spatial features of time-frequency spectrogram were extracted and fed into a forward chaining expert system and the corresponding abnormalities were identified. A confidence factor is calculated for every classification result indicating the degree of belief that the classification is true. It was observed that the classification method was able to give 100% correct classification based on features that was extracted from data sets which were included in the knowledge base and data sets which were not included in the knowledge base.

Keywords: Heart abnormalities classification, expert system, simultaneous time-frequency analysis.

### **ABSTRAK**

*Kertas ini menerangkan tentang prosedur klasifikasi jantung yang tidak normal yang didapati daripada spektrogram masa-frekuensi ECG jantung dan teknik-teknik pemprosesan imej. Ciri-ciri imej yang telah ditingkatkan daripada spektrogram masa-frekuensi diekstrak dan dimasukkan ke dalam rantaian ke hadapan sistem pakar dan seterusnya tahap ketidaknormalan jantung tersebut dikenal pasti. Faktor keyakinan yang dihitung pada setiap keputusan pengklasifikasian menunjukkan darjah keyakinan pada setiap proses tersebut. Daripada ujian, didapati kaedah klasifikasi ini mampu memberikan keputusan 100% tepat terhadap set data yang cirinya diekstrak dan dimasukkan ke dalam pengkalan pengetahuan serta set data yang tidak dimasukkan ke dalam pengkalan pengetahuan.*

*Kata Kunci: Klasifikasi jantung tidak normal, sistem pakar, analisis masa-frekuensi serentak.*

**INTRODUCTION**

**Research Background**

It is generally known that by measuring and observing the electrocardiogram (ECG) of a human heart, a qualified medical practitioner would be able to determine the condition of the heart (whether the heart is normal or not normal). However, the process of deducing the condition of the human heart using time domain signals only is difficult due to the characteristics of the ECG plot in time domain.


The ECG of a normal heart rate consists of the P wave, QRS complex, and T wave and repeats as shown in Figure 1.

**THEORY**

**Time and Frequency Domain Features**

Time domain ECG plots lack the signal intensity display of the frequency domain components. This is a great loss as frequency domain components contribute significantly in determining unique features of most engineering and scientific signals (Dripps 1997).


Consequently the frequency analysis of such signals via Fourier technique is fundamentally unsatisfactory since they are based upon modeling the signal as a linear combination of sinusoids extending throughout the duration of the signal. The Fourier analysis is good at



**FIGURE 1.** PQRST complex of an ECG pulse (Enderle et al. 2000)

The objective of this paper is to study and classify human heart abnormalities using information obtained from time-frequency spectrogram and image processing technique.

determining frequencies presented (i.e. it provides good frequency discrimination), but poor at pinpointing when these frequencies occur (i.e. it has a poor time localization) (Crowe



**FIGURE 2.** ECG Plots in (a) Time Domain, (b) Frequency Domain (c) Time-Frequency Domain

1997) (Hlawatsch & Boudreaux-Bartels 1992) (Haghighi-Mood & Torry 1997).

Popular simultaneous time-frequency analysis techniques include the Wavelet Transform (Ikeda et al. 1997) and the Short Time Fourier Transform. The example of time domain, frequency domain and simultaneous time-frequency domain display of ECG signals is shown in Figure 2.

**Short Time Fourier Transform (STFT)**

The short-time Fourier transform (STFT) is a linear time-frequency representation (TFR) used to present changes in the signal that vary with time. The Fourier transform does not explicitly show the time location of the frequency components, but some form of time location can be obtained by using a suitable pre-windowing (Hlawatsch & Boudreaux-Bartels 1992). The STFT approach is to perform a Fourier Transform on a small section (window) of data at specific time from all signal data, thus mapping the signal into a two-dimensional (2-D) function of time and frequency. The transform is described mathematically as

$$X(\omega, a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t)g(t - a)e^{-j\omega t} dt \tag{1}$$

where  $g(t)$  may be defined as a simple box or pulse function. In discrete function, STFT is time-dependent Fourier transform, of sequence  $x[n]$  and defined by

$$X_{STFT}(e^{j\omega}, n) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n - m]\omega[m]e^{-j\omega m} \tag{2}$$

where  $\omega[n]$  is a suitably chosen window sequence. It should be noted that the function of the window is to extract a finite length portion of the sequence  $x[n]$  such that the spectral characteristics of the section extracted are approximately stationary over the duration of the window for practical purposes.

Note that if  $\omega[n] = 1$ , the definition of STFT given in Equation (2) reduces to the convention discrete-time Fourier transform (DTFT) of  $x[n]$ . However, even though the DTFT of  $x[n]$  exists under certain well-defined conditions, the windowed sequence in Equations (2) being finite in length ensures the existence of the STFT for any sequence  $x[n]$ . It should be noted also that, unlike the conventional DTFT, the STFT is a function of two variables: the integer variable time index  $n$  and the continuous frequency variable  $\omega$ . It also follows from the definition of Equation (2), that  $X_{STFT}(e^{j\omega}, n)$  is a periodic function of  $\omega$  with a period  $2\pi$ .

In this study, the Blackman window with a window size of 256 (50% overlapping) discrete data out of a total of 512 discrete data was used. The Blackmann method of windowing was chosen because it gives minimum variation on spectrum shape and colour compared to other techniques. By observing the view of spectral peak, Blackman has the widest main lobe, but the lowest amplitude tails (Smith 1999). The discrete version of the Blackman window can be described by the equation below:

$$w[k + 1] = 0.42 - 0.5 \cos\left(2\pi \frac{k}{n-1}\right) + 0.08 \cos\left(4\pi \frac{k}{n-1}\right) \tag{3}$$

$k = 0, \dots, n-1$

**Linear Spatial Domain Filter**

One of the simplest linear, spatial image processing techniques used in machine vision is the convolution operation. The operation can be described as follows:

For any given planar image P and a 3 x 3 element mask G can be described by both equations (4) and (5) below:

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} g & g & g & g & g & g & g & g \\ g & g & g & g & g & g & g & g \\ g & g & P_{x_{n-1}y_{n-1}} & P_{x_n y_{n-1}} & P_{x_{n+1} y_{n-1}} & P_{x_{n+2} y_{n-1}} & g & g \\ g & g & P_{x_{n-1} y_n} & P_{x_n y_n} & P_{x_{n+1} y_n} & P_{x_{n+2} y_n} & g & g \\ g & g & P_{x_{n-1} y_{n+1}} & P_{x_n y_{n+1}} & P_{x_{n+1} y_{n+1}} & P_{x_{n+2} y_{n+1}} & g & g \\ g & g & P_{x_{n-1} y_{n+2}} & P_{x_n y_{n+2}} & P_{x_{n+1} y_{n+2}} & P_{x_{n+2} y_{n+2}} & g & g \\ g & g & P_{x_{n-1} y_{n+3}} & P_{x_n y_{n+3}} & P_{x_{n+1} y_{n+3}} & P_{x_{n+2} y_{n+3}} & g & g \\ g & g & g & g & g & g & g & g \end{bmatrix} \tag{4}$$

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & g_{13} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & g_{23} \\ g_{31} & g_{32} & g_{33} \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

the convoluted image  $P^*$  is given as:

$$P^*(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{(m-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{(n-1)} G(i,j) P(x+i-1,y+j-1) \quad (6)$$

where

- $P$  : Planar image
- $G$  : the mask
- $x$  :  $x$  axis coordinate for  $P$
- $y$  :  $y$  axis coordinate for  $P$
- $i$  :  $x$  axis coordinate for  $G$
- $j$  :  $y$  axis coordinate for  $G$

Different coefficient value will give different filter behaviour (Gonzales & Woods 2002).

**Gaussian Filter**

The Gaussian filter smoothes a given image  $P$  (Gonzales & Woods 2002). It is made from the same structure in (4), (5), and (6) with specialized value of filter coefficient. The values varies according to the requirement and specification. A set of values is shown in equation (7) :

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 0.011 & 0.084 & 0.011 \\ 0.084 & 0.619 & 0.084 \\ 0.011 & 0.084 & 0.011 \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

**Sobel Edge Detection**

In Sobel Edge detection, two operators were used,  $G_x$  and  $G_y$  to calculate approximations of the derivatives, one for horizontal changes, and one for vertical. The Sobel operators calculates the gradient of the image intensity at each point, giving the direction of the largest possible increase from light to dark and the rate of change in that direction. The result therefore shows how "abruptly" or "smoothly" the image changes at that point, and therefore how likely it is that part of the image represents an *edge*, as well as how that edge is likely to be oriented (Gonzales & Woods 2002).

The operators follow the same structure as (6). If  $P$  is defined as the source image, and  $G_x$  and  $G_y$  are the two operators described above, the latter are computed as:

$$\Delta P_x = G_x * P \text{ and } \Delta P_y = G_y * P \quad (8)$$

where

$$G_x = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & +1 \\ -2 & 0 & +2 \\ -1 & 0 & +1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$G_y = \begin{bmatrix} +1 & +2 & +1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

At each point in the image, the resulting gradient approximations can be combined to give the gradient magnitude, using:

$$\Delta P = \sqrt{\Delta P_x^2 + \Delta P_y^2} \quad (10)$$

Using this information, the gradient's direction is calculated as below:

$$\Theta = \arctan\left(\frac{\Delta P_y}{\Delta P_x}\right) \quad (11)$$

where, for example,  $\Theta$  is 0 for a vertical edge which is darker on the left side.

**Non-Linear Spatial Domain Filter: Median Filter**

A median filter is a non-linear filter. In a median filter, the median value for the pixels in the processed window is used to replace the current pixel under processed (Gonzales & Woods 2002). For example, a pixel (with the absolute value of 150) that is surrounded by 8 other pixels shown in equation (12) below is considered. Each pixels value is then arranged incrementally and the original pixel value in the middle is then replaced with a new value which is the value median of the arranged pixels. (Gonzales & Woods 2002) (Fisher et al. 1994). Equation (12) describes how median filter is implemented.

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} g & g & g & g & g & g & g & g \\ g & g & g & g & g & g & g & g \\ g & g & 124 & 126 & 127 & g & g & g \\ g & g & 120 & 150 & 125 & g & g & g \\ g & g & 115 & 119 & 123 & g & g & g \\ g & g & g & g & g & g & g & g \\ g & g & g & g & g & g & g & g \\ g & g & g & g & g & g & g & g \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

Original Value : 150  
 Neighborhood values : 115,119,120,123,124,125,  
 126,127,150  
 Median Value : 124

-1, respectively, because the left figure has one connected component and one hole and the right component has one connected component but two holes (Gonzales & Woods 2002).

**Pulse Counting From Binary Image**

The spectrogram obtained from the STFT operation is converted into grayscale and binary thresholded to produce a black and white only representation of the original STFT spectrogram.

At a selected frequency ( $f_{selected} = 45 \text{ Hz}$ ), the number of transitions made by pixel value are calculated. For example, if a line of pixel at any height is extracted, the following representation may be obtained:

00011111111000000111111000 (13)

From the example above, 4 transitions ( $N_T$ ) can be obtained. The pixels located in a pulse area


**EXPERIMENTAL METHOD**

**Types Of Investigated Heart Abnormalities Investigated**

The types of heart abnormalities which were studied in this research are described in Table 1.

**Selection of Frequency Band**

The frequency band for the ECG signal was decided to be between 0.5 Hertz (Hz) to 59 Hz. Detailed signal analysis by previous researchers indicated that the P-wave and T-wave mainly consist of frequency components below 60 Hz. The R-wave mainly consist of frequency components below 60 Hz but it also consists



**FIGURE 3.** Regions with Euler number equal to 0 and -1

are indicated by 1. Since the transition included from 0 to 1 and 1 to 0, the number of total pulse is actually  $N_p = N_T / 2$ . In the above example, there are actually  $4 / 2 = 2$  pulses detected. This method is by far not the most robust and precise pulse counting method, but it was observed throughout the study that the produced results were acceptable.

**Euler Number**

Euler Number is defined as the number of connected components. It is a topological property for region description. The number of holes  $H$  and connected components,  $C$  in an image can be used to define the Euler Number,  $E$ :

$$E = C - H \tag{14}$$

The regions are shown in Figure 3, for example, if the Euler numbers equal to 0 and

of some frequency components above 60 Hz. Using a band pass filter, the signal bandwidth was selected between 0.5 to 59 Hz. Such a filter will effectively reduce 60 Hz noise (normally acquired through powerline), and have little effects on P-wave and T-wave which finally produce some acceptable distortion on the R-wave. Cut-off frequency above 0.5 Hz was chosen to avoid the low-frequency noise due to respiration and electrode movement below 0.03 Hz.

**Features Observed**

The features from the processed spectrogram image which was extracted and used for classification is described in Table 2.

**Classification Method**

The abnormalities were classified using a forward chaining Expert Systems and a corresponding

**TABLE 1.** Type of Heart Abnormalities and Their Description

#	Abnormality	Description
1	Normal	Normal working heart.
2	Atrial Fibrillation	Atrial Fibrillation is an abnormality of the heart in which the atrial of heart is not depolarized completely (activated).The P peak of the time domain ECG is not very clear, but in time-frequency domain, the pulses are narrower compared to the pulses from the normal heart.
3	Supraventricular Arrhythmia	Hearts with Supraventricular Arrhythmia produces the maximum peak frequency below 50 Hertz.
4	Ventricular TachyArrhythmia	In this kind of abnormality, the number of pulses in every period is considerably bigger as compared to other kind of abnormalities and normal heart.
5	Myocardial Infarction	This kind of abnormality generates pulses which are not firm compared to the normal pulse due to the weakness of the heart to execute normal heart activity.

**TABLE 2.** Features Observed

#	Features	Description
1	Pulse Width	The width of individual pulses in the spectrogram
2	Height	The height of individual pulses in the spectrogram
3	Number of Pulse	The number of pulses recorded in 3.125 second in the spectrogram
4	Intensity	The intensity of each pulses in the spectrogram
5	Euler Number for individual pulse	The Euler Number calculated on individual pulse in the spectrogram
6	Euler Number for the whole image	The Euler Number calculated on the overall image of the spectrogram
7	Euler Number for individual pulse after Sobel edge detection operation	The Euler Number calculated on the image of containing edges of a single pulse.
8	Euler Number for the whole image after Sobel edge detection operation	The Euler Number calculated for the edges of the overall image of the spectrogram.

overall certainty factor,  $cf$ , is calculated for every consequences. There were 25 rules in the Knowledge Base of the Expert System. Individual certainty factors for every consequence are empirically calculated from 40 available data. They represent the probability,  $P_{jk}^i$  of the patient which diagnosed with abnormality- $i$  if feature- $j$  is equivalent to value- $k$ . Since the same set of consequences is obtained as a result of the execution of two or more rules, the individual certainty factors of these rules is merged to give a combined certainty factor for a hypothesis. The knowledge base consists of the following rules:

Rule 1: IF  $PulseWidth$  is  $PW_1$   
 THEN  $Abnormality$  is  $Normal \{cf = cf_{11}\}$   
 $Abnormality$  is  $AtrialFibrillation \{cf = cf_{12}\}$   
 $Abnormality$  is  $Supraventricular Arrhythmia \{cf = cf_{13}\}$   
 $Abnormality$  is  $Ventricular TachyArrhythmia \{cf = cf_{14}\}$   
 $Abnormality$  is  $MyocardialInfarction \{cf = cf_{15}\}$

Rule 2: IF  $PulseWidth$  is  $PW_2$   
 THEN  $Abnormality$  is  $Normal \{cf = cf_{21}\}$

	Abnormality is AtrialFibrillation {cf = cf <sub>22</sub> }		Abnormality is Ventricular TachyArrhythmia {cf = cf <sub>j×k,4</sub> }
	Abnormality is Supraventricular Arrhythmia {cf = cf <sub>23</sub> }		Abnormality is MyocardialInfarction {cf = cf <sub>j×k,5</sub> }
	Abnormality is MyocardialInfarction {cf = cf <sub>25</sub> }	$cf_{j+1}^i$	: the confidence in consequence- <i>i</i> established by Rule- (( <i>j</i> +1)× <i>k</i> )
Rule <i>j</i> × <i>k</i> : IF	[features- <i>j</i> ] is [= value / within range of value of <i>k</i> ]	$ cf_i^m $ and $ cf_{i+1}^m $	: absolute magnitudes of $cf_i^m$ and $cf_{i+1}^m$ , respectively.
THEN	Abnormality is Normal {cf = cf <sub>j×k,1</sub> }	<i>q</i>	: number of possible condition for feature- <i>j</i>
	Abnormality is AtrialFibrillation {cf = cf <sub>j×k,2</sub> }	$P_{jk}^i$	: probability of abnormality- <i>i</i> when feature- <i>j</i> has a value of <i>k</i>
	Abnormality is Supraventricular Arrhythmia {cf = cf <sub>j×k,3</sub> }		

TABLE 3. Classification Test Results

Data Source	Abnormality	Height (j=1)	Pulse (j=2)	Width	Int.	Euler Ori	Euler Sobel	Euler All	Euler Sobel All	CF	√ / ×
Data to Develop the System	Normal	1.000	0.500	0.875	0.750	0.625	0.625	0.625	0.625	1.000	√
	Atrial Fibrillation	1.000	0.100	0.500	0.875	0.750	0.875	1.000	0.750	1.000	√
	Myocardial Infarction	0.675	1.000	0.625	0.125	0.500	0.500	0.375	0.250	0.960	√
	Supraventricular Arrhythmia	1.000	0.500	1.000	1.000	0.125	0.875	1.000	0.875	1.000	√
	Ventricular Tachyarrhythmia	0.250	1.000	0.125	1.000	0.750	0.250	1.000	0.625	0.910	√
Tested Data	Normal	1.000	0.500	0.875	0.750	0.625	0.625	0.250	0.625	1.000	√
	Atrial Fibrillation	1.000	0.100	0.500	0.125	0.750	0.875	1.000	0.750	1.000	√
	Myocardial Infarction	0.250	1.000	0.250	0.500	0.500	0.500	0.625	0.250	0.906	√
	Supraventricular Arrhythmia	1.000	0.500	1.000	1.000	0.125	0.875	1.000	0.875	1.000	√
	Ventricular Tachyarrhythmia	0.250	1.000	0.875	0.000	0.000	0.250	1.000	0.625	0.906	√

To calculate the combined certainty factor for consequences-*i* = 1 to 5, which is the classified abnormalities, a modified version the following equation was used (Negnevitsky 2002):

$$cf^{i*}(cf_j^i, cf_{j+1}^i) = \begin{cases} cf_j^i + cf_{j+1}^i \times (1 - cf_j^i) & \text{if } cf_j^i > 0 \text{ and } cf_{j+1}^i > 0 \\ \frac{cf_j^i + cf_{j+1}^i}{1 - \min[|cf_j^i|, |cf_{j+1}^i|]} & \text{if } cf_j^i < 0 \text{ or } cf_{j+1}^i < 0 \\ cf_j^i + cf_{j+1}^i \times (1 + cf_j^i) & \text{if } cf_j^i < 0 \text{ and } cf_{j+1}^i < 0 \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

$$cf^i = cf^{i*} \times \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{j=n} P_{jk}^i}{n}, k = 1, 2, \dots, q \quad (16)$$

where:

$cf_j^i$  : the confidence in consequence- $i$  established by Rule- ( $j \times k$ )

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results for the tested classification are shown in Table 3.

The first five rows show the parameters and  $cf$  of the classified abnormality for the data which was used to develop the knowledge base, where as the last five rows represent the classified abnormality for the data which was not used to develop the knowledge base, i.e., external data set. In both sets of data, the system was able to correctly classify the investigated abnormalities with 100% accuracy. The  $cf$  for the classified

abnormality varies but the values were either close to or equal to 1.00, which indicates strong belief in the result.

## CONCLUSION

The detection results showed that the system functions very well and gives very good detection result (100% accuracy). It is therefore concluded that the objective of this research has been achieved. Future enhancement in this research includes the inclusion of more data in the knowledge base and  $cf$  extraction. Further testing on larger test set is also planned in the near future to test the robustness and accuracy of this system.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment, Malaysia under the 8<sup>th</sup> Malaysia Plan's IRPA 03-02-02-0016-SR0003/07-02 Grant.

## REFERENCES

- Crowe, J.A. 1997. The wavelet transform and its application to biomedical signals. *Time-Frequency Analysis of Biomedical Signals, IEE Colloquium on Year (Digest No. 1997/006)*, 29 Jan 1997: 2/1-2/3.
- Dripps, J.H. 1997. An introduction to time frequency methods applied to biomedical signals, *Time-Frequency Analysis of Biomedical Signals, IEE Colloquium on Year (Digest No. 1997/006)*, 29 Jan 1997: 5/1-5/6.
- Enderle, J., Slanchar, S. & Bronzio, J. 2000. Introduction to Biomedical Engineering. San Diego: Academic Press.
- Fisher, B., Perkins, S., Walker, A. & Wolfart, E. 1994. Spatial filters median filter. (online) <http://www.cee.hw.ac.uk/hipr/html/median.html> (6 April 2006)
- Gonzales, R.C. & Woods, R.E. 2002. Digital image processing, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall
- Haghighi-Mood, A. & Torry, J.N. 1997. Time Frequency Analysis of Systolic Murmurs. *Time-Frequency Analysis of Biomedical Signals, IEE Colloquium on Year (Digest No. 1997/006)*, 29 Jan 1997: 4/1-4/4
- Hlawatsch, F. & Boudreaux-Bartels, G. 1992. Linear and quadratic time-frequency signal representations. *IEEE Signal Process Mag* 9(2): 21-67
- Ikeda, K., Vaughn, B. & Quint, S. 1997. Wavelet decomposition of heart period data. *Proceeding of The First Joint BMES/EMBS Conference Serving Humanity, Advancing Technology*, Oct. 13-16 : 99-110
- Mitra, S.K., 1998. Digital signal processing, a computer based approach. Singapore: McGraw-Hill.
- Negnevitsky, M. 2002. Artificial intelligence, a guide to intelligent systems. Essex: Pearson Education Ltd.
- Smith, S.W. 1999. The Scientist and Engineer's Guide to Digital Signal Processing. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. San Diego: California Technical Publishing.
- Sprenger, Stephen M. Pitch-Scaling using the Fourier Transform. *The DSP Dimension*. (online) <http://www.dspdimension.com> (6 April 2006)
- Verhelst, W. & Roelands, M. 1993, An overlap-add technique based on waveform similarity (WSOLA) for high quality time-scale modification of speech. *Proc. IEEE ICASSP, Apr. 1993*, pp. 554-557.
- Wong, K. 2002. The Role of the Fourier Transform in Time-Scale Modification, *Journal of Undergraduate Research* 2(11), (online). [http://www.clas.ufl.edu/jur/200108/papers/paper\\_wong.html](http://www.clas.ufl.edu/jur/200108/papers/paper_wong.html) (6 April 2006)