

RIVER WATER QUALITY MONITORING USING STATISTICAL PROCESS CONTROL IN DUNGUN RIVER BASIN, TERENGGANU, MALAYSIA

(Pemantauan Kualiti Air Sungai Menggunakan Kawalan Proses Berstatistik di Lembangan Sungai Dungun, Terengganu, Malaysia)

TENGGU NURUL AIMI BALQIS TENGGU MALIM BUSU* & NOR AISHAH AHAD

ABSTRACT

Water pollution keeps rising due to the industrialisation and urbanisation in Malaysia. This matter needs to be given serious attention to avoid further contamination of the river water. Therefore, the quality of river water should be measured to avoid water pollution. This study was carried out to determine river water quality whether the water is safe for aquatic life or not at Dungun River Basin, Terengganu, based on the chemical parameters, which are the pH value and the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS). The water sampling was conducted at three separate sites along the river, and the distance between each station is approximately 2 km. Box plot, scatter plot, and control chart are being used in the analysis. The box plot results show that the water pH value and TDS level are normally distributed with no outliers. The scatter plot shows that the pH value and TDS level have a weak positive relationship with the correlation value of 0.4063. The control chart shows the pH value and TDS level are stable and within control. However, apart from the physical, chemical, and microbiological parameters, water quality must be accessed to ensure a high quality of drinking water. Based on the National Water Quality Standard (NWQS), the result shows that pH was classified as Class IV, while TDS was classified as Class IIA. Therefore, the river water required conventional treatment, but it still can be used for irrigation.

Keywords: river water quality; statistical process control; control chart

ABSTRAK

Pencemaran sungai terus meningkat disebabkan oleh perindustrian dan pembandaran di Malaysia. Perkara ini perlu diberi perhatian serius untuk mengelakkan berlakunya pencemaran air sungai. Oleh itu, kualiti air sungai harus diukur untuk mengelakkan pencemaran air. Kajian ini dilakukan untuk mengetahui kualiti air sungai sama ada airnya selamat untuk hidupan air atau tidak di Lembangan Sungai Dungun, Terengganu, berdasarkan parameter kimia, iaitu nilai pH dan jumlah pepejal terlarut (JPT). Pensampelan air dilakukan di tiga lokasi terpisah di sepanjang sungai dan jarak antara setiap stesen adalah sekitar 2 km. Plot kotak, plot serakan, dan carta kawalan digunakan dalam analisis. Hasil plot kotak menunjukkan bahawa nilai pH air dan tahap JPT adalah tertabur normal dengan tanpa data pencilan. Plot serakan menunjukkan nilai pH dan tahap JPT mempunyai hubungan positif yang lemah dengan nilai korelasi 0.4063. Carta kawalan menunjukkan nilai pH dan tahap JPT stabil dan terkawal. Namun, selain dari parameter fizikal, kimia, dan mikrobiologi, kualiti air mesti dicapai untuk memastikan air minuman berkualiti tinggi. Berdasarkan Piawaian Kualiti Air Kebangsaan (PKAK), hasil menunjukkan bahawa pH dikelaskan sebagai Kelas IV, sementara JPT dikelaskan sebagai Kelas IIA. Oleh itu, air sungai ini memerlukan rawatan konvensional tetapi masih dapat digunakan untuk pengairan.

Kata kunci: kualiti air sungai; kawalan proses berstatistik; carta kawalan

References

- Ali M.H. 2010. *Fundamentals of Irrigation and On-Farm Water Management*. New York: Springer.
- Anon. 2019a. Three arrested for waste dumping. <https://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2019/03/12/three-arrested-for-waste-dumping/> (12 March 2021).
- Anon. 2019b. 15 types of chemicals found in air samples. <https://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2019/03/17/15-types-of-chemicals-found-in-air-samples/> (29 December 2020).
- Azizi N.A. 2018. Senawang factory ordered shut after pollution turned Sungai Simin blue. <https://www.nst.com.my/news/nation/2018/01/328893/senawang-factory-ordered-shut-after-pollution-turned-sungai-simin-blue> (26 January 2021).
- Benjamin N. & Nordin R. 2019. Five more Johor schools closed following chemical dumping incident. <https://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2019/03/13/five-more-johor-schools-closed-following-chemical-dumping-incident> (13 March 2021).
- Bernama. 2016, October 26. Negeri Sembilan government views seriously river odour pollution. <http://english.astroawani.com/malaysia-news/negeri-sembilan-government-views-seriously-river-odour-pollution-120497> (29 December 2020).
- Besterfield D.H. 2009. *Quality Control*. 8th Edition. Upper Saddle River, USA: Pearson Education.
- Boguski T.K. 2006. Understanding unit of measurement. <https://engg.ksu.edu/CHSR/outreach/resources/docs/2UnitsofMeasure022508.pdf> (22 November 2020).
- Burton A.C. & Cornhill J.F. 1977. Correlation of cancer death rates with altitude and with the quality of water supply of the 100 largest cities in the United States. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health* **3**(3): 465–478.
- Charkhabi A.H. & Sakizadeh M. 2006. Assessment of spatial variation of water quality parameters in the most polluted branch of the Anzali Wetland, Northern Iran. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies* **15**(3): 395–403.
- Conceição K.Z.D., Boas M.A.V., Sampaio S.C., Remor M.B. & Bonaparte D.I. 2018. Statistical control of the process applied to the monitoring of the water quality index. *Engenharia Agrícola* **38**(6): 951–960 doi:10.1590/1809-4430-eng.agric.v38n6p951-960/2018.
- Dania Z. 2020. Selangor river pollution: What you need to know right now? <https://www.astroawani.com/berita-malaysia/selangor-river-pollution-what-you-need-to-know-right-now-267963> (28 December 2020).
- Department of Environment. 2008. Malaysia Environmental Quality Report 2008. p. 86.
- Fawaz A., Shuhaimi O.M. & Gasim M.B. 2013. Water quality assessment of the Semenyih river, Selangor, Malaysia. *Journal of Chemistry* **2013**:10 pages.
- Huang Y.F., Ang S.Y., Lee K.M. & Lee T.S. 2015. Quality of water resources in Malaysia. In Lee T.S. (eds). *Research and Practices in Water Quality*: 65-94. IntechOpen doi: 10.5772/58969.
- Hwi T.Y., Ibrahim Y.S. & Khalik W. 2020. Microplastic abundance, distribution, and composition in Sungai Dungun, Terengganu, Malaysia. *Sains Malaysiana* **49**(7): 1479-1490.
- Iglesias C., Sancho J., Piñeiro J.I., Martínez J., Pastor J.J. & Taboada J. 2015. Shewhart-type control charts and functional data analysis for water quality analysis based on a global indicator. *Desalination and Water Treatment* **57**(6): 2669–2684 doi:10.1080/19443994.2015.1029533.
- Joglekar A.M. 2003. *Statistical Methods for Six Sigma: In R&D and Manufacturing*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Kumar V., Kumar S., Srivastava S., Singh J. & Kumar, P. 2018. Water quality of River Ganga with reference to physico-chemical and microbiological characteristics during Kanwar Mela 2017, at Haridwar, India: A case study. *Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science* **3**(1): 58-63.
- Leščešen I., Pantelić M., Dolinaj D., Stojanović V. & Milošević D. 2015. Statistical analysis of water quality parameters of the Drina River (West Serbia), 2004-11. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies* **24**(2): 555-561.
- Liu J., Yang H., Gosling S.N., Kumm M., Florke M., Pfister S., Hanasaki N., Wada Y., Zhang X., Zheng C., Alcamo J. & Oki, T. 2017. Water scarcity assessments in the past, present, and future. *Earth's Future* **5**(6): 545-559 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016EF000518>.
- Mahananda M.R., Mohanty B.P. & Behera N.R. 2010. Physico-chemical analysis of surface and ground water of Bargarh District, Orissa, India. *International Journal of Research and Reviews in Applied Sciences* **2**(3): 284-295.
- Mekonnen M.M. & Hoekstra A.Y. 2016. Four billion people facing severe water scarcity. *Science Advances*. **2**(2): e1500323 doi:10.1126/sciadv.1500323.
- Michaud J.P. 1991. *A Citizen's Guide to Understanding and Monitoring Lakes and Streams*. Washington: The Department of Ecology Publications.
- Mitchell H.H., Hamilton T.S., Steggerda F.R. & Bean H.W. 1945. The chemical composition of the adult human body and its bearing on the biochemistry of growth. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **158**(3): 625-637.

- Montgomery D.C. 2009. *Introduction to Statistical Quality Control*. 6th Edition. Hoboken, USA: John Wiley and Sons.
- Ngoye E. & Machiwa J.F. 2004. The influence of land-use patterns in the Ruvu River Watershed on water quality in the river system. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C* **29**(15-18): 1161-1166.
- Patel V. & Parikh P. 2013. Assessment of seasonal variation in water quality of River Mini, at Sindhrot, Vadodara. *International Journal of Environmental Sciences* **3**(5): 1424-1436.
- Rubiat I., Shaikh M.F., Amin M.R., Juliana F.M., Islam M.J., Alam M.J., Hossain M.N. & Mohammad A. 2017. Assessment of pH and total dissolved substances (TDS) in the commercially available bottled drinking water. *Journal of Nursing and Health Science* **6**(5): 35-40.
- Samsudin M.S., Azman A., Saiful I.K., Ahmad S.M.S. & Muhammad A.Z. 2017b. River water quality assessment using APCS-MLR and statistical process control in Johor River Basin, Malaysia. *International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences* **4**(8): 84-97 doi:10.21833/ijaas.2017.08.013.
- Samsudin M.S., Khalit S.I., Azid A., Juahir H., Saudi A.S.M., Sharip Z. & Zaudi M.A. 2017a. Control limit detection for source apportionment in Perlis River Basin, Malaysia. *Malaysian J. Fundamental Appl. Sci* **13**(3): 294-303.
- Sancho J., Iglesias C., Piñeiro J., Martínez J., Pastor J.J., Araújo M. & Taboada J. 2016. Study of water quality in a Spanish river based on statistical process control and functional data analysis. *Mathematical Geosciences* **48**(2): 163-186.
- Saudi A.S.M., Ridzuan I.S.D., Balakrishnan A., Azid A., Shukor D.M.A. & Rizman Z.I. 2018. New flood risk index in tropical area generated by using SPC technique. *Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences* **9**(4S): 828 doi:10.4314/jfas.v9i4s.47.
- Schikora P.F. 2017. Creating and working with control charts in Excel. *American Journal of Business Education (AJBE)* **10**(1): 23-44.
- Smeti E., Thanasoulis N., Kousouris L. & Tzoumerkas P. 2007. An approach for the application of statistical process control techniques for quality improvement of treated water. *Desalination* **213**(1-3): 273-281 doi:10.1016/j.desal.2006.03.613.
- Sun X., Zhang H., Zhong M., Wang Z., Liang X., Huang T. & Huang H. 2019. Analyses on the temporal and spatial characteristics of water quality in a seagoing river using multivariate statistical techniques: A case study in the Duliujian River, China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **16**(6), 1020.
- Suratman S., Awang M., Loh A.L. & Tahir N.M. 2009. Water quality index study in Paka River basin, Terengganu. *Sains Malaysiana* **38**(2): 125-131.
- Suratman S., Sailan M.M., Hee Y.Y., Bedurus E.A. & Latif M.T. 2015. A preliminary study of water quality index in Terengganu River basin, Malaysia. *Sains Malaysiana* **44**(1): 67-73.
- Tahir N.M., Suratman S., Shazili N.A.M., Ariffin M.M., Amin M.S.M., Ariff N.F.M.N.I. & Sulaiman W.N.H.W. 2008. Behaviour of water quality parameters during ebb tide in Dungun river estuary, Terengganu. *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management* **3**(1): 1-10.
- United Nations. 2003. Water for people, Water for life. The United Nations World Water Development Report. p. 11-12.
- Yunus A.J.M. & Nakagoshi N. 2004. Effects of seasonality on streamflow and water quality of the Pinang River in Penang Island, Malaysia. *Chinese Geographical Science* **14**(2): 153-161.
- Zaki Z. 2010. Benchmarking river water quality in Malaysia. *Jurutera* February: 12-15.

*School of Quantitative Sciences
College of Arts and Sciences
Universiti Utara Malaysia
06010 Sintok
Kedah DA, MALAYSIA
E-mail: tengkuaimi98@gmail.com*, aishah@uum.edu.my*

Received: 16 March 2021

Accepted: 26 June 2021

*Corresponding author

Appendix. Table of Control Chart Constants (Joglekar A.M. 2003)

n	d_2	d_3	C_4	\bar{X} and R Charts			\bar{X} and S Charts		
				A_2	D_3	D_4	A_3	B_3	B_4
2	1.128	0.8525	0.7979	1.880	—	3.267	2.659	—	3.267
3	1.693	0.8884	0.8862	1.023	—	2.574	1.954	—	2.568
4	2.059	0.8798	0.9213	0.729	—	2.282	1.628	—	2.266
5	2.326	0.8798	0.9400	0.577	—	2.114	1.427	—	2.089
6	2.534	0.8480	0.9515	0.483	—	2.004	1.287	0.030	1.970
7	2.704	0.8332	0.9594	0.419	0.076	1.924	1.182	0.118	1.882
8	2.847	0.8198	0.9650	0.373	0.136	1.864	1.099	0.185	1.815
9	2.970	0.8078	0.9693	0.337	0.184	1.816	1.032	0.239	1.761
10	3.078	0.7971	0.9727	0.308	0.223	1.777	0.975	0.284	1.716
11	3.173	0.7873	0.9754	0.285	0.256	1.744	0.927	0.321	1.679
12	3.258	0.7785	0.9776	0.266	0.283	1.717	0.886	0.354	1.646
13	3.336	0.7704	0.9794	0.249	0.307	1.693	0.850	0.382	1.618
14	3.407	0.7630	0.9810	0.235	0.328	1.672	0.817	0.406	1.594
15	3.472	0.7562	0.9823	0.223	0.347	1.653	0.789	0.428	1.572
16	3.532	0.7499	0.9835	0.212	0.363	1.637	0.763	0.448	1.552
17	3.588	0.7441	0.9845	0.203	0.378	1.622	0.739	0.466	1.534
18	3.640	0.7386	0.9854	0.194	0.391	1.607	0.718	0.482	1.518
19	3.689	0.7335	0.9862	0.187	0.403	1.597	0.698	0.497	1.503
20	3.735	0.7287	0.9869	0.180	0.415	1.585	0.680	0.510	1.490
21	3.778	0.7242	0.9876	0.173	0.425	1.575	0.663	0.523	1.477
22	3.819	0.7199	0.9882	0.167	0.434	1.566	0.647	0.534	1.466
23	3.858	0.7159	0.9887	0.162	0.443	1.557	0.633	0.545	1.455
24	3.895	0.7121	0.9892	0.157	0.451	1.548	0.619	0.555	1.445
25	3.931	0.7084	0.9896	0.153	0.459	1.541	0.606	0.565	1.435

Copyright ASTM International, used by permission.