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#### Abstract

We provide a solvability criterion for a cubic equation in domains $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}, \mathbb{Z}_{3}$, and $\mathbb{Q}_{3}$.
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ABSTRAK
Kami memberi kriteria kebolehselesaian untuk persamaan kubik dalam domain $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}, \mathbb{Z}_{3}$, dan $\mathbb{Q}_{3}$.
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## InTRODUCTION

This study is a continuation of papers Mukhamedov et al. $(2014,2013)$, Mukhamedov and Saburov (2013) and Saburov and Ahmad (2014) where a solvability criterion for a cubic equation over the $p$-adic field $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, where $p \neq 3$, was provided. In this paper, we shall provide a solvability criterion for the cubic equation over domains, $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}, \mathbb{Z}_{3}$, and $\mathbb{Q}_{3}$.

The field $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ of $p$-adic numbers which was introduced by German mathematician K. Hensel was motivated primarily by an attempt to bring the ideas and techniques of the power series into number theory. Their canonical representation is analogous to the expansion of analytic functions into power series. This is one of the manifestations of the analogy between algebraic numbers and algebraic functions.

For a fixed prime $p$, by $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ it is denoted the field of $p$-adic numbers, which is a completion of the rational numbers with respect to the non-Archimedean norm $|\cdot|_{p}: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
|x|_{p}= \begin{cases}p^{-r}, & x \neq 0,  \tag{1}\\ 0, & x=0,\end{cases}
$$

where, $x=p^{r} \frac{m}{n}$ with $r, m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N},(m, p)=(n, p)=1$. A number is called a $p$-order of $x$ and it is denoted by $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(x)=r$.

Any $p$-adic number $x \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ can be uniquely represented in the following canonical form

$$
x=p^{o r d p(x)}\left(x_{0}+x_{1} \cdot p+x_{2} \cdot p^{2}+\ldots\right)
$$

where $x_{0} \in\{1,2, \ldots p-1\}$ and $x_{i} \in\{0,1,2, \ldots p-1\}, i \geq 1$, (Borevich \& Shafarevich 1966; Koblitz 1984)

More recently, numerous applications of $p$-adic
numbers have shown up in theoretical physics and quantum mechanics (Beltrametti \& Cassinelli 1972; Khrennikov 1994, 1991; Volovich 1987).

Unlike the field $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers, in general, the cubic equation $a x^{3}+b x^{2}+c x+\mathrm{d}=0$ is not necessary to have a solution in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ with $a \neq 0$. For example, the following simple cubic equation $x^{3}=\mathrm{p}$ does not have any solution in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Therefore, it is natural to find a solvability criterion for the cubic equation in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. One of methods to find solutions of the cubic equation in a local field is the Cardano method. However, by means of the Cardano method, we could not tell an existence of solutions of any cubic equations (Mukhamedov et al. 2014, 2013).

To the best of our knowledge, we could not find the solvability criterion in an explicit form for the cubic equation in the Bible books of $p$-adic analysis and algebraic number theory (Apostol 1972; Cohen 2007; Gouvea 1997; Koblitz 1984; Lang 1994; Neukirch 1999; Schikhof 1984; Serre 1979). The solvability criterion for the cubic equation over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, for all prime $p \neq 3$, was provided in papers Mukhamedov et al. $(2014,2013)$ and Saburov and Ahmad (2014). This problem was open for the case $p=3$ and we are aiming to solve it in this paper.

We know that, by means of suitable substitutions, any cubic equation can be written a depressed cubic equation form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3}+a x=b, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}$. It is worth mentioning that there are some cubic equations which do not have any solutions in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\left(\right.$ resp. in $\left.\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ but have solutions in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ (resp. in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ ) (Mukhamedov et al. $(2014,2013)$. Therefore, finding a solvability criterion for the depressed cubic equation (2) in domains $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}, \mathbb{Z}_{p}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is of independent interest. In this paper, we provide a solvability criterion for a cubic equation in the domains $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}, \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{3}$.

The solvability criterion for the cubic equation (2) over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p}=\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ was provided in papers Serre 2003 and Sun 2007. Since $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, our results extend the results of papers Serre 2003 and Sun 2007.

## Some Auxiliary Results

In this section, we shall present some auxiliary results which assist us to find a solvability criterion for a cubic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3}+a x=b, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

over $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_{3}$. In the case $a b=0$, the solvability criterion for the cubic equation (4) was given in Mukhamedov and Saburov (2013). In what follows we assume that $a b \neq 0$.

Let

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{p}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}:|x|_{p} \leq 1\right\}, \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}:|x|_{p}=1\right\},
$$

be sets of $p-$ adic integers and unities, respectively.
We know that any $p$-adic unity $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ has a unique canonical representation

$$
x=x_{0}+x_{1} \cdot p+x_{2} \cdot p^{2}+\ldots
$$

where $x_{0} \in\{1,2, \ldots p-1\}$ and $x_{i} \in\{0,1,2, \ldots p-1\}$ for any $i \geq 1$. Moreover, any nonzero $p$-adic number $x \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ has a unique representation of the form $x=\frac{x^{*}}{|x|_{p}}$, where $x^{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$.

Let us introduce some notations which will be used throughout this paper.

Let $x \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ be a nonzero $p$-adic number and $x=\frac{x^{*}}{|x|_{p}}$ with $x^{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$

$$
x^{*}=x_{0}+x_{1} p+x_{2} p^{2}+\ldots+x_{k} p^{k}+\ldots
$$

where $x_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ and $x_{i} \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

For given numbers $i_{0}, j_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ and $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}$, $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{l} \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$, we define the following sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\left[i_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right]=\left\{x^{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}: x^{*}=i_{0}+i_{1} p+\ldots+i_{k} p^{k}+x_{k+1} p^{k+1}+\ldots\right\} \\
& \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\left[i_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k} j_{0} j_{1}, \ldots j_{l}\right]=\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\left[i_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots i_{k}\right] \times \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}\left[j_{0} j_{1}, \ldots, j_{l}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The following results are rather simple and might be well-known in the literature.

Proposition 1 Let $r, s \in \mathbb{F}_{3}$. The quadratic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+r x=s, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution in $\mathbb{F}_{3}$ if and only if either one of the following conditions holds true: (i) $s=0$ or (ii) $s=1$ and $r=0$ or (iii) $s=-1$ and $r \neq 0$. Moreover, the following statements hold true:

If $s=0$ then $x=0,-r$ are solutions of the quadratic equation (4);

If $s=1$ and $r=0$ then $x= \pm 1$ are solutions of the quadratic equation (4); and

If $s=-1$ and $r \neq 0$ then $x=r$ is a solution of the quadratic equation (4).

Corollary 2 If the quadratic equation (4) has solutions in $\mathbb{F}_{3}$ then for any $\varepsilon \neq 0$, there exists at least one solution $x_{0}$ of the quadratic equation (4) such that $x_{0} \neq r+\varepsilon$.

Let us consider the following sets in

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta=\Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2}, \\
& \Delta_{1}=\Delta_{11} \cup \Delta_{12} \cup \Delta_{13}, \\
& \Delta_{2}=\Delta_{21} \cup \Delta_{22} \cup \Delta_{23}, \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{11}=\bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\
j=0}}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2, i, j \mid 1,2, i, j], \Delta_{12}=\bigcup_{j=0}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,1, j \mid 1,2,1, j+1], \\
& \Delta_{13}=\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\
j=0}}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2, i+1, j+1 \mid 1,2, i+1, j], \\
& \Delta_{21}=\bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\
j=0}}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2, i+j, i \mid 2,0,2-(i+j), j], \\
& \Delta_{22}=\bigcup_{j=0}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,0,2-j \mid 2,0,2, j], \\
& \Delta_{23}=\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\
j=0}}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,3-i, j \mid 2,0, i-1,1-(i+j)],
\end{aligned}
$$

and all entries of $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2, \ldots \mid 1, \ldots]$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2, \ldots \mid 2, \ldots]$ belong to the set $\{0,1,2\}$.

We need the following auxiliary results.
Proposition 3 Let $|a|_{3}=\frac{1}{3},|b|_{3}=1$, $a=3 a^{*}, a^{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ with
$a^{*}=a_{0}+3 a_{1}+9 a_{2}+\ldots$,
$b=b^{*}=b_{0}+3 b_{1}+9 b_{2}+\ldots$.
Then the following statements hold true:
One has that $b_{0}^{3} \equiv b(\bmod 9)$ if and only if $b^{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1,0]$ $\cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,2]$;

One has that $b_{0}^{3}+a b_{0} \equiv b(\bmod 9)$ with $a_{0}=1$ if and only if $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1 \mid 1,1] \cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1 \mid 2,1]$; and

One has that $b_{0}^{3}+a b_{0} \equiv b(\bmod 27)$ with $a_{0}=2$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2, i \mid 1,2, i] \cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,2-i \mid 2,0, i]\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the quadratic congruent equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+\left(b_{0}+1+b_{0} a_{1}\right) x \equiv b_{0} \frac{b-a b_{0}-b_{0}^{3}}{27}(\bmod 3) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution if and only if $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \Delta$, where the set $\Delta$ is defined by (5).

Proof. We shall prove the theorem case by case.
The congruent equation $b_{0}^{3} \equiv b(\bmod 9)$ has a solution if and only if $b_{0}^{3} \equiv b_{0}+3 b_{1}(\bmod 9)$ has a solution. It is clear that the last congruent equation has a solution if and only if $b_{0}=1, b_{1}=0$ or $b_{0}=2, b_{1}=2$. It means that $b^{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ $[1,0] \cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,2]$.

Let $a_{0}=1$. The congruent equation $b_{0}^{3} \equiv a b_{0} \equiv b(\bmod 9)$ has a solution if and only if $b_{0}^{3}+3 b_{0} \equiv b_{0}+3 b_{1}(\bmod 9)$ has a solution. It is clear that the last congruent equation has a solution if and only if $b_{0}=1, b_{1}=1$ or $b_{0}=2, b_{1}=1$. It means that $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1 \mid 1,1] \cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1 \mid 2,1]$.

Let $a_{0}=2$. It is clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=3 a^{*}=6+9 a_{1}+27 a_{2}+\ldots \\
& a b_{0}=6 b_{0}+9 a_{1} b_{0}+27 a_{2} b_{0}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

The congruent equation $b_{0}^{3}+a b_{0} \equiv b(\bmod 27)$ has a solution if and only if $b_{0}^{3}+6 b_{0}+9 a_{1} b_{0} \equiv b_{0}+3 b_{1}+9 b_{2}$ (mod 27) has a solution. We then have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}^{3}+5 b_{0}+9 a_{1} b_{0} \equiv 3 b_{1}+9 b_{2}(\bmod 27) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know that $b_{0} \in\{1,2\}$ if and only if $b_{0}^{2}+2=3 b_{0}$. Therefore, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{0}^{2}=3 b_{0}-2, \quad b_{0}^{3}=3 b_{0}^{2}-2 b_{0} \\
& b_{0}^{3}+5 b_{0}=3 b_{0}^{2}+3 b_{0}=12 b_{0}-6 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The congruence equation (8) takes the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
& 12 b_{0}-6+9 a_{1} b_{0} \\
& \equiv 3 b_{1}+9 b_{2}(\bmod 27) \text { or } 4 b_{0}-2+3 a_{1} b_{0} \\
& \equiv b_{1}+3 b_{2}(\bmod 9) . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

This yields that $4 b_{0}-2 \equiv b_{1}(\bmod 3)$ or $b_{1} \equiv b_{0}+1$ $(\bmod 3)$. Thus, if $b_{0}=1$ then $b_{1}=2$ and it follows from (9) that $a_{1}=b_{2}$; if $b_{0}=2$ then $b_{1}=0$ and it follows from (9) that $a_{1}=2-b_{2}$. Consequently, we have that $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \cup_{i=0}^{2}$ $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2, i \mid 1,2, i] \cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,2-i \mid 2,0, i]\right.$.

In this case, we want to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{b-a b_{0}-b_{0}^{3}}{27} \\
& = \begin{cases}b_{3}-a_{2}(\bmod 3), & \text { if }\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2, i \mid 1,2, i] \\
b_{2}+b_{3}+a_{2}+1(\bmod 3), & \text { if }\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,2-i \mid 2,0, i] .\end{cases} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \cup_{i=0}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2, i \mid 1,2, i]$. This means that $a_{0}=2$, $a_{1}=b_{2}, b_{0}=1, b_{1}=2$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \equiv & 3 a_{0}+9 a_{1}+27 a_{2}(\bmod 81), \\
b \equiv & b_{0}+3 b_{1}+9 b_{2}+27 b_{3} \equiv 1+6+9 b_{2}+27 b_{3} \\
& (\bmod 81), \\
a b_{0} \equiv & 3 a_{0} b_{0}+9 a_{1} b_{0}+27 a_{2} b_{0} \equiv 6+9 b_{2}+27 a_{2} \\
& (\bmod 81), \\
b-a b_{0}-b_{0}^{3} \equiv & 27\left(b_{3}-a_{3}\right)(\bmod 81)
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields that $\frac{b-a a_{0}-b_{0}^{3}}{27} \equiv b_{3}-a_{2}(\bmod 3)$.
Let $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \cup_{i=0}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,2-i \mid 2,0, i]$. This means that $a_{0}=2, a_{1}=2-b_{2}, b_{0}=2, b_{1}=0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \equiv & 3 a_{0}+9 a_{1}+27 a_{2}(\bmod 81), \\
b \equiv & b_{0}+3 b_{1}+9 b_{2}+27 b_{3} \equiv 2+9 b_{2}+27 b_{3} \\
& (\bmod 81), \\
a b_{0} \equiv & 3 a_{0} b_{0}+9 a_{1} b_{0}+27 a_{2} b_{0} \equiv 12 \\
& +18\left(2-b_{2}\right)+54 a_{2}(\bmod 81), \\
b-a b_{0}-b_{0}^{3} \equiv & 27\left(b_{2}+b_{3}-2 a_{3}-2\right)(\bmod 81)
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields that $\frac{b-a b_{0}-b_{0}^{3}}{27} \equiv b_{2}+b_{3}+a_{2}+1(\bmod 3)$.
We now study the quadratic congruent equation (7).
CASE I. Let $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \cup_{i=0}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2, i \mid 1,2, i]$. In this case, the equation (7) takes the following form

$$
x^{2}+\left(2+b_{2}\right) x \equiv b_{3}-a_{2}(\bmod 3) .
$$

Then, due to Proposition 1, the last quadratic congruent equation has a solution if and only if either one of the following conditions holds true:
a) $b_{3}-a_{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$;
b) $b_{3}-a_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ and $2+b_{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$; and
c) $b_{3}-a_{2} \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$ and $2+b_{2} \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$.

Therefore, we get that
a) $a_{0}=2, a_{1}=b_{2}, a_{2}=b_{3}, b_{0}=1, b_{1}=2$ or

$$
\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \Delta_{11}=\bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ j=0}}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2, i, j \mid 1,2, i, j] ;
$$

b) $a_{0}=2, a_{1}=1, b_{0}=1, b_{1}=2, b_{2}=1, b_{3} \equiv a_{2}+1$
$(\bmod 3)$ or
$\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \Delta_{12}=\bigcup_{j=0}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,1, j \mid 1,2,1, j+1] ;$
c) $a_{0}=2, a_{1}=b_{2}, a_{2} \equiv b_{3}+1(\bmod 3)$, $b_{0}=1, b_{1}=2, b_{2} \neq 1$ or $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \Delta_{13}=\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ j=0}}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2, i+1, j+1 \mid 1,2, i+1, j]$.

Consequently, we have that $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \Delta_{1}=\Delta_{11} \cup \Delta_{12} \cup \Delta_{13}$ CASE II. Let $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,2-i \mid 2,0, i]$. In this case, the equation (7) takes the following form

$$
x^{2}+2\left(2-b_{2}\right) x \equiv 2\left(b_{2}+b_{3}+a_{2}+1\right)(\bmod 3)
$$

Then, due to Proposition 1, the last quadratic congruent equation has a solution if and only if either one of the following conditions holds true:
a) $2\left(b_{2}+b_{3}+a_{2}+1\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$;
b) $2\left(b_{2}+b_{3}+a_{2}+1\right) \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ and $2\left(2-b_{2}\right) \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 3)$; and
c) $2\left(b_{2}+b_{3}+a_{2}+1\right) \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$ and $2\left(2-b_{2}\right) \equiv 0$ (mod 3).

Therefore, we have that
a) $a_{0}=2, a_{1} \equiv a_{2}+b_{3}(\bmod 3), b_{0}=2, b_{1}=0, b_{2} \equiv 2-\left(a_{2}\right.$

$$
\left.+b_{3}\right)(\bmod 3) \text { or }
$$

$$
\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \Delta_{21}=\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ j=0}}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2, i+j, i \mid 2,0,2-(i+j), j] ;
$$

b) $a_{0}=2, a_{1} \equiv 0, a_{2}=2-b_{3} b_{0}=2, b_{1}=0, b_{2} \equiv 2$ or

$$
\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \Delta_{22}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,0,2-j \mid 2,0,2, j]
$$

c) $a_{0}=2, a_{1}=2-b_{2}, b_{0}=2, b_{1}=0, b_{2} \neq 2, b_{3} \equiv-\left(b_{2}+\right.$ $\left.a_{3}\right)(\bmod 3)$ or

$$
\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \Delta_{23}=\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ j=0}}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,3-i, j \mid 2,0, i-1,1-(i+j)]
$$

Consequently, we obtain that $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \Delta_{2}=\Delta_{21} \cup \Delta_{22} \cup \Delta_{23}$
Therefore, the quadratic congruent equation (7) has a solution if and only if $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \Delta=\Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2}$. This completes the proof.

Finally, Hensel's lemma would be a powerful tool in order to obtain the solvability criterion for the cubic equation (3) in the domain $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$.

Lemma 4 (Hensel's Lemma, [3]) Let $f(x)$ be polynomial whose the coefficients are $p$-adic integers. Let $\theta$ be a $p$-adic integer such that for some $i \geq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(\theta) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2 i+1}\right) \\
& f^{\prime}(\theta) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{i}\right), f^{\prime}(\theta) \not \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{i+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $f(x)$ has a unique $p$-adic integer root $x_{0}$ which satisfies $x_{0} \equiv \theta\left(\bmod p^{i+1}\right)$.

SOLVABILITY CRITERIA OVER DOMAINS $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}, \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ AND $\mathbb{Q}_{3}$
In this section, we provide the main results of the paper in the domains $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$.

Theorem 5. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_{3}$ with $a b \neq 0$ and $\Delta$ be the set given by (5). Then the following statements hold true:

1) The cubic equation (3) is solvable in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ if and only if either one of the following conditions holds true:
I. $|b|_{3}=|a|_{3}>1$;
II. $|b|_{3}=|a|_{3}=1, a^{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1]$;
III. $|b|_{3}<|a|_{3}=1, a^{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2]$;
IV. $|a|_{3}<|b|_{3}=1$ and
(i) $|a|_{3}=\frac{1}{3},\left(a^{*}, b^{*}-\in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1 \mid 1] \cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1 \mid 2,1] \cup \Delta\right.$;
(ii) $|a|_{3}<\frac{1}{3}, b^{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1,0] \cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,2]$.
2) The cubic equation (3) is solvable in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ if and only if either one of the following conditions holds true:
I. $|a|_{3}^{3}>|b|_{3}^{2},|a|_{3} \geq|b|_{3}$;
II. $|a|_{3}^{3}=|b|_{3}^{2} \leq 1, a^{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1]$;
III. $|a|_{3}^{3}<|b|_{3}^{2} \leq 1,\left.3\left|\log _{3}\right| b\right|_{3}$, and
(i) $\left|\frac{a}{3}\right|_{3}^{3}=|b|_{3}^{2},\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1 \mid 1,1] \cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1 \mid 2,1] \cup \Delta$;
(ii) $\left|\frac{a}{3}\right|_{3}^{3}<|b|_{3}^{2}, b^{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1,0] \cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,2]$.
3) The cubic equation (3) is solvable in $\mathbb{Q}_{3}$ if and only if either one of the following conditions holds true:
I. $|a|_{3}^{3}>|b|_{3}^{2}$;
II. $|a|_{3}^{3}=|b|_{3}^{2}, \quad a^{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1]$;
III. $|a|_{3}^{3}<|b|_{3}^{2},\left.\quad 3\left|\log _{3}\right| b\right|_{3}$, and
(i) $\left|\frac{a}{3}\right|_{3}^{3}=|b|_{3}^{2},\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1 \mid 1,1] \cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1 \mid 2,1] \cup \Delta ;$
(ii) $\left|\frac{a}{3}\right|_{3}^{3}<|b|_{3}^{2}, b^{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1,0] \cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,2]$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_{3}, a b \neq 0$ and $\Delta$ be the set given by (5).
Case I. We know (Mukhamedov et al. 2014) that if the cubic equation (3) has a solution in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ then it is necessary to have either one of the following conditions: $|a|_{3}=|b|_{3}$ $\geq 1$ or $|b|_{3}<|a|_{3}=1$ or $|a|_{3}<|b|_{3}=1$. We shall study case by case.
I.1. Let $|a|_{3}=|b|_{3}>1$. In this case, we want to show that the cubic equation (3) always solvable in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$.

Since $|a|_{3}=|b|_{3}=3^{k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it is clear that the solvability of the following two cubic equations is equivalent

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{3}+a x=b, \quad|a|_{3} x^{3}+a^{*} x=b^{*} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, any solution of the first cubic equation is a solution of the second one and vice versa. On the other hand, the second cubic equation is suitable to apply Hensel's lemma. Let us consider the following polynomial function $g_{a, b}(x)=|a|_{3} x^{3}+a^{*} x-b^{*}$. Let $\bar{x}$ be a solution of the linear congruent equation $a^{*} \bar{x} \equiv b^{*}(\bmod 3)$ (it always exists). Then we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{a, b}(\bar{x})=|a|_{3} \bar{x}^{3}+a^{*} \bar{x}-b^{*} \equiv a^{*} \bar{x}-b^{*} \equiv 0(\bmod 3), \\
& g_{a, b}^{\prime}(\bar{x})=3|a|_{3} \bar{x}^{2}+a^{*} \equiv a^{*} \equiv 0(\bmod 3) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then due to Hensel's Lemma, there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ such that $g_{a, b}(x)=0$. Since $x \equiv \bar{x} \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$, we have that $x \in$ $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$. This shows that the cubic equation (3) is solvable in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ whenever $|a|_{3}=|b|_{3}>1$.
I.2. Let $|b|_{3}=|a|_{3}=1$. In this case, we want to show that the equation (3) is solvable in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ if and only if $a^{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ [1].

Only If PART: Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ be a solution of the cubic equation (3). Since $|b|_{3}=1$, we have that $x^{3}+a x \equiv b \not \equiv$ $0(\bmod 3)$. This yields that $x^{2}+a \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. We know that for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ one has that $x^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$. Then we get that $1+a \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ or $a \not \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$. This means that $a_{0} \equiv a \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ or $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1]$.

IF PART: Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1]$. Let us consider the following polynomial function $f_{a, b}(x)=x^{3}+a x-b$. Let $\bar{x}=2 b_{0}$. Then it is clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{a, b}(\bar{x})=8 b_{0}^{3}+2 a b_{0}-b \equiv 8 b_{0}+2 b_{0}-b_{0} \equiv 9 b_{0} \equiv 0(\bmod 3), \\
& f_{a, b}^{\prime}(\bar{x})=12 b_{0}^{2}+a \equiv a \equiv 1 \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then due to Hensel's Lemma, there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ such that $f_{a, b}(x)=0$. Since $x \equiv \bar{x} \equiv 2 b_{0}(\bmod 3)$, we have that $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$.
I.3. Let $|b|_{3}<|a|_{3}=1$. In this case, we want to show that the cubic equation (3) is solvable in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ if and only if $a^{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2]$.

OnLY IF PART: Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ be a solution of the cubic equation (3). Since $|b|_{3}<1$, we have that $x^{3}+a x \equiv b \equiv$ $0(\bmod 3)$. This yields that $x^{2}+a \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ or $x^{2} \equiv-a$ $(\bmod 3)$. We know that for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ one has that $x^{2} \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 3)$. We then get that $a \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$. This means that $a_{0} \equiv a \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ or $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2]$.

IF PART: Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ [2]. Let us again consider the same polynomial function $f_{a, b}(x)=x^{3}+a x-b$. Let $\bar{x}=1$. Then it is clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{a, b}(\bar{x})=1+a-b \equiv 1+a_{0} \equiv 0(\bmod 3), \\
& f_{a, b}^{\prime}(\bar{x})=3+a \equiv a \equiv 2 \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then due to Hensel's Lemma, there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ such that $f_{a, b}(x)=0$. Since $x \equiv \bar{x} \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, we have that $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$.
I.4. Let $|a|_{3}=\frac{1}{3}$. We shall separately study two cases: (i) $|a|_{3}=\frac{1}{3}$ and (ii) $|a|_{3}<\frac{1}{3}$.
I.4. (i). Let $|a|_{3}=\frac{1}{3}$. In this case, we want to show that the cubic equation (3) is solvable in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ if and only if $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right)$ $\in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1 \mid 1,1] \cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1 \mid 2,1] \cup \Delta$ where the set $\Delta$ is defined by (5).
Since $|a|_{3}=\frac{1}{3}$, one hast that $a=3 a^{*}$, where

$$
a^{*}=a_{0}+3 a_{1}+9 a_{2}+\ldots
$$

Here, we have two options: $a_{0}=1$ or $a_{0}=2$.
Let $a_{0}=1$. In this case, we especially want to show that cubic equation (3) is solvable in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ if and only if $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1 \mid 1,1] \cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1 \mid 2,1]$.

Only if part: Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ be a solution of (3). Particularly, we then get that

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{3}+a x \equiv b(\bmod 3)  \tag{12}\\
& x^{3}+a x \equiv b(\bmod 9) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $a=3 a^{*}$, it follows from (1) that $x \equiv b(\bmod 3)$. It means that $x_{0}=b_{0}$. We know that $x^{3} \equiv b_{0}^{3}(\bmod 9)$ and $a x$ $\equiv a b_{0}(\bmod 9)$. Therefore, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \equiv x^{3}+a x \equiv b_{0}^{3}+b_{0}(\bmod 9) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to Proposition 3, the congruent (14) holds true if $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1 \mid 1,1] \cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1 \mid 2,1]$.

If part. Let $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1 \mid 1,1] \cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1 \mid 2,1]$. We consider the same polynomial function $f_{a, b}(x)=x^{3}+a x-b$. Let $\bar{x}=b_{0}+3\left(b_{0}-1+a_{1} b_{0}-b_{2}\right)$. It is clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x}^{3} & \equiv b_{0}^{3}+9 b_{0}^{2}\left(b_{0}-1+a_{1} b_{0}-b_{2}\right) \\
& \equiv b_{0}^{3}+9\left(b_{0}-1+a_{1} b_{0}-b_{2}\right)(\bmod 27), \\
a \bar{x} & \equiv 3 a_{0} \bar{x}+9 a_{1} \bar{x} \equiv 12 b_{0}-9+18 a_{1} b_{0}-9 b_{2}(\bmod 27) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $b_{0}^{2}+2=3 b_{0}$ for any $b_{0} \in\{1,2\}$, we then obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{a, b}(\bar{x}) \equiv & b_{0}^{3}+9\left(b_{0}-1\right)+9 a_{1} b_{0}-9 b_{2}+12 b_{0}-9 \\
& +18 a_{1} b_{0}-9 b_{2}-b_{0}-3 b_{1}-9 b_{2}(\bmod 27) \\
\equiv & b_{0}^{3}+9\left(b_{0}-1\right)+12 b_{0}-9-b_{0}-3 b_{1} \\
\equiv & 27\left(b_{0}-1\right)(\bmod 243) \\
f_{a, b}^{\prime}(\bar{x})= & 3\left(\bar{x}^{2}+a^{*}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \\
f_{a, b}^{\prime}(\bar{x})= & 3\left(\bar{x}^{2}+a^{*}\right) \equiv 6(\bmod 9)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, due to Hensel's Lemma, there exist $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ such that $f_{a, b}(x)=0$. Since $x \equiv \bar{x} \equiv b_{0}(\bmod 3)$, we have that $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$.

Let $a_{0}=2$. In this case, we especially want to show that cubic equation (3) is solvable in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ if and only if $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right)$ $\in \Delta$ where the set $\Delta$ is defined by (5).

Only If part: Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ be a solution of the cubic equation (3). Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \equiv x_{0}+3 x_{1}+9 x_{2}+27 x_{3}+81 x_{4} \equiv x_{0}+3 X_{1}(\bmod 243) \\
& X_{1}=x_{1}+3 x_{2}+9 x_{3}+27 x_{4}=x_{1}+3 X_{2} \\
& X_{2}=x_{2}+3 x_{3}+9 x_{4}=x_{2}+3 X_{3} \\
& X_{3}=x_{3}+3 X_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case we can get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{1}^{2}=x_{1}^{2}+6 x_{1} X_{2}+9 X_{2}^{2} \\
& X_{1}^{3}=x_{1}^{3}+9 x_{1}^{2} X_{2}+27\left(x_{1} X_{2}^{2}+X_{2}^{3}\right) \\
& X_{2}^{2}=x_{2}^{2}(\bmod 9) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{3} \equiv & x_{0}^{3}+9 x_{0}^{2} X_{1}+27\left(x_{0} X_{1}^{2}+X_{1}^{3}\right)(\bmod 243) \\
\equiv & x_{0}^{3}+9 x_{0}^{2}\left(x_{1}+3 x_{2}+9 x_{3}\right)+27 x_{0}\left(x_{1}^{2}+6 x_{1} x_{2}\right) \\
& +27 x_{1}^{3}(\bmod 243) \\
a \equiv & 3 a_{0}+9 a_{1}+27 a_{2}+81 a_{3}(\bmod 243) \\
a x \equiv & 3 x_{0}\left(a_{0}+3 a_{1}+9 a_{2}+27 a_{3}\right)+9 x_{1}\left(a_{0}+3 a_{1}+9 a_{2}\right) \\
& +27 x_{2}\left(a_{0}+3 a_{1}\right)+81 x_{3} a_{0}(\bmod 243) \\
\equiv & a x_{0}+9 x_{1} a_{0}+27\left(x_{1} a_{1}+x_{2} a_{0}\right)+81\left(x_{1} a_{2}+x_{2} a_{1}+x_{3} a_{0}\right) \\
& \quad(\bmod 243) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We then get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{3}+a x-b \equiv x_{0}^{3}+a x_{0}-b+9 x_{1}\left(x_{0}^{2}+a_{0}\right)+27 x_{2}\left(x_{0}^{2}+a_{0}\right) \\
& +27\left(x_{1} a_{1}+x_{0} x_{1}^{2}+x_{1}^{3}\right)+81\left(x_{1} a_{2}+x_{2} a_{1}\right)+81 x_{3}\left(x_{0}^{2}+a_{0}\right) \\
& +162 x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}(\bmod 243)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that for any $b_{0} \in\{1,2\}$, we have that $x_{0}^{2}+a_{0}=b_{0}^{2}+2=3 b_{0}$. Therefore, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{3}+a x-b \equiv & b_{0}^{3}+a b_{0}-\mathrm{b}+27 b_{0} x_{1}+27\left(x_{1} a_{1}+b_{0} x_{1}^{2}+x_{1}^{3}\right) \\
& +81 b_{0} x_{2}+81\left(x_{1} a_{2}+x_{2} a_{1}\right) \\
& +162 b_{0} x_{1} x_{2}(\bmod 243) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $x$ is a solution of the cubic equation (3), in particular, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{3}+a x-b \equiv 0(\bmod 3)  \tag{16}\\
& x^{3}+a x-b \equiv 0(\bmod 27)  \tag{17}\\
& x^{3}+a x-b \equiv 0(\bmod 81)  \tag{18}\\
& x^{3}+a x-b \equiv 0(\bmod 243) \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $a=3 a^{*}$, it follows from (16) that $x^{3} \equiv b$ (mod 3) or $x_{0}=b_{0}$. We then obtain from (15) and (17) that $x^{3}+$ $a x-b \equiv b_{0}^{3}+a b_{0}-b \equiv 0(\bmod 27)$. Due to Proposition 3, the last congruent holds true if $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \cup_{i=0}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2, i \mid 1,2, i]$ $\cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,2-i \mid 2,0, i]$.

In this case, we obtain from (18) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{3}+a x-b & \equiv b_{0}^{3}+a b_{0}-b+27 x_{1} b_{0}+27\left(x_{1} a_{1}+b_{0} x_{1}^{2}+x_{1}^{3}\right) \\
& \equiv 0(\bmod 81)
\end{aligned}
$$

and by dividing 27 and having $x_{1}^{3} \equiv x_{1}(\bmod 3)$ we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0} x_{1}^{2}+\left(1+b_{0}+a_{1}\right) x_{1} \equiv \frac{b-a b_{0}-b_{0}^{3}}{27}(\bmod 3) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

or (by multiplying $b_{0}$ and having $\left.b_{0}^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 3)\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{2}+\left(b_{0}+1+a_{1} b_{0}\right) x_{1} \equiv b_{0} \frac{b-a b_{0}-b_{0}^{3}}{27}(\bmod 3) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then due to Proposition 3, this quadratic congruent equation has a solution if and only if $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \Delta$.

IF PART: Let $\left(a^{*}, b^{*}\right) \in \Delta$. Let us consider the same polynomial function $f_{a, b}(x)=x^{3}+a x-b$. Due to Corollary 2, for $\varepsilon=-b_{0}$ the last quadratic equation (21) has a solution $\bar{x}_{1}$ such that $\bar{x}_{1} \not \equiv 1+a_{1} b_{0}(\bmod 3)$. It is worth mentioning that $\bar{x}_{1}$ is also the solution of the quadratic congruent equation (20).

Now, we choose $\bar{x}_{2}$ to be a solution of the following linear congruence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(b_{0}+a_{1}-b_{0} \bar{x}_{1}\right) \bar{x}_{2} \\
& \equiv \frac{\frac{b-a b_{0}-b_{0}^{3}}{27}-\left(1+b_{0}+a_{1}\right) \bar{x}_{1}-b_{0} \bar{x}_{1}^{2}}{3} \\
& -a_{2} \bar{x}_{1}-\frac{\bar{x}_{1}^{3}-\bar{x}_{1}}{3}(\bmod 3) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the linear congruent (22) always has a solution because of $b_{0} \bar{x}_{1} \not \equiv b_{0}+a_{1}(\bmod 3)$. We then get from (22) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(b_{0}+a_{1}+2 b_{0} \bar{x}_{1}\right) \bar{x}_{2} \\
& \equiv \frac{\frac{b-a b_{0}-b_{0}^{3}}{27}-\left(1+b_{0}+a_{1}\right) \bar{x}_{1}-b_{0} \bar{x}_{1}^{2}}{3} \\
&-a_{2} \bar{x}_{1}-\frac{\bar{x}_{1}^{3}-\bar{x}_{1}}{3}(\bmod 3) \\
&\left(81 b_{0}+81 a_{1}+162 b_{0} \bar{x}_{1}\right) \bar{x}_{2} \equiv b-a b_{0}-b_{0}^{3}-27\left(1+b_{0}+a_{1}\right) \bar{x}_{1}-27 b_{0} \\
& \bar{x}_{1}^{2}- 81 a_{2} \bar{x}_{1}-27\left(\bar{x}_{1}^{3}-\bar{x}_{1}\right)(\bmod 243) \\
& b_{0}^{3}+a b_{0}-b+27 b_{0} \bar{x}_{1}+27\left(a_{1} \bar{x}_{1}+b_{0} \bar{x}_{1}^{2}+\bar{x}_{1}^{3}\right) \\
&+81 b_{0} \bar{x}_{2}+81\left(a_{2} \bar{x}_{1}+a_{1} \bar{x}_{2}\right)+162 b_{0} \bar{x}_{1} \bar{x}_{2} \\
& \equiv 0(\bmod 243)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\bar{x}=b_{0}+3 \bar{x}_{1}+9 \bar{x}_{2}$. We then have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{a, b}(\bar{x}) \equiv & b_{0}^{3}+a b_{0}-b+27 b_{0} \bar{x}_{1}+81 b_{0} \bar{x}_{2} \\
& +27\left(\bar{x}_{1} a_{1}+b_{0} \bar{x}_{1}^{2}+\bar{x}_{1}^{3}\right)+81\left(\bar{x}_{1} a_{2}+\bar{x}_{2} a_{1}\right) \\
& +162 b_{0} \bar{x}_{1} \bar{x}_{2}(\bmod 243) \\
\equiv & 0(\bmod 243) \\
f_{a, b}^{\prime}(\bar{x})= & 3\left(\bar{x}^{2}+a^{*}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \\
f_{a, b}^{\prime}(\bar{x})= & 3\left(\bar{x}^{2}+a^{*}\right) \equiv 3(1+2) \equiv 0(\bmod 9) \\
f_{a, b}^{\prime}(\bar{x})= & 3\left(\bar{x}^{2}+a^{*}\right) \equiv 3 b_{0}^{2}+18 b_{0} \bar{x}_{1}+3 a_{0}+9 a_{1} \\
\equiv & 3\left(b_{0}^{2}+2\right)+18 b_{0} \bar{x}_{1}+9 a_{1}(\bmod 27) \\
\equiv & 9 b_{0}+18 b_{0} \bar{x}_{1}+9 a_{1} \equiv 9\left(b_{0}+a_{1}+b_{0} \bar{x}_{1}\right)(\bmod 27) \\
\equiv & \pm 9 \not \equiv 0(\bmod 27)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, due to Hensel's Lemma, there exist $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ such that $f_{a, b}(x)=0$. Since $x \equiv \bar{x} \equiv b_{0}(\bmod 3)$, we have that $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$.
I.4. (ii). Let $|a|_{3} \leq \frac{1}{9}$. In this case, we want to show that the cubic equation (3) is solvable in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ if and only if $b^{*}$ $\in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1,0] \cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,2]$.

Only if part: Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$ be a solution of the cubic equation (3). Since $a \equiv 0(\bmod 9)$, we have that $b \equiv x^{3}$ $(\bmod 9)$. This yields that $x \equiv b(\bmod 3)$ or $x_{0}=b_{0}$. On the other hand, if $x \equiv b_{0}(\bmod 3)$ then we obtain that $x^{3}$ $\equiv b_{0}^{3}(\bmod 9)$. It means that $b_{0}^{3} \equiv b(\bmod 9)$. Then, due to Proposition 3, we have that $b^{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1,0] \cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,2]$.

If PART. Let $b^{*} \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[1,0] \cup \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}[2,2]$. Since $|a|_{3} \leq \frac{1}{9}$, we have that $a=9 a_{0}+27 a_{1}+\ldots$ where $a_{0}, a_{i} \in\{0,1,2\}$. Let us consider the same polynomial function $f_{a, b}(x)=x^{3}+a x$ $-b$. We choose that $\bar{x}=b_{0}+3\left(b_{2}-b_{0} a_{0}\right)$. In this case, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}^{3} \equiv b_{0}^{3}+9\left(b_{2}-b_{0} a_{0}\right)(\bmod 27) \\
& a \bar{x} \equiv 9 a_{0} b_{0}(\bmod 27) \\
& f_{a, b}^{\prime}(\bar{x}) \equiv b_{0}^{3}-b_{0}-3 b_{1} \equiv 0(\bmod 27) \\
& f_{a, b}^{\prime}(\bar{x})=3 \bar{x}^{2}+a \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \\
& f_{a, b}^{\prime}(\bar{x})=3 \bar{x}^{2}+a \equiv 3 b_{0}^{2} \equiv 3(\bmod 9)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, due Hensel's Lemma, there exist $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ such that $f_{a, b}(x)=0$. Since $\mathrm{x} \equiv \bar{x} \equiv b_{0}(\bmod 3)$, we have that $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{*}$.

Similarly, one can prove the cases 2 and 3 . This completes the proof.

In the paper (Saburov \& Ahmad 2015 (in press) we study the number of solutions of the cubic equations over $\mathbb{Q}_{3}$.
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