Sains Malaysiana 46(12)(2017): 2393–2416 http://dx.doi.org/10.17576/jsm-2017-4612-16

Intra- and Inter-specific Variation of Four *Acetes* Species (Crustacea: Decapoda: Sergestidae) Sampled along the West Coast of Peninsular Malaysia

(Variasi Intra- dan antara Spesies Empat Spesies Acetes (Crustacea: Decapoda: Sergestidae) disampel Sepanjang Pantai Barat Semenanjung Malaysia)

BOON YEE WONG, TARANJEET KAUR AWTAR SINGH, GIDEON KHOO & HAN KIAT ALAN ONG*

ABSTRACT

The intra- and inter-specific variation of Acetes shrimps were evaluated based on samples collected from in-shore catches and off-shore trawling around the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia. Species captured were identified as Acetes indicus, A. serrulatus, A. japonicus and A. sibogae. A region of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene comprising 552 base pairs (bp) was amplified from 159 Acetes specimens. The sequence alignment analysis generated phylogenetic trees which depicted the four major clades that were consistent with the species identified morphologically. These four species varied considerably for haplotype and nucleotide diversity, with A. indicus and A. serrulatus showing different demographic histories. Furthermore, the observation of two clades in the A. indicus and A. sibogae lineages, with relatively high levels of intraspecific divergence, suggests that cryptic diversity is possibly present in these two taxa. This study has contributed to the knowledge of the distribution patterns and molecular phylogenetics of four Acetes spp. in the Straits of Malacca.

Keywords: Acetes; COI gene; cryptic species; Peninsular Malaysia; phylogenetic analysis

ABSTRAK

Variasi intra- dan antara spesies Acetes dinilai berdasarkan sampel yang dikumpulkan daripada tangkapan di pantai dan tunda di luar pesisir pantai di sekitar pantai barat Semenanjung Malaysia. Spesies yang ditangkap dikenal pasti sebagai Acetes indicus, A. serrulatus, A. japonicus dan A. sibogae. Suatu kawasan gen sitokrom c oksidase mitokondria subunit I (COI) yang terdiri daripada 552 pasangan bes (bp) telah teramplifikasi daripada 159 spesimen Acetes. Analisis penjajaran jujukan menghasilkan pokok filogenetik yang menggambarkan empat klad utama adalah tekal dengan spesies yang telah dikenal pasti secara morfologi. Empat spesies ini sangat berbeza daripada segi kepelbagaian haplotip dan nukleotid dengan A. indicus dan A. sibogae, dengan tahap perbezaan intraspesies yang agak tinggi, menunjukkan bahawa kepelbagaian krip mungkin ada dalam dua taksa ini. Kajian ini telah menyumbang kepada pengetahuan tentang pola taburan dan molekul filogenetik empat Acetes spp. di Selat Melaka.

Kata kunci: Acetes; analisis filogenetik; gen COI; Semenanjung Malaysia; spesies kriptik

INTRODUCTION

Acetes shrimps of the family Sergestidae (Decapoda) are small planktonic shrimps (10-40 mm in total length), which are locally known as 'Udang Geragau' or 'Udang Baring' (Omori 1978, 1975). Currently, seven out of 14 described Acetes species have been found within Malaysian coastal waters, namely Acetes indicus, A. japonicus, A. serrulatus, A. vulgaris, A. sibogae, A. intermedius and A. erythraeus (Amani et al. 2011a, 2011b, 2011c; Amin et al. 2011, 2010, 2009a, 2009b, 2009c, 2009d, 2008; Arshad et al. 2012, 2008, 2007; Longhurst 1970; Omori 1975, 1978; Pathansali 1966). Landings of *Acetes* species are confined mainly to the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia where 75% or more of the total landing occurs (DOF 2013). Acetes are known for their commercial importance in subsistence fisheries (Holthuis 1980; Omori 1978, 1975) and potential use as feed in agriculture and aquaculture (Deshmukh 1991; Job

et al. 2006). These species also play important roles as both predators and prey in the food webs of coastal waters (Xiao & Greenwood 1993).

Previous studies on *Acetes* spp. focused mainly on their population dynamics, distribution, morphology, reproductive biology, morphometrics and lifecycles (Amani et al. 2011a, 2011b, 2011c; Amin et al. 2011, 2010, 2009a, 2009b, 2009c, 2009d, 2008; Arshad et al. 2012, 2008, 2007; Wong 2013; Wong et al. 2015). Presently, little is known about their genetic diversity spanning the common fishing grounds along the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia. To conserve the existing resources of these highly exploited species for long-term sustainable yields, information on the genetic diversity of *Acetes* populations is crucial for the assessment and management of wild stocks (Allendorf & Luikart 2006; Carvalho & Hauser 1994; Thorpe et al. 2000; Ward 2000; Ward & Grewe 1994). The identification of *Acetes* species is commonly based on the global identification keys by Omori (1975) as this identification system applies to a vast geographical

as this identification system applies to a vast geographical coverage and is also able to differentiate males from females at different stages of their life cycles (Wong 2013; Wong et al. 2015). Conversely, species identification studies using the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene have shown the usefulness of its sequence analysis in examining the phylogenetic and evolutionary relationships of decapod crustaceans such as penaeid shrimps (Baldwin et al. 1998), brachyuran crabs of the genus Cancer (Harrison & Crespi 1999), snapping shrimp genus Alpheus (Williams et al. 2001), Farfantepenaeus shrimps in Cuban waters (García-Machado et al. 2001), Western Pacific squat lobsters (Machordom & Macpherson 2004), European crayfish genus Austropotamobius (Trontelj et al. 2005), freshwater glass shrimp Paratya australiensis in eastern Australia (Cook et al. 2006), giant tiger prawn Penaeus monodon in Thai waters (Khamnamtong et al. 2009), Melicertus kerathurus populations in the Mediterranean

Sea and eastern Atlantic Ocean (Pellerito et al. 2009), Western Mediterranean red shrimp *Aristeus antennatus* (Roldán et al. 2009) and Indo-West Pacific portunid crabs (Lai et al. 2010).

In this study, morphological identification based on the global identification keys of Omori (1975) and mtDNA *COI* sequence analyses were used to evaluate the genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationships among *Acetes* species in the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia.

MATERIALS & METHODS

SAMPLE COLLECTION AND IDENTIFICATION

Acetes shrimps were sampled from inshore catches using push-nets and trawling activities at sea more than 5 nautical miles (nm) offshore along the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia (Figure 1), from August 2007 to October 2008. A global positioning system (GPS) was used to mark the



FIGURE 1. Map of Peninsular Malaysia showing the 14 sampling locations for this study The sampling locations are – SGKB: Sungai Kubang Badak; TBHG: Teluk Bahang; KK: Kuala Kurau; KG: Kuala Gula; KS: Kuala Sepetang; SGT: Sungai Tiang; BPL: Bagan Pasir Laut; BL: Bagan Lipas; TR: Teluk Rhu; SKC: Sekinchan; TKR: Tanjong Karang; PSETT: Portuguese Settlement; PKKP: Pulau Kukup; SGK: Sungai Kapal; indicated as (•)

geographical position of each sampling location (Table 1). Perak was the only state in which both in- and offshore samples were collected. The samples were preserved immediately in 70% ethanol (Merck, Germany) upon collection, followed by long-term storage in 95% ethanol as described by Lai et al. (2010), Wong (2013) and Wong et al. (2015). Fixation and preservation in ethanol was carried out to prevent degradation of DNA by enzymes upon death of the specimens as the latter would subsequently be used for DNA analyses (Black & Dodson 2003; Bucklin 2009; Díaz-Viloria et al. 2005; DiStefano et al. 1994; Wong 2013). The species and sexes of *Acetes* spp. were identified under a dissecting microscope (Leica ZOOM 2000TM, Model No. Z45V, Germany), according to the key characters described by Omori (1975) and Wong (2013) (Table 2).

DNA EXTRACTION, AMPLIFICATION AND SEQUENCING

DNA was extracted from 25 g of muscle sample, using i-genomic CTB DNA Extraction Mini Kit (iNtRON Biotechnology Inc., South Korea). Amplification of the 552 bp fragment from the 5'-end of mitochondrial DNA cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene was performed using PCR (Saiki et al. 1988) with the primer pair LCO1490 (5'-GGT CAA CAA ATC ATA AAG ATA TTG G-3') and HCO2198 (5'-TAA ACT TCA GGG TGA CCA AAA AAT CA-3') (Folmer et al. 1994). Each PCR reaction mixture contained 2.5 µL of 10× PCR buffer (Vivantis), 1.5 mM of MgCl₂ (Vivantis), 50 µM of each dNTP (Vivantis), 1 unit (U) of Taq polymerase (Vivantis), 0.3 µM of each primer (1st BASE Pte. Ltd., Singapore), 2 µL of DNA template (50 ng) and adjusted to a final volume of 25 μ L with deionised water. The PCR of COI gene was performed on an Eppendorf Mastercycler® Gradient (Eppendorf, Germany) with the following profile: Initial denaturation at 94°C for 60 s; five cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 45°C for 90 s and 72°C for 60 s; 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 51°C for 90 s and 72°C

for 60 s; followed by a final extension at 72°C for 5 min (Costa et al. 2007; Hebert et al. 2003). Prior to sequencing, PCR products were purified using the MEGAquick-spinTM PCR and Agarose Gel DNA Extraction System (iNtRON Biotechnology Inc., South Korea). Purified PCR products were sequenced from both directions, on an ABI Genetic Analyzer 3730 (Applied Biosystems).

SEQUENCE ANALYSIS

DNA sequence chromatograms were viewed and manually edited with Chromas LITE 2.01 (Technelysium Pty. Ltd., Australia). Homology search was performed with Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST; Altschul et al. 1990). Alignments of the COI sequences were performed in Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis 4 (MEGA4; Tamura et al. 2007). The aligned nucleotide sequences were then translated into amino acid based on invertebrate mitochondrial genetic code. The sequence variation and base composition of the amplified sequences were analyzed using MEGA4 and DnaSP v5.10 (Librado & Rozas 2009). When homologous sequences from two individuals differed by one or more than one nucleotide, the sequences were considered as different haplotypes.

PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSES

Based on all aligned *COI* sequences, the phylogenetic relationships among haplotypes were examined by four phylogenetic methods to verify whether alternative topologies were supported by different tree-building methods. Prior to these analyses, the best-fit evolutionary model of nucleotide substitution was chosen using corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AIC; Hurvich & Tsai 1989; Sugiura 1978) in jModelTest 0.1.1 (Posada 2009, 2008).

The calculation of pairwise genetic distances within and among the four *Acetes* species and the Neighbour-

TABLE 1. Sampling locations of Acetes species along the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia

State	Sampling Location (Abbreviation)	Latitude	Longitude	Sampling Method
Kedah	Sungai Kubang Badak (SGKB)	6°23'58.75"N	99°43'32.21"E	In-shore
Pulau Pinang	Teluk Bahang (TBHG)	5°27'36.91"N	100°12'44.51"E	In-shore
Perak	Kuala Kurau (KK)	5°0'11.41"N	100°25'22.47"E	In-shore
Perak	Kuala Gula (KG)	4°55'0.35''N	100°27'39.54"E	In-shore
Perak	Kuala Sepetang (KS)	4°51'12.23"N	100°32'9.53"E	In-shore
Perak	Sungai Tiang (SGT)	3°55'9.28"N	100°36'15.02"E	Off-shore
Perak	Bagan Pasir Laut (BPL)	3°49'11.80''N	100°41'4.16"E	Off-shore
Perak	Bagan Lipas (BL)	3°45'48.83''N	100°44'18.62"E	Off-shore
Selangor	Teluk Rhu (TR)	3°42'47.86''N	100°45'11.12"E	Off-shore
Selangor	Sekinchan (SKC)	3°26'42.08''N	100°54'39.76"E	Off-shore
Selangor	Tanjong Karang (TKR)	3°19'48.37"N	101° 2'20.32"E	Off-shore
Malacca	Portuguese Settlement (PSETT)	2°10'57.14''N	102°15'57.91"E	In-shore
Johor	Pulau Kukup (PKKP)	1°19'5.39"N	103°26'37.77"E	In-shore
Johor	Sungai Kapal (SGK)	1°20'51.04"N	104°13'12.94"E	In-shore

(Wong 2013; Wong et al. 2015)

	3 rd Thoracic Sternites	es only	3 rd and 4 th sternite deeply channeled longitudinally	A pair of small protuberances on the anterior	Without protuberances	Anterior margin of 4 th sternite is convex
	3rd Pereiopod	Female	Inner margin has sharply pointed projection	Distal inner margin ends in a projection	Emargination of posterior margin is shallow	Tooth present on distal inner margin of the coax
st of Peninsular Malaysia	Lower Antenullar Flagellum	only	One clasping spine	Clasping spine extends beyond the end of second segment of the main branch	Two clasping spines, without triangular projection	Two clapsing spines, triangular projection from the 1st segment
species sampled from the west coast	Petasma (presence of pars astrigens)	Males	Absent	Present. Capitulum has 1 large hook and often 1 small hook along outer margin.	Absent. Distal part of capitulum is bulb-like with numerous hooks	Absent, without ventral projection, 1 large hook at the end
the sexes of Acetes	Pairs of Pleopods (presence of procurved tooth)	ıles	Present between bases of 1st pair	Absent	Absent	Absent
	Rostrum (no. of denticles)	Males and fema	Two	Two	None	Two
	Apex of Telson		Triangular	Triangular	Triangular	Truncated
	Morphology	Sex	A. indicus	A. sibogae	A. japonicus	A. serrulatus

TABLE 2. Morphological characters, based on Omori (1975) and Wong (2013), which were used to (a) identify the species and (b) differentiate

Joining (NJ; Saitou & Nei 1987) tree were based on the substitution model of Kimura's Two Parameter (K2P; Kimura 1980) and were constructed using MEGA4 in which the stabilities of the derived clusters in phylogenetic trees were accessed by 2000 replications of non-parametric bootstrapping (Felsenstein 1985).

The Maximum Parsimony (MP; Camin & Sokal 1965) tree was constructed from a heuristic search using Tree-Bisection-Reconnection (TBR) in Phylogeny Analysis Using Parsimony (PAUP* 4.0b10; Swofford 2002). Nodal support was accessed through non-parametric bootstrapping using the heuristic search option of 1000 replications with 10 random addition-sequence replicates. The Maximum Likelihood (ML; Felsenstein 1981) tree was constructed using the starting tree obtained by BioNJ (Gascuel 1997) and Nearest Neighbour Interchange (NNI; Jarvis et al. 1983) branch swapping arrangements in PhyML 3.0 (Guindon et al. 2005). The data set was bootstrapped for 1000 replications.

Bayesian Inference (BI) was performed using MrBayes 3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist 2001; Ronquist & Huelsenbeck 2003) with substitution model parameters set to lset nst=6 rates=gamma and all priors were left default to allow estimation of the parameters from the data. Each BI was conducted three times to check for consistency of results. Two runs of four Metropolis-coupled Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains each (one cold chain and three heated chains, default temperature = 0.20) were run for four million generations (mcmc ngen = 4000000) and sampled every 1000th generations (sample freq = 1000). When the average standard deviation of split frequencies was less than 0.01, 25% of the samples were discarded as burn-in (sump burnin = 1000). The remaining trees were used to calculate the posterior probabilities (PP) and to produce the 50% majority-rule consensus tree after discarding burn-in samples in each analysis. Probabilities of 95% or higher were considered significant support. All the phylogenetic trees were rooted with Sergestes similis (GenBank Accession Number: DQ882152) as outgroup and displayed with TreeView 1.6.6 (Page 1996).

In addition, a haplotype network was constructed for *A. indicus* and *A. sibogae* using the TCS 1.13 software (Clement et al. 2000), which employs a 95% statistical parsimony method (Templeton et al. 1992). For the intraspecific variation, haplotype diversity (h; Nei 1987) and nucleotide diversity (π ; Nei 1987) was computed using DnaSP v5 based on segregating sites (S).

Population structure for each species was carried out using Analysis of Molecular VAriance (AMOVA; Excoffier et al. 1992) to produce the pairwise Φ -statistics (Weir & Cockerham 1984) in Arlequin (Excoffier & Lischer 2010). The significant levels of the AMOVA and pairwise Φ -statistics were tested with 10000 permutations. When the overall AMOVA was statistically significant, a Mantel test (Mantel 1967) was performed in XLSTAT v.2010.3.06 to determine if genetic distance was due to geographical distance. Statistical significance was determined by 10000 permutations.

Demographic histories were investigated using the Neutrality Tests and Mismatch Distribution Analysis. Tajima's D (Tajima 1989), Fu's F_s (Fu 1997) and the R_2 (Ramos-Onsins & Rozas 2002) and their significance was tested with 10000 coalescent simulations (Hudson 1990) in DnaSP v5. Mismatch distribution was performed with Arlequin v3.5 and mismatch figures were created using DnaSP v5. The parameters of the mismatch distribution or demographic expansion before and after population growth $(\Theta_{a} \text{ and } \Theta_{b})$ and time since expansion, τ , expressed in units of mutational time (Rogers 1995; Rogers & Harpending 1992) were estimated using generalised non-linear leastsquares approach (Schneider & Excoffier 1999). Their respective 95% confidence intervals (CI) were obtained by parametric bootstrapping with 10000 permutations. The fit between the observed and expected distributions under population growth was evaluated by the sum of square deviations (SSD; Schneider & Excoffier 1999) and Harpending's Raggedness Index (r; Harpending 1994) with 10000 bootstrap replicates.

RESULTS

SPECIES IDENTIFICATION OF ACETES

From a total of 159 specimens collected, four main Acetes species, namely, Acetes indicus (n=69), A. serrulatus (n=65), A. japonicus (n=13) and A. sibogae (n=12) were identified based on the key morphological characters described by Omori (1975) and Wong (2013). Males and females were identified by the presence of a pair of protuberances (genital coxae) between the third pereiopods and first pleopods, a petasma and lower antenullar flagellum with spine(s) in males but absent in females. The different species of Acetes were differentiated based on the apex of telson, petasma and antennular flagellum of males and third thoracic sternite of females (Table 2).

CYTOCHROME C OXIDASE SUBUNIT I (COI) GENE

The 552 bp of the *COI* gene fragment (GenBank Accession Number: HQ630429-HQ630587) amplified in this study were obtained for 159 specimens and showed 46 haplotypes (Table 3): 11 haplotypes were identified for *A. indicus*, 31 haplotypes for *A. serrulatus*, two haplotypes for *A. japonicus* and two haplotypes for *A. sibogae*. From the multiple sequence alignment of 46 haplotypes, 167 variable sites were found, of which 144 and 23 were parsimony informative sites and singleton sites, respectively. No insertions or deletions (indels) were found. Most of the variations (139 sites, 83%) occurred at the third codon position, while 26 variable sites (1%) were at the second position.

The mean nucleotide composition of each *Acetes* species is shown in Table 4, together with the base composition according to first, second and third codon position. The pattern of nucleotide substitution was biased in favour of

Species (specimen no_sex of specimen'_sampling location') Haplotype GenBank Accession Number location' Acetes indicus Al9_f_BPL2 ail HQ630429 Al14_m_SGT1 ail HQ630431 Al26_f_PSET14 ail HQ630431 Al26_f_PSET14 ail HQ630432 Al27_m_PSETT4 ai2 HQ630433 Al28_m_BPL2 ail HQ630436 Al30_f_PSETT4 ai3 HQ630436 Al31_m_PSETT4 ai4 HQ630437 Al32_f_PSETT4 ai4 HQ630437 Al32_f_PSETT4 ai4 HQ630438 Al33_m_PSETT4 ai6 HQ630440 Al35_m_SGT5 ai1 HQ630442 Al36_f_SCT5 ai1 HQ630441 Al36_mBL7 ai1 HQ630442 Al37_m_SGT6 ai1 HQ630442 Al37_m_SGT6 ai1 HQ630443 Al4_f_BL9 ai1 HQ630445 Al40_f_BL10 ai1 HQ630445 Al40_f_Sm_SL10 ai1 HQ630445
location?) Acetes indicus Al9_f_BPL2 ail HQ630439 Al14_m_SGT1 ail HQ630431 Al15_f_SGT1 ail HQ630431 Al26_f_PSETT4 ail HQ630432 Al27_m_PSETT4 ail HQ630433 Al28_m_BPL2 ail HQ630433 Al28_m_BPL2 ail HQ630435 Al30_f_PSETT4 ai3 HQ630437 Al31_m_PSETT4 ai4 HQ630437 Al32_f_PSETT4 ai5 HQ630438 Al33_m_PSETT4 ai4 HQ630437 Al33_m_PSETT4 ai4 HQ630441 Al35_f_SGT5 ai1 HQ630441 Al36_f_SGT5 ai1 HQ630443 Al37_m_SGT6 ai1 HQ630444 Al40_f_BPL7 ai1 <td< th=""></td<>
Acetes indicus Al9_f_BPL2 ail HQ630429 Al14_m_SGT1 ail HQ630430 Al15_f_SGT1 ail HQ630431 Al26_f_PSETT4 ail HQ630431 Al26_f_PSETT4 ail HQ630433 Al27_m_PSETT4 ail HQ630433 Al28_m_BPL2 ail HQ630433 Al28_m_BPL2 ail HQ630434 Al29_m_PSETT4 ai3 HQ630435 Al30_f_PSETT4 ai4 HQ630436 Al31_m_PSETT4 ai4 HQ630439 Al32_f_PSETT4 ai5 HQ630438 Al33_m_PSETT4 ai6 HQ630441 Al35_m_SGT5 ai1 HQ630442 Al37_m_SGT6 ai1 HQ630442 Al37_m_SGT6 ai1 HQ630444 Al39_m_BPL7 ai1 HQ630445 Al40_f_BPL7 ai1 HQ630445 Al40_f_BPL7 ai1 HQ630445 Al40_f_BPL7 ai1 HQ630445 Al40_f_BPL7 ai1 HQ630445 Al40_f_CBL7 ai1 HQ630445 Al40_f_BL10
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
A128_m_BPL2ailHQ630434A129_m_PSETT4ai3HQ630435A130_f_PSETT4ai4HQ630437A132_f_PSETT4ai4HQ630437A132_f_PSETT4ai5HQ630438A133_m_PSETT4ai4HQ630440A134_f_PSETT4ai6HQ630440A135_m_SGT5ai1HQ630442A137_m_SGT6ai1HQ630443A138_f_SGT6ai1HQ630444A139_m_BPL7ai1HQ630445A140_f_BPL8ai1HQ630446A141_m_BPL8ai1HQ630447A143_m_BL9ai1HQ630447A144_f_BL0ai1HQ630451A144_f_BL10ai1HQ630451A145_m_SKC11ai1HQ630451A150_f_TKR12ai8HQ630455A150_f_TKR12ai1HQ630455A150_f_TKR12ai1HQ630455A150_f_TKR14ai1HQ630457A156_f_SKC15ai1HQ630457A156_f_SKC15ai1HQ630458A157_m_SPL16ai1HQ630457A156_f_SKC15ai1HQ630458A157_m_SPL16ai1HQ630457A156_f_SKC15ai1HQ630458A157_m_SPL16ai1HQ630458A159_m_SGT17ai1HQ630461A163_f_SL_PSL10ai1HQ630463A163_m_BL10ai1HQ630463A163_f_SL_FSL0ai1HQ630463A163_f_SL_FSL0ai1HQ630463A158_f_SL_SL0ai1HQ630463A159_m_SGT17a
Al29_m_PSET74ai3HQ630435Al30_f_PSET74ai4HQ630436Al31_m_PSET74ai4HQ630437Al32_f_PSET74ai5HQ630438Al33_m_PSET74ai6HQ630440Al35_m_SGT5ai1HQ630441Al36_f_SGT5ai1HQ630442Al37_m_SGT6ai1HQ630443Al38_f_SG76ai1HQ630444Al39_m_BPL7ai1HQ630444Al39_m_BPL7ai1HQ630444Al44_f_BL9ai1HQ630444Al44_f_BL9ai1HQ630445Al44_f_BL9ai1HQ630446Al44_f_BL9ai1HQ630447Al45_m_BL10ai1HQ630451Al46_f_BL10ai1HQ630451Al45_f_SL7ai1HQ630451Al45_m_SKC11ai1HQ630452Al49_m_TKR12ai8HQ630455Al50_f_TKR12ai8HQ630455Al55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630457Al56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630457Al56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630457Al56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630457Al56_f_SRC15ai1HQ630457Al56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630457Al56_f_SRC17ai1HQ630457Al56_f_SRC17ai1HQ630461Al63_m_BL10ai1HQ630463Al63_m_BL10ai1HQ630463Al63_m_BL10ai1HQ630463Al63_m_BL10ai1HQ630463
A130 f_PSETT4ai4HQ630436A131_m_PSETT4ai4HQ630437A132_f_PSETT4ai5HQ630438A133_m_PSETT4ai4HQ630439A134_f_PSETT4ai6HQ630440A135_m_SGT5ai1HQ630441A136_f_SGT5ai7HQ630442A137_m_SGT6ai1HQ630443A138_f_SGT6ai1HQ630445A140_f_BPL7ai1HQ630445A140_f_BPL8ai1HQ630446A141_m_BPL8ai1HQ630447A143_m_BL9ai1HQ630447A143_m_BL9ai1HQ630445A144_f_BL10ai1HQ630451A147_m_SKC11ai1HQ630452A149_m_TKR12ai1HQ630455A153_m_TKR14ai1HQ630456A155_m_SKC15ai1HQ630457A156_f_SKC15ai1HQ630456A157_m_BPL16ai1HQ630457A158_f_BP1.10ai1HQ630456A157_m_BPL16ai1HQ630456A157_m_BPL16ai1HQ630456A157_m_BPL16ai1HQ630461A163_m_BL10ai1HQ630461A163_m_BL10ai1HQ630466A159_m_SGT17ai1HQ630466A163_m_BL10ai1HQ630466A164_f_BL9ai1HQ630466A164_f_BL9ai1HQ630466A164_f_BL9ai1HQ630466A164_f_BL9ai1HQ630466A164_f_BL9ai1HQ630466A164_f_BL9ai1HQ630466
A131_m_PSETT4ai4HQ630437A132_f_PSETT4ai5HQ630438A133_m_PSETT4ai4HQ630439A134_f_PSETT4ai6HQ630440A135_m_SGT5ai1HQ630441A136_f_SGT5ai7HQ630442A137_m_SGT6ai1HQ630443A138_f_SGT6ai1HQ630444A139_m_BPL7ai1HQ630444A139_m_BPL7ai1HQ630445A140_f_BPL7ai1HQ630446A141_m_BPL8ai1HQ630447A143_m_BL9ai1HQ630448A144_f_BL9ai1HQ630449A145_m_BL10ai4HQ630450A146_f_BL10ai1HQ630452A149_m_TKR12ai1HQ630453A150_f_TKR12ai8HQ630455A153_m_TKR14ai1HQ630456A155_m_SKC15ai1HQ630457A156_f_SKC15ai1HQ630458A157_m_BPL16ai1HQ630459A158_f_BPL16ai1HQ630450A158_f_BPL16ai1HQ630456A157_m_BPL16ai1HQ630456A158_f_BPL16ai1HQ630461A163_m_BL10ai1HQ630461A164_f_BL9ai1HQ630461A164_f_BL9ai1HQ630461A164_f_BL9ai1HQ630461A164_f_BL9ai1HQ630461A164_f_BL9ai1HQ630461A164_f_BL9ai1HQ630461A164_f_BL9ai1HQ630461A164_f_BL9ai1HQ630461
Al32 f_PSETT4ai5HQ630438AI33_m_PSETT4ai4HQ630440AI34_f_PSETT4ai6HQ630440AI35_m_SGT5ai1HQ630441AI36_f_SGT5ai7HQ630442AI37_m_SGT6ai1HQ630444AI39_m_BPL7ai1HQ630445AI40_f_BPL7ai1HQ630446AI41_m_BPL8ai1HQ630447AI43_m_BL9ai1HQ630447AI45_m_BL10ai1HQ630447AI45_m_BL10ai1HQ630450AI46_f_BL10ai1HQ630451AI45_m_SKC11ai1HQ630452AI45_m_SKC15ai1HQ630453AI50_f_TKR12ai1HQ630456AI55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630456AI55_m_SRC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630458AI57_m_BPL16ai1HQ630459AI59_m_SGT17ai1HQ630461AI62_f_BL9ai1HQ630462AI62_f_BL9ai1HQ630463AI64_f B10ai1HQ630463
AI33_m_PSETT4ai4HQ630439AI34_f_PSETT4ai6HQ630441AI35_m_SGT5ai1HQ630442AI35_m_SGT5ai7HQ630442AI37_m_SGT6ai1HQ630443AI38_f_SGT6ai1HQ630444AI39_m_BPL7ai1HQ630445AI40_f_BPL7ai1HQ630446AI41_m_BPL8ai1HQ630447AI43_m_BL9ai1HQ630447AI45_m_BL10ai4HQ630445AI46_f_BL10ai1HQ630450AI46_f_BL10ai1HQ630452AI49_m_TKR12ai1HQ630455AI50_f_TKR12ai1HQ630455AI55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630455AI55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630456AI57_m_BPL16ai1HQ630457AI58_f_BPL16ai1HQ630458AI57_m_BPL16ai1HQ630451AI60_f_SGT17ai1HQ630461AI60_f_SGT17ai1HQ630461AI62_f_BL9ai1HQ630462AI63_m_BL10ai1HQ630463
AI34 f_PSETT4ai6HQ630440AI35_m_SGT5ai1HQ630441AI36_f_SGT5ai7HQ630442AI37_m_SGT6ai1HQ630443AI38_f_SGT6ai1HQ630444AI39_m_BPL7ai1HQ630445AI40_f_BPL7ai1HQ630446AI41_m_BPL8ai1HQ630447AI43_m_BL9ai1HQ630448AI44_f_BL9ai1HQ630448AI44_f_BL9ai1HQ630450AI45_m_BL10ai4HQ630451AI47_m_SKC11ai1HQ630452AI49_m_TKR12ai1HQ630453AI50_f_TKR12ai8HQ630455AI55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630456AI57_m_BPL16ai1HQ630456AI58_f_BPL16ai1HQ630460AI59_m_SGT17ai1HQ630461AI61_f_BL9ai1HQ630462AI62_f_BL9ai1HQ630462AI64_f_BL10ai1HQ630464AI64_f_BL10ai1HQ630464 <tr <td=""></tr>
Al35_m_SGT5ailHQ630441Al36_f_SGT5ai7HQ630442Al37_m_SGT6ai1HQ630443Al38_f_SGT6ai1HQ630444Al39_m_BPL7ai1HQ630445Al40_f_BPL7ai1HQ630447Al43_m_BL8ai1HQ630447Al43_m_BL9ai1HQ630448Al44_f_BL9ai1HQ630445Al44_f_BL10ai1HQ630450Al46_f_BL10ai1HQ630451Al47_m_SKC11ai1HQ630452Al49_m_TKR12ai1HQ630455Al50_f_TKR13ai1HQ630455Al52_f_TR13ai1HQ630455Al55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630456Al55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630457Al56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630458Al57_m_BPL16ai1HQ630458Al59_m_SGT17ai1HQ630461Al60_f_SGT17ai1HQ630463Al63_m_BL10ai1HQ630463Al63_m_BL10ai1HQ630463
Al36_f_SGT5ai7HQ630442Al37_m_SGT6ai1HQ630443Al38_f_SGT6ai1HQ630444Al39_m_BPL7ai1HQ630445Al40_f_BPL7ai1HQ630447Al43_m_BL8ai1HQ630447Al43_m_BL9ai1HQ630448Al44_f_BL9ai1HQ630449Al45_m_BL10ai4HQ630450Al46_f_BL10ai1HQ630452Al49_m_TKR12ai1HQ630453Al50_f_TKR12ai8HQ630454Al52_f_TR13ai1HQ630455Al55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630456Al55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630457Al56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630459Al56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630459Al56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630460Al59_m_SGT17ai1HQ630460Al60_f_SGT17ai1HQ630463Al63_m_BL10ai1HQ630463Al64_f_BL10ai1HQ630463Al64_f_BL10ai1HQ630463
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
A139 m_BPL7ai1HQ630445A140_f_BPL7ai1HQ630446AI41_m_BPL8ai1HQ630447AI43_m_BL9ai1HQ630448A144_f_BL9ai1HQ630449AI45_m_BL10ai4HQ630450AI46_f_BL10ai1HQ630452AI49_m_TKR12ai1HQ630452AI50_f_TKR12ai1HQ630455AI55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630455AI55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630455AI55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630459AI58_f_BPL16ai1HQ630459AI59_m_SGT17ai1HQ630460AI59_m_SGT17ai1HQ630461AI60_f_SGT17ai1HQ630462AI63_m_BL10ai1HQ630463AI63_m_BL10ai1HQ630463AI63_m_BL10ai1HQ630464AI64_f_BL10ai1HQ630464
Alto $f_{1}BPL7$ ail $HQ630446$ Al41_m_BPL8ail $HQ630447$ Al43_m_BL9ail $HQ630447$ Al44_f_BL9ail $HQ630448$ Al44_f_BL0ail $HQ630449$ Al45_m_BL10ail $HQ630450$ Al46_f_BL10ail $HQ630451$ Al47_m_SKC11ail $HQ630452$ Al49_m_TKR12ail $HQ630453$ Al50_f_TKR12ail $HQ630454$ Al55_m_SKC15ail $HQ630455$ Al55_m_SKC15ail $HQ630457$ Al56_f_SKC15ail $HQ630457$ Al56_f_SKC15ail $HQ630457$ Al58_f_BPL16ail $HQ630459$ Al59_m_SGT17ail $HQ630461$ Al60_f_SGT17ail $HQ630462$ Al63_m_BL10ail $HQ630464$ Al63_m_BL10ail $HQ630464$
AIHODDDainHQ630447AI41_m_BPL8ailHQ630448AI43_m_BL9ailHQ630449AI45_m_BL10ai4HQ630450AI46_f_BL10ai1HQ630451AI47_m_SKC11ai1HQ630452AI49_m_TKR12ai1HQ630453AI50_f_TKR12ai8HQ630454AI52_f_TR13ai1HQ630455AI55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630456AI55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630458AI57_m_BPL16ai1HQ630460AI59_m_SGT17ai1HQ630461AI60_f_SGT17ai1HQ630463AI63_m_BL10ai1HQ630464AI63_m_BL10ai1HQ630464
Alf47_m_BL120ali $HQ630444$ Al43_m_BL9ai1 $HQ630449$ Al44_f_BL9ai1 $HQ630449$ Al45_m_BL10ai4 $HQ630450$ Al46_f_BL10ai1 $HQ630451$ Al47_m_SKC11ai1 $HQ630452$ Al49_m_TKR12ai1 $HQ630453$ Al50_f_TKR12ai8 $HQ630454$ Al52_f_TR13ai1 $HQ630455$ Al53_m_TKR14ai1 $HQ630456$ Al55_m_SKC15ai1 $HQ630457$ Al56_f_SKC15ai1 $HQ630459$ Al58_f_BPL16ai1 $HQ630460$ Al59_m_SGT17ai1 $HQ630462$ Al60_f_SGT17ai1 $HQ630463$ Al63_m_BL10ai1 $HQ630464$
AI43_II_BL9ai1 $HQ500449$ AI44_f_BL9ai4 $HQ630450$ AI45_m_BL10ai4 $HQ630451$ AI46_f_BL10ai1 $HQ630452$ AI47_m_SKC11ai1 $HQ630452$ AI49_m_TKR12ai1 $HQ630453$ AI50_f_TKR12ai8 $HQ630455$ AI52_f_TR13ai1 $HQ630455$ AI53_m_TKR14ai1 $HQ630455$ AI55_m_SKC15ai1 $HQ630457$ AI56_f_SKC15ai1 $HQ630459$ AI57_m_BPL16ai1 $HQ630459$ AI58_f_BPL16ai1 $HQ630460$ AI59_m_SGT17ai1 $HQ630461$ AI60_f_SGT17ai1 $HQ630463$ AI62_f_BL9ai1 $HQ630463$ AI64_f_BL10ai1 $HQ630464$
A144_1_BL9ai1 $HQ030449$ A145_m_BL10ai4 $HQ630450$ A146_f_BL10ai1 $HQ630451$ A147_m_SKC11ai1 $HQ630452$ A149_m_TKR12ai1 $HQ630453$ A150_f_TKR12ai8 $HQ630454$ A152_f_TR13ai1 $HQ630455$ A155_m_SKC15ai1 $HQ630456$ A155_m_SKC15ai1 $HQ630457$ A156_f_SKC15ai1 $HQ630458$ A157_m_BPL16ai1 $HQ630459$ A158_f_BPL16ai1 $HQ630460$ A159_m_SGT17ai1 $HQ630461$ A160_f_SGT17ai1 $HQ630464$ A163_m_BL10ai1 $HQ630464$ A164_f_BL10ai1 $HQ630464$
AI43_III_BL10ai4HQ630450AI46_f_BL10ai1HQ630451AI47_m_SKC11ai1HQ630452AI49_m_TKR12ai1HQ630453AI50_f_TKR12ai8HQ630454AI52_f_TR13ai1HQ630455AI53_m_TKR14ai1HQ630456AI55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630459AI58_f_BPL16ai1HQ630460AI59_m_SGT17ai1HQ630461AI60_f_SGT17ai1HQ630462AI63_m_BL10ai1HQ630464AI64_f_BL10ai1HQ630464
Al46_f_BL10ai1HQ630451AI47_m_SKC11ai1HQ630452AI49_m_TKR12ai1HQ630453AI50_f_TKR12ai8HQ630454AI52_f_TR13ai1HQ630455AI53_m_TKR14ai1HQ630456AI55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630458AI57_m_BPL16ai1HQ630459AI58_f_BPL16ai1HQ630461AI60_f_SGT17ai1HQ630462AI62_f_BL9ai1HQ630463AI63_m_BL10ai1HQ630464AI64_f_PL10ai1HQ630465
Al47_m_SKC11ai1 $HQ630452$ AI49_m_TKR12ai1 $HQ630453$ AI50_f_TKR12ai8 $HQ630454$ AI52_f_TR13ai1 $HQ630455$ AI53_m_TKR14ai1 $HQ630456$ AI55_m_SKC15ai1 $HQ630457$ AI56_f_SKC15ai1 $HQ630458$ AI57_m_BPL16ai1 $HQ630459$ AI58_f_BPL16ai1 $HQ630460$ AI59_m_SGT17ai1 $HQ630461$ AI60_f_SGT17ai1 $HQ630462$ AI63_m_BL10ai1 $HQ630464$ AI64_f_PL10ai1 $HQ630465$
Al49_m_1KR12ai1HQ630453AI50_f_TKR12ai8HQ630454AI52_f_TR13ai1HQ630455AI53_m_TKR14ai1HQ630456AI55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630458AI57_m_BPL16ai1HQ630459AI58_f_BPL16ai1HQ630460AI59_m_SGT17ai1HQ630461AI60_f_SGT17ai1HQ630462AI63_m_BL10ai1HQ630464AI64_f_PL10ai1HQ630465
AI50_f_TKR12ai8HQ630454AI52_f_TR13ai1HQ630455AI53_m_TKR14ai1HQ630456AI55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630458AI57_m_BPL16ai1HQ630459AI58_f_BPL16ai1HQ630460AI59_m_SGT17ai1HQ630461AI60_f_SGT17ai1HQ630462AI63_m_BL10ai1HQ630464AI64_f_PL10ri1HQ630465
AI52_f_TR13ai1HQ630455AI53_m_TKR14ai1HQ630456AI55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630458AI57_m_BPL16ai1HQ630459AI58_f_BPL16ai1HQ630460AI59_m_SGT17ai1HQ630461AI60_f_SGT17ai1HQ630462AI62_f_BL9ai1HQ630463AI63_m_BL10ai1HQ630464AI64_f_PL10ri1HQ630465
AI53_m_TKR14ai1HQ630456AI55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630458AI57_m_BPL16ai1HQ630459AI58_f_BPL16ai1HQ630460AI59_m_SGT17ai1HQ630461AI60_f_SGT17ai1HQ630462AI62_f_BL9ai1HQ630463AI63_m_BL10ai1HQ630464AI64_f_PL10ri1HQ630465
AI55_m_SKC15ai1HQ630457AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630458AI57_m_BPL16ai1HQ630459AI58_f_BPL16ai1HQ630460AI59_m_SGT17ai1HQ630461AI60_f_SGT17ai1HQ630462AI62_f_BL9ai1HQ630463AI63_m_BL10ai1HQ630464AI64_f_PL10ai1HQ630465
AI56_f_SKC15ai1HQ630458AI57_m_BPL16ai1HQ630459AI58_f_BPL16ai1HQ630460AI59_m_SGT17ai1HQ630461AI60_f_SGT17ai1HQ630462AI62_f_BL9ai1HQ630463AI63_m_BL10ai1HQ630464AI64_f_PL10ai1HQ630465
$\begin{array}{cccc} AI57_m_BPL16 & ai1 & HQ630459 \\ AI58_f_BPL16 & ai1 & HQ630460 \\ AI59_m_SGT17 & ai1 & HQ630461 \\ AI60_f_SGT17 & ai1 & HQ630462 \\ AI62_f_BL9 & ai1 & HQ630463 \\ AI64_f_BL10 & ai1 & HQ630464 \\ AI64_f_BL10 & ai1 & HQ630465 \\ \end{array}$
AI58_f_BPL16ai1HQ630460AI59_m_SGT17ai1HQ630461AI60_f_SGT17ai1HQ630462AI62_f_BL9ai1HQ630463AI63_m_BL10ai1HQ630464AI64_f_PL10ri1HQ630465
AI59_m_SGT17 ai1 HQ630461 AI60_f_SGT17 ai1 HQ630462 AI62_f_BL9 ai1 HQ630463 AI63_m_BL10 ai1 HQ630464 AI64_f_PU_10 ai1 HQ630465
AI60_f_SGT17 ai1 HQ630462 AI62_f_BL9 ai1 HQ630463 AI63_m_BL10 ai1 HQ630464 AI64_f_BU_10 ai1 HQ630465
AI62_f_BL9 ai1 HQ630463 AI63_m_BL10 ai1 HQ630464 AI64_f_BU10 ai1 HQ630465
AI63_m_BL10 ai1 HQ630464
Al65 m SKC11 ai1 HQ630466
Al66 f SKC11 ai1 HO630467
Al67 m SKC15 ail HO630468
AI68 f SKC15 ai8 HO630469
Also TKP12 ail HO630/70
$\begin{array}{ccc} A100 _ m_1 K12 & a11 & 11Q000+70 \\ A170 _ f_TVD12 & a11 & U000470 \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$A1/2_1_1K1/4$ all $RQ004/2$
Al/3_m_1K13 all HQ0304/5
Al/4_I_IRI3 all HQ6304/4
A1/5_m_1R13 ai9 HQ630475
AI/6_t_TR13 ai10 HQ630476
AI77_m_KK19 ai4 HQ630477
AI78_f_KK19 ai4 HQ630478
AI79_m_KK19 ai4 HQ630479
AI81_m_KK19 ai4 HQ630480
AI82_f_KK19 ai4 HQ630481
AI83_m_KG26 ai4 HQ630482
AI84_f_KG26 ai4 HQ630483
AI85_m_KG26 ai4 HQ630484

TABLE 3. List of specimens used in this study and their GenBank accession numbers

(continue)

Species (specimen no. sex of specimen'_sampling location') Haploype GenBank Accession Number Al86 f. KG26 ai4 HQ630485 Al87_m,KG26 ai4 HQ630485 Al89_m,PKKP29 ai1 HQ630487 Al90_f.PKKP29 ai1 HQ630487 Al90_f.PKKP29 ai1 HQ630491 Al92_f.PKKP29 ai1 HQ630492 Al95_m.SGK30 ai1 HQ630492 Al95_m.SGK30 ai1 HQ630492 Al95_m.SGK30 ai1 HQ630492 Al95_m.SGK30 ai1 HQ630494 Al95_m.SGT1 as2 HQ630501 AS5_m.BPL2 as1 HQ630501 AS5_m.BFL3 as2 HQ630501 AS5_m.BFL7 as2 HQ630501 AS5_m.BFL7 as2 HQ630501 AS5_m.BFL7 <		Lab Identification		
Iocation?) A186_f_KG26 ai4 HQ630485 A187_m KG26 ai4 HQ630486 A188_f_KG26 ai4 HQ630488 A189_mPKKP29 ai1 HQ630488 A190_f_PKKP29 ai1 HQ630490 A193_m.PKKP29 ai1 HQ630490 A193_mFKKP29 ai1 HQ630492 A195_mSGK30 ai1 HQ630492 A195_mSGK30 ai1 HQ630492 A195_mSGK30 ai1 HQ630492 A195_mSGK30 ai1 HQ630493 A196_f_SGK30 ai1 HQ630497 Acetes serrulatus AS3_mBL2 as1 HQ630497 Acetes serrulatus AS3_mST1 as2 HQ630501 AS5_mBL2 as1 HQ630501 AS5_mBT2 as1 HQ630501 AS5_mBL2 as2 HQ630501 AS5 AS6 HQ630501 AS5_mBL2 as1 HQ630501 AS5 AS6 HQ630501 AS1_mBL2 as2 HQ630501 AS1	Species	(specimen nosex of specimen1_sampling	Haplotype	GenBank Accession Number
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		location ²)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		AI86_f_KG26	ai4	HQ630485
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		AI87_m_KG26	ai4	HQ630486
A189_m_PKKP29 ail HQ630489 A190_f_PKKP29 ail HQ630489 A192_PKKP29 ail HQ630490 A193_m_PKKP29 ail HQ630491 A194_f_PKKP29 ail HQ630492 A195_m_SGK30 ail HQ630492 A195_KS30 ail HQ630496 A199_m_SGK30 ail HQ630496 A199_m_SGK30 ail HQ630496 A199_m_SGK30 ail HQ630496 A199_m_SGK30 ail HQ630496 A190_m_SGK30 ail HQ630496 A55_IBPL2 as2 HQ630501 A55_T_BPL2 as3 HQ630502 A58_m_SGT1 as3 HQ630502 A58_m_SGT5 as4 HQ630502 A58_m_SGT6 as5 HQ630506 A51_f_BPL7 as5 HQ630506 A51_f_SGT6 as6 HQ630512 A51_m_SCT6 as6 HQ630512 A51_m_BPL7 as7 HQ630512 A51_m_BPL7 <t< td=""><td></td><td>AI88_f_KG26</td><td>ai4</td><td>HQ630487</td></t<>		AI88_f_KG26	ai4	HQ630487
A190.f.PKR29 ail HQ630490 A193_m_PKR29 ail HQ630490 A193_m_PKR29 ail HQ630491 A194_f.PKR29 ail HQ630491 A195_m_SGK30 ail HQ630493 A195_m_SGK30 ail HQ630493 A197_m_SGK30 ail HQ630495 A199_m_SGK30 ail HQ630496 A100_f_SGK30 ail HQ630497 Acetes serrulatus A53_m_BPL2 asl HQ630497 Acetes serrulatus A54_m_SGT1 as2 HQ630499 AS5_f_BPL2 as1 HQ630501 A58_m_SGT5 as4 HQ630504 AS1_m_SGT6 as1 HQ630506 AS11_m_SGT6 as1 HQ630506 AS12_m_SGT6 as6 HQ630507 AS13_m_BL7 as7 HQ630508 AS14_f_BPL7 as2 HQ630501 AS16_f_BPL8 as8 HQ630512 AS14_f_BPL7 as2 HQ630516 AS16_f_BPL8 as1 HQ630512 AS14_m_BPL9 as1		AI89_m_PKKP29	ai1	HQ630488
Al92f_PKKP29 ai1 HQ630491 Al94_f_PKKP29 ai1 HQ630491 Al94_f_PKP29 ai11 HQ630492 Al95_m_SCK30 ai1 HQ630492 Al96_f_SCK30 ai1 HQ630494 Al97_m_SCK30 ai1 HQ630496 Al90_m_SCK30 ai1 HQ630496 Al100_f_SCK30 ai1 HQ630496 Al100_f_SCK30 ai1 HQ630496 Al00_SS_f_BPL2 as2 HQ630501 AS5_f_BPL2 as2 HQ630501 AS5_f_BPL2 as3 HQ630503 AS5_m_SGT5 as4 HQ630503 AS1_m_SGT6 as5 HQ630507 AS1_m_SGT5 as5 HQ630507 AS1_m_SGT6 as6 HQ630507 AS1_m_SGT6 as6 HQ630507 AS1_m_SGT6 as6 HQ630507 AS1_m_SGT6 as6 HQ630501 AS16_f_BPL8 as2 HQ630510 AS16_f_BPL8 as8 HQ630511 AS12_m_SKC11		AI90_f_PKKP29	ai1	HQ630489
AP3_m_PKKP29 ail H0630492 AP4_f_PKKP29 ail1 H0630492 AP5_m_SGK30 ail H0630493 AP5_m_SGK30 ail H0630495 AP9_m_SGK30 ail H0630495 AP9_m_SGK30 ail H0630497 Acces serrulatus AS3_m_BPL2 asl H0630497 Accets serrulatus AS3_m_SGT1 as2 H063050 AS4_m_SGT1 as2 H063050 AS5_f_BPL2 as1 H063050 AS5_f_SGT5 as4 H063050 AS4_m_SGT6 as1 H063050 AS8_m_SGT6 as2 H063050 AS1_f_SGT5 as4 H063050 AS1_m_SGT6 as1 H063050 AS13_m_BPL7 as7 H063050 AS14_f_BPL8 as2 H063051 AS16_f_BPL8 as8 H063051 AS14_f_BPL7 as7 H063051 AS14_f_BPL8 as8 H063051 AS14_f_BPL9 as1 H063051		AI92f_PKKP29	ai1	HQ630490
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		AI93_m_PKKP29	ai1	HQ630491
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		AI94_f_PKKP29	ai11	HQ630492
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		AI95_m_SGK30	ai1	HQ630493
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		AI96_f_SGK30	ai1	HQ630494
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		AI97_m_SGK30	ai1	HQ630495
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		AI99_m_SGK30	ai1	HQ630496
Acctes serrulatus AS3_m_BPL2 as1 HQ630498 AS4_m_SGT1 as2 HQ630499 AS5_f_BPL2 as2 HQ630501 AS7_m_BPL2 as3 HQ630502 AS8_m_SGT1 as2 HQ630503 AS7_m_BPL2 as3 HQ630504 AS9_m_SGT5 as4 HQ630504 AS10_f_SGT5 as5 HQ630506 AS1_m_SGT6 as1 HQ630506 AS1_m_SGT6 as1 HQ630506 AS1_m_SGT6 as4 HQ630507 AS1_m_BPL7 as7 HQ630508 AS14_f_BPL7 as2 HQ630510 AS16_f_BPL8 as8 HQ630511 AS17_m_BL9 as1 HQ630512 AS16_f_BPL8 as8 HQ630513 AS19_m_BL10 as2 HQ630516 AS2_f_BL9 as9 HQ630516 AS2_f_SC11 as1 HQ630516 AS2_f_SC11 as1 HQ630517 AS2_f_SC11 as1 HQ630516 AS2_f_SC11		AI100_f_SGK30	ai1	HQ630497
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Acetes serrulatus	AS3_m_BPL2	as1	HQ630498
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		AS4_m_SGT1	as2	HQ630499
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		AS5_f_BPL2	as2	HQ630500
AS7_m_BPL2as3HQ630502AS8_m_SGT1as2HQ630503AS9_m_SGT5as4HQ630504AS10_f_SGT5as5HQ630505AS11_m_SGT6as1HQ630506AS12_f_SGT6as6HQ630507AS13_m_BPL7as7HQ630508AS14_f_BPL7as2HQ630509AS15_m_BPL8as2HQ630511AS16_f_BPL8as8HQ630512AS18_f_BL9as1HQ630513AS19_m_BL10as2HQ630516AS20_f_BL10as2HQ630516AS22_f_SKC11as1HQ630516AS22_f_SKC11as1HQ630517AS23_m_TK12as1HQ630519AS25_m_TR13as1HQ630520AS26_f_TR13as11HQ630520AS26_f_SGT5as1HQ630521AS36_f_BPL2as1HQ630521AS32_f_SGT5as1HQ630521AS32_f_SGT5as1HQ630521AS32_f_SGT5as1HQ630521AS32_f_SGT5as1HQ630524AS31_m_SGT5as1HQ630524AS31_m_SGT5as1HQ630524AS31_m_SGT5as1HQ630524AS31_m_SGT5as1HQ630526AS32_f_SGT5as1HQ630527AS34_f_SGT6as2HQ630527AS34_f_SGT6as1HQ630526AS35_m_BPL7as2HQ630526AS35_m_BPL8as17HQ630528AS35_m_BPL8as17HQ630528AS35_m_BPL8as17HQ630531<		AS6_f_BPL2	as1	HQ630501
AS8 m_SGT1 $as2$ $HQ630503$ AS9 m_SGT5 $as4$ $HQ630504$ AS10 f_SGT5 $as5$ $HQ630506$ AS11 m_SGT6 $as1$ $HQ630506$ AS12 f_SGT6 $as1$ $HQ630506$ AS12 f_SGT6 $as6$ $HQ630507$ AS13 m_BPL7 $as7$ $HQ630508$ AS14 f_BPL7 $as2$ $HQ630510$ AS15 m_BPL8 $as2$ $HQ630510$ AS15 m_BPL8 $as8$ $HQ630512$ AS16 f_BEL8 $as8$ $HQ630512$ AS18 f_BL9 $as9$ $HQ630514$ AS20 f_BL10 $as2$ $HQ630516$ AS21 m_SKC11 $as1$ $HQ630516$ AS22 f_SKC11 $as8$ $HQ630516$ AS22 f_SKC11 $as1$ $HQ630516$ AS22 m_SKC11 $as1$ $HQ630516$ AS22 m_SKC11 $as1$ $HQ630516$ AS24 f_TKR12 $as1$ $HQ630516$ AS25 m_SGT1 $as1$ $HQ630521$ AS26 f_ST1 $as1$ $HQ630524$ AS30 f_BPL2 $as1$ $HQ630524$ AS31 m_SGT5 $as1$ $HQ630526$ AS32 f_SGT6 $as2$ $HQ630526$ AS32 f_SGT6 $as1$ $HQ630526$ AS32 f_SGT6 $as1$ $HQ630526$ AS32 f_SGT6 $as1$ $HQ630526$ AS32 f_SGT6 $as1$ $HQ630526$ AS32 <t< td=""><td></td><td>AS7_m_BPL2</td><td>as3</td><td>HQ630502</td></t<>		AS7_m_BPL2	as3	HQ630502
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		AS8_m_SGT1	as2	HQ630503
AS10 f_SGT5as5HQ630505AS11_m_SGT6as1HQ630506AS12_f_SGT6as6HQ630507AS13_m_BPL7as7HQ630508AS14_f_BPL7as2HQ630510AS15_m_BPL8as2HQ630511AS16_f_BPL8as8HQ630512AS18_f_BL9as1HQ630513AS19_m_BL10as2HQ630516AS2_f_SC11as1HQ630516AS2_f_SKC11as1HQ630516AS2_f_SKC11as1HQ630518AS2_f_SKC11as1HQ630519AS2_f_TR12as1HQ630519AS2_f_TR13as1HQ630519AS2_f_TR13as1HQ630520AS26_f_TR13as1HQ630522AS26_f_SGT1as13HQ630522AS26_f_SGT5as1HQ630522AS22_f_SGT5as1HQ630526AS31_m_SGT5as14HQ630526AS32_f_SGT6as2HQ630527AS34_f_SGT6as15HQ630527AS34_f_SGT6as17HQ630528AS35_m_BPL7as16HQ630529AS36_f_BPL8as17HQ630531AS36_f_BPL8as17HQ630531AS36_f_BPL8as18HQ630531		AS9_m_SGT5	as4	HQ630504
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		AS10_f_SGT5	as5	HQ630505
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		AS11_m_SGT6	as1	HQ630506
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		AS12_f_SGT6	as6	HQ630507
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		AS13_m_BPL7	as7	HQ630508
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		AS14_f_BPL7	as2	HQ630509
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		AS15_m_BPL8	as2	HQ630510
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		AS16_f_BPL8	as8	HQ630511
AS18_f_BL9as9HQ630513AS19_m_BL10as2HQ630514AS20_f_BL10as2HQ630516AS21_m_SKC11as1HQ630516AS22_f_SKC11as8HQ630517AS23_m_TKR12as10HQ630518AS24_f_TKR12as1HQ630519AS25_m_TR13as1HQ630520AS26_f_TR13as11HQ630521AS27_m_SGT1as13HQ630523AS30_f_BPL2as1HQ630524AS31_m_SGT5as1HQ630526AS32_f_SGT5as14HQ630526AS33_m_SGT6as2HQ630527AS34_f_SGT6as15HQ630528AS35_m_BPL7as16HQ630528AS36_f_BPL7as16HQ630529AS38_f_BPL8as17HQ630531AS38_f_BPL8as18HQ630531		AS17_m_BL9	as1	HQ630512
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		AS18_f_BL9	as9	HQ630513
AS20_f_BL10as2HQ630515AS21_m_SKC11as1HQ630516AS22_f_SKC11as8HQ630517AS23_m_TKR12as10HQ630518AS24_f_TKR12as1HQ630519AS25_m_TR13as1HQ630520AS26_f_R13as11HQ630521AS27_m_SGT1as12HQ630522AS28_f_SGT1as13HQ630524AS31_m_SGT5as1HQ630525AS32_f_SGT5as14HQ630526AS33_m_SGT6as2HQ630527AS34_f_SGT6as15HQ630528AS35_m_BPL7as16HQ630529AS36_f_BPL7as16HQ630530AS37_m_BPL8as17HQ630531AS38_f_BPL8as18HQ630532		AS19_m_BL10	as2	HQ630514
AS21_m_SKC11 as1 HQ630516 AS22_f_SKC11 as8 HQ630517 AS23_m_TKR12 as10 HQ630518 AS24_f_TKR12 as1 HQ630519 AS25_m_TR13 as1 HQ630520 AS26_f_TR13 as11 HQ630521 AS27_m_SGT1 as12 HQ630522 AS28_f_SGT1 as13 HQ630523 AS30_f_BPL2 as1 HQ630525 AS32_f_SGT5 as1 HQ630526 AS33_m_SGT6 as2 HQ630527 AS34_f_SGT6 as15 HQ630528 AS35_m_BPL7 as2 HQ630529 AS36_f_BPL7 as16 HQ630530 AS37_m_BPL8 as17 HQ630531 AS38_f_BPL8 as18 HQ630532		AS20_f_BL10	as2	HQ630515
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		AS21_m_SKC11	asl	HQ630516
AS23_m_1KR12 as10 HQ630518 AS24_f_TKR12 as1 HQ630519 AS25_m_TR13 as1 HQ630520 AS26_f_TR13 as11 HQ630521 AS27_m_SGT1 as12 HQ630522 AS28_f_SGT1 as13 HQ630523 AS30_f_BPL2 as1 HQ630525 AS32_f_SGT5 as1 HQ630526 AS32_f_SGT5 as14 HQ630526 AS33_m_SGT6 as2 HQ630527 AS34_f_SGT6 as15 HQ630528 AS35_m_BPL7 as16 HQ630520 AS36_f_BPL7 as16 HQ630530 AS37_m_BPL8 as17 HQ630531 AS38_f_BPL8 as18 HQ630532		AS22_f_SKC11	as8	HQ630517
AS24_f_1KR12 as1 HQ630519 AS25_m_TR13 as1 HQ630520 AS26_f_TR13 as11 HQ630521 AS27_m_SGT1 as12 HQ630522 AS28_f_SGT1 as13 HQ630523 AS30_f_BPL2 as1 HQ630525 AS31_m_SGT5 as1 HQ630526 AS32_f_SGT5 as14 HQ630526 AS33_m_SGT6 as2 HQ630527 AS34_f_SGT6 as15 HQ630529 AS36_f_BPL7 as16 HQ630530 AS37_m_BPL8 as17 HQ630531 AS38_f_BPL8 as18 HQ630532		A\$23_m_1KR12	as10	HQ630518
AS25_m_1R13 as1 HQ630520 AS26_f_TR13 as11 HQ630521 AS27_m_SGT1 as12 HQ630522 AS28_f_SGT1 as13 HQ630523 AS30_f_BPL2 as1 HQ630525 AS31_m_SGT5 as1 HQ630526 AS32_f_SGT5 as14 HQ630526 AS33_m_SGT6 as22 HQ630527 AS34_f_SGT6 as15 HQ630529 AS36_f_BPL7 as16 HQ630530 AS37_m_BPL8 as17 HQ630531 AS38_f_BPL8 as18 HQ630532		$AS24_f$ TKR12	asl	HQ630519
AS26_f_1R13 as11 HQ630521 AS27_m_SGT1 as12 HQ630522 AS28_f_SGT1 as13 HQ630523 AS30_f_BPL2 as1 HQ630524 AS31_m_SGT5 as1 HQ630525 AS32_f_SGT5 as14 HQ630526 AS33_m_SGT6 as2 HQ630527 AS34_f_SGT6 as15 HQ630529 AS36_f_BPL7 as16 HQ630530 AS37_m_BPL8 as17 HQ630531 AS38_f_BPL8 as18 HQ630532		A\$25_m_TR13	asl	HQ630520
AS27_m_SG11 as12 HQ630522 AS28_f_SGT1 as13 HQ630523 AS30_f_BPL2 as1 HQ630524 AS31_m_SGT5 as1 HQ630525 AS32_f_SGT5 as14 HQ630526 AS33_m_SGT6 as2 HQ630528 AS34_f_SGT6 as15 HQ630529 AS36_f_BPL7 as16 HQ630530 AS37_m_BPL8 as17 HQ630531 AS38_f_BPL8 as18 HQ630532		A\$26_f_1R13	asII	HQ630521
AS28SG11 as13 HQ630523 AS30_f_BPL2 as1 HQ630524 AS31_m_SGT5 as1 HQ630525 AS32_f_SGT5 as14 HQ630526 AS33_m_SGT6 as2 HQ630528 AS35_m_BPL7 as2 HQ630529 AS36_f_BPL7 as16 HQ630530 AS37_m_BPL8 as17 HQ630531 AS38_f_BPL8 as18 HQ630532		AS2/_m_SG11	as12	HQ630522
AS30_L_BPL2 as1 HQ630524 AS31_m_SGT5 as1 HQ630525 AS32_f_SGT5 as14 HQ630526 AS33_m_SGT6 as2 HQ630527 AS34_f_SGT6 as15 HQ630528 AS35_m_BPL7 as2 HQ630529 AS36_f_BPL7 as16 HQ630530 AS37_m_BPL8 as17 HQ630531 AS38_f_BPL9 as18 HQ630532		AS20_f_DDL2	as15	HQ050525
AS31_m_SG13 as1 HQ630525 AS32_f_SGT5 as14 HQ630526 AS33_m_SGT6 as2 HQ630527 AS34_f_SGT6 as15 HQ630528 AS35_m_BPL7 as2 HQ630529 AS36_f_BPL7 as16 HQ630530 AS37_m_BPL8 as17 HQ630531 AS38_f_BPL8 as18 HQ630532		$ASSU_I_BFL2$ $AS21 = SCT5$	asi	HQ620525
AS32_1_SOT3 as14 HQ630526 AS33_m_SGT6 as2 HQ630527 AS34_f_SGT6 as15 HQ630528 AS35_m_BPL7 as2 HQ630529 AS36_f_BPL7 as16 HQ630530 AS37_m_BPL8 as17 HQ630531 AS38_f_BPL8 as18 HQ630532		AS31_M_SUI3	as I	HQ050525
AS35_m_S010 as2 HQ630527 AS34_f_SGT6 as15 HQ630528 AS35_m_BPL7 as2 HQ630529 AS36_f_BPL7 as16 HQ630530 AS37_m_BPL8 as17 HQ630531 AS38_f_BPL8 as18 HQ630532		$A332_1_3013$ $A333_m_9CT6$	as14	ПQ050520 НО620527
AS34_1_5010 as15 HQ630528 AS35_m_BPL7 as2 HQ630529 AS36_f_BPL7 as16 HQ630530 AS37_m_BPL8 as17 HQ630531 AS38_f_BPL8 as18 HQ630532		ASSS_III_SCIU AS24 f SCITA	as_2	HQ6205227
AS35_m_BFL7 as2 HQ030329 AS36_f_BPL7 as16 HQ630530 AS37_m_BPL8 as17 HQ630531 AS38_f_BPL8 as18 HQ630532		AS34_1_S010 AS25 m DDI 7	asis	HQ630528
AS36_1_BFL7 as16 HQ630530 AS37_m_BPL8 as17 HQ630531 AS38_f_BPL8 as18 HQ630532		$AS35_III_DFL7$ AS36 f RDI 7	as16	HQ630529
AS38_f_BPL8 as17 HQ030531 AS38_f_BPL8 as18 HQ630532		$\Delta \$37 \text{ m RPI }\$$	as10 ac17	HQ630530
		A\$38 f RPI 8	ası/ as18	HQ630531 HQ630532
AS39 m BL9 as19 HO630533		AS39 m BL9	as10 as19	HQ630533
AS40 f BL9 as1 HO630534		AS40 f BL9	asl	HQ630534
AS41 m BL10 as20 HO630535		AS41 m BL10	as20	HQ630535
AS42 f BL10 as1 HO630536		AS42 f BL10	asl	HO630536
AS43 m SKC11 as21 HO630537		AS43 m SKC11	as21	HO630537
AS44 f SKC11 as1 HO630538		AS44 f SKC11	asl	HO630538
AS45 m TKR12 as22 HO630539		AS45 m TKR12	as22	HQ630539
AS46_f_TKR12 as23 HQ630540		AS46_f_TKR12	as23	HQ630540

(continue)

	Lab Identification		
Species	(specimen nosex of specimen ¹ _sampling	Haplotype	GenBank Accession Number
	location ²)		
	AS47 m TR13	as1	HO630541
	AS48 f TR13	as24	HO630542
	AS49 m TKR14	as8	HQ630543
	AS50 f TKR14	as25	HQ630544
	AS51 m SKC15	as1	HQ630545
	AS52 f SKC15	as1	HQ630546
	AS53 m BPL16	as26	HQ630547
	AS54 f BPL16	as27	HQ630548
	AS55 m SGT17	as8	HQ630549
	AS56 f SGT17	as7	HQ630550
	AS58 f TR13	as8	HQ630551
	AS64 f SKC15	as2	HQ630552
	AS69 m PKKP29	as1	HQ630553
	AS70 f PKKP29	as1	HQ630554
	AS71 m PKKP29	as1	HQ630555
	AS72 f PKKP29	as28	HQ630556
	AS73 m PKKP29	as2	HQ630557
	AS75 m SGK30	as1	HQ630558
	A\$76_f_\$GK30	as29	HQ630559
	AS77 m SGK30	as30	HQ630560
	AS79 m SGK30	as31	HQ630561
	AS80_f_SGK30	as18	HQ630562
Acetes japonicus	AJ1 m TBHG	ail	HO630563
5 1	AJ2 f TBHG	aj2	HQ630564
	AJ3 m TBHG	aj2	HQ630565
	AJ4 f TBHG	aj2	HQ630566
	AJ5_m_TBHG	aj1	HQ630567
	AJ6 f TBHG18	aj2	HQ630568
	AJ7 m KG26	aj1	HQ630569
	AJ8_f_KG26	aj2	HQ630570
	AJ10_f_KG26	aj2	HQ630571
	AJ11_m_KG26	aj1	HQ630572
	AJ12_f_KG26	aj1	HQ630573
	AJ13_f_KK19	aj2	HQ630574
	AJ18_f_KK19	aj1	HQ630575
Acetes sibogae	Asi1_m_SGKB28	asi1	HQ630576
0	Asi2 f SGKB28	asi1	HQ630577
	Asi3_m_SGKB28	asi1	HQ630578
	Asi4_f_SGKB28	asi2	HQ630579
	Asi5_m_SGKB28	asi1	HQ630580
	Asi6_f_SGKB28	asi1	HQ630581
	Asi7_m_KS27	asi1	HQ630582
	Asi8_f_KS27	asi1	HQ630583
	Asi9_m_KS27	asi1	HQ630584
	Asi10_f_KS27	asi1	HQ630585
	Asi11_m_KS27	asi1	HQ630586
	Asi12_f_KS27	asi1	HQ630587

¹f: female, m: male; ²sampling location: refer to Table 1

122 transitions (Ts, 44 A↔G and 78 T↔C changes) over 95 transversions (Tv, 62 T \leftrightarrow A, 8 T \leftrightarrow G, 20 C \leftrightarrow A and 5 C↔G changes), yielding a Ts/Tv ratio of 1.28. Furthermore, from the 196 mutations, 194 (99%) were synonymous mutations and two (1%) were non-synonymous mutations. Non-synonymous mutations that resulted in amino acid substitutions occurred at sites 253, 301 and 434, resulting in a change from *leucine* to *methionine*, *alanine* to *serine*, serine to threonine, respectively. The substitutions resulted in a change of chemically similar amino acids. Overall, the pattern of base composition nucleotide substitution was similar among Acetes species.

Continued (TABLE 3)

TABLE 4. Base composition (%) of COI gene amplified for each Acetes species

		First c	odon			Second	d codon			Third o	codon				Overal	1	
	Т	С	А	G	Т	С	А	G	Т	С	А	G	Т	С	А	G	A+T
A. indicus	23.8	16.8	28.4	31.0	45.7	23.8	12.5	18.1	36.9	9.1	51.5	2.5	35.5	16.6	30.8	17.2	66.3
A. serrulatus	23.9	16.9	28.8	30.4	45.7	23.9	12.5	17.9	38.7	8.7	50.2	2.4	36.1	16.5	30.5	16.9	66.6
A. japonicus	20.7	19.6	28.8	31.1	45.7	23.9	12.5	17.9	33.2	19.6	44.3	3.0	33.2	21.0	28.5	17.3	61.7
A. sibogae	19.6	20.1	29.3	31.0	45.7	23.9	12.5	17.9	35.4	14.7	41.8	8.2	33.5	19.6	27.9	19.0	61.4
Overall	23.3	17.3	28.7	30.8	45.7	23.9	12.5	18.0	37.2	10.2	49.6	2.9	35.4	17.1	30.3	17.2	65.7

PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSES

Phylogenetic trees constructed based on Neighbour-Joining (NJ) and Maximum Likelihood (ML), Maximum Parsimony (MP) and Bayesian Inference (BI) are shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. NJ, ML, MP and BI consistently produced trees

with the same overall topology, which are four major clades, namely, clade *ai*, *as*, *aj* and *asi* for *A*. *indicus*, *A*. *serrulatus*, *A*. *japonicus* and *A*, *sibogae*, respectively. The four major clades corresponded well to the four identified *Acetes* species based on morphological characters (Omori 1975; Wong



FIGURE 2. Neighbour-Joining (NJ) phylogram showing the relationships among COI mtDNA haplotypes of the Acetes species shrimps



FIGURE 3. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree from *COI* mtDNA haplotype data under the best-fitting model HKY+I+G selected by jModeltest

The parameters were as follows: model = HKY85, number of substitution types (nst) = 2, proportion of invariable sites (*p*-invar) = 0.6220, Transition/Transversion ratio = 4.2197 and gamma (γ) distribution shape parameter (α = 1.7320). The value at each node represents the bootstrap value (BS, %) for ML / posterior probability (PP) for BI / (BS%) for MP

2013). Each clade was strongly supported by high bootstrap (BS) values of 97-100% and posterior probability (PP) values of 0.99-1.00. Furthermore, *A. indicus* and *A. sibogae* were shown to cluster into two distinct clades, respectively.

The mean percentage of nucleotide sequence divergence (K2P) within and between *Acetes* species are summarized in Table 5. The interspecific variation ranged from 14.50-20.50%. This result indicates that *A. sibogae* was the most divergent among the four *Acetes* species, followed by *A. japonicus*, *A. serrulatus* and *A. indicus*. In addition, the two distinct clades of *A. indicus* and *A. sibogae* showed a mean sequence divergence value of 8.94% and 10.93%, respectively. In the statistical parsimony haplotype network produced using TCS (Figure 4), both the Acetes indicus and A. sibogae formed two separate networks. For A. indicus, the clade ai-II haplotypes could not be parsimoniously connected to the ai-I clade network at the 95% significance criterion and the corresponding sequences were separated by at least 44 mutational steps from ai-I clade haplotypes. Similarly, the haplotype asi2 was separated by 52 mutation steps from the haplotype asi1. For A. japonicus, both aj1 and aj2 haplotypes were connected. All haplotypes of A. serrulatus, with the exception of as7 and as25, were connected to either one of the common haplotypes, as1 and as2, with an overall

TABLE 5. Mean nucleotide sequence divergence (%) estimated with Kimura's Two Parameter (K2P), based on haplotypes only:(a) between and within Acetes species and outgroup, Sergestes similis;(b) between and within two distinct clades of A. indicus;(c) between and within two distinct clades of A. sibogae

Species	A. indicus	A. serrulatus	A. japonicus	A. sibogae	S. similis (outgroup)
Acetes indicus	4.08				
A. serrulatus	14.49	0.63			
A. japonicus	17.86	14.69	0.18		
A. sibogae	20.47	19.58	19.89	10.30	
S. similis (outgroup)	21.35	19.32	21.21	21.57	-

(b) Interclade variation of A. indicus

Clade	ai-I	ai-II
ai-I	0.32	
ai-II	8.94	0.36

(c) Interclade variation of A. sibogae

Clade	asi-I	asi-II
asi-I	-	
asi-II	10.30	-



(a) A. indicus



FIGURE 4. Parsimony network of (a) *A. indicus*, (b) *A. serrulatus*, (c) *A. japonicus* and (d) *A. sibogae* based on 552 bp of COI amplified in this study

Each oval represents a haplotype and the haplotype in a square has the highest outgroup probability. The size of the oval or square corresponds to the haplotype frequency. The haplotype abbreviations correspond to the haplotypes as reported in Table 2, and the number in parentheses corresponds to the frequency of the haplotype. Small circles indicate the number of mutational changes among haplotypes

appearance of the network resembling a radiating star-like shape (Figure 4).

There were 11 haplotypes detected for *A. indicus* (Table 6). The *ai1* haplotype was the most common and occurred in all but two locations, Kuala Gula and Kuala Kurau. The haplotype diversity for *A. indicus* was moderate (h = 0.552) while nucleotide diversity was high ($\pi = 0.031$) in the overall samples. However, when the two clades were analysed separately, low levels of both h (*ai-I* = 0.286, *ai-II* = 0.228) and π (*ai-I* = 0.001, *ai-II* = 0.001) were observed. *A. serrulatus* had 31 haplotypes in total with *as1* being the most common followed by *as2*, *as8*, *as7* and *as18*, respectively (Table 7). The overall haplotype diversity was high (h = 0.886) while overall nucleotide diversity was low ($\pi = 0.004$).

Both *A. japonicus* and *A. sibogae* had only two haplotypes each. Both haplotypes occurred in all four locations where *A. japonicus* was detected and the overall *h* was moderate (0.540) while π was low (0.001) (Table 8). The overall *h* and π was low for *A. sibogae* with one location having both haplotypes (Sungai Kubang Badak) while Kuala Sepetang had only one haplotype (Table 9).

The AMOVA results for each *Acetes* species are reported in Table 10. Only *A. indicus* showed significant population differentiation with 75.8% of the molecular variance due to variation among the sampling sites. There was also significant differentiation between the two clades *ai-I* and *ai-II* (99.2%). The pairwise Φ_{ST} was not significant for Kuala Kurau, Kuala Gula and the Portuguese Settlement when they were compared with one another. However, they were significant when each was compared with the other populations (Table 11). The Mantel Test indicated no correlation between Φ_{sT} estimates and geographical distribution (r = 0.106, p > 0.05). Similar to AMOVA, the pairwise Φ_{sT} values were not significant for the other three *Acetes* species (detailed results not shown; Wong 2013).

All the Neutrality Tests were significant for A. *serrulatus* while A. *japonicus* was the only species that did not show any significance in these tests (Table 12). Although none of the Neutrality Tests were significant for the pooled A. *indicus* samples, the *ai-I* clade, which was analysed separately was significant for all the tests while the *ai-II* clade was significant only for R_2 . Similarly, A. *sibogae* was only significant for Tajima's D.

A bimodal mismatch distribution was observed for *A*. sibogae (SSD = 0.040, 0.01 < p<0.05) (Figure 5). Similarly, a bimodal mismatch distribution was observed for the pooled samples of *A*. indicus (SSD = 0.112, p>0.05), which did not differ significantly from the distribution expected for population expansion. When the *ai-I* and *ai-II* clades were analysed separately, both showed a unimodal distribution that did not differ significantly from the distribution expected for population expansion (*ai-I*, SSD = 0.005, p>0.05; *ai-II*, SSD = 0.040, p>0.05), with peaks closer to zero (L-shaped distribution) (Figure 5). This pattern was also seen in *A*. serrulatus (SSD = 0.004, p>0.05) and *A*. japonicus (SSD = 0.032, p>0.05) (Figure 5).

Haplo-					Sampl	ing Locati	ons*					
type	SGT	BPL	BL	KK	KG	TR	SKC	TKR	PSETT	PKKP	SGK	Total
ai1	7	7	6			3	6	5	1	4	5	44
ai2									1			1
ai3									1			1
ai4			1	5	6				3			15
ai5									1			1
ai6									1			1
ai7	1											1
ai8							1	1				2
ai9						1						1
ai10						1						1
ai11										1		1
n	8	7	7	5	6	5	7	6	8	5	5	69
S	1	0	45	0	0	2	1	1	47	1	0	50
N_{hap}	2	1	2	1	1	3	2	2	6	2	1	11
h	0.2500	0.0000	0.2857	0.0000	0.0000	0.7000	0.2857	0.3333	0.8929	0.4000	0.0000	0.5516
π	0.0005	0.0000	0.02323	0.0000	0.0000	0.0015	0.0005	0.0006	0.0450	0.0007	0.0000	0.0312

TABLE 6. Haplotype compositions and summary of molecular diversity in Acetes indicus collected in this study

*Abbreviations for sampling locations: refer to Table 1

n: number of sequences; S: number of segregating sites; N_{han} : number of haplotypes; *h*: haplotype diversity; and π : nucleotide diversity.

				Sampling l	ocations*				T (1
Haplotype	SGT	BPL	BL	SKC	TKR	TR	PKKP	SGK	Total
as1	2	3	3	4	1	2	3	1	19
as2	3	4	2	1			1		11
as3		1							1
as4	1								1
as5	1								1
as6	1								1
as7	1	1							2
as8	1	1		1	1	1			5
as9			1						1
as10					1				1
as11						1			1
as12	1								1
as13	1								1
as14	1								1
as15	1								1
as16		1							1
as17		1							1
as18		1						1	2
as19			1						1
as20			1						1
as21				1					1
as22					1				1
as23					1				1
as24						1			1
as25					1				1
as26		1							1
as27		1							1
as28							1		1
as29								1	1
as30								1	1
as31								1	1
n	14	15	8	7	6	5	5	5	65
S	17	15	4	3	8	4	3	6	60
$N_{_{ m hap}}$	11	10	5	4	6	4	3	5	31
h	0.9560	0.9143	0.8571	0.7143	1.0000	0.9000	0.7000	1.0000	0.8856
π	0.0062	0.0049	0.0021	0.0019	0.0052	0.0029	0.0025	0.0047	0.0042

TABLE 7. Haplotype compositions and summary of molecular diversity in Acetes serrulatus collected in this study

*Abbreviations for sampling locations: refer to Table 1 *n*: number of sequences; *S*: number of segregating sites; N_{hap} : number of haplotypes; *h*: haplotype diversity; and π : nucleotide diversity

Hanlatuna		Sampling locations*							
паріотуре -	KK	KG	TBHG	Total					
aj1	1	3	2	6					
aj2	1	2	4	7					
n	2	5	6	13					
S	1	1	1	1					
$N_{\rm hap}$	2	2	2	2					
$h^{\mu\nu}$	1.0000	0.6000	0.5330	0.5386					
π	0.0018	0.0011	0.0010	0.0010					

TABLE 8. Haplotype compositions and summary of molecular diversity in
Acetes japonicus collected in this study

*Ab

n: n *h*: h

$N_{\rm hap}$	2	2	2	2
h^{hap}	1.0000	0.6000	0.5330	0.5386
π	0.0018	0.0011	0.0010	0.0010
obreviations for samplumber of sequences applotype diversity; a	pling locations: refer to Ta ; S: number of segregating ind π : nucleotide diversity	ble 1 sites; N_{hap} : number of hap	plotypes;	
TAE	BLE 9. Haplotype con Acete.	npositions and sum s sibogae collected	nary of molecular div in this study	versity in
		Samulina la satia		

Hanlotype	Sampling	locations*	Total
Парютуре	SGKB	KS	Totai
asi1	5	6	11
asi2	1	-	1
n	6	6	12
S	52	0	52
$N_{_{ m hap}}$	2	1	2
h	0.3330	0.0000	0.1670
π	0.0314	0.0000	0.0157

*Abbreviations for sampling locations: refer to Table 1 *n*: number of sequences; *S*: number of segregating sites; N_{hap} : number of haplotypes; *h*: haplotype diversity; and π : nucleotide diversity

TABLE 10. Analysis of Molecular	Variance (AMOVA)	for Acetes indicus, A	. serrulatus, A.	<i>japonicus</i> and <i>A</i>	A, sibogae
2			,	J 1	/ 0

			Squares	Components ²	Variation	index (Φ_{er})	P value
Acetes indicus	Among populations (Va)	10	721.343	10.97601	75.77	0.75768	0.00000 ± 0.00000
	Within populations (Vb)	58	203.601	3.51036	24.23		
		68	924.943	14.48637			
Acetes indicus	Among populations (Va)	7	6.902	-0.02362	-2.13	-0.02129	0.59881 ± 0.00491
(without KK, KG	Within populations (Vb)	42	47.586	1.13299	102.13		
and PSETT ¹)	* *	49	54.488	1.10937			
Acetes indicus	Among clade (Va)	1	573.519	22.37565	99.19	0.99188	0.00000 ± 0.00000
(clade ai-I and	Within clade (Vb)	67	12.278	0.18326	0.81		
ai-II)		68	585.897	22.55891			
Acetes serrulatus	Among populations (Va)	7	7.164	-0.02252	-1.91	-0.01912	0.78921 ± 0.00420
	Within populations (Vb)	57	68.437	1.20065	101.91		
		64	75.601	1.17812			
Acetes japonicus	Among populations (Va)	2	0.198	-0.05137	-20.28	-0.20285	0.76317 ± 0.00416
	Within populations (Vb)	10	3.046	0.30462	120.28		
		12	3.244	0.25325			
Acetes sibogae	Among populations (Va)	1	4.763	0.00000	0.00	0.00000	1.00000 ± 0.00000
0	Within populations (Vb)	10	47.631	4.76314	100.00		
		11	52.395	4.76314			

¹Sampling location, KK = Kuala Kurau, KG = Kuala Gula, PSETT = Portuguese Settlement ²Va, Vb, Vc are the associate covariance components

Sampling population	SGT	BPL	BL	KK	KG	TR	SKC	TKR	PSETT	PKKP	SGK
SGT	Ι										
BPL	-0.01818 ^{ns}	I									
BL	0.01252 ^{ns}	-0.00000 ns	I								
KK	0.99772 ***	1.00000 **	0.80447 *	I							
KG	0.99791 ***	1.00000 ***	0.82013 **	0.00000 ns	I						
TR	0.04778 ^{ns}	0.06818 ns	-0.05644 ^{ns}	0.99427 **	0.99491 **	I					
SKC	0.00129 ^{ns}	0.00000 ns	0.00083 ns	0.99756 ***	0.99778 ***	0.02864	I				
TKR	0.00657 ns	0.02778 ns	-0.02271 ns	0.99737 **	0.99763 **	0.01268	-0.18052	I			
PSETT	0.56465 **	0.54739 **	0.29732 *	0.18745 ^{ns}	0.22064 ns	0.48839 *	0.54624 **	0.52149 **	I		
PKKP	0.01977 ns	0.07285 ns	-0.05229 ^{ns}	0.99716 **	0.99747 **	-0.00290	0.01372	0.00663	0.49273 *	I	
SGK	-0.06870 ns	0.00000 ns	-0.05528 ns	1.00000 **	1.00000 **	-0.00434	-0.05528	-0.03448	0.49346 *	0.00000	I

TABLE 11. Pairwise Φ_{srr} values (TrN + G=0.310) among *Acetes indicus* sampling populations calculated from *COI* sequences using Arlequin v3.5. Sionificante level (number of nermitations: 10100)⁻⁴⁸ not sionificant⁺ * 0.01 < n<0.01 < n<0.01 < n<0.001 < n<0.001

*Abbreviations for sampling locations: refer to Table 1

TABLE 12. Neutrality statistics (Tajima's *D*, Fu's F_3 , Fu and Li's D^* and F^* , R_2), sum of square deviation (SSD) and Harpending's Raggedness index (*r*) were reported as well. Significance level: *0.01<*p*<0.05; **0.001<*p*<0.01; *** *p*<0.001; **

	Tajima's D	Fu's Fs	Fu and Li's <i>D</i> *	Fu and Li's <i>F</i> *	R_2	SSD	r
A. indicus, pooled	2.7155 ^{ns}	15.6730 ^{ns}	1.4841 ^{ns}	2.0928 ^{ns}	0.1715 ^{ns}	0.1116	0.2302
A. indicus, Clade ai-I	-2.1066**	-8.940***	-3.4682**	-3.5615**	-0.0460*	0.0051	0.2618
A. indicus, Clade ai-II	-1.0486 ^{ns}	-0.1260 ^{ns}	-0.0627 ^{ns}	-0.3736 ^{ns}	0.1075***	0.0399	0.6075
A. serrulatus	-2.0787**	-31.7964***	-3.5228**	-3.5664**	0.0314***	0.0041	0.0631
A. japonicus	1.4754 ^{ns}	1.2350 ^{ns}	0.7324 ^{ns}	1.0368 ^{ns}	0.2692 ^{ns}	0.0318	0.2959
A. sibogae	-2.2821***	11.772 ^{ns}	2.8994***	-3.1207***	0.2764 ^{ns}	0.0403*	0.7500



FIGURE 5. Mismatch distribution based on COI sequence from (a) A. indicus, (b) A. indicus, clade ai-I, and (c) A. indicus, clade ai-II. The graph represents the observed mismatch distribution from segregating sites of the aligned COI sequences. Dotted lines indicate the observed (Obs) distribution of mismatches, and solid lines show the expected (Exp) distribution under an expansion model. The numbers of pairwise differences are given on the horizontal axis and their frequencies on the vertical axis



FIGURE 5 (*continuation*). Mismatch distribution based on *COI* sequence from (d) *A. serrulatus*, (e) *A. japonicus*, and (f) *A. sibogae*. The graph represents the observed mismatch distribution from segregating sites of the aligned *COI* sequences. Dotted lines indicate the observed distribution of mismatches, and solid lines show the expected distribution under an expansion model. The numbers of pairwise differences are given on the horizontal axis and their frequencies on the vertical axis

DISCUSSION

COI SEQUENCE VARIATION

High A+T content and positional biases, for example, slight bias against cytosine (17.3%) in the first position, in favour of thymine (45.7%) in the second position and substantial bias against guanine (2.9%) in the third position of mitochondrial *COI* gene fragment was found in all *Acetes indicus*, *A. serrulatus*, *A. japonicus* and *A. sibogae* individuals analysed in this study (Table 4). This pattern of base composition is similar to the *COI* gene region sequences in other groups of crustaceans,

including *Raymunida* (Macpherson & Machordom 2001), Portunidae (Chu et al. 1999; Lai et al. 2010; Pfeiler et al. 2005), Alpheidae (Williams & Knowlton 2001; Williams et al. 2002, 2001), Gammaridae (Meyran et al. 1997), as well as some penaeid shrimp species (Baldwin et al. 1998; Maggioni et al. 2001; Quan et al. 2004; Tong et al. 2000; Zitari-Chatti et al. 2009).

With respect to the amino acid substitutions, *COI* is considered to be one of the most conservative genes in the mitochondrial genome (Black et al. 1997), thus only three amino acids substitution were detected in this study. The translation of the 552 bp of *COI* gene fragment

resulted in a sequence of 184 amino acids without in-frame stop codons or indels. Together with the patterns of base composition and base substitutions as discussed above, these observations showed that the *COI* gene fragment amplified in this study was not a nuclear mitochondrial pseudogenes (Numts) (Bensasson et al. 2001; Song et al. 2008; Zhang & Hewitt 1996) that have been reported in crustaceans, including in the snapping shrimp, *Alpheus* (Williams & Knowlton 2001; Williams et al. 2002).

INTERSPECIFIC VARIATION OF ACETES SPECIES

From the phylogenetic trees inferred from the COI sequence (Figures 2 & 3), it is evident that four distinct clades could be clearly identified using NJ, MP, ML and BI. All clades were monophyletic and supported by high BS and PP that corresponded with the four different Acetes species identified morphologically. This indicates that the COI molecular trees and species identification based on morphological characters provided by Omori (1975) and Wong (2013) are congruent. In addition, the aligned 552 bp of COI sequence showed a divergence range of 14.69-20.47% among the four Acetes species in this study (Table 5). This level of sequence divergence is similar to those reported in other shrimp genera such as Penaeus (8.0-24.0%; Baldwin et al. 1998) and Metapenaeus (6.1-19.9%; Tong et al. 2000), but is higher than those of the portunid sister groups (2-7%; Lai et al. 2010).

INTRASPECIFIC VARIATION OF ACETES SPECIES

Acetes indicus For the pooled samples, the moderate haplotype diversity and high nucleotide diversity is a good reflection of the abundance of this species within the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia (Table 6). This species was found in most sampling sites and had previously been reported to occur from the north-western region to the south of Peninsular Malaysia (Amani et al. 2011c; Amin et al. 2011, 2009a, 2009b, 2009c, 2009d; Fernandez-Leborans et al. 2009; Oh et al. 2010), mainly from in-shore catches. In this study, *A. indicus* was also caught from off-shore catches. However, the actual geographical range of dispersal of *A. indicus* is unknown.

The moderate haplotype diversity and high nucleotide diversity is also indicative of past evolutionary processes (Table 6), suggesting either secondary contact between historically isolated populations or stable populations with large, long term-effective population sizes (Grant & Bowen 1998). The secondary contact of historically isolated populations could have occurred due to the fluctuation of sea-levels in the regions around the Sunda and Sahul shelves in which low sea levels led to the formation of large land masses which partly isolated the Indian Ocean from the West Pacific and enclosed the South China Sea, Sulu Sea and Sulawesi Sea (Voris 2000). The central part of Indo-West Pacific area is reported as the geographical range of this species (Chan 1998; Holthius 1980; Omori 1975; Xiao & Greenwood 1993). The receding sea levels could have temporarily isolated the A. indicus populations occurring in these regions and restricted gene flow among the populations. Thus, the isolated A. indicus populations could have evolved separately and secondary contact occurred only during subsequent increase of the sea levels. Using the minimum and maximum nucleotide divergence between the two distinct clades of A. indicus (ai-I and ai-II) seen in the NJ tree (Figure 2) and the 1.40-3.00% per million years for COI divergence rates for decapod crustaceans on K2P distances (Table 5), we found a 2.98-6.39 million years ago (MYA) split between the clades indicating an early Pliocene to late Miocene divergence, thus supporting the geographical isolation episode mentioned earlier. The mixture of haplotypes found in the Bagan Lipas and the Portuguese Settlement populations may reflect secondary contact between the two clades (Table 6).

When the two clades were analysed separately, low haplotype diversity and nucleotide diversity were observed (Table 6). This pattern of low genetic diversity often reflects recent events of population bottleneck or founder effects by a single or a few mtDNA lineages (Grant & Bowen 1998). The NJ tree showed two deep clades for *ai-I* and *ai-II* (Figure 2), but shallow phylogeny within these two clades suggests population expansion after bottleneck (Slatkin & Hudson 1991). This hypothesis was also supported by the unimodal mismatch distribution, the non-significant value of sum of squared deviation (*SSD*) and Harpending's raggedness index (*r*) and the negative values of Tajima's *D* and Fu's F_s (Aris-Brosou & Excoffier 1996; Fu, 1997; Rand 1996; Tajima 1989) (Table 12; Figure 5a-5c).

The L-shaped mismatch distribution has been reported in other shrimp species indicating population expansion from a smaller initial population and recent bottleneck events (Frankham et al. 2002; Li et al. 2009; Pellerito et al. 2009; Rogers & Harpending 1992; Roldan et al. 2009). As many as 10 major Pleistocene sea-level fluctuation events, during which the Sunda Shelf (including the Straits of Malacca) was exposed had occurred with the latest being the last-glacial maximum, around 18000-20000 years ago during which the sea level dropped to about 120 m below the present level in Southeast Asia (Hanebuth et al. 2000; Pillans et al. 1998). These events could have caused local extinctions in the sampling area of this study. Based on the τ value computed using Arlequin and 1.4-3.0% mutation rates (Tables 5, 10 & 11), the estimates of the time since the most recent sudden population expansion for these two clades fall within the range of 97000-45000 years ago, coinciding with the Pleistocene era sea fluctuations. Therefore, for A. indicus, the genetic diversity seems to suggest secondary contact between historically isolated populations (Wong 2013).

Acetes serrulatus A high haplotype diversity and low nucleotide diversity were observed for this species (Table 7). Similar to *A. indicus*, the high haplotype diversity could be due to the occurrence of this species in both in- and offshore catches of a relatively large region from the central to the south of the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia.

Previous studies had only reported their occurrence along in-shore areas of the south of the west coast (Amin et al. 2011, 2009d; Oh et al. 2011). The high haplotype diversity and low nucleotide diversity combination usually suggests a population that had undergone population bottlenecks followed by rapid population growth and accumulation of mutations (Avise et al. 1984; Grant & Bowen 1998), which have also been noted in other marine species (Chen et al. 2004; Daemen et al. 2001; Kong et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2008; Maggio et al. 2009; Pellerito et al. 2009; Stockley et al. 2005).

The low nucleotide diversity reflects low genetic divergence among A. serrulatus individuals (Table 7). As reported for A. indicus, the shallow phylogeny of the NJ tree (Figure 2) is consistent with a population expansion event after a period of low effective population sizes caused by bottlenecks or founder effects (Slatkins & Hudson 1991). Negative and significant values of Tajima's D and Fu's F_s and significant R_2 indicate population expansion (Aris-Brosou & Excoffier 1996; Fu 1997; Ramos-Onsins & Rozas 2002; Rand 1996; Tajima 1989) (Table 12; Figure 5). The star-like radiating pattern of the haplotype network (Figure 4), unimodal mismatch distribution, and low nonsignificance of Harpending's Raggedness index (r) further supports a hypothesis of recent population expansion (Rogers & Harpending 1992; Slatkin & Hudson 1991). There is an excess of rare mutations seen here as excess in singletons suggests accumulation of mutations during the rapid population growth (Avise et al. 1984; Jorde et al. 2001; Rogers & Harpending 1992; Ramos-Onsins & Rozas 2002; Slatkins & Hudson 1991). As in A. indicus (Tables 5, 10 & 11), A. serrulatus appears to have also undergone late-Pleistocene expansion, due to the fluctuating sea levels (Wong 2013). The estimates of the time since the most recent population expansion event for A. serrulatus took place approximately 61000-28000 years ago, coinciding with rising sea levels during the late Pleistocene (Geyh et al. 1979; Hanebuth et al. 2000; Voris 2000).

Acetes japonicus AND Acetes sibogae The presence of these two species from the sampling sites in the northern waters of the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia is similar to that reported by Amani et al. (2011a, 2011b, 2011c), Amin et al. (2011, 2010, 2009c, 2009d), Arshad et al. (2012), Fernandez-Leborans et al. (2009), Hanamura (2007) and Panthansali (1966). However, we did not detect any of these two species at the southern region of the west coast during the sampling period of our study (Wong 2013; Wong et al. 2015). As such, with only three sample populations for *A. japonicus* and two populations for *A. sibogae*, demographic analyses and genetic diversity of these species were not conducted in detail to avoid inaccurate and biased reporting (Tables 8-10 & 12).

CRYPTIC DIVERSITY AND ITS IMPLICATIONS

Although morphologically defined species was congruent with the mtDNA in this study, it does not reveal all the variations that are present genetically, especially in the cryptic diversity possibly present in A. indicus and A. sibogae. Evidence for cryptic diversity comes from the extent of the genetic distance seen between clades within these two species (Wong 2013). Sequence divergence between clades ai-I and ai-II (8.94%) and clades asi-I and asi-II (10.30%) are lower than interspecific COI divergences of Acetes species in the current study (Table 5). Divergence values of similar magnitude have been noted in cryptic or sibling species (i.e. morphologically indistinguishable, but genetically distinct) of other decapod crustaceans (Bickford et al. 2007; Knowlton 1986; Pfenninger & Schwenk 2007). In particular, studies have reported a 6-8% divergence between two cryptic species of the kuruma shrimp, Penaeus japonicus (Tsoi et al. 2007, 2005), a 2-5% divergence between two sibling alpheid species, Alpheus angulatus and A. armillatus (Mathews et al. 2002), two morphologically indistinguishable clades within Fenneropenaus (Penaeus) merguiensis with an average divergence of 5% (Hualkasin et al. 2003) and 2-7% genetic divergence among sister groups of Portunus spp. (Lai et al. 2010).

Further support for cryptic diversity is shown by the COI haplotypes of A. indicus and A. sibogae which grouped into two disconnected statistical parsimony network at the 95% connection limit (Figure 4). As proposed by Chen et al. (2010) and Hart and Sunday (2007), statistical parsimony networks that are separated by more than the parsimony connection limit would indicate the presence of cryptic species. Hence, the high sequence divergence values and the disconnected parsimony network for Acetes suggest that cryptic taxa may be present in A. indicus and A. sibogae (Wong 2013). Cryptic species require special consideration in conservation planning especially for highly exploited resources. The likely presence of cryptic complexes within these Acetes species implies that more in-depth knowledge of location boundaries (if any) and other biotic and abiotic factors for each species would need to be considered for conservation efforts to ensure the long term sustainability of the Acetes fishing industry. Fishery activities beyond sustainable limits coupled with the presence of unknown cryptic species can lead to the disappearance of these resources (Thorpe et al. 2000).

Another implication of cryptic diversity is that previous reports of the life cycles of *Acetes* spp. may not reflect the true biological nature of these species, since those reports were based solely on morphological data (Amani et al. 2011a, 2011b, 2011c; Amin et al. 2011, 2010, 2009a, 2009b, 2009c, 2009d, 2008; Arshad et al. 2012, 2008, 2007) which is unable to differentiate among the cryptic complexes. Our study recommends that a thorough and detailed investigation should be carried out throughout the year with more sampling sites for both in- and offshore *Acetes* populations in order to elucidate the actual distribution and life cycles of each cryptic complex. This should also be coupled with nuclear gene studies (Wong 2013). Since mtDNA has a higher rate of evolution and thus more mutations than nuclear genes, it is essential to have genealogical data from nuclear genes, which are inherited from both parents, to establish the status of these two clades. These studies would provide a better management plan for sustainable fishing over time.

In conclusion, this study presents evidence of the molecular phylogenetic relationships among four major *Acetes* species sampled from the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia. The four species were found to vary considerably for haplotype and nucleotide diversity, with *A. indicus* and *A. serrulatus* having different demographic histories. Furthermore, the observation of two clades within the *A. indicus* and *A. sibogae* lineages, with relatively high levels of intraspecific divergence, suggests that cryptic diversity may occur in these two taxa.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by a UTAR Postgraduate Research Fund (6202/W01) to Wong B.Y. The authors would also like to express their gratitude to Mr. Chia B.L. for providing the off-shore samples used in this study.

REFERENCES

- Allendorf, F.W. & Luikart, G. 2006. Conservation and the Genetics of Populations. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing, MA.
- Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W. & Lipman, D.J. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 215(3): 403-410.
- Amani, A.A., Arshad, A., Amin, S.M.N. & Aziz, N.A.A. 2011a. Catch composition of a set bag net used for *Acetes* trapping in the estuarine waters of Kedah, Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal* of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 6(3): 279-284.
- Amani, A.A., Amin, S.M.N., Arshad, A. & Rahman, M.A. 2011b. Population dynamics of sergestid shrimps Acetes japonicus in the estuary of Tanjung Dawai, Kedah, Malaysia. Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 6(7): 751-760.
- Amani, A.A., Amin, S.M.N. & Arshad, A. 2011c. Stomach contents of sergestid shrimp Acetes japonicus from the estuary of Tanjung Dawai, Peninsular Malaysia. Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 6(7): 771-779.
- Amin, S.M.N., Arshad, A., Siraj, S.S. & Bujang, J.S. 2011. Update on the species composition and distribution of sergestide shrimps (*Acetes* spp.) in Malaysian waters. *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science* 6(7): 761-770.
- Amin, S.M.N., Arshad, A., Siraj, S.S. & Japar, S.B. 2010. Reproductive seasonality and maturation of the sergestid shrimp, Acetes japonicus (Decapoda: Sergestidae) in coastal waters of Malacca, Peninsular Malaysia. African Journal of Biotechnology 9(45): 7747-7752.
- Amin, S.M.N., Arshad, A., Bujang, J.S. & Siraj, S.S. 2009a. Age structure, growth, mortality and yield-per-recruit of sergestid shrmp, *Acetes indicus* (Decapoda: Sergestidae) from the coastal waters of Malacca, Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Applied Sciences* 9(5): 801-814.
- Amin, S.M.N., Arshad, A., Bujang, J.S., Siraj, S.S. & Goddard, S. 2009b. Reproductive biology of the sergestid shrimp Acetes indicus (Decapoda: Sergestidae) in coastal waters of Malacca, Peninsular Malaysia. Zoological Studies 48(6): 753-760.
- Amin, S.M.N., Arshad, A., Siraj, S.S. & Japar, S.B. 2009c. Population structure, growth, mortality and yield per recruit of sergestid shrimp, *Acetes japonicus* (Decapoda: Sergestidae)

from the coastal waters of Malacca, Peninsular Malaysia. *Indian Journal of Marine Science* 38(1): 57-68.

- Amin, S.M.N., Arshad, A., Siraj, S.S. & Bujang, J.S. 2009d. Population structure, growth and length - weight relationship of sergestid shrimps (*Acetes* spp.) from the coastal waters of Malacca, Peninsular Malaysia. *Sains Malaysiana* 38(2): 159-169.
- Amin, S.M.N., Arshad, A., Zainal, Z., Idris, M.H., Siraj, S.S. & Japar, S.B. 2008. First distribution records of *Acetes intermedius* (Decapoda: Sergestidae) from the coastal waters of Bintulu, Sarawak: Population structure, length-weight and length-length relationship. *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management* 3(1): 74-83.
- Aris-Brosou, S. & Excoffier, L. 1996. The impact of population expansion and mutation rate heterogeneity on DNA sequence polymorphism. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 13(3): 494-504.
- Arshad, A., Amin, S.M.N., Nuradiella, Y.L.Z., Cob, Z.C., Ara, R. & Aziz, D. 2012. Population characteristics of Acetes japonicus from the Kedah coastal waters of Peninsular Malaysia. Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 7(2): 162-172.
- Arshad, A., Amin, S.M.N., Yu, G.T., Oh, S.Y., Bujang, J.S. & Ghaffar, M.A. 2008. Population characteristics, lengthweight and length-length relationships of *Acetes vulgaris* (Decapoda: Sergestidae) in the coastal waters of Pontian, Johor, Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Biological Sciences* 8(8): 1298-1303.
- Arshad, A., Amin, S.M.N., Siraj, S.S. & Japar, S.B. 2007. New distribution records of sergestid shrimp, *Acetes intermedius* (Decapoda: Sergestidae) from Peninsular Malaysia with notes on its population characteristics. *Journal of Biological Sciences* 7(8): 1305-1313.
- Avise, J., Neigel, J. & Arnold, J. 1984. Demographic influences on mitochondrial DNA lineage survivorship in animal populations. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 20(2): 99-105.
- Baldwin, J.D., Bass, A.L., Bowen, B.W. & Clark, W.H. 1998. Molecular phylogeny and biogeography of the marine shrimp *Penaeus*. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 10(3): 399-407.
- Bensasson, D., Zhang, D.X., Hartl, D.L. & Hewitt, G.M. 2001. Mitochondrial pseudogenes: Evolution's misplaced witnesses. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 16(6): 314-321.
- Bickford, D., Lohman, D.J., Sodhi, N.S., Ng, P.K.L., Meier, R., Winker, K., Ingram, K.K. & Das, I. 2007. Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. *Trends in Ecology* & *Evolution* 22(3): 148-155.
- Black, M.B., Halanych, K.M., Maas, P.A.Y., Hoeh, W.R., Hashimoto, J., Desbruyères, D., Lutz, R.A. & Vrijenhoek, R.C. 1997. Molecular systematics of vestimentiferan tubeworms from hydrothermal vents and cold-water seeps. *Marine Biology* 130(2): 141-149.
- Black. A.R. & Dodson, S.I. 2003. Ethanol: A better preservation technique for *Daphnia*. *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods* 1: 45-50.
- Bucklin, A. 2009. CMarz sample collection protocol. Census of Marine Zooplankton. CMarz Barcoding Association. (http://www.cmarz.org/barcode/protocols/barcode_CMarZ_ Sample_Protocol_jan06.html)
- Camin, J. & Sokal, R. 1965. A method for deducing branching sequences in phylogeny. *Evolution* 19(3): 311-326.
- Carvalho, G.R. & Hauser, L. 1994. Molecular genetics and the stock concept in fisheries. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 4(3): 326-350.

- Chan, T.Y. 1998. Shrimps and prawns. In FAO Species Identification Guide for Fishery Purposes. The Living Marine Resource of the Western Central Pacific, Vol. 2. Cephalopds, Crustaceans, Holothurians and Sharks, edited by Carpenter, K.E. & Niem, V.H. Rome: FAO.
- Chen, C.A., Ablan, M.C.A., Mcmanus, J.W., Bell, J.D., Tuan, V.S., Cabanban, A.S. & Shao, K.T. 2004. Population structure and genetic variability of six bar wrasse (*Thallasoma hardwicki*) in Northern South China Sea revealed by mitochondrial control region sequences. *Marine Biotechnology* 6(4): 312-326.
- Chen, H., Strand, M., Norenburg, J.L., Sun, S., Kajihara, H., Chernyshev, A.V., Maslakova, S.A. & Sundberg, P. 2010. Statistical parsimony networks and species assemblages in cephalotrichid nemerteans (nemertea). *PLoS ONE* 5(9): e12885.
- Chu, K.H., Tong, J. & Chan, T.Y. 1999. Mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I sequence divergence in some Chinese species of *Charybdis* (Crustacea: Decapoda: Portunidae). *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology* 27(5): 461-468.
- Clement, M., Posada, D. & Crandall, K.A. 2000. TCS: A computer program to estimate gene genealogies. *Molecular Ecology* 9(10): 1657-1659.
- Cook, B.D., Baker, A.M., Page, T.J., Grant, S.C., Fawcett, J.H., Hurwood, D.A. & Hughes, J.M. 2006. Biogeographic history of an Australian freshwater shrimp, *Paratya australiensis* (Atyidae): The role life history transition in phylogeographic diversification. *Molecular Ecology* 15: 1083-1093.
- Costa, F.O., deWaard, J.R., Boutillier, J., Ratnasingham, S., Dooh, R.T., Hajibabaei, M. & Hebert, P.D. 2007. Biological identifications through DNA barcodes: The case of the Crustacea. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 64(2): 272-295.
- Daeman, E., Cross, T., Ollevier, F. & Volckaert, F.A.M. 2001. Analysis of the genetic structure of European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) using microsattelite DNA and mtDNA markers. *Marine Biology* 139(4): 755-764.
- Deshmukh, V.D. 1991. Utilisation of paste shrimp Acetes: A review. Marine Fisheries Information Service Technical Extension Series 110: 7-8.
- Díaz-Viloria, N., Sánchez-Velasco, L. & Perez-Enriquez, R. 2005. Inhibition of DNA amplification in marine fish larvae preserved in formalin. *Journal of Plankton Research* 27(8): 787-792.
- DiStefano, R.J., Roell, M.J., Wagner, B.A. & Decoske, J.J. 1994. Relative performances of four preservatives on fish and crayfish. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 123: 817-823.
- DOF. 2013. Annual Fisheries Statistics. Kuala Lumpur: Department of Fisheries Malaysia, Ministry of Agriculture.
- Excoffier, L. & Lischer, H.E.L. 2010. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: A new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 10(3): 546-567.
- Excoffier, L., Smouse, P.E. & Quattro, J.M. 1992. Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: Application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data. *Genetics* 131(2): 479-491.
- Felsenstein, J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* 39(4): 783-791.
- Felsenstein, J. 1981. Evolutionary trees from DNA sequences: A maximum likelihood approach. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 17(6): 368-376.

- Fernandez-Leborans, G., Hanamura, Y., Siow, R. & Chee, P.E. 2009. Intersite epibiosis characterization on dominant mangrove crustacean species from Malaysia. *Contributions* to Zoology 78(1): 9-23.
- Folmer, O., Black, M., Hoeh, W., Lutz, R. & Vrijenhoek, R. 1994. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology* 3(5): 294-299.
- Frankham, R., Ballou, J.D. & Briscoe, D.A. 2002. Introduction to Conservation Genetics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Fu, Y.X. 1997. Statistical tests of neutrality against population growth, hitchhiking and background selection. *Genetics* 147: 915-925.
- García-Machado, E., Robainas, A., Espinosa, G., Oliva, M., Páez, J., Verdecia, N. & Monnerot, M. 2001. Allozyme and mitochondrial DNA variation in Cuban populations of the shrimp *Farfantepenaeus notialis* (Crustacea: Decapoda). *Marine Biology* 138(4): 701-707.
- Gascuel, O. 1997. BIONJ: An improved version of the NJ algorithm based on a simple model of sequence data. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 14(7): 685-695.
- Geyh, M.A., Steif, H. & Kudrass, H.R. 1979. Sea-level changes during the late Pleistocene and Holocene in the Strait of Malacca. *Nature* 278(5703): 441-443.
- Grant, W.S. & Bowen, B.W. 1998. Shallow population in deep evolutionary lineages of marine fishes: Insights from sardines and anchovies and lessons for conservation. *Journal of Heredity* 89(5): 415-426.
- Guindon, S., Lethiec, F., Duroux, P. & Gascuel, O. 2005. PHYML Online - a web server for fast maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic inference. *Nucleic Acids Research* 33(Suppl 2): W557-W559.
- Hanamura, Y., Siow, R. & Chee, P.E. 2007. Abundance and spatio temporal distributions of hyper benthic crustaceans in the Merbok and Matang mangroves estuaries, Malaysia. In Sustainable Production Systems of Aquatic Animals in Brackish Mangrove Areas (2005), edited by Nakamura K. Japan International Research Center for Agriculture Sciences (JIRCAS).
- Hanebuth, T., Stattegger, K. & Grootes, P.M. 2000. Rapid flooding of the Sunda Shelf: A late-glacial sea-level record. *Science* 288(5468): 1033-1035.
- Harpending, H.C. 1994. Signature of ancient population growth in a low-resolution mitochondrial DNA mismatch distribution. *Human Biology* 66(4): 591-600.
- Harrison, M.K. & Crespi, B.J. 1999. Phylogenetics of cancer crabs (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 12(2): 186-199.
- Hart, M.W. & Sunday, J. 2007. Things fall apart: Biological species form unconnected parsimony networks. *Biology Letters* 3(5): 509-512.
- Hebert, P.D.N., Cywinska, A., Ball, S.L. & deWaard, J.R. 2003. Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society London B* 270(1512): 313-321.
- Holthuis, L.B. 1980. FAO Species Catalogue Vol. 1. Shrimps and Prawns of the World: An Annotated Catalogue of Species of Interest to Fisheries. Rome: FAO Fisheries Synopsis. 125(1): 62-67.
- Hualkasin, W., Sirimontaporn, P., Chotigeat, W., Querci, J. & Phongdara, A. 2003. Molecular phylogenetic analysis of white prawns species and the existence of two clades in

Penaeus merguiensis. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 296(1): 1-11.

- Hudson, R.R. 1990. Gene genealogies and the coalescent process. Oxford Surveys in Evolutionary Biology 7: 1-44.
- Huelsenbeck, J.P. & Ronquist, F. 2001. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 17(8): 754-755.
- Hurvich, C.M. & Tsai, C.L. 1989. Regression and time series model selection in small samples. *Biometrika* 76(2): 297-307.
- Jarvis, J.P., Luedeman, J.K. & Shier, D.R. 1983. Comments on computing the similarity of binary trees. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 100(3): 427-433.
- Job, S., Buu, D. & Vincent, A. 2006. Growth and survival of the tiger tail seahorse, *Hippocampus comes. Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* 37(3): 322-327.
- Jorde, L.B., Watkins, W.S. & Bamshad, M.J. 2001. Population genomics: A bridge from evolutionary history to genetic medicine. *Human Molecular Genetics* 10(20): 2199-2207.
- Khamnamtong, B., Klinbunga, S. & Menasveta, P. 2009. Genetic diversity and geographic differentiation of the giant tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) in Thailand analyzed by mitochondrial *COI* sequences. *Biochemical Genetics* 47(1-2): 42-55.
- Kimura, M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 16(2): 111-120.
- Knowlton, N. 1986. Cryptic and sibling species among the decapod crustacea. *Journal of Crustacean Biology* 6(3): 356-363.
- Kong, X.Y., Li, Y.L., Shi, W. & Kong, J. 2010. Genetic variation and evolutionary demography of *Fenneropenaeus chinensis* populations, as revealed by the analysis of mitochondrial control region sequences. *Genetics and Molecular Biology* 33(2): 379-389.
- Lai, J.C.Y., Ng, P.K.L. & Davie, P.J.F. 2010. A revision of the Portunus pelagicus (Linnaeus, 1758) species complex (Crustacea: Brachyura: Portunidae), with the recognition of four species. The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology 58(2): 199-237.
- Li, Y.L., Kong, X.Y., Yu, Z.N., Kong, J., Ma, S. & Chen, L.M. 2009. Genetic diversity and historical demography of Chinese shrimp *Fenneropenaeus chinensis* in Yellow Sea and Bohai Sea based on mitochondrial DNA analysis. *African Journal* of *Biotechnology* 8(7): 1193-1202.
- Librado, P. & Rozas, J. 2009. DnaSP v5: A software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics* 25(11): 1451-1452.
- Liu, S.Y., Kokita, T. & Dai, C.F. 2008. Population genetic structure of the neon damselfish (*Pomacentrus coelestis*) in the northwestern Pacific Ocean. *Marine Biology* 154(4): 745-753.
- Longhurst, A.R. 1970. Crustacean resources. In *The Fish Resources of the Oceans*, edited by Gulland, J.A. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper No. 97. Rome: FAO. pp. 252-305.
- Machordom, A. & Macpherson, E. 2004. Rapid radiation and cryptic speciation in squat lobsters of the genus *Munida* (Crustacea, Decapoda) and related genera in the South West Pacific: Molecular and morphological evidence. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 33(2): 259-279.
- Macpherson, E. & Machordom, A. 2001. Phylogenetic relationships of species of *Raymunida* (Decapoda: Glatheidae) based on morphology and mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase sequences, with the recognition of four new species. *Journal* of Crustacean Biology 21(3): 696-714.

- Maggio, T., Lo Brutto, S., Cannas, R., Deiana, A.M. & Arculeo, M. 2009. Environmental features of deep-sea habitats linked to the genetic population structure of a crustacean species in the Mediterranean Sea. *Marine Ecology* 30(3): 354-365.
- Maggioni, R., Rogers, A.D., Maclean, N. & D'Incao, F. 2001. Molecular phylogeny of western atlantic *Farfantepenaeus* and *Litopenaeus* shrimp based on mitochondrial 16S partial sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 18(1): 66-73.
- Mantel, N. 1967. The detection of disease clustering and a generalised regression approach. *Cancer Research* 27: 209-220.
- Mathews, L.M., Schubart, C.D., Neigel, J.E. & Felder, D.L. 2002. Genetic, ecological, and behavioural divergence between two sibling snapping shrimp species (Crustacea: Decapoda: *Alpheus*). *Molecular Ecology* 11(8): 1427-1437.
- Meyran, J.C., Monnerot, M. & Taberlet, P. 1997. Taxonmic status and phylogenetic relationships of some species of the genus *Gammarus* (Crustacea, Amphipoda) deduced from mitochondrial DNA sequence. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 8(1): 1-10.
- Nei, M. 1987. *Molecular Evolutionary Genetics*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Oh, S.Y., Arshad, A., Japar, S.B., Nor Azwady, A.A. & Amin, S.M.N. 2011. Diet composition of sergestid shrimp Acetes serrulatus from the coastal waters of Kukup, Johor, Malaysia. Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 6(7): 809-815.
- Oh, S.Y., Arshad, A., Pang, S.P. & Amin, S.N. 2010. Catch composition of estuarine set bag net fishery in the coastal area of Pontian, Johor, Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Biological Sciences* 10(3): 247-250.
- Omori, M. 1978. Zooplankton fisheries of the world: a review. *Marine Biology* 48(3): 199-205.
- Omori, M. 1975. The Systematics, Biogeography, and Fishery of Epipelagic Shrimps of the Genus Acetes (Crustacea, Decapoda, Sergestidae). Tokyo, Japan: Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo.
- Page, R.D.M. 1996. Tree view: An application to display phylogenetic trees on personal computers. *Computer Applications in the Biosciences* 12(4): 357-358.
- Pathansali, D. 1966. Acetes (Sergestidae) from Malay Peninsula. Bulletin of the National Museum Singapore 33(8): 59-63.
- Pellerito, R., Arculeo, M. & Bonhomme, F. 2009. Recent expansion of Northeast Atlantic and Mediterranean populations of *Melicertus (Penaeus) kerathurus* (Crustacea: Decapoda). *Fisheries Science* 75(5): 1089-1095.
- Pfeiler, E., Hurtado, L.A., Knowles, L.L., Torre-Cosío, J., Bourillón-Moreno, L., Márquez-Farías, J.F. & Montemayor-Lpoez, G. 2005. Population genetics of the swimming crab *Callinectes bellicosus* (Brachyura: Portunidae) from the eastern Pacific Ocean. *Marine Biology* 146(3): 559-569.
- Pfenninger, M. & Schwenk, K. 2007. Cryptic animal species are homogenously distributed among taxa and biogeographical regions. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 7: 121-126.
- Pillians, B., Chappell, J. & Naish, T.R. 1998. A review of the Milankovitch climatic beat: Template for Plio-Pleistocene sea-level changes and sequence statigraphy. *Sedimentary Geology* 122(1-4): 5-21.
- Posada, D. 2009. Selection of models of DNA evolution with jModelTest. In *Bioinformatic Analysis of DNA Sequences*, edited by Posada, D. New Jersey: Human Press. pp. 93-112.
- Posada, D. 2008. jModelTest: Phylogenetic model averaging. Molecular Biology and Evolution 25(7): 1253-1256.

- Quan, J., Zhuang, Z., Deng, J., Dai, J. & Zhang, Y.P. 2004. Phylogenetic relationships of 12 Penaeoidea shrimp species deduced from mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Biochemical Genetics* 42(9): 331-345.
- Ramos-Onsins, S.E. & Rozas, J. 2002. Statistical properties of new neutrality tests against population growth. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 19(12): 2092-2100.
- Rand, D.M. 1996. Neutrality tests of molecular markers and connection between DNA polymorphism, demography, and conservation biology. *Conservation Biology* 10(2): 665-671.
- Rogers, A.R. 1995. Genetic evidence for a Pleistocene population explosion. *Evolution* 49(4): 608-615.
- Rogers, A.R. & Harpending, H.C. 1992. Population growth makes waves in the distribution of pairwise genetic differences. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 9: 552-569.
- Roldán, M.I., Heras, S., Patellani, R. & Maltagliati, F. 2009. Analysis of genetic structure of red shrimp *Aristeus antennatus* from the Western Mediterranean employing two mitochondrial regions. *Genetica* 136(1): 1-4.
- Ronquist, F. & Huelsenbeck, J.P. 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19(12): 1572-1574.
- Saiki, R., Gelfand, D., Stoffel, S., Scharf, S., Higuchi, R., Horn, G.T., Mullis, K.B. & Erlich, H.A. 1988. Primer-directed enzymatic amplification of DNA with a thermostable DNA polymerase. *Science* 239(4839): 487-491.
- Saitou, N. & Nei, M. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 4(4): 406-425.
- Schneider, S. & Excoffier, L. 1999. Estimation of past demographic parameters from the distribution of pairwise differences when mutation rates vary among sites: Application to human mitochondrial DNA. *Genetics* 152(3): 1079-1089.
- Slatkin, M. & Hudson, R.R. 1991. Pairwise comparison of mitochondrial DNA sequences in stable and exponentially growing populations. *Genetics* 129(2): 555-562.
- Song, H., Buhay, J.E., Whiting, M.F. & Crandall, K.A. 2008. Many species in one: DNA barcoding overestimates the number of species when nuclear mitochondrial pseudogenes are coamplified. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 105(36): 13486-13491.
- Stockley, B., Menezes, G., Pinho, M.R. & Rogers, A.D. 2005. Genetic population structure in the black-spot sea bream (*Pagellus bogaraveo* Brűnnich, 1768) from the NE Atlantic. *Marine Biology* 146(4): 793-804.
- Sugiura, N. 1978. Further analysts of the data by Akaike's information criterion and the finite corrections. *Communications in Statistics, Theory and Methods* A7(1): 13-26.
- Swofford, D. 2002. *PAUP**. *Phylogenetic Analysis using Parsimony (*and other methods)*. Version 4. Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates.
- Tajima, F. 1989. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. *Genetics* 123: 585-595.
- Tamura, K., Dudley, J., Nei, M. & Kumar, S. 2007. MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) Software Version 4.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 24(8): 1596-1599.
- Templeton, A.R., Crandall, K.A. & Sing, C.F. 1992. A cladistic analysis of phenotypic associations with haplotypes inferred from restriction endonuclease mapping and DNA sequence data. III. Cladogram estimation. *Genetics* 132(2): 619-633.

- Thorpe, J.P., Solé-Cava, A.M. & Watts, P.C. 2000. Exploited marine invertebrates: Genetics and fisheries. *Hydrobiologia* 420(1): 165-184.
- Tong, J.G., Chan, T.Y. & Chu, K.H. 2000. A preliminary phylogenetic analysis of *Metapenaeopsis* (Decapoda: Penaeidae) based on mitochondrial DNA sequences of selected species from the Indo-West Pacific. *Journal of Crustacean Biology* 20(3): 541-549.
- Trontelj, P., Machino, Y. & Sket, B. 2005. Phylogenetic and phylogeographic relationships in the crayfish genus *Austropotamobius* inferred from mitochondrial COI gene sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 34(1): 212-226.
- Tsoi, K.H., Chan, T.Y. & Chu, K.H. 2007. Molecular population structure of the kuruma shrimp *Penaeus japonicus* species complex in western Pacific. *Marine Biology* 150(6): 1345-1364.
- Tsoi, K.H., Wang, Z.Y. & Chu, K.H. 2005. Genetic divergence between two morphologically similar varieties of the kuruma shrimp *Penaeus japonicus*. *Marine Biology* 147(2): 367-379.
- Voris, H.K. 2000. Maps of Pleistocene sea levels in Southeast Asia: Shorelines, river systems and time durations. *Journal* of Biogeography 27: 1153-1167.
- Ward, R.D. 2000. Genetics in fisheries management. *Hydrobiologia* 420(1): 191-201.
- Ward, R.D. & Grewe, P.M. 1994. Appraisal of molecular genetic techniques in fisheries. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 4(3): 300-325.
- Weir, B.S. & Cockerham, C. 1984. Estimating F statistics for the analysis of population structure. *Evolution* 38(6): 1358-1370.
- Williams, S.T. & Knowlton, N. 2001. Mitochondrial pseudogenes are pervasive and often insidious in the snapping shrimp genus *Alpheus*. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 18(8): 1484-1493.
- Williams, S.T., Jara, J., Gomez, E. & Knowlton, N. 2002. The marine Indo-West Pacific break: Contrasting the resolving power of mitochondrial and nuclear genes. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 42(5): 941-952.
- Williams, S.T., Knowlton, N., Weigt, L.A. & Jara, J.A. 2001. Evidence for three major clades within the snapping shrimp genus *Alpheus* inferred from nuclear and mitochondrial gene sequence data. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 20(3): 375-389.
- Wong, B.Y. 2013. Genetic diversity and morphometric characterization of *Acetes* (Decapoda: Sergestidae) collected from the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia. Master of Science dissertation, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Malaysia (Unpublished). (http://eprints.utar.edu.my/802/).
- Wong, B.Y., Ong, H.K.A. & Khoo, G. 2015. Length-weight relationships of *Acetes* spp. sampled along the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia. *Sains Malaysiana* 44(3): 379-386.
- Xiao, Y. & Greenwood, J.G. 1993. The biology of Acetes (Crustacea: Sergestidae). In Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review, Vol. 31, edited by Ansell, A.D., Gibson, R.N. & Barnes, M. London: UCL Press.
- Zhang, D.X. & Hewitt, G.M. 1996. Nuclear integrations: Challenges for mitochondrial DNA markers. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 11(6): 247-251.
- Zitari-Chatti, R., Chatti, N., Fulgione, D., Caiazza, I., Aprea, G., Elouaer, A., Said, K. & Capriglione, T. 2009. Mitochondrial DNA variation in the caramote prawn *Penaeus (Melicertus) kerathurus* across a transition zone in the Mediterranean Sea. *Genetica* 136(3): 439-447.

Boon Yee Wong Faculty of Engineering and Science Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman Jalan Genting Klang, Setapak 53300 Kuala Lumpur, Federal Territory Malaysia

Taranjeet Kaur Awtar Singh & Gideon Khoo Faculty of Science Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman Jalan Universiti, Bandar Barat 31900 Kampar, Perak Darul Ridzuan Malaysia Han Kiat Alan Ong* Department of Pre-clinical Sciences Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman Jalan Sungai Long, Bandar Sungai Long, Cheras 43000 Kajang, Selangor Darul Ehsan Malaysia

*Corresponding author; email: onghk@utar.edu.my

Received: 5 November 2016 Accepted: 31 May 2017

2416