### Jurnal Pengurusan 63(2021) 31 – 49 https://doi.org/10.17576/pengurusan-2021-63-03

# Information Asymmetry and Industry 4.0 among Small and Medium Enterprise (SME) in Malaysian Halal Industry

(Informasi Asimetri dan Industri 4.0 di kalangan Perusahaan Kecil dan Sederhana (PKS) Industri Halal Malaysia)

Ng Suat Thing
Mohd Hasimi Yaacob
Norazlan Alias
(Faculty Economics and Management, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia)

#### ABSTRACT

This study attempts to investigate the participant(s) halal industry information asymmetry issues and their intention, if any, to mitigate it. Additionally, this study also investigates the moderating effects of their perceptions towards industry 4.0 adoption and their intentions of using industry 4.0 to mitigate information asymmetry. This research is exploratory research. We adapted the questionnaire based on "Agency Theory and the Technology Acceptance Model" by combining management and technology literature into single-sourced literature. The research using the SmartPLS software "Partial Least Squares (PLS)" modelling and the 2-step approach to test the model development. The concepts of private information, hidden information, and the lack of perfect information have facilitated these companies' top management intentions to mitigate these information asymmetry issues. The results also show that the top management of halal industry has the intention to reduce information asymmetry through industry 4.0 adoption as they perceived industry 4.0 is useful, easy to use, is cost affordable, and provides a high system and content quality.

Keywords: Information asymmetry; industry 4.0; blockchain; small and medium enterprises; corporate governance; hoarding information

#### ABSTRAK

Kajian ini bertujuan mengkaji masalah informasi asimetri yang sedang dihadapi oleh industri halal Malaysia, serta niat mereka untuk menyelesaikan masalah tersebut. Selain itu, kajian ini juga menyiasat persepsi mereka terhadap penggunaan industri 4.0 sebagai moderator dalam hubungan masalah informasi asimetri yang telah dihadapi dan niat mereka untuk menyelesaikan masalah tersebut. Kajian penerokaan ini menggabungkan daripada teori Agensi dan Model Penerimaan Teknologi dalam pembinaan soal selidik. data yang dikumpulkan dianalisasi menggunakan perisian SmartPLS. Konsep informasi peribadi, informasi tersembunti, dan kekurangan informasi yang berguna telah meningkatkan niat pengurusan atasan dalam industri halal untuk menyelesaikan masalah informasi asimetri yang mereka dihadapi. Hasil kajian juga menunjukkan bahawa pengurusan atasan berniat untuk menyelesaikan masalah informasi asimetri melalui penggunaan industri 4.0, apabila mereka menganggap industri 4.0 mendatangkan pelbagai manfaat. Antaranya adalah kebergunaan, kemudahan untuk digunakan, kos yang berpatutan dan meningkatkan sistem kualiti dan kandungan yang bermaklumat tinggi.

Kata kunci: Informasi asimetri; industri 4.0; blok rantai; perusahaan kecil dan sederhana; tadbir urus korporat; penimbuhan maklumat

### INTRODUCTION

Malaysia has a comprehensive halal ecosystem, and this advantage the nation to position itself as the halal hub in the Asian region and the rest of the world. The Malaysia External Trade Development Corp (Matrade) reports that Malaysia was ranked No.1 in the halal economy during 2019. Furthermore, Malaysia emerged as the highest in the annual Global Islamic Economic Indicator (GIEI) 2020/21 (Malaysia Halal Industry Report 2020). Based on HDC's proprietary data sources and analysis for 2020, in terms of bilateral trade, Singapore emerged as Malaysia's biggest importer of halal products in 2020, with a total export value of RM4.10 billion, compared with our

neighbours, Thailand (RM1.48 billion) and Indonesia (RM1.34 billion). These statistics show that even during the covid pandemic, Malaysia achieved RM30.5 billion for the whole of 2020. Therefore, we concluded Halal industry is a vital contributor to Malaysia's economy. According to Halal Development Corporation (HDC), the government acknowledges the importance of the halal industry and hopes to increase from 7,000 to 70,000 SMEs in halal-promoted businesses because increasing the number of companies will increase the number of exporters (Ayob & Dana 2017).

However, prior studies found that the halal industry faces many challenges, such as halal issues in Malaysia (Sharif & Ghani 2019; Ali et al. 2016). According to

JP 63.indd 31 18/1/2022 12:59:15 PM

Halal Hub Division JAKIM (2017), the veracity of information shared on social media, standardisation, coordination, and irresponsible halal industrial parties receiving late input and dealing with new viral concerns for personal gain are among the issues. All these halal issues relate to information asymmetries, such as the concept of different information and hidden information. Prior studies declared that the halal issue caused by information asymmetry is becoming uncontrollable in Malaysia, and become quite difficult for the government to contain, especially JAKIM (Sharif & Ghani 2019). Besides that, another challenge facing the halal industry is they are unable to meet the demands of the global halal market. The CEO Matrade, explained the reason of unable to meet the demands are due to there is about 98% of the halal industry facing information asymmetry (lack of perfect information) regarding investments, skills, global alignment, and rationalisations of halal standards. Now, Matrade is working with SIRIM Berhad to assist the halal industries to meet the demands of the global market. HDC and Bank Islam also launch RM100 Million GO Halal Fund to assist Halal SMEs. Therefore, we concluded that the government acknowledged the existence of information asymmetry in the halal industry, and they are ramping out efforts to solve these challenges (Ayob et al. 2016).

To mitigate the challenges mentioned earlier, HDC plans to capitalise on the immediate need for SMEs to transform their businesses online by employing technology and Industry 4.0 (IR4). The disruptive technologies of IR4, such as blockchain and the Internet of Things (IoT), can solve the challenges faced by the halal industry and add value to the overall halal ecosystem. The definition of IR4 is based on the integration and interconnected systems through the actions of data volume use and the emergence of analytics and business-intelligence capabilities. It will generate new forms of human-machine interaction and improvements in transferring digital instructions to the physical world to bring a new value in the organisational value-chain and management across the product lifecycle (Baur & Wee 2015; Kagermann 2013). There is a correlation between IR4 and the halal industry. Prior studies emphasised blockchain mitigates information asymmetry by introduces a new way of governing groups in a decentralised manner (Shermin 2017; O'Leary 2017). IR4 will disrupt the traditional governance structures by reducing the transaction costs and agency problem of moral hazard (Ayob et al. 2021; Tapscott & Tapscott 2016; Shermin 2017). Besides that, some researchers explained that IR4 decentralises the corporate governance structure, and the disclosed information would have high transparency, is traceable, and is tamper-proof (Ayob et al. 2021; Yu et al. 2018). Therefore, HDC declared they would invest in IR4 technology that helps to address the challenges mentioned earlier (information asymmetry), and realized the objectives of increasing the quantities of halal industries to transforming them into digitalization industries. The government hopes that by investing in IR4, the halal industry will understand it is a priority to gain business intelligence, information, and knowledge. The action of investing in technology to helps the halal industry is fulfills the Malaysia Digital Economy Blueprint. This 10-year road map aims to transform Malaysia into a digitally-driven, high-income nation and a regional leader in the digital economy.

As mentioned above, the Malaysian government is investing in IR4 to the digitised halal industry should mitigate the information asymmetry problem and improve them to meet the global demand markets. However, despite the effort of the Malaysian government, the adoption rate of IR4 is relatively slow. According to Penang Skills Development Centre (PSDC), only about 15 to 20 percent of SMEs, including the halal industry adopting IR4. FNM-Malaysian Institute of Economic Research Business Conditions (2016) revealed low awareness of IR4 among SMEs, including the halal industry. The Malaysian government announced that out of 5000 SMEs, including the halal industry, only 500 companies intend to adopt IR4. Prior studies proved that the main reason for the slow adoption of IR4 is the lack of perfect information and having different information on how the adoption of technologies could enhance the operation of the halal industry and benefit them in the long term (Rusly et al. 2020). The halal industry having the slow adoption of IR4 is related to information asymmetry. As explained by Rusly et al. (2020), SMEs lack perfect information and have different information on the adoption of IR4. Meanwhile, they are less intended to adopt technologies due to a lack of a digital mindset. Therefore, the slow adoption of IR4 indicates that the halal industry faces a hurdle to move towards digitalising their businesses. Hence, the objectives of increasing halal industries' quantities and transforming them into the digitalisation industry are hard to achieve.

The prior studies indicated that information asymmetry and their intention is the main reason to cause slow adoption of IR4 among the halal industry. According to Bergh et al. (2019), information asymmetry is classified into five concepts: private information, different information, hidden information, lack of perfect information, and informational impactedness. While research in the adoption of IR4 among the halal industry is gaining increased attention, however research gaps still exist. The lack of empirical studies on how information asymmetry exists in the halal industry caused the challenges mentioned earlier. This creates a research opportunity to fill in the research gaps on exploring how's the information asymmetry (the concepts of private information, different information, hidden information, lack of perfect information, and informational impactedness) exist in the halal industry and their intention of mitigating it by adopting IR4. Besides that, we intended to shade some of the Malaysian government concerns mentioned above, investigating the moderator role of adoption IR4 (perspectives towards

JP 63.indd 32 18/1/2022 12:59:15 PM

adoption IR4) in the relationship between information asymmetry and their intention of mitigating information asymmetry in the halal industry.

# LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT

# INFORMATION ASYMMETRY AND THE INTENTION OF ADOPTING IR4 TO MITIGATING INFORMATION ASYMMETRY

Information asymmetry pertains to incongruence information between one party and another party in a relationship (Akerlof 1970). Bergh et al. (2019) defines a new conceptual framework of information asymmetry, which including the concepts of private information, different information, hidden information, lack of perfect information, and informational impactedness. We adopted bergh's conceptual framework to study the information asymmetry exist in the halal industry. Information asymmetry generally related with agency theory. Agency theory explains the relationship between principal and agent, which the principal has employed the agent to act on their behalf (Mahaney & Lederer 2003). However, the cooperating parties do not inevitably share the same interest (Eisenhardt 1989). Therefore, the agent tends to gain better information than the principal and this provides an opportunity for the informationrich party to engage with self-serving benefits (Schieg 2008). Hence, when one party gains more or better information than another within this, they will engage with self-serving interests or activities, this problem known as information asymmetry (Akerlof 1970). In accordance with agency theory, the halal industry will withhold discretionary intention and behaviors in the form of mitigating information asymmetry when they perceived information asymmetry is an opportunity to advantages them. On the contrary, if the halal industry treats information asymmetry as a severe issue (lack of involvement into the concept of private information, different information, hidden information, lack of perfect information and informational impactedness) in their organization, they will intend and engage in mitigating information asymmetry by adopting IR4. Thus, we hypothesized that:

H<sub>1</sub> The information asymmetry faced by the halal industry is positively related to the intention of mitigating information asymmetry.

# PRIVATE INFORMATION AND THE INTENTION OF MITIGATING INFORMATION ASYMMETRY

Information asymmetry often conceptualized as a piece of information which top management treat it proprietary, be legally protected, and not to be claimed for reporting purpose (Bergh et al. 2019). Private information perceived as the information which top

managements need to withhold in accordance to follow the organizations standard of procedure and confidential issues. The private information creates an opportunity for the top management to have better performance than their competitors and secure their position. Simply put, the top management's intention of mitigating information asymmetry will be low if they perceived private information can achieve personal benefits. On the contrary, if the top management treat private information as a serious issue, then they will tend to have high intention of mitigating information asymmetry and will opt for sharing private information to their subordinate to improve corporate performance.

H<sub>1a</sub> The concept of private information is positively related to the intention of mitigating information asymmetry.

# DIFFERENT INFORMATION AND THE INTENTION OF MITIGATING INFORMATION ASYMMETRY

Information asymmetry can be perceived as a condition wherein different understanding regarding information within different people (Bergh et al. 2019). The top management tends to hide and provide different information for personal benefits or to realized organization's goals. The top management choose to share different information intentionally as they do not want the relevant, useful information helps their colleagues to get a promotion. Besides, some of the top management twist the facts to suit their own needs or often leave out pertinent information when communicating with their colleagues. Therefore, we know that the top management will involve themselves in sharing different information for competitive advantages. Thus, they will have a low intention on mitigating information asymmetry. However, being ethically became a critical core value to the top management in the organization, especially in the situation of most of the top management had higher education qualifications and good moral value. Hence, the ethical top managements are encouraged to share full information to the colleagues. Therefore, we could conclude that they have higher intention on mitigating information asymmetry.

 ${
m H_{1b}}$  The concept of different information is positively related to the intention of top management on mitigating information asymmetry.

# HIDDEN INFORMATION AND THE INTENTION OF MITIGATING INFORMATION ASYMMETRY

Hidden information tends to exist in a horizontal and vertical organization, wherein this application often leads to pre- or post- opportunism (Bergh et al. 2019). The top managements intentionally hide the useful information for personal benefits with expecting something. For instance, the top management only willing to share useful

information with their colleagues when they able to get some rewards such as increment, bonus or promotion. Besides, some relationship-oriented or people-oriented leaders only willing to share useful information when they perceive sharing information will improve the sense of self-worth (Fullwood et al. 2013; Lin 2007). Therefore, we can conclude that hidden information generally leads the top management involve in the moral hazards, which also serve as signal of low intention on reducing information asymmetry (Vanhaverbeke et al. 2002). Thus, we have proposed hypothesis as below.

H<sub>1c</sub> The concept of hidden information is positively related to the intention of mitigating information asymmetry.

# LACK OF PERFECT INFROMATION AND THE INTENTION OF MITIGATING INFORMATION ASYMMETRY

The concept of lack of perfect information depicts how top management in a relationship navigate their lack of perfect information with another party (Bergh et al. 2019). Prior studies examined that the top management need more information and advice from colleagues to make a decision and perform their job well. However, some of the top management able to deal with imperfect or incomplete information to do decision making based on their experience (Van der Vegt et al. 2000; Lin & Lee 2004). Meanwhile, some of the team-oriented top management encourage the employees to share information and knowledge with colleagues and discuss with each other about work-related issues (Van der Vegt et al. 2000). Hence, it enables to make a better decision and achieve organization goals. Simply put, in the situation of lack of perfect information, the top management who put the priority on their organization will have the higher intention of mitigating information asymmetry. On the contrary, the self-oriented top management will have a low intention of mitigating information asymmetry for the purpose of fulfil their benefits.

H<sub>1d</sub> The concept of lack of perfect information is positively related to the intention of mitigating information asymmetry.

# INFORMATIONAL IMPACTEDNESS AND THE INTENTION OF MITIGATING INFORMATION ASYMMETRY

Informational Impactedness defines as a resolution to act when the top leadership in the organizations facing the problem of lack of perfect information. Prior studies demonstrated that some organizations would take advantages of superior data and hence forcing honest organizations to leave the market due to the problem of lack of perfect information (Williamson 1975). However, prior study argues that the issue of lack of perfect information will compel the top managements in the organization choose to an alliance with others in the

organization to gain the useful information (Balakrishnan & Koza 1993). Ultimately, recent studies show the organization will prefer to adopt technology to mitigate the problem of lack of perfect information. Thus, we can perceive the intention of the top management on mitigating information asymmetry from the response of the top management given when facing the problem of lack of perfect information. In conclusion, informational impactedness have a correlation with the intention of mitigating information asymmetry.

H<sub>1e</sub> The concept of informational impactedness is positively related to the intention of mitigating information asymmetry.

#### THE MODERATOR ROLE OF INDUSTRY 4.0

There is no universally adopted definition of IR4 to date. IR4 perceived as a revolution of industries which is the development towards the fourth-stage of industrialization based on the uses of cyber-physical systems. Previous research has also demonstrated that adoption of IR4 decreases the adverse effects of work-related consequences including fraud and information asymmetry; further improves corporate performance and sustainable industrial (e.g., Yin & Kaynak 2015; Tonelli et al. 2016). The trend of IR4 had gained enormous attention from researchers. Although the concept of IR4 has been examined explicitly, to the best of our knowledge, there are lacked studies demonstrate that IR4 moderate the relationship between information asymmetry and work-related outcomes.

According to the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), the factors of perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, perceives system quality, perceived content quality, and perceived cost will influences the individual's intention to use a new technology (Davis 1989). Prior studies emphasize that perceived usefulness is perceived as "the degree to which a person believes that using a particular system would enhance their job performance" (Davis 1989). In contrast, perceived ease of use defines as "the degree to which a person believes that using a particular system would be free of effort" (Davis 1989). Besides that, perceived system quality refers as "the perception of the users about the technical performance of the system in the form information retrieval and delivery" (Teo et al. 2008; Chandra et al. 2015) meanwhile perceived content quality is defined as users' perception of quality of information (accurate, valid, and timely information) presented on a particular system (Teo et al. 2008; Chandra et al. 2015). Ultimately, perceived cost defines as "the unit cost which a consumer thinks they incurs by undertaking a particular system" (Neuburger 1971). This five factors serves as a useful general framework and is consistent with several investigations into the factors that influence the older adult's intention to use new technology (Braun 2013). Drawing on the TAM, IR4 contains some primary factors

JP 63.indd 34 18/1/2022 12:59:15 PM

which will affect an individual's intention to use modern technology and further reflect work-related outcomes. We argue that when organization player adopts IR4, they are more intent to mitigate information asymmetry problems to improve corporate performance. For instance, it can be improve by enable the open information transaction, decentralized governance and provide faithfulness of financial reporting (e.g. O'Leary 2017; O'Leary 2018; Reinsberg 2018).

In other words, the top management in the organization who adopted IR4 technology may perceive the information asymmetry problems are serious as an anomaly which reflects their intention of mitigating information asymmetry problems. Hence, the information asymmetry issues faced by the top management is more likely to influence the adoption of IR4. Furthermore, the adoption of IR4 will positively impact the intention of the top management of mitigating information asymmetry. In conclusion, IR4 moderate the linkage between information asymmetry faced by the halal industry and their intention of adopting IR4 to mitigating information asymmetry.

H<sub>2</sub> Industry 4.0 positively moderating the linkage between information asymmetry faced by the top management and their intention of mitigating this information asymmetry issue.

### METHODOLOGY

This study focused on the top management of the halal industry Malaysia under the Halal Industry Development Corporation (HDC). The top management tends to involve themselves in managing information. For instance, the top management is the first person who receives information from the horizontal and vertical organization. Then, they will share the received information by sending it to subordinates. Besides, the information is important to them in the decision-making process. This study was conducted using cluster random sampling, which randomly choose the halal industries are Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) located in the Selangor state. The questionnaire, which was adapted from prior studies (e.g., Demirkasimoglu 2016; Singh 2019; Serenko & Bontis 2016; Fullwood et al. 2013; Lin 2007; Van der Vegt et al. 2000; Lin & Lee 2004; and Bergh et al. 2019) is divided into four sections, from Sections A to D. Section A consists of questions about demographic background, such as gender, age, ethic, education level, position, business model, sales turnover, and employment rate. Section B examines the issues of information asymmetry faced by the top management in the halal industry Malaysia. These issues are divided into several dimensions: private information, different information, hidden information, lack of perfect information and informational impactedness. Section C investigate the moderator effect of IR4. The

factors are including perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, perceives system quality, perceived content quality, and perceived cost. Section D investigate the top management's intention of mitigating information asymmetry by adopting IR4. The questions in Section B and Section D were measured through 5-point Likerttype rating scale. A total of 100 questionnaire were distributed through walk in, approaching the secretary of the halal industry to make an appointment, or email the questionnaire to the top management of the halal industry. We distributed more than 200 questionnaires, but we only able to collect 100 respondents. This study used partial least squares (PLS) modeling using the Smart PLS 3.2.8 version (Ringle et al. 2005) as the statistical tool to examine the measurement and structural model. The data analysis and results will be discussed in the following section.

#### **RESULTS**

The sample consists of 100 respondents. When we investigate the demographic background of the respondents, almost all the respondents are manager (81%), and others are the director (19%). Only 7 percent of the respondents have master's degree, majority of the respondents have a bachelor's degree (45%) and remaining have diploma (34%) or other qualification certified (14%). Majority of the respondents work in the current position more than seven years (31%), following 1 to 3 years (30%), other respondents are work between 4 to 7 years (28%) and less than a year (11%). The respondents are all from SMEs Halal industry, including the manufacturing sector, and service and others sector. From the perspective of the manufacturing sector, 21 percent have the sales turnover between RM300,000< RM15 mil and only 7 percent have the sales turnover between RM15 mil < RM50 mil; 17 percent of the respondents have the employment rate from 5 to < 75 and 11 percent of the respondents have the employment rate from 75 to <200. From the perspective of the service and others sector, 60 percent have the sales turnover between RM300,000< RM3 mil and only 12 percent have the sales turnover between RM3 mil < RM20 mil; 60 percent of the respondents have the employment rate from 5 to < 30 and 12 percent of the respondents have the employment rate From 30 to <75. More than half of the respondents have more than one location (68%) and only have one location (32%). Almost 75 percent of the respondents doing online business and 25 percent of the respondents no doing online business. Finally, 56 percent of the respondents serving business to both consumer and business, 36 percent of the respondents serving business to consumer and 8 percent only serving to business.

The data of information asymmetry, adoption of IR4 and the intention of mitigating information asymmetry in the research questionnaire was collected using a single source. Thus, we first tested the issue of Common

TABLE 1. Full collinearity testing

PI	DI	HI	LPI	II	I
1.266	1.539	2.366	1.805	1.594	1.741

Note: PI= Private Information, DI= Different Information, HI= Hidden Information, LPI= Lack of Perfect Information, II= Informational Impactedness, I=Intention of Mitigating Information Asymmetry

Method Bias by following the suggestions of Kock and Lynn (2012), and Kock (2015) by testing the full collinearity. As shown in Table 1, in this method all the variables will be regressed against a common variable and if the VIF≤3.3 then there is no bias from the single source data. The analysis yielded VIF less than 3.3 thus single source bias is not a serious issue with our data.

We followed the suggestions of Anderson and Gerbing (1988) to test the model developed using a 2-step approach. We tested the measurement model to test validity and reliability of the instruments used following the guidelines of Hair et al. (2019) and Ramayah et al. (2018). For the measurement model we assessed the loadings, average variance extracted (AVE) and the composite reliability (CR). The values of loadings should be  $\geq$ 0.5, the AVE should be  $\geq$ 0.5 and the CR should be ≥0.7. As shown in Table 2 and Table 3, the AVEs are higher than 0.5 and the CRs are all higher than 0.708 (Hair et al. 2019). Then in step 2, we assessed the discriminant validity using the HTMT criterion suggested by Henseler et al. (2016) and updated by Franke and Sarstedt (2019). The HTMT values should be ≤0.85 according to the stricter criterion. As shown in Table 4, the values of HTMT were all lower than the stricter criterion of  $\leq 0.85$ as such we can conclude that the respondents understood that the constructs are distinct. Taken together both these validity test has shown that the measurement items are both valid and reliable.

# INFORMATION ASYMMETRY AND THE INTENTION OF MITIGATING INFORMATION ASYMMETRY

We followed the suggestions of Hair et al. (2019) to reported the path coefficients, the standard errors, t-values and p-values for the structural model using a 5,000-sample re-sample bootstrapping procedure (Ramayah et al. 2018). Also based on the criticism of Hahn and Ang (2017) that p-values are not good criterion for testing the significance of hypothesis and suggested to use a combination of criterions such as p-values, confidence intervals and effect sizes.

Table 5 shows the summary of the criterions we have used to test the hypothesis developed. In this study, the direct hypotheses are developed between the constructs. Table 5 illustrated that the standardized estimates for H1 is positive relationship ( $\beta = 0.594$ , p<0.05, t-value  $\geq 1.645$ ). Therefore, H<sub>1</sub> indicated the direct relationship between information asymmetry to the intention of mitigating information asymmetry by adopting technology, was supported. We tested the 5 information asymmetry concepts on the intention of mitigating

TABLE 2. Measurement model for the variable constructs

Private Information         P12 P13 D.765 P14         0.860 O.673           Information         P13 D.765 P14         0.861           Different DI8 DI9 D.864 DI10 DI9 DI10 DI10 DI12 DI11 D.914 DI12 D.833 DI13 DI13 DI13 DI13 DI13 DI14 DI14 DI15 DI14 DI15 DI14 DI15 DI16 DI16 DI16 DI16 DI16 DI16 DI16 DI16	Constructs	Items	Loadings	CR	AVE
P14   0.861	Private	P12	0.833	0.860	0.673
Different   DI8   0.891   0.965   0.799	Information	P13	0.765		
Information		P14	0.861		
DI10   0.925   DI11   0.914   DI12   0.833   DI13   0.952   DI14   0.874	Different	DI8	0.891	0.965	0.799
DI11   0.914     DI12   0.833     DI13   0.952     DI14   0.874     Hidden   HI17   0.907   0.919   0.699     Information   HI18   0.902     HI19   0.913     HI21   0.789     HI22   0.633     Lack of Perfect   LPI23   0.608   0.876   0.590     Information   LPI24   0.648     LPI25   0.881     LPI26   0.816     LPI27   0.848     Informational   II29   0.719   0.842   0.640     Impactedness   II30   0.831     II31   0.845     Intention   I1   0.770   0.885   0.526     I2   0.758     I3   0.734     I4   0.735     I5   0.603     I6   0.646	Information	DI9	0.864		
DI12		DI10	0.925		
DI13   0.952   DI14   0.874		DI11	0.914		
Hidden HI17 0.907 0.919 0.699 Information HI18 0.902 HI19 0.913 HI21 0.789 HI22 0.633  Lack of Perfect LPI23 0.608 0.876 0.590 Information LPI24 0.648 LPI25 0.881 LPI26 0.816 LPI27 0.848  Informational II29 0.719 0.842 0.640 Impactedness II30 0.831 II31 0.845  Intention II 0.770 0.885 0.526 I2 0.758 I3 0.734 I4 0.735 I5 0.603 I6 0.646		DI12	0.833		
Hidden HI17 0.907 0.919 0.699 Information HI18 0.902 HI19 0.913 HI21 0.789 HI22 0.633  Lack of Perfect LPI23 0.608 0.876 0.590 Information LPI24 0.648 LPI25 0.881 LPI26 0.816 LPI27 0.848  Informational II29 0.719 0.842 0.640 Impactedness II30 0.831 II31 0.845  Intention II 0.770 0.885 0.526 I2 0.758 I3 0.734 I4 0.735 I5 0.603 I6 0.646					
Information HI18 0.902 HI19 0.913 HI21 0.789 HI22 0.633  Lack of Perfect LPI23 0.608 0.876 0.590 Information LPI24 0.648 LPI25 0.881 LPI26 0.816 LPI27 0.848  Informational II29 0.719 0.842 0.640 Impactedness II30 0.831 II31 0.845  Intention II 0.770 0.885 0.526 I2 0.758 I3 0.734 I4 0.735 I5 0.603 I6 0.646		DI14	0.874		
HI19 0.913 HI21 0.789 HI22 0.633 Lack of Perfect LPI23 0.608 0.876 0.590 Information LPI24 0.648 LPI25 0.881 LPI26 0.816 LPI27 0.848 Informational II29 0.719 0.842 0.640 Impactedness II30 0.831 II31 0.845 Intention I1 0.770 0.885 0.526 I2 0.758 I3 0.734 I4 0.735 I5 0.603 I6 0.646	Hidden	HI17	0.907	0.919	0.699
HI21   0.789   HI22   0.633	Information	HI18	0.902		
HI22   0.633		HI19	0.913		
Lack of Perfect         LP123         0.608         0.876         0.590           Information         LP124         0.648         0.816         0.826         0.816		HI21	0.789		
Information         LPI24 LPI25 0.881 LPI26 0.816 LPI27 0.848           Informational III29 0.719 Impactedness         0.831 III31 0.845           Intention         11 0.770 0.885 0.526           12 0.758 13 0.734 14 0.735 15 0.603 16 0.646		HI22	0.633		
LPI25   0.881   LPI26   0.816   LPI27   0.848	Lack of Perfect	LPI23	0.608	0.876	0.590
LPI26 0.816 LPI27 0.848  Informational II29 0.719 0.842 0.640 Impactedness II30 0.831 II31 0.845  Intention II 0.770 0.885 0.526 I2 0.758 I3 0.734 I4 0.735 I5 0.603 I6 0.646	Information	LPI24	0.648		
LPI27   0.848		LPI25	0.881		
Informational Impactedness         II29         0.719         0.842         0.640           Impactedness         II30         0.831         0.845         0.845           Intention         I1         0.770         0.885         0.526           I2         0.758         0.734         0.735         0.603           I5         0.603         0.646         0.646		LPI26	0.816		
Impactedness         II30         0.831           II31         0.845           Intention         I1         0.770         0.885         0.526           I2         0.758         13         0.734           I4         0.735         15         0.603           I6         0.646         0.646		LPI27	0.848		
II31 0.845  Intention I1 0.770 0.885 0.526  I2 0.758  I3 0.734  I4 0.735  I5 0.603  I6 0.646	Informational	II29	0.719	0.842	0.640
Intention II 0.770 0.885 0.526 I2 0.758 I3 0.734 I4 0.735 I5 0.603 I6 0.646	Impactedness	II30	0.831		
12 0.758 13 0.734 14 0.735 15 0.603 16 0.646		II31	0.845		
13 0.734 14 0.735 15 0.603 16 0.646	Intention	I1	0.770	0.885	0.526
14 0.735 15 0.603 16 0.646		12	0.758		
15 0.603 16 0.646		13	0.734		
I6 0.646		I4	0.735		
		15	0.603		
17 0.807		I6	0.646		
1/ 0.00/		I7	0.807		

Note: P1, P5, P6, P7, H15, H16, H20 and II28 were deleted due to low loadings.

information asymmetry, the  $R^2$  was 0.885 which shows that all the 5 concepts explained 88.5% of the variance in the intention of mitigating information asymmetry. Private information ( $\beta=0.166,\,p<0.05,\,t\text{-value}\geq1.645$ ), hidden information ( $\beta=0.389,\,p<0.05,\,t\text{-value}\geq1.645$ ) and lack of perfect information ( $\beta=0.199,\,p<0.05,\,t\text{-value}\geq1.645$ ) were positively related to intention of mitigating information asymmetry, thus H1a,  $H_{1c}$  and  $H_{1d}$  were supported. However, different information ( $\beta=-0.037,\,p>0.05,\,t\text{-value}\leq1.645$ ) and informational impactedness ( $\beta=0.117,\,p>0.05,\,t\text{-value}\leq1.645$ ) were negatively related to intention of mitigating information asymmetry, thus H1b and  $H_{1c}$  were not supported.

Overall, the results appeared to partly support the conceptual model of information asymmetry suggested by Bergh et al. (2019) in agency theory. Information

TABLE 3. Measurement model for the moderator construct

Perceived Usefulness PU3 0.902 0.980 0.829  Usefulness PU3 0.921 PU4 0.935 PU5 0.932 PU6 0.854 PU7 0.938 PU8 0.882 PU9 0.923 PU10 0.907 PU11 0.909  Perceived PEU12 0.938 0.971 0.849  Ease of Use PEU13 0.935 PEU14 0.912 PEU15 0.952 PEU16 0.864 PEU17 0.924  Perceived PSQ18 0.889 0.974 0.787  System PSQ19 0.893 Quality PSQ20 0.730 PSQ21 0.903 PSQ21 0.903 PSQ22 0.932 PSQ23 0.921 PSQ24 0.937 PSQ25 0.928 PSQ26 0.867 PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892  Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890  Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955 PC38 0.949	Constructs	Items	Loadings	CR	AVE
PU4 0.935 PU5 0.932 PU6 0.854 PU7 0.938 PU8 0.882 PU9 0.923 PU10 0.907 PU11 0.909  Perceived PEU12 0.938 0.971 0.849  Ease of Use PEU13 0.935 PEU14 0.912 PEU15 0.952 PEU16 0.864 PEU17 0.924  Perceived PSQ18 0.889 0.974 0.787  System PSQ19 0.893 Quality PSQ20 0.730 PSQ21 0.903 PSQ22 0.932 PSQ23 0.921 PSQ24 0.937 PSQ25 0.928 PSQ26 0.867 PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892  Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955	Perceived	PU1	0.902	0.980	0.829
PU5	Usefulness	PU3	0.921		
PU6 0.854 PU7 0.938 PU8 0.882 PU9 0.923 PU10 0.907 PU11 0.909  Perceived PEU12 0.938 0.971 0.849  Ease of Use PEU13 0.935 PEU14 0.912 PEU15 0.952 PEU16 0.864 PEU17 0.924  Perceived PSQ18 0.889 0.974 0.787 System PSQ19 0.893 Quality PSQ20 0.730 PSQ21 0.903 PSQ22 0.932 PSQ23 0.921 PSQ24 0.937 PSQ25 0.928 PSQ26 0.867 PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892  Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PCQ34 0.988 Perceived PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PCQ34 0.890 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955		PU4	0.935		
PU7 0.938 PU8 0.882 PU9 0.923 PU10 0.907 PU11 0.909  Perceived PEU12 0.938 0.971 0.849  Ease of Use PEU13 0.935 PEU14 0.912 PEU15 0.952 PEU16 0.864 PEU17 0.924  Perceived PSQ18 0.889 0.974 0.787  System PSQ19 0.893 Quality PSQ20 0.730 PSQ21 0.903 PSQ22 0.932 PSQ23 0.921 PSQ24 0.937 PSQ25 0.928 PSQ26 0.867 PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892  Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955		PU5	0.932		
PU8 0.882 PU9 0.923 PU10 0.907 PU11 0.909  Perceived PEU12 0.938 0.971 0.849  Ease of Use PEU13 0.935 PEU14 0.912 PEU15 0.952 PEU16 0.864 PEU17 0.924  Perceived PSQ18 0.889 0.974 0.787  System PSQ19 0.893 Quality PSQ20 0.730 PSQ21 0.903 PSQ22 0.932 PSQ23 0.921 PSQ24 0.937 PSQ25 0.928 PSQ26 0.867 PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892  Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955		PU6	0.854		
PU9 0.923 PU10 0.907 PU11 0.909  Perceived PEU12 0.938 0.971 0.849  Ease of Use PEU13 0.935 PEU14 0.912 PEU15 0.952 PEU16 0.864 PEU17 0.924  Perceived PSQ18 0.889 0.974 0.787  System PSQ19 0.893 Quality PSQ20 0.730 PSQ21 0.903 PSQ21 0.903 PSQ22 0.932 PSQ23 0.921 PSQ24 0.937 PSQ25 0.928 PSQ26 0.867 PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892  Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955		PU7	0.938		
PU10 0.907 PU11 0.909  Perceived PEU12 0.938 0.971 0.849  Ease of Use PEU13 0.935 PEU14 0.912 PEU15 0.952 PEU16 0.864 PEU17 0.924  Perceived PSQ18 0.889 0.974 0.787  System PSQ19 0.893 Quality PSQ20 0.730 PSQ21 0.903 PSQ22 0.932 PSQ23 0.921 PSQ24 0.937 PSQ25 0.928 PSQ26 0.867 PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892  Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955		PU8	0.882		
PU11 0.909  Perceived PEU12 0.938 0.971 0.849  Ease of Use PEU13 0.935 PEU14 0.912 PEU15 0.952 PEU16 0.864 PEU17 0.924  Perceived PSQ18 0.889 0.974 0.787  System PSQ19 0.893 Quality PSQ20 0.730 PSQ21 0.903 PSQ22 0.932 PSQ23 0.921 PSQ24 0.937 PSQ25 0.928 PSQ26 0.867 PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892  Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955		PU9	0.923		
Perceived Ease of Use         PEU12 PEU13 PEU14 PEU15 PEU15 PEU15 O.952 PEU16 O.864 PEU17 O.924         O.971 O.849           Perceived PSQ18 O.889 PEQ17 O.924         O.974 O.787           Perceived PSQ18 O.893 Quality PSQ20 O.730 PSQ21 O.903 PSQ22 PSQ23 O.921 PSQ24 O.937 PSQ25 O.928 PSQ26 O.867 PSQ27 O.855         O.928 PSQ26 O.867 PSQ27 O.855           Perceived PCQ28 O.915 O.990 PCQ31 O.969 PCQ31 O.969 PCQ31 O.969 PCQ31 O.969 PCQ31 O.969 PCQ32 O.970 PCQ33 O.970 PCQ34 O.888         O.970 O.890 O.970 O.890 O.970 O.890 O.970 O.890 O.955           Perceived PC35 O.950 O.970 PCQ34 O.888         Perceived O.918 PC36 O.918 PC37 O.955			0.907		
Ease of Use PEU13 0.935 PEU14 0.912 PEU15 0.952 PEU16 0.864 PEU17 0.924  Perceived PSQ18 0.889 0.974 0.787 System PSQ19 0.893 Quality PSQ20 0.730 PSQ21 0.903 PSQ22 0.932 PSQ23 0.921 PSQ24 0.937 PSQ25 0.928 PSQ26 0.867 PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892 Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955		PU11	0.909		
PEU14 0.912 PEU15 0.952 PEU16 0.864 PEU17 0.924  Perceived PSQ18 0.889 0.974 0.787 System PSQ19 0.893 Quality PSQ20 0.730 PSQ21 0.903 PSQ22 0.932 PSQ23 0.921 PSQ24 0.937 PSQ25 0.928 PSQ26 0.867 PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892 Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955	Perceived	PEU12	0.938	0.971	0.849
PEU15 0.952 PEU16 0.864 PEU17 0.924  Perceived PSQ18 0.889 0.974 0.787  System PSQ19 0.893 Quality PSQ20 0.730 PSQ21 0.903 PSQ22 0.932 PSQ23 0.921 PSQ24 0.937 PSQ25 0.928 PSQ26 0.867 PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892  Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955	Ease of Use	PEU13	0.935		
PEU16 0.864 PEU17 0.924  Perceived PSQ18 0.889 0.974 0.787  System PSQ19 0.893 Quality PSQ20 0.730 PSQ21 0.903 PSQ22 0.932 PSQ23 0.921 PSQ24 0.937 PSQ25 0.928 PSQ26 0.867 PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892  Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955		PEU14	0.912		
PEU17 0.924  Perceived PSQ18 0.889 0.974 0.787  System PSQ19 0.893  Quality PSQ20 0.730  PSQ21 0.903  PSQ22 0.932  PSQ23 0.921  PSQ24 0.937  PSQ25 0.928  PSQ26 0.867  PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892  Content PCQ29 0.927  Quality PCQ30 0.969  PCQ31 0.969  PCQ31 0.969  PCQ32 0.970  PCQ33 0.970  PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890  Cost PC36 0.918  PC37 0.955		PEU15	0.952		
Perceived System         PSQ18 PSQ19 O.893         0.889 O.974 O.787           Quality         PSQ20 O.730 PSQ21 O.903 PSQ22 O.932 PSQ23 O.921 PSQ24 O.937 PSQ25 O.928 PSQ26 O.867 PSQ27 O.855         O.928 PSQ26 O.867 PSQ27 O.855           Perceived         PCQ28 O.915 O.983 O.892 PCQ31 O.969 PCQ31 O.969 PCQ31 O.969 PCQ31 O.969 PCQ32 O.970 PCQ33 O.970 PCQ34 O.888         O.970 PCQ34 O.888           Perceived PC35 O.950 O.970 PCQ34 O.888         Perceived O.918 PC37 O.955         O.970 O.890 O.970 O.890		PEU16	0.864		
System         PSQ19         0.893           Quality         PSQ20         0.730           PSQ21         0.903           PSQ22         0.932           PSQ23         0.921           PSQ24         0.937           PSQ25         0.928           PSQ26         0.867           PSQ27         0.855           Perceived         PCQ28         0.915         0.983         0.892           Content         PCQ29         0.927         0.927         0.969         0.969         0.969         0.969         0.970         0.969         0.970         0.970         0.970         0.888         0.970         0.970         0.888         0.970         0.970         0.890         0.970         0.890         0.970 </td <td></td> <td>PEU17</td> <td>0.924</td> <td></td> <td></td>		PEU17	0.924		
Quality         PSQ20	Perceived	PSQ18	0.889	0.974	0.787
PSQ21 0.903 PSQ22 0.932 PSQ23 0.921 PSQ24 0.937 PSQ25 0.928 PSQ26 0.867 PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892 Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955	System	PSQ19	0.893		
PSQ22 0.932 PSQ23 0.921 PSQ24 0.937 PSQ25 0.928 PSQ26 0.867 PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892  Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955	Quality	PSQ20	0.730		
PSQ23 0.921 PSQ24 0.937 PSQ25 0.928 PSQ26 0.867 PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892  Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955		PSQ21	0.903		
PSQ24 0.937 PSQ25 0.928 PSQ26 0.867 PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892  Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955		PSQ22	0.932		
PSQ25 0.928 PSQ26 0.867 PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892  Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955		PSQ23	0.921		
PSQ26 0.867 PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892  Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955		PSQ24	0.937		
PSQ27 0.855  Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892  Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955			0.928		
Perceived PCQ28 0.915 0.983 0.892  Content PCQ29 0.927  Quality PCQ30 0.969  PCQ31 0.969  PCQ32 0.970  PCQ33 0.970  PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890  Cost PC36 0.918  PC37 0.955		PSQ26	0.867		
Content PCQ29 0.927 Quality PCQ30 0.969 PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955		PSQ27	0.855		
Quality         PCQ30	Perceived	PCQ28	0.915	0.983	0.892
PCQ31 0.969 PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888 Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955	Content	PCQ29	0.927		
PCQ32 0.970 PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888 Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955	Quality	PCQ30	0.969		
PCQ33 0.970 PCQ34 0.888 Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955		PCQ31	0.969		
PCQ34 0.888  Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890  Cost PC36 0.918  PC37 0.955		PCQ32	0.970		
Perceived PC35 0.950 0.970 0.890 Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955		PCQ33	0.970		
Cost PC36 0.918 PC37 0.955		PCQ34	0.888		
PC37 0.955	Perceived	PC35	0.950	0.970	0.890
	Cost	PC36	0.918		
PC38 0.949			0.955		
		PC38	0.949		

Note: PU2, PC39, PC40 and PC41 were deleted due to low loadings.

asymmetries (combination of the concepts of PI, DI, HI, LPI and II) have a positive relationship to the intention of using technology to mitigating information asymmetry. Accordingly, in the research, the top management tend to withhold private information as follow the organization standard of procedure and confidential issues. This result

supported the argument of prior studies which related the concept of information asymmetry as a condition wherein the top management have the authority to gain private information and holds the information for personal benefits (Bergh et al. 2019; Connelly et al. 2011; Makadok 2011; Ecker et al. 2013). However, the result shows that top management has a high intention of mitigating private information. They thinks that the private information that follows the organization's standard of the procedure is actually limited to the decision-making process and the development of the organizations. We can conclude that the stricter the standard of policy, the more serious the problem of private information in the organization. Hence, the top management will have a higher intention of mitigating it as they think that private information brings negative consequences to the organization. This result shows that the current top management prefers to share private information within the organization as they think it can improve the subordinate's sense of self-importance. It hence enhanced the loyalty of the subordinates and mitigate the rate of information asymmetry happened in the organization. Therefore, we suggest the organization should adopt disruptive technologies as it decentralized governance (Shermin 2017; O'Leary 2017; Cong & He 2018; Eling & Lehmann 2018).

On another hand, the results supported the conceptual model of information asymmetry as the top management of the halal industry involved in sharing information with expecting a return (Linz & Semykina 2012; Vanhaverbeke et al. 2002). Our results also supported those findings of top management will only share useful information if they perceived it is beneficial for them. Moreover, the results also show that the top management agree that sharing useful information will create strong bolds and bring positive vibes in the organization, hence improving the sense of selfworth as a motivation to work harder to improve the organization (Fullwood et al. 2013; Lin 2007). In a simple explanation, the top management encourage that we adopt the concept of hidden information to enable a new strategy to mitigate information asymmetry. It means the top management have a higher intention on mitigating information asymmetry. Therefore, we suggest the organization should implement a set of rewarding systems to encourage employees involve themselves in

TABLE 4. Discriminant validity

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Different Information						
Hidden Information	0.615					
Informational Impactedness	0.463	0.577				
Intention	0.292	0.586	0.486			
Lack of Perfect Information	0.303	0.586	0.787	0.521		
Private Information	0.141	0.468	0.244	0.379	0.298	

JP 63.indd 37 18/1/2022 12:59:16 PM

sharing information within the organization. Besides, we suggest the organization to adopt disruptive technologies such as industry 4.0 as it representational faithfulness of reporting (McCallig et al. 2019; Yu et al. 2019). Thus, it will mitigate the fraud in rewarding system.

Besides, the results proved that the issue of lack of perfect information is positively related to the intention of mitigating information asymmetry. The top management intend to mitigate the lack of perfect information issues in their organization as they prefer complete or perfect information to make a decision and help business growth. Therefore, we suggest that the organization should adopt disruptive technologies to improve the information system. For instance, adoption industry 4.0 enable higher information transparency. Besides, adoption industry 4.0 represent faithfulness of financial reporting and enable smart contracts (McCallig et al. 2019; Yu et al. 2019; Reinsberg 2019). Thus, it can fulfil the intention of top management on mitigating information asymmetry to have a perfect information for decision making.

Surprisingly, the two conceptual models of information asymmetry, which are different information and informational impactedness, are not significant related to the intention of mitigating information asymmetry. The results disagree the argument of Hambrick and Mason (1984) and Schimidt and Keil (2013) that the market participants tend to provide different information to all organizations in the market for the personal benefits. Being a part of the halal industry, the top management need to follow the regulations of the Syariah and Malaysia Halal institutions to running their business. It is unethical to share different information

according to the Syariah (Ismaeel & Blaim 2012). Thus, different information will not influenced the intention of mitigating information asymmetry in the halal industry. The last concept of information asymmetry, informational impactedness explains as a resolution to act when market participants were facing a lack of information. The results showed that halal industry prefers to use technology infrastructure to improve its business when facing information asymmetry. Therefore, the abovementioned discussion suggesting adoption of disruptive technologies to achieve their intention of mitigating information asymmetry. However, it is more relevant to a solution to mitigating information asymmetry instead of factor which will influence the intention of mitigating information asymmetry, thus informational impactedness is negatively relevant to the intention of mitigating information asymmetry.

#### MODERATING EFFECT OF ADOPTION INDUSTRY 4.0

Two-stage approach (Henseler & Chin 2010) was used to test the moderating effect of adoption IR4 on the relationship between information asymmetry and the intention of mitigating information asymmetry. A two-stage approach is advocated as a more appropriate method for determining whether a variable has a moderating effect on the relationship between two other variables when formative measures are involved in the model (Henseler & Chin 2010). In applying the first step analysis, the variable in data is categorized into three kinds of variables. Firstly, the variable in data, Intention on Mitigating Information Asymmetry -I (I1 to I7) as

TABLE 5. Hypothesis testing direct effects

Hypothesis		Std Beta	Std Error	T-values	P-values
$H_{1}$	Information Asymmetry -> Intention	0.594	0.037	15.859	0.000
${ m H}_{ m 1a}$	Private Information -> Intention	0.166	0.096	1.739	0.041
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{1b}}$	Different Information -> Intention	-0.037	0.107	0.344	0.365
${ m H}_{ m 1c}$	Hidden Information -> Intention	0.389	0.090	4.326	0.000
${ m H}_{ m 1d}$	Lack of Perfect Information -> Intention	0.199	0.094	2.120	0.017
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{1e}}$	Informational Impactedness -> Intention	0.117	0.115	1.014	0.155

Note: We use 95% confidence interval with a bootstrapping of 5,000.

TABLE 6. Hypothesis testing indirect effects

Hypothesis		Std Beta	Std Error	T-values	P-values
$H_2$	Information Asymmetry*Adoption IR4 -> Intention	0.054	0.025	2.153	0.016

Note: We use 95% confidence interval with a bootstrapping of 5,000

TABLE 7. Effect size results

	Included	Excluded	F-squared	Effect Size
R-squared	0.936	0.353	9.1094	Large

reflective exogenous variables. Secondly, information asymmetry (Combination of the variables of (PI, DI, HI, LPI and II) will be endogenous variables, which also known as formative measurement. Lastly, Adoption IR4 (PU1 to PC38) will play a significant role in a moderator variable, which also known as reflective measurement.

In the second step,  $R^2$  and  $F^2$  values play a significant role to present the moderator effect (Ramayah et al. 2018; Kenny 2016). In the case of where a positive moderator effect is present, a technique which known as effect size result was applied to show the moderator's outcome in the relationship between the predictors and criterion variable. Therefore, this research will follow ramayah et al. (2018) 's suggestions to analyse the  $R^2$  changes to test the interaction effect and follow the interpretation of the  $F^2$  by following the guidelines of Kenny (2016).

Table 6 present the bootstrapping results of the moderating effect of adoption IR4 on the relationship between information asymmetry and the intention of mitigating information asymmetry. The results show a statically positive on the moderating effect of adoption IR4 on the relationship between information asymmetry and the intention of mitigating information asymmetry (( $\beta=0.054,\ p{<}0.05,\ t{-}value \ge 1.645$ ). Thus,  $H_2$  were supported.

The positively moderating effect of adoption IR4 on the relationship between information asymmetry and the intention of mitigating information asymmetry was also further analysis by using the calculation of effect size results. Table 7 show the previous R<sup>2</sup> change for the primary effect model is 0.353, and now in the interaction effect model, the R<sup>2</sup> is 0.936. The R<sup>2</sup> change of 0.583 indicated that with the addition of one interaction term, the R<sup>2</sup> had increased by about 58.3% (additional variance). Based on the effect size result, the F<sup>2</sup> of 9.1094 marks indicated a large effect size, as Kenny suggested (2016). Thus, hypothesis 2 were also supported.

This research revealed that adoption IR4 moderates the relationship between the information asymmetry issues faced by the top management and their intention of mitigate information asymmetry. Implicitly, the findings suggested that the top managements of the halal industry will be intended to alleviate the problem of information asymmetry in their organization as they perceived adoption IR4 is usefulness, easy to use, affordable cost, provide high system quality and content quality. Indeed, the effect size results, which has been explained earlier suggested that the adoption IR4 leading to the higher intention of managers or directors on mitigating information asymmetry. In a more general perspective, there is evidence that adoption IR4 can mitigate the problem of information asymmetry as the enabling technologies of IR4 open information transactions, decentralized governance, representational faithfulness of financial reporting, enable smart contracts and enhance market competitiveness and social welfare (e.g., O'Leary 2017; O'Leary 2018; Reinsberg 2018). Specifically, the findings are consistent with technology

acceptance theory which views that market players will accept and adopt a new technology (e.g., IR4) when the factors of perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, perceived system quality, perceived content quality, and perceived cost can provide benefits to the market players (Davis 1989; Braun 2013). On the other hand, the enabling technologies of IR4 such as blockchain, cyber-physical system, internet of things and cloud computing evolve the corporate governance especially from the aspect of information sharing (e.g., Xu et al. 2018; Viryasitavat et al. 2018). It will increase the top management of the halal industry's intention of mitigate information asymmetry indirect relationships or nondirect relationships. Therefore, IR4 positively moderates the relationship between the information asymmetry issue and the top management's intention of mitigate information asymmetry.

#### MANAGERIAL IMPLICATION

This study contributed to the knowledge by adopting the TAM into the perspective of agency theory to build a theoretical framework to understand the mechanism by which the information asymmetry issue influences the halal participant(s)' intention of mitigating information asymmetry by adopting IR4. There is still a lack of emperical studies about the correlation of IR4 and information asymmetry. The study of information asymmetry and IR are dealt seperately. For instance, some earlier studies investigated information aysmmetry towards corporate governance (e.g. Connelly et al. 2011; Ishak et al., 2013). In constract, other studies mainly focused on introducing IR4, research and development of IR4 (e.g. Zhou et al. 2015; Ghobakhloo 2018; Moktadir et al. 2018). Therefore, this study provided a model that links the theory related to technology into management studies. Lastly, this study expected to assist the government to perspectives of halal industry towards adoption IR4 and transforming them into the digitalisation industry in order to achieve the Malaysia Digital Economy Blueprint.

### CONCLUSION

This study fulfils all the objectives that were set out in the previous chapter. Results show that the information asymmetry issues faced by the top management in the halal industry Malaysia influence the intention of mitigating information asymmetry. We hereby suggested that the adoption of disruptive technologies enable to mitigate information asymmetry effectively. As abovementioned, the disruptive technologies such as industry 4.0 enable informational transparency, represent faithfulness of reporting and decentralized governance. Thus, it will mitigating information asymmetry effectively. This result supported the second research

objective, which the adoption of industry 4.0 moderate the relationship between information asymmetry and the intention of mitigating information asymmetry. Last but not least, the findings suggested that the top management of the halal industry will be intended to reduce the problem of information asymmetry in their organisation as they perceived adoption IR4 is usefulness, easy to use, affordable cost, provide high system quality and content quality.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The researchers would like to thank Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia and Yayasan Tun Ismail for grant code EP-2020-007 and EP-2020-077

#### REFERENCES

- Ali, M.H., Tan, K.H., Makhbul, Z.M. & Ngah, A.H. 2016. Augmenting halal food integrity through supply chain integration. *Jurnal Pengurusan* 48: 21-31.
- Akerlof, G.A. 1970. The market for" lemons": Quality uncertainty and the market mechanism. 84Q. *J. ECON* 488: 489-90.
- Ayob, A.H., Shahiri, H.I. & Yaacob, M.H. 2016. Kesan program promosi terhadap aktiviti eksport syarikat kecil dan sederhana di Malaysia: Satu pendekatan ekonometrik. *Jurnal Pengurusan (UKM Journal of Management)* 46: 3-12
- Ayob, A.H. & Dana, L.P. 2017. Product strategies for export ventures: An empirical investigation among SMEs in an emerging economy. *Jurnal Pengurusan (UKM Journal of Management)* 50: 25-33.
- Ayob, A.H., Musadek, B.F.M. & Hussain, W.M.H.W. 2021. Measuring the effectiveness of e-government in Malaysia: Does information literacy matter? *International Journal of Sustainable Society* 13(1): 1-15.
- Balakrishnan, S. & Koza, M.P. 1993. Information asymmetry, adverse selection and joint-ventures: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 20(1): 99-117.
- Baur, C. & Wee, D. 2015. Manufacturing's next act. Available at http://www.mckinsey.com/insights/ manufacturing/ manufacturings next act
- Bergh, D.D., Ketchen Jr, D.J., Orlandi, I., Heugens, P.P. & Boyd, B.K. 2019. Information asymmetry in management research: Past accomplishments and future opportunities. *Journal of Management* 45(1): 122-158.
- Braun, M.T. 2013. Obstacles to social networking website use among older adults. *Computers in Human Behavior* 29(3): 673-680.
- Chandra, P. 2015. The influence of perceived system quality and perceived information quality towards continuance intention of tax e-filing system in Malaysia. *Journal of Technology and Operations Management* 10(2): 52-63.
- Cong, L.W. & He, Z. 2019. Blockchain disruption and smart contracts. Review of Financial Studies 32(5): 1754-1797.
- Connelly, B.L., Certo, S.T., Ireland, R.D. & Reutzel, C.R. 2011. Signaling theory: A review and assessment. *Journal of Management* 37(1): 39-67.
- Davis, F.D., Bagozzi, R.P. & Warshaw, P.R. 1989. User acceptance of computertechnology: A comparison of two theoretical models. *Management Science* 35(8): 982-1003.

Demirkasimoglu, N. 2016. Knowledge hiding in academia: Is personality a key factor? *International Journal of Higher Education* 5(1): 128-140.

- Ecker, B., van Triest, S. & Williams, C. 2013. Management control and the decentralization of R&D. *Journal of Management* 39(4): 906-927.
- Eisenhardt, K.M. 1989. Agency theory: An assessment and review. *Academy of Management Review* 14(1): 57-74.
- Eling, M. & Lehmann, M. 2018. The impact of digitalization on the insurance value chain and the insurability of risks. *The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance-Issues and Practice* 43(3): 359-396.
- Franke, G. & Sarstedt, M. 2019. Heuristics versus statistics in discriminant validity testing: a comparison of four procedures. Internet Research.
- Fullwood, R., Rowley, J. & Delbridge, R. 2013. Knowledge sharing amongst academics in UK universities. *Journal of Knowledge Management* 17(1): 123-136.
- Gomez-Mejia, L.R. & Balkin, D.B. 1992. Determinants of faculty pay: An agency theory perspective. Academy of Management Journal 35(5): 921-955.
- Hagedoorn, J. 2006. Understanding the cross-level embeddedness of interfirm partnership formation. Academy of Management Review 31(3): 670-680.
- Hair, J.F., Risher, J.J., Sarstedt, M. & Ringle, C.M. 2019. When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European Business Review* 31(1): 2-24
- Hambrick, D.C. & Mason, P.A. 1984. Upper echelons: The organization as a reflection of its top managers. *Academy of Management Review* 9(2): 193-206.
- Healy, P.M. & Palepu, K.G. 2001. Information asymmetry, corporate disclosure, and the capital markets: A review of the empirical disclosure literature. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 31(1-3): 405-440.
- Henseler, J. & Chin, W. W. 2010. A comparison of approaches for the analysis of interaction effects between latent variables using partial least squares path modeling. *Structural Equation Modeling* 17(1): 82-109.
- Henseler, J., Hubona, G. & Ray, P.A. 2016. Using PLS path modeling in new technology research: Updated guidelines. *Industrial Management & Data Systems* 116 (1): 2-20.
- Kagermann, H., Helbig, J., Hellinger, A. & Wahlster, W. 2013. Recommendations for implementing the strategic initiative INDUSTRIE 4.0: Securing the future of German manufacturing industry; final report of the Industrie 4.0 Working Group. Forschungsunion.
- Kenny, D. A. 2016. Moderation.
- Kock, N. 2015. Common method bias in PLS-SEM: A full collinearity assessment approach. *International Journal* of e-Collaboration (IJEC) 11(4): 1-10.
- Kock, N. & Lynn, G. 2012. Lateral collinearity and misleading results in variance-based SEM: An illustration and recommendations. *Journal of the Association for information Systems* 13(7):546-580.
- Lie, E. 2005. On the timing of CEO stock option awards. *Management Science* 51(5): 802-812.
- Lin, H.F. & Lee, G.G. 2004. Perceptions of senior managers toward knowledge-sharing behaviour. *Management Decision* 42: 108-125.
- Lin, H.F. 2007. Knowledge sharing and firm innovation capability: An empirical study. *International Journal of Manpower* 28(3/4): 315-332.
- Linz, S.J. & Semykina, A. 2012. What makes workers happy? Anticipated rewards and job satisfaction. Industrial

JP 63.indd 40 18/1/2022 12:59:16 PM

- Relations: A Journal of Economy and Society 51(4): 811-844
- Mahaney, R.C. & Lederer, A.L. 2003. Information systems project management: An agency theory interpretation. *Journal of Systems and Software* 68(1): 1-9.
- Makadok, R. 2011. Invited editorial: The four theories of profit and their joint effects. *Journal of Management* 37(5): 1316-1334.
- McCallig, J., Robb, A. & Rohde, F. 2019. Establishing the representational faithfulness of financial accounting information using multiparty security, network analysis and a blockchain. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems* 33: 47–58.
- Neuburger, H.L.I. 1971. Perceived costs. *Environment and Planning A* 3(4): 369-376.
- O'Leary, D.E. 2017. Configuring blockchain architectures for transaction information in blockchain consortiums: The case of accounting and supply chain systems. *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management* 24(4): 138-147.
- O'Leary, D. E. 2018. Open information enterprise transactions: business intelligence and wash and spoof transactions in blockchain and social commerce. *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management* 25(3): 148-158.
- Parker, D.W., Dressel, U., Chevers, D. & Zeppetella, L. 2018. Agency theory perspective on public-private-partnerships: International development project. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management* 67(2): 239-259
- Ramayah, T., Cheah, J., Chuah, F., Ting, H. & Memon, M.A. 2018. Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) Using Smartpls 3.0. Kuala Lumpur: Pearson.
- Reinsberg, B. 2019. Blockchain technology and the governance of foreign aid. *Journal of Institutional Economics* 15(3): 413-429.
- Ringle, C.M. 2005. SmartPLS 2.0 (M3). Available at http://www.smartpls.de.
- Rusly, F.H., Taliba, Y.Y.A., Abd Mutaliba, H. & Hussina, M.R.A. 2020. Developing a digital adaptation model for Malaysian Manufacturing SMEs. In *Proceedings of the 4th UUM International Qualitative Research Conference (QRC 2020)* (Vol. 1: 3).
- Sharif, M.F.H. & Ghani, M.Z.A. 2019. Halal viral issues in Malaysia. *Halal Journal* 3: 61-71.
- Schieg, M. 2008. Strategies for avoiding asymmetric information in construction project management. *Journal of Business Economics and Management* (1): 47-51.
- Schmidt, J. & Keil, T. 2013. What makes a resource valuable? Identifying the drivers of firm-idiosyncratic resource value. *Academy of Management Review* 38(2): 206-228.
- Serenko, A. & Bontis, N. 2016. Understanding counterproductive knowledge behavior: Antecedents and consequences of intra-organizational knowledge hiding. *Journal of Knowledge Management* 20(6): 1199-1224.
- Shermin, V. 2017. Disrupting governance with blockchains and smart contracts. *Strategic Change* 26(5): 499-509.
- Singh, S.K. 2019. Territoriality, task performance, and workplace deviance: Empirical evidence on role of knowledge hiding. *Journal of Business Research* 97: 10-19.

- Tapscott, D. & Tapscott, A. 2016. Blockchain Revolution: How the Technology Behind Bitcoin is Changing Money, Business, and the World. New York: Penguin.
- Teo, T.S.H., Srivastava, S.C. & Jiang, Li. 2008. Trust and electronic government success: An empirical study. *Journal of Management Information Systems* 25(3): 99-132.
- Tonelli, F., Demartini, M., Loleo, A. & Testa, C. 2016. A novel methodology for manufacturing firms value modeling and mapping to improve operational performance in the industry 4.0 era. *Procedia CIRP* 57: 122-127.
- Van Der Vegt, G., Emans, B. & Van De Vliert, E. 2000. Team members' affective responses to patterns of intragroup interdependence and job complexity. *Journal of Management* 26(4): 633-655.
- Vanhaverbeke, W., Duysters, G. & Noorderhaven, N. 2002. External technology sourcing through alliances or acquisitions: An analysis of the application-specific integrated circuits industry. *Organization Science* 13(6): 714-733.
- Viriyasitavat, W., Da Xu, L., Bi, Z. & Sapsomboon, A. 2018. Blockchain-based business process management (BPM) framework for service composition in industry 4.0. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*: 1-12.
- Williamson, O.E. 1975. Markets and Hierarchies. New York, 2630.
- Xu, L.D., Xu, E.L. & Li, L. 2018. Industry 4.0: state of the art and future trends. *International Journal of Production Research* 56(8): 2941-2962.
- Yin, S. & Kaynak, O. 2015. Big data for modern industry: challenges and trends [point of view]. *Proceedings of the IEEE* 103(2): 143-146.
- Yu, T., Lin, Z. & Tang, Q. 2018. Blockchain: The introduction and its application in financial accounting. *Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance* 29(4): 37-47.
- Zaheer, A. & Soda, G. 2009. Network evolution: The origins of structural holes. Administrative Science Quarterly 54(1): 1-31.

Ng Suat Thing Faculty Economics and Management Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia 43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor, MALAYSIA. E-Mail: suatthing@gmail.com

Mohd Hasimi Yaacob (corresponding author)

Center for Governance Resilience & Accountability Studies (GRACE)

Faculty Economics and Management Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia 43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor, MALAYSIA. E-Mail: mhasimi@ukm.edu.my

Norazlan Alias

Center for Governance Resilience & Accountability Studies (GRACE)

Faculty Economics and Management Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia 43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor, MALAYSIA. E-Mail: norazlan@ukm.edu.my

## APPENDIX A

Table 4.1 Research Questions of Information Asymmetry

No	Statement	References	Sources
	Private Information		
1	I explain that I would like to tell him/her but was not supposed to do it	Demirkasimoglu, 2016	International Journal of Higher
2	I explain that the information is confidential and only available to people on a particular project		education
3	I tell him/her that my boss not let anyone share this knowledge		
4	I say that I would not answer his/her question		
5	I withholds helpful knowledge to my subordinate	Singh, 2019	Journal of Business
6 7	I hides innovative idea to my subordinate  I does not transform personal knowledge into organizational knowledge		Research
	Different Information		
8	I agree to help him/her but never really intend to	Demirkasimoglu, 2016	International
9	I agree to help him/her but instead give him/her information different from what h/she wanted		Journal of Higher education
10	I tell him/her that I would help him/her out later but stall as much as possible		
11	I offer him/her some other information instead of what he/she really wants		
12	I often communicate part of the whole story to my fellow colleagues	Serenko & Bontis, 2016	Journal of Knowledge
13	I often twist the facts to suit my needs when communicating with my fellow colleagues		Management
14	I often leave out pertinent information or facts when communicating with my fellow colleagues  Hidden Information		
15	Sharing my knowledge with colleagues should be rewarded	Lin, 2007	International Journal of Manpower
16	I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing	Fullwood, Rowley & Delbridge, 2013	Journal of Knowledge
17	My knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization		Management
18	My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization		
19	My knowledge sharing would enable me to associate more with other members in the organizational		
20	My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me		
19	My knowledge sharing would create strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization		
20	My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth		
	Lack of Perfect Information		
21	I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well	Van der Vegt et al., 2000	Journal of
22	From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision.		Management
23	I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well		
24	I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work- related issues		
25	My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues	Lin & Lee, 2004	Management Decision
	Informational Impactedness		
	When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave :		
26	Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal business	Bergh et al., 2019	Journal of
27	Form allies		Management
28	Go for training		
29	Use technology infrastructure		

JP 63.indd 42 12:59:16 PM

Table 4.2 Research Questions of Adoption IR4

No.	Statement	References	Sources
	Perceived Usefulness	Calisir, Gumussoy,	Human Factors and
1	Adoption of Industry 4.0 in my job would enable me to accomplish tasks more quickly	Bayraktaroglu & Karaali, 2014;	Ergonomics in Manufacturing &
2	Adoption of Industry 4.0 would improve my job performance	Park&Kim, 2014	Service Industries; Telematics and
3	Adoption of Industry 4.0 in my job would increase my		Indormatics
4	productivity Adoption of Industry 4.0 would enhance my effectiveness on the job		
5	Adoption of Industry 4.0 would make it easier to do my job		
6	I would find the that industry 4.0 useful in my job		
7	Adoption of Industry 4.0 help me to get better decision	Ahn, Ryu & Han,	Information &
8	Adoption of Industry 4.0 saves me money	2007	Management
9	Adoption of Industry 4.0 improve my task quality		
10	Adoption of Industry 4.0 advances my competitiveness	S. Tripopsakul, 2018	Polish Journal of Management Studies
	Perceived Ease of Use		
12	Learning to operate Industry 4.0 system would be easy for me	Calisir, Gumussoy, Bayraktaroglu &	Human Factors and Ergonomics in
13	I would find it easy to get the Industry 4.0 system to do what I want it to do	Karaali, 2014	Manufacturing & Service Industries
14	My interaction with the Industry 4.0 system would be clear and understandable		
15	I would find the Industry 4.0 system to be flexible to interact with		
16	It would be easy for me to become skilful at using the Industry 4.0 system		
17	I would find the Industry 4.0 system easy / effortless to use Perceived System Quality		
18	I think that adoption of Industry 4.0 will provide very reliable service	Calisir, Gumussoy, Bayraktaroglu &	Human Factors and Ergonomics in
19	I think that the speed of Industry 4.0 system will be fast	Karaali, 2014	Manufacturing &
20	I think that Industry 4.0 system is secure to use		Service Industries
21	Has easy navigation to information	Ahn, Ryu & Han,	Information &
22	Has fast response and transaction processing	2007	Management
23	I think that Industry 4.0 system will keep personal information secure from exposure		
24	Adoption of Industry 4.0 make me can use when I want to use		
25	I think that industry 4.0 system has good functionality relevant to site type		
26	I think that Industry 4.0 keeps error-free transactions		
27	I think that Industry 4.0 system creates an audio-visual experience		
20	Perceived Content Quality		
28	Industry 4.0 system has sufficient contents where I expect to find information	Ahn, Ryu & Han, 2007	Information & Management
29	Industry 4.0 system provides complete information		
30 31	Industry 4.0 system provides site-specific information Industry 4.0 system provides accurate information		
32	Industry 4.0 system provides accurate information  Industry 4.0 system provides timely information		
33	Industry 4.0 system provides unlerly information		
34	Industry 4.0 system communicates information in an		
	appropriate format  Perceived Cost		
35	Reduction of the operating costs of my organization by	P. Gupta et al., 2013	International Journal of
36	adopt Industry 4.0 Adopt Industry 4.0 instead of buying and deploying		information Management
	physical machines and software		-
37	Elimination of hiring expensive IT expertise in-house		
38	Improvement of the scalability of IT infrastructure		
39	Adopt Industry 4.0 in organization is expensive overall	Park et al., 2017	IEEE Internet of Things
40	Installing and operating Industry 4.0 in organization are a burden to me		Journal
41	There is a financial barrier to maintaining and repairing industry 4.0 system		

JP 63.indd 43 18/1/2022 12:59:16 PM

### APPENDIX B



#### FAKULTI EKONOMI DAN PENGURUSAN (FACULTY OF ECONOMIC AND MANAGEMENT ) UNIVERSITI KEBANGSAAN MALAYSIA BANGI, SELANGOR DARUL EHSAN

### QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY

#### Dear Sir / Madam

My name is Ng Suat Thing, and I am a Master student in the Faculty of Economic and Management in the Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM). This questionnaire is a part of study on the relationship between adoption of industry 4.0 towards information asymmetry and intention of manager and director in Halal industry in Malaysia. Information asymmetry defined as one party gain more or better information than another party in a relationship.

The researcher requests your kindness and generosity to answer all the questions in the questionnaire. Your answer is very important to the purpose of the research and the results will remain **absolutely confidential and anonymous**. I assure you that your answers will be treated strictly confidential and will be used for the purpose of the scientific research.

Thank you for your cooperation. Respectfully yours,

#### The Researcher:

Ng Suat Thing P98817 Postgraduate Student

#### The Supervisor committees:

Dr. Mohd Hasimi Yaacob and Assoc Prof. Dr Norazlan Alias Centre for Governance Resilience and Accountability (GRACE), Faculty of Economics and Management, UKM

JP 63.indd 44 18/1/2022 12:59:16 PM

Secti	ion One: Den	nographic Pro	file			
		ments relate to	the information	concerni	ng about you	irself.
1.	Gender :					
	□ Male	☐ Female				
2.	Age:					
	□ 29 or belo	w □ 30 – 45	$\square$ 46 – 60	□ 61 an	d above	
3.	Ethic:					
	□ Malay	□ Chinese	□Indian			
	□Other(Plea	se specify) :				
4.	Marital Sta	tus:				
	☐ Married	☐ Single	□ Divorced	□ Wido	wed	
5.		demic Qualifi				
			aster Degree	□ Bach	elor Degree	□ Diploma
	☐ Other (Ple	ase specify):_				
6.	Current pos	sition				
	☐ Manager	☐ Director	☐ Other (Plea	ase specif	y):	
7.	Years with	current positio	on			
	☐ Less than	a year 🗆 1 -	- 3 years □ 4 –	7 years	☐ More than	7 years
8.		r sales turnov	er?			
	Manufacturi	ng:				
	☐ less than F		□ RM300,00	0< RM15	mil □ RN	M15 mil < RM50 mil
		other sectors:				
	☐ less than F	RM300,000	□ RM300,00	0< RM3 1	nil □RN	M3 mil < RM20 mil
9.			ployed at your	company	?	
	Manufacturi	and the second s				
	☐ less than 5		☐ From 5 to	< 75	☐ From 75 t	o <200
		other sectors:			- P	
	☐ less than 5		☐ From 5 to	< 30	□ From 30 t	o <75
10	_		more than one	location	?	
	□ Yes	□ No				
11			isiness online?			
	□ Yes	□ No				
12	. Does your c	ompany serve	:			
	□ Consumer	s □ Bu	siness   Bot	th		

JP 63.indd 45 18/1/2022 12:59:16 PM

## Section Two: Information Asymmetry Orientation

In this section the survey describes information asymmetry orientation of you in the department / organization. Please tick ( $\sqrt{}$ ) for each item that reflect your responses.

Please note that: Information asymmetry defined as one party gain more or better information than another party in a relationship.

No.   Statement	1	- Strongly disagree 2- Disagree 3- Neutral 4- A	gree		Stron	gly A	gree
I explain that I would like to tell him/her but was not supposed to do it  I explain that the information is confidential and only available to people on a particular project  I tell him/her that my boss not let anyone share this knowledge  I say that I would not answer his/her question  I withholds helpful knowledge to my subordinate  I hides innovative idea to my subordinate  I lotes not transform personal knowledge into organizational knowledge  B. Different Information  I agree to help him/her but never really intend to  I agree to help him/her but never really intend to  I tell him/her that I would help him/her out later but stall as much as possible  I offer him/her some other information instead of what he/she really wants  I often communicate part of the whole story to my fellow colleagues  I often twist the facts to suit my needs when communicating with my fellow colleagues  I often leave out pertinent information or facts when communicating with my fellow colleagues  C. Hidden Information  Sharing my knowledge with colleagues should be rewarded  I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing products in the organization  My knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization  My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization  My knowledge sharing would create strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization  My knowledge sharing would create strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization  I need more information and advice from my colleagues sharing their knowledge with mellor sharing would orteate strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization  I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my my be well  From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good deci	No.	Statement	1	2	3	4	5
supposed to do it  1 explain that the information is confidential and only available to people on a particular project  3 I tell him/her that my boss not let anyone share this knowledge  4 I say that I would not answer his/her question  5 I withholds helpful knowledge to my subordinate  6 I hides innovative idea to my subordinate  7 I does not transform personal knowledge into organizational knowledge  8 B. Different Information  8 I agree to help him/her but never really intend to  9 I agree to help him/her but instead give him/her information different from what h/she wanted  10 I tell him/her that I would help him/her out later but stall as much as possible  11 I offer him/her some other information instead of what he/she really wants  12 I often communicate part of the whole story to my fellow colleagues  13 I often twist the facts to suit my needs when communicating with my fellow colleagues  14 I often leave out pertinent information or facts when communicating with my fellow colleagues  15 Sharing my knowledge with colleagues should be rewarded  16 I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing my knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization  18 My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization  19 My knowledge sharing would reast strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization  20 My knowledge sharing would reaste strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization  21 I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  22 From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision.  33 I need more information and advice from my colleagues to performance my job well  24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  35 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to shar							
available to people on a particular project  I tell him/her that my boss not let anyone share this knowledge  1 say that I would not answer his/her question  I withholds helpful knowledge to my subordinate  I hides innovative idea to my subordinate  I lose not transform personal knowledge into organizational knowledge  B. Different Information  I agree to help him/her but never really intend to  I tell him/her that I would help him/her out later but stall as much as possible  I offer him/her some other information instead of what he/she really wants  I offer new here the some other information instead of what he/she really wants  I often twist the facts to suit my needs when communicating with my fellow colleagues  I often leave out pertinent information or facts when communicating with my fellow colleagues  C. Hidden Information  Sharing my knowledge with colleagues should be rewarded  I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization  My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization and more with other members in the organization and my knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me members who have common interests in the organization  My knowledge sharing would not improve my sense of self-worth members in the organization and my knowledge sharing would road the properties of the propert	1	supposed to do it					
knowledge  1 say that I would not answer his/her question 5 I withholds helpful knowledge to my subordinate 6 I hides innovative idea to my subordinate 7 I does not transform personal knowledge into organizational knowledge  8 B. Different Information 9 I agree to help him/her but never really intend to 9 I agree to help him/her but instead give him/her information different from what h/she wanted 10 I tell him/her that I would help him/her out later but stall as much as possible 11 I offer him/her some other information instead of what he/she really wants 12 I often communicate part of the whole story to my fellow colleagues 13 I often twist the facts to suit my needs when communicating with my fellow colleagues 14 I often leave out pertinent information or facts when communicating with my fellow colleagues 15 Sharing my knowledge with colleagues should be rewarded 16 I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if lengage in knowledge sharing pressigious projects if lengage in knowledge sharing pressigious projects if lengage in knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization 18 My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization with members who have common interests in the organization 19 My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me 19 My knowledge sharing would roate strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization 20 My knowledge sharing would roate strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization 21 I need more information to make good decision. 22 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well 24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues 25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues 26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	2						
4 I say that I would not answer his/her question 5 I withholds helpful knowledge to my subordinate 6 I hides innovative idea to my subordinate 7 I does not transform personal knowledge into organizational knowledge 8 Different Information 8 I agree to help him/her but never really intend to 9 I agree to help him/her but instead give him/her information different from what h/she wanted 10 I tell him/her that I would help him/her out later but stall as much as possible 11 I offer him/her some other information instead of what he/she really wants 12 I often communicate part of the whole story to my fellow colleagues 13 I often twist the facts to suit my needs when communicating with my fellow colleagues 14 I often leave out pertinent information or facts when communicating with my fellow colleagues 15 C. Hidden Information 16 Sharing my knowledge with colleagues should be rewarded 16 I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing 17 My knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization 18 My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization 19 My knowledge sharing would enable me to associate more with other members in the organization 20 My knowledge sharing would create strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization 20 My knowledge sharing would reate strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization 21 I need nore information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well 22 From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision. 23 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well 24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues 25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues 26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	3	,					
I withholds helpful knowledge to my subordinate I hides innovative idea to my subordinate I lides novarive idea to my subordinate I lose not transform personal knowledge into organizational knowledge B. Different Information I agree to help him/her but instead give him/her information different from what h/she wanted I agree to help him/her but instead give him/her information different from what h/she wanted I I tell him/her that I would help him/her out later but stall as much as possible I I offer him/her some other information instead of what he/she really wants I Often communicate part of the whole story to my fellow colleagues I often lost the facts to suit my needs when communicating with my fellow colleagues C. Hidden Information I I often leave out pertinent information or facts when communicating with my fellow colleagues C. Hidden Information I I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing my knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization My knowledge sharing would perme well-acquainted with new members in the organization My knowledge sharing would create strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth D. Lack of Perfect Information up to perform my job well From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision.  I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well Fregularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  E. Informational Impactedness When you facing	4						
I hides innovative idea to my subordinate   I does not transform personal knowledge into organizational knowledge   B. Different Information   Diffe	5	I withholds helpful knowledge to my subordinate					
organizational knowledge  B. Different Information  I agree to help him/her but never really intend to  I agree to help him/her but instead give him/her information different from what h/she wanted  I tell him/her that I would help him/her out later but stall as much as possible  I offer him/her some other information instead of what he/she really wants  I often communicate part of the whole story to my fellow colleagues  I often twist the facts to suit my needs when communicating with my fellow colleagues  I often leave out pertinent information or facts when communicating with my fellow colleagues  C. Hidden Information  Sharing my knowledge with colleagues should be rewarded  I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing with my fellow colleagues should be rewarded  My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization  My knowledge sharing would get me to associate more with other members in the organization  My knowledge sharing would reable me to associate more with other members in the organizational  My knowledge sharing would reable me to associate more with other members in the organization  My knowledge sharing would result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me  My knowledge sharing would reate strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization  D. Lack of Perfect Information  I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision.  I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well  I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  E. Informational Impactedness  When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:	6	I hides innovative idea to my subordinate					
8 I agree to help him/her but never really intend to 9 I agree to help him/her but instead give him/her information different from what h/she wanted 10 I tell him/her that I would help him/her out later but stall as much as possible 11 I offer him/her some other information instead of what he/she really wants 12 I often communicate part of the whole story to my fellow colleagues 13 I often twist the facts to suit my needs when communicating with my fellow colleagues 14 I often leave out pertinent information or facts when communicating with my fellow colleagues 15 Sharing my knowledge with colleagues should be rewarded 16 I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing 17 My knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization 18 My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization 19 My knowledge sharing would enable me to associate more with other members in the organizational more with other members in the organizational more with other members in the organizational more with other members who have common interests in the organization 20 My knowledge sharing would or result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me 19 My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  D. Lack of Perfect Information 21 I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well 22 From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision. 23 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well 24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  E. Informational Impactedness  When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:	7						
I agree to help him/her but instead give him/her information different from what h/she wanted  I tell him/her that I would help him/her out later but stall as much as possible  I offer him/her some other information instead of what he/she really wants  I offen communicate part of the whole story to my fellow colleagues  I often twist the facts to suit my needs when communicating with my fellow colleagues  I often leave out pertinent information or facts when communicating with my fellow colleagues  C. Hidden Information  Sharing my knowledge with colleagues should be rewarded  I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing  My knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization  My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organizational  My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me  My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me  My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me  My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me  My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me  My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me  My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues to perform my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my past experience, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision.  I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well  I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  E. Informational Impactedness  When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  E. Informational decisions or proposal		B. Different Information					
information different from what h/she wanted  10 I tell him/her that I would help him/her out later but stall as much as possible  11 I offer him/her some other information instead of what he/she really wants  12 I often communicate part of the whole story to my fellow colleagues  13 I often twist the facts to suit my needs when communicating with my fellow colleagues  14 I often leave out pertinent information or facts when communicating with my fellow colleagues  15 C. Hidden Information  16 I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing  17 My knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization  18 My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization  19 My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me organizational  20 My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with members in the organizational  21 My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  22 D. Lack of Perfect Information  23 I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	_						
10 I tell him/her that I would help him/her out later but stall as much as possible 11 I offer him/her some other information instead of what he/she really wants 12 I often communicate part of the whole story to my fellow colleagues 13 I often twist the facts to suit my needs when communicating with my fellow colleagues 14 I often leave out pertinent information or facts when communicating with my fellow colleagues  15 Sharing my knowledge with colleagues should be rewarded 16 I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing 17 My knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization 18 My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization 19 My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me 19 My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me 19 My knowledge sharing would create strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization 20 My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  D. Lack of Perfect Information 21 I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well 22 From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision. 23 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well 24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  26 Men you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	9						
as much as possible  11 I offer him/her some other information instead of what he/she really wants  12 I often communicate part of the whole story to my fellow colleagues  13 I often twist the facts to suit my needs when communicating with my fellow colleagues  14 I often leave out pertinent information or facts when communicating with my fellow colleagues  15 C. Hidden Information  16 I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing  17 My knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization  18 My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization  19 My knowledge sharing would enable me to associate more with other members in the organizational  20 My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me organization  20 My knowledge sharing would orcate strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization  20 My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  21 I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  22 From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  23 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well  24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal							
he/she really wants  12 I often communicate part of the whole story to my fellow colleagues  13 I often twist the facts to suit my needs when communicating with my fellow colleagues  14 I often leave out pertinent information or facts when communicating with my fellow colleagues  C. Hidden Information  15 Sharing my knowledge with colleagues should be rewarded  16 I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing  17 My knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization  18 My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization  19 My knowledge sharing would enable me to associate more with other members in the organizational  20 My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me  19 My knowledge sharing would create strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization  20 My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  D. Lack of Perfect Information  21 I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  22 From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision.  23 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well  24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness  When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal		as much as possible					
colleagues  I often twist the facts to suit my needs when communicating with my fellow colleagues  C. Hidden Information  Sharing my knowledge with colleagues should be rewarded  I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing  My knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization  My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization  My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me  My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me  My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  D. Lack of Perfect Information  I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness  When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal		he/she really wants					
communicating with my fellow colleagues  I often leave out pertinent information or facts when communicating with my fellow colleagues  C. Hidden Information  Sharing my knowledge with colleagues should be rewarded  I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing  My knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization  My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization  My knowledge sharing would enable me to associate more with other members in the organizational  My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me  My knowledge sharing would create strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization  My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  D. Lack of Perfect Information  I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness  When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal		colleagues					
C. Hidden Information  Sharing my knowledge with colleagues should be rewarded  I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing  My knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization  My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization  My knowledge sharing would enable me to associate more with other members in the organizational  My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me  My knowledge sharing would create strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization  My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  D. Lack of Perfect Information  I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  Tregularly have to communicate with minor imperfect information to make good decision.  I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness  When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	13	communicating with my fellow colleagues					
15 Sharing my knowledge with colleagues should be rewarded 16 I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing 17 My knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization 18 My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization 19 My knowledge sharing would enable me to associate more with other members in the organizational 20 My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me 19 My knowledge sharing would create strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization 20 My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  D. Lack of Perfect Information 21 I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well 22 From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision. 23 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well 24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues 25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave: 26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	14	· ·					
rewarded  I am less likely to be considered for interesting and prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing  My knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization  My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization  My knowledge sharing would enable me to associate more with other members in the organizational  My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me  My knowledge sharing would create strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization  My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  D. Lack of Perfect Information  I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision.  I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well  I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness  When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal							
prestigious projects if I engage in knowledge sharing  My knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization  My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization  My knowledge sharing would enable me to associate more with other members in the organizational  My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me  My knowledge sharing would reate strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization  My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  D. Lack of Perfect Information  I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision.  I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well  I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness  When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	15						
17 My knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties between existing members and myself in the organization 18 My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization 19 My knowledge sharing would enable me to associate more with other members in the organizational 20 My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me 19 My knowledge sharing would create strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization 20 My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  21 I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well 22 From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision. 23 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well 24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues 25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave: 26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	16						
organization  My knowledge sharing would get me well-acquainted with new members in the organization  My knowledge sharing would enable me to associate more with other members in the organizational  My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me  My knowledge sharing would create strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization  My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  D. Lack of Perfect Information  I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision.  I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well  I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness  When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	17	My knowledge sharing would strengthen the ties					
with new members in the organization  My knowledge sharing would enable me to associate more with other members in the organizational  My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me  My knowledge sharing would create strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization  My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  D. Lack of Perfect Information  I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision.  I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well  I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness  When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal							
19 My knowledge sharing would enable me to associate more with other members in the organizational 20 My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me 19 My knowledge sharing would create strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization 20 My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  21 I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well 22 From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision. 23 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well 24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues 25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave: 26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	18						
20 My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues sharing their knowledge with me  19 My knowledge sharing would create strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization  20 My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  21 I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  22 From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision.  23 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well  24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	19	My knowledge sharing would enable me to associate					
19 My knowledge sharing would create strong bonds with members who have common interests in the organization 20 My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  D. Lack of Perfect Information 21 I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well 22 From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision. 23 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well 24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues 25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave: 26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	20	My knowledge sharing would not result in colleagues					Г
members who have common interests in the organization  20 My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  D. Lack of Perfect Information  21 I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  22 From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision.  23 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well  24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness  When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	10		-	-			$\vdash$
20 My knowledge sharing activities would not improve my sense of self-worth  D. Lack of Perfect Information  21 I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  22 From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision.  23 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well  24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	19	members who have common interests in the					
sense of self-worth  D. Lack of Perfect Information  21 I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  22 From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision.  23 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well  24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	20	D .	-	-	_	<del>                                     </del>	⊢
D. Lack of Perfect Information  21 I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  22 From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision.  23 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well  24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	20						
21 I need more information and advice from my colleagues to perform my job well  22 From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision.  23 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well  24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal			-			$\vdash$	$\vdash$
to perform my job well  22 From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision.  23 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well  24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	21						$\vdash$
22 From my past experiences, I can deal with minor imperfect information to make good decision.  23 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well  24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues  25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal							
23 I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance my job well 24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues 25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave: 26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	22	From my past experiences, I can deal with minor					
24 I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about work-related issues 25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave: 26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	23	I need to collaborate with my colleagues to performance					Г
25 My past experience has increases my confidence in my ability to make decisions encouraging employees to share knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	24	I regularly have to communicate with colleagues about					Г
knowledge with colleagues  E. Informational Impactedness When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal	25	My past experience has increases my confidence in my					
When you facing the problem of lack of information, you will behave:  26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal							
26 Left the market / discontinued the business or proposal		When you facing the problem of lack of information,					
	26						
27 Form allies		business		_		_	
28 Go for training				$\vdash$	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	$\vdash$
29 Use technology infrastructure						$\vdash$	$\vdash$

JP 63.indd 46 18/1/2022 12:59:16 PM

### Section Three: Adoption Industry 4.0

There remain 4 major technologies applied within industry 4.0:

- Internet of Things (IoT): This technology enables physical objects to communicate with each other and further to share information and to coordinate decisions.
- (ii) Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS): CPS represents a smart production line which communicates within machinery, operators, materials, and manufactures progress. Production information will be monitored and transferred to another network node wherein computation, analysis, and decision making will be performed and provided feedback.
- (iii) Cloud computing: The designs of cloud computing enable anyone to upload and share information with others. A large volume of data can be upload and storage to a cloud computing center, which expedites the process of manufacturing, production, and decision-making activities.
- (iv) Blockchain: Blockchain is immutable, transparent and redefines trust, as it enables transparent, secure, trustworthy and swift public or private solutions. The applications of blockchain is not limited to the financial services, and it can be used for any types of digitized transfer of information, which develop trusted and autonomous relationship among different components of smart factories, suppliers, and even customers.

Please read each statement carefully and tick ( $\sqrt{}$ ) for each item that represent your answer. Five scales ranging from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree" use to reflect your level of agreement.

_1	<ul> <li>Strongly disagree 2- Disagree 3- Neutral 4- A</li> </ul>	gree	5	<ul> <li>Strop</li> </ul>	ngly A	gree
No.	Statement	1	2	3	4	5
	A. Perceived Usefulness					
1	Adoption of Industry 4.0 in my job would enable me to accomplish tasks more quickly					
2	Adoption of Industry 4.0 would improve my job performance					
3	Adoption of Industry 4.0 in my job would increase my productivity					
4	Adoption of Industry 4.0 would enhance my effectiveness on the job					
5	Adoption of Industry 4.0 would make it easier to do my job					
6	I would find the that industry 4.0 useful in my job					
7	Adoption of Industry 4.0 help me to get better decision					
8	Adoption of Industry 4.0 saves me money					
9	Adoption of Industry 4.0 improve my task quality					
10	Adoption of Industry 4.0 advances my competitiveness					
11	Adoption of Industry 4.0 improves the quality of business operation					

JP 63.indd 47 18/1/2022 12:59:17 PM

	B. Perceived Ease of Use				
12	Learning to operate Industry 4.0 system would be easy				
	for me				
13	I would find it easy to get the Industry 4.0 system to do				
	what I want it to do				
14	My interaction with the Industry 4.0 system would be				
	clear and understandable				
15	I would find the Industry 4.0 system to be flexible to				
	interact with				
16	It would be easy for me to become skilful at using the				
	Industry 4.0 system				
17	I would find the Industry 4.0 system easy / effortless to				
	use				
	C. Perceived System Quality				
18	I think that adoption of Industry 4.0 will provide very				
	reliable service				
19	I think that the speed of Industry 4.0 system will be fast				
20	I think that Industry 4.0 system is secure to use				
21	Has easy navigation to information				
22	Has fast response and transaction processing				
23	I think that Industry 4.0 system will keep personal				
$\perp$	information secure from exposure				
24	Adoption of Industry 4.0 make me can use when I want				
	to use				
25	I think that industry 4.0 system has good functionality				
$\vdash$	relevant to site type		_		
26	I think that Industry 4.0 keeps error-free transactions		_		
27	I think that Industry 4.0 system creates an audio-visual				
$\vdash$	experience		_		
	D. Perceived Content Quality		_		
28	Industry 4.0 system has sufficient contents where I				
-	expect to find information	_	_		_
29	Industry 4.0 system provides complete information		_		
30	Industry 4.0 system provides site-specific information	_	_		
31	Industry 4.0 system provides accurate information		-		_
32	Industry 4.0 system provides timely information		_		
33	Industry 4.0 system provides reliable information		_		
34	Industry 4.0 system communicates information in an				
	appropriate format				
<u> </u>	P. B		-		
2.5	E. Perceived Cost		_		
35	Reduction of the operating costs of my organization by				
26	adopt Industry 4.0		-		
36	Adopt Industry 4.0 instead of buying and deploying				
27	physical machines and software		_		
37	Elimination of hiring expensive IT expertise in-house	_	_		
38	Improvement of the scalability of IT infrastructure		$\vdash$		
39	Adopt Industry 4.0 in organization is expensive overall				

JP 63.indd 48 18/1/2022 12:59:17 PM

40	Installing and operating Industry 4.0 in organization are a burden to me			
41	There is a financial barrier to maintaining and repairing industry 4.0 system			

### Section Three: Intention of the Focal Actor

Please read each statement carefully and tick ( $\sqrt{}$ ) for each item that represent your answer. Five scales ranging from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree" use to reflect your level of agreement.

2	- Strongly disagree 2- Disagree 3- Neutral 4- Ap	gree	5- Strongly Agree			gree
No.	Statement	1	2	3	4	5
	Intention of the Respondents and Perspective on Competitor's Organization					
1	I have intention to adopt Industry 4.0 because it will reduce the information gaps in my company					
2	I have intention to adopt Industry 4.0 because it will reduce the information gaps between my company and competitor					
2	My need for the Industry 4.0 will constantly increase in the future to align with the initiative and regulation by the government					
3	Collaboration between my company and the competitor in the Industry 4.0 will yield mutual benefit to both party					
4	I plan to not adopt Industry 4.0 because its disadvantages more than advantages					
5	I know that my competitor will also adopt Industry 4.0 in accordance with the initiative and regulation by the government					
6	I know that my competitor will also not adopt Industry 4.0 because its disadvantages more than advantages					

## THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND COOPERATION

JP 63.indd 49 18/1/2022 12:59:17 PM