Jurnal Personalia Pelajar 20(2): 11 - 22

Factors Influencing Students' Usage Satisfaction Toward University Web Portal: A Pls-Sem Analysis (Faktor-faktor yang Mempengaruhi Kepuasan Penggunaan Pelajar Ke Portal Web Universiti: Analisis Pls-Sem)

SYED SHAH ALAM, MD DAUD ISMAIL, MOHD HELMI ALI & ZAHER ZAIN

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to empirically examine the factors affecting users' satisfaction with university portals in Malaysia. This study tested seven hypotheses on factors affecting users' satisfaction of university portal with empirical data from a sample of 318 students from Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia. Data were collected through personal-administered questionnaire. Partial Least Square (PLS) analysis results revealed that system quality, information quality, service quality, user ability, and educational services were significantly influence users satisfaction. Information quality was found as the dominating factor in this research.

Keywords: User satisfaction, university web portals, confirmatory factor analysis, Structural Equation Modeling.

ABSTRAK

Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji secara empirik faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi kepuasan pengguna dengan portal universiti di Malaysia. Kajian ini menguji tujuh hipotesis mengenai faktor yang mempengaruhi kepuasan pengguna portal universiti dengan data empirikal daripada sampel 318 pelajar dari Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia. Data dikumpul melalui soal selidik peribadi. Hasil analisa *Partial Least Square* (PLS) menunjukkan bahawa kualiti sistem, kualiti maklumat, kualiti perkhidmatan, keupayaan pengguna, dan perkhidmatan pendidikan secara signifikan mempengaruhi kepuasan pengguna. Kualiti maklumat didapati sebagai faktor yang mendominasi dalam kajian ini.

Kata kunci: Kepuasan pengguna, portal web universiti, analisis faktor konfirmasi, Pemodelan Persamaan Struktur.

INTRODUCTION

In the today's information age, the widespread extension of internet is replacing any organizations' traditional way of public interaction. Organizations are moving forwards in span, the necessity of accessing into large audience with improved operational efficiency become obvious for them (Mentes & Turan, 2012). The proliferation in usage of technologies is observed in organizations; web technology is one of them (Currie, 2000; Poon & Swatman, 1999; Westland & Clark, 1999; Teo & Tan 1998). The web sites are becoming centralized solution for customers and organizations meeting their diverse needs. It performs as a delivery mechanism or platform for services/interactions among stakeholders and simultaneously characterizes the organizations upholding organizations' culture, values, and vision (Iwaarden et al., 2004).

Universities are not lagging behind in the competitions comply with the prediction of Bill Gate in late nineties that technologies and internet will be crucial in both inside and outside class room teaching (Gates 1996). The learning time should not bind within the class hours and for active and comprehensive learning, it passes its beyond. For instance, students may be given quick assignment allowing to be submitted late night or they may be given class lecture ahead of the class so that class assignment can be given based on the free internet resources. Besides, universities are competing in the global environment in terms of international ranking for attracting global students. For these, universities need universal standards in their education curriculum and facilities where teacher, staffs, students, job providers, visitors and regulators could equally evaluate each other. Web portal is such a platform for becoming one stop facilitator for the universities.

A university web portal is an application with a single web-based interface to access aggregated and personalized view of information, resources, applications, and education/academic options from internal and external sources via a network connection in a password-protected setting (Adeyinka et. al., 2012, Al-Debei, et. al., 2013). Besides, it accumulates specific functions, such as search mechanisms, access to databases, user registration and personalization options. Despite the significant changes in the end-user computing environment during the past decade and explosion of web based information systems, there has been little research on measurement of user satisfaction with web-based information systems especially in the academic domain.

UKM web portal is designed to provide online services to all students, which includes; facilitating admission processes, checking admission status, course registration, payment of tuition fees, requests for accommodation and providing relevant information about the University. In spite of the benefits of this information system to the university students, measuring its overall success will depends largely on students' satisfaction. Measurement of success of UKM web portal performance can only be achieved through a feedback from users. The feedback will act as a signal and a scale for stakeholders to justify their investment in the system and appraise their effort in the system development. This vital feedback can only be achieved through a survey of the web portal IS users to discover their candid view of the system. That is why this study is opted to explore the factors influencing web portal satisfaction and concurrently will shape it through structural equation modeling.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The term satisfaction is an inner state (pleasure or disappointment) resulted from the comparison of outcomes with expectation of individual (Kotler, 2000; Kotler and Keller 2012; Tse and Wilton, 1988; Oliver, 1980). Morgan and Hunt, (1994) viewed satisfaction as a function of consumers belief that the person was treated fairly. That is satisfaction is a combined outcome of perception, assessment and psychological reactions to the persons experience with the product/services (Yi, 1990). So, user satisfaction of web portal is the feelings of users that he or she got exactly the same or less what is supposed to get compared to the standard web portal features or expectations to carry out the purposes.

Customer Satisfaction is a most widely researched subject matter in the academic world from last two decades but users' satisfaction of web portals roughly appeared in the literature since 2005 (Shaltoni et. al., 2015). The information systems acceptance model proposed by Kuo et al. (2005) found empirically that empathy, ease of use, and information quality as well as accessibility influence satisfaction along with their refined scale for perceived portal quality. Liu and Arnett (2000) recommended information and service quality; system use, playfulness, and system design are the essential predictor for website success. Griffiths, et. al. (2007) suggested that user satisfaction is affected by diverse factors, consisting of visual appeal in case of information system usage. Zviran et. al., (2006) evaluated the link of user-based design with website usability and user satisfaction while Li (1997) incorporated service quality as factor affecting information system performance from the personnel perspective. Pitt *et al.* (1995) concluded that service quality is an intangible measurement tools associated with information systems.

Likewise, several studies dealt with various factors identifying user satisfaction with campus or university portal for instance User ability, design, playfulness, support services availability (Lee et al., 2009), Information satisfaction, system satisfaction (Lee and Kim 2010) Information satisfaction, system Satisfaction (Christy and Matthe, 2011), Information/ Content quality, System quality, Ease of use (Tella and Bashorun, 2012). The similar studies based on university portal which identified related factors includes Interaction, invisibility for portal acceptance (Booi and Ditsa 2013), Competition pressure, government support, vendors support for portal adoption (Macharia and Nyakwende 2009), portals Usability, service availability, ease of use for portal usability (Daher and Elkaban 2012), Ease of use, information quality, system quality for perception and use of library portal (Chen 2011), Information quality, systems quality, service quality for library portal effectiveness (Masrek et al. 2010), Informational and transactional content/availability, web site design for portal quality (Abdelhakim et al. 2011).

Hypothesis Development

Researchers have created models to measure information system success (Delone and McLean, 1992; Seddon and Kiew, 1996), emphasizing the need for better and more consistent success metrics. To measure IS success; user satisfaction is possibly the most extensively used single measure (Doll and Torkzadeh, 1998; Igbaria and Nachman, 1990; Gatian, 1994). Delone and McLean (2003) IS success model is one of the most widely cited (Heo and Han, 2003) and were used in this study to examine UKM students' satisfaction of UKM web portal.

However, this study considered, along with the technological factor, only four factors from the extended (Dalone and McLean, 2003) IS success model namely system quality, information quality, service quality, and user satisfaction. Since the use of the UKM web portal will be not an option, but rather mandatory to the UKM students, the construct "use" was subsumed in user satisfaction since users' satisfaction of an information system could only be preceded by its use. Net benefit as a construct was dropped since measuring it was out of context in this study. User satisfaction which includes 'use' in this context remains the most viable measure of the IS success. This leads to the modification of the (Dalone and McLean, 2003) IS success model in accessing users satisfaction within the context of the study.

System Quality

System quality indicates the system that supports the application of the web portals and it quality is measured by the applications ease of use, loading speed, easy navigation facility, flexibility, reliability etc. Cameron (1999) defined system quality as the processing quality of an information system and Dalone and McLean, (2003) modify information system model with system quality. Lee and Kozar (2006) described website efficiency, used as alternative to system quality, as demonstration of resources expanded achieving goal in visiting a website. Users find efficiency when they get quick responses without putting much cognitive efforts. Likewise, functionality as part of system quality is found as related to user satisfaction (Gelderman 2002). System quality has also found connected to the user satisfaction in various field such as knowledge management system (Kulkarni et. al. 2006; Wu & Wang, 2006; Halawi et. al., 2007), general information system (Seddon and Yip, 1992; Yoon et. al 1995; Guimaraes et. al 1996; Seddon, and Kiew 1996; Rai et. al. 2002; McGill et. al. 2003; Almutairi and Subramanian, 2005; McGill and Klobas, 2005; Wixom and Todd, 2005). In case of website system quality, (Kim et. al., 2002; Palmer, 2002) found reliability and download time are significantly related to the users satisfaction. All these relations lead to the hypothesis:

H1: System Quality of university portal is significantly related to the satisfaction of users.



Figure 1: Conceptual framework for students' satisfaction for web portal usage

Information quality for any information system is the extent of superiority in terms of contents, correctness, timeliness and arrangement of information as output (Liao et al., 2006; Masrek et. al., 2010; Tella and Bashorun, 2012). Information in low quality could serve the purposes of users but it stimulates dissatisfaction among users which could lead to search for alternatives. Researchers (Kim et. al., 2002; Palmer, 2002) opened up significant relationship among content, layout and user satisfaction while McKinney and Yoon, (2002) explored information quality as key determinant for user acceptance. The numerous studies (Seddon and Yip, 1992; Seddon, and Kiew 1996; McGill and Klobas, 2005; Almutairi and Subramanian, 2005; Wixom and Todd, 2005; Kulkarni et. al. 2006; Chiu et. al., 2007; Halawi et. al., 2007) recognized that information quality influence the users satisfaction. Besides, the quality of information have significant effect on the managerial satisfaction and others components of information system (Teo and Wong, 1998).

H2: Information Quality of university portal is significantly related to the satisfaction of users.

Service Quality

Even though web portal provide internet interface services where there is no physical presence of service provider until the user struck in any problem. Electrical and mechanical systems are not beyond the technical problems. When it occurs, the system provider must provide quick and reliable services to solve it. Usually, these services are provided by the IS departments and IT support personnel. The responsiveness, accuracy, technical skills of IT professional pacifies the dissatisfaction of users. Numerous studies established the link of service quality and users satisfaction. Leonard-Barton & Sinha, (1993) found that responsiveness to complain of developers positively related to user satisfaction while Yoon et. al., (1995) examined that developer's skill influence the user satisfaction for an expert systems. Similarly, Leclercq, (2007) exposed that IS functions along with quality of support and service affect user satisfaction. In contrast, Choe (1996) concluded that IS professionals experience do not related to the user satisfaction of accounting information system. Therefore, the following hypothesis is adopted in this study:

H3: Service Quality of university portal is significantly related to the satisfaction of users

Educational services

The necessity for which university web portals are usually created is helping students in term of their educational services like downloading class contents, uploading assignments, took part in the discussion on a given topic by teacher, communicating with faculty members for any assistance etc. It intends to design in such way that it becomes a hub of learning with teacher-students interactions in 24 hours via online. The planned services to students and staff facilitate speeding up administrative process, flexible approach in transactions by the individualized self-services (Bajec, 2005). When students find their education related service in right way, they become satisfied to the portal that is to the university at large. Based on that the following hypothesis is taken:

H4: Educational services from the university web portal are significantly related to the satisfaction of users.

Functionality and design

Functionality and design of web portal refers to the level of user friendliness, knowledge about the web portal and easy to understand by its simple design. Tan and Wei (2006) pointed out that the appearance of a website is a critical factor that develops the perceived information for the performance of better cognitive mapping and assessment regarding decisions for implementation. Zhang et. al., (2000) commented that the effective web designing with attractive appearance could satisfy the users. However, a better functional and perfect designed web portal can satisfy users. Thereby, the following hypothesis is adopted:

H5: Functionality and design of university portal is significantly related to the satisfaction of users

Technology and infrastructure

Technological and infrastructure factors include uninterrupted power supply, necessary up gradation, and sufficient internet facilities. These factors indicate the facilitating conditions which is essential for maintaining constant services. The better the infrastructural support, the higher the satisfaction of users. Infrastructural factors were identified as positively relevant (top most factor) with IS success and adoption (Grover 1993). Zhu et. al., (2003) opened up that physical IT infrastructure influence e-business acceptance in European firms while Grover (1993) failed to get any link for IS structure (the degree to which IS are structured or dispersed within organization) in IT acceptance. Ang, et. al., (2001) concluded that those organizations are decentralized in term of IT structure are more influential on the IT use than the centralized IT structure. Thus, the following hypothesis is offered:

H6: Technology and infrastructure are significantly related to the users' satisfaction of university portal

User Ability

User ability is the primary factor for the information system users and without this the superior system, service and information quality become useless. A user may be short of skill for checking information or surfing internet especially for web based systems. If he or she got help from others or from university, the limitations could be resolved subject to the users learning ability. Though the university level students may not have lacking of basic computer knowledge but in case of new software they might be in trouble. Thereby the efficacy of campus portal is very much dependent on the appropriate level of competence (Liu et al., 2009). Nielson (1993) used the term learnability in his usability model and defined as the level of simplicity of the website for casual users to learn it. However, several studies identified learnability as a key component of usability of information systems (Brink et al., 2002; Nielson, 1993). Alter (1978) viewed that the computing ability of an IS user can perform efficient communication between the system developer and user for reducing aversion to the use of information systems carrying out purposes. Srinivasan (1985) explained that system user ability can be a source of user motivation to use systems, measured by the accuracy of report content and ease of understanding. Thereby, the following hypothesis can be drawn:

H7: User ability is significantly related to the users' satisfaction of university web portal

METHODOLOGY

The population of this study is the students of Universiti Kebangsaang Malaysia and the sampling frame used was the any students of UKM. There are about few thousand students enrolled and a sample size of 318 can be considered sufficient for this size of target population and for the minimum sample size required by Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) as suggested by Anderson & Gerbing, (1988); Hair et al., (1998), and Shumacker & Lomax, (1996). Survey questionnaires were personally distributed to the respective respondents randomly and collected later on. Convenience sampling method was used to collect the data. The instruments to measure the current study were derived from previous validated study. The scale items to measure the latent constructs in the model were adapted from the scale items used by Shaltoni et. al., (2015) and Nwone S. A. (2014). The study used a 5-point Likert scale (from 1: strongly disagree to 5: strongly agree) in the questionnaires. Before conducting the final survey a pretest was conducted to modify the questionnaire and its wording.

Data from the questionnaires were analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 and the SMART Partial Least Square (PLS) version 2. The study used structural equation modeling (SEM) for finding out the appropriate factors by the exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis method. SEM is a multi-variable analysis that combines the concepts of factor analysis and multiple regression analysis (Hair et al., 2006) and is a second generation statistical measures (Awang, 2013). The use of PLS SEM is basically different from the covariance based SEM which is more strict in terms of sample size and normal distribution of data etc. (Hair et. al. 2014). For constructs collinearity analysis and for p-value determination, SPSS and MS-excel were employed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Profile of respondents

Among the total respondents, about 70% and 30% of them are female and male while 76.5% and 15.4% are Malay and Chinese respectively. Indian ethnicity represents only 8.2% of the respondents. The religion indicates that majority is the Muslim (76.2%) followed by the Buddha (13.5%) and Hindu (8.5%). A least percentage (1.9%) was for the Christian people among the respondents. Respondents are mostly (69.6%) in 21-25 years and below 20 years (27.3%) of age group. Only 2.2% and 0.3% percentage of the respondents are above 35 years and 26-30 years of age group. Besides, the majority of the students (47.6% and 31%) are 3rd students and 2nd year accordingly. The first year student comprises only 21.3% of the survey. The respondent's

distribution by faculty indicated that 23.8% of the respondents are from FEP and FST each whereas 16.6% of them are FTSM and FSSK each. The least percentage of respondents is from FKAB (10%), FPI (7.5%) and FUU (1.6%).

Measurement Model

The measurement model determination includes testing internal consistency (composite reliability), Indicator reliability (cronbach's alpha), convergent validity (average variance extracted), discriminate validity (Fornell-Larker Criterion) of the constructs and indicators. The composite reliability is an alternative to the cronbach's alpha which have limitation in case of item numbers in the scale (Hair Jr. et. al. 2014).

In case of composite reliability (table-1), 26 items quality out of 28 items of the constructs based on the rule of thumbs of values 0.5 or higher and low outer loadings (<.40) are to be removed from the scale (Hair et al. 2011). Two items Edu1 (0.3323), Techno2 (-0.35) having low factor loading were deleted from the construct educational services and technological & infrastructure factor as per the principle. The AVE for the construct education services and technological

Table 1. Refined measurement model for user satisfact	ions
---	------

Const.	Items	Load	AVE	CR	Const.	Items	Load	AVE	CR	
Education services	Edu2	0.7086	0.6269	0.6269 0.792	System Quality	SysQ1	0.7886	0.635	0.797	
	Edu3	0.8544				SysQ2	0.876			
	Edu4	0.8053				SysQ3	0.7298			
Function ability & design	Function1	0.9362	0.7256 0.85	0.852	-	SysQ4	0.7862			
	Function2	0.6757				Techo	Techno3	0.7114	0.641	0.801
	Function3	0.9186			& infra	Tecno1	0.8807			
Info Quality	InfoQ1	0.8412	0.7708	0.878	0.878	User	UserA1	0.6939	0.581	0.762
	InfoQ2	0.9288			ability	UserA2	0.6857			
	InfoQ3	0.8615				UserA3	0.8899			
Service Quality	SerQ1	0.9082	0.8556	0.925	Satisf-	UserSat1	0.8982	0.850	0.922	
	SerQ2	0.9404			ection	UserSat2	0.9393			
	SerQ3	0.9261	AVE	CR		UserSat3	0.9281			

& infrastructure factor were improved (0.4952 to 0.6269 and 0.4952 to 0.581 accordingly) after deletion of items meeting up the threshold value > 0.5 for all the constructs. This means the construct explains more than half of the variance of its indicators (Hair Jr. et. al 2014).

The composite reliability which indicated the internal consistency of the items in the constructs are also above (in fact greater than 0.750) the standard value >0.60 suggesting the required level is achieved. And another criterion for exploratory analysis is the

discriminant validity which means the degree to which a construct is actually dissimilar each other by empirical standards (Hair Jr. et. al. 2014). Fornell-Larker (1981) is a method comparing the square root of AVE value and constructs correlations. If the square root of each construct's AVE is greater than its highest correlation with any other construct, the discriminant validity is ensured and vice-versa (Hair Jr. et. al. 2014). The discriminant validity (table 2) for all the constructs was confirmed in the study as its square root of each AVE is greater than that of each correlation.

Edu Fun Inf Sat Serv Sys Tec User Discriminant Validity Edu 0.792 Yes Func 0.562 0.852 Yes Info 0.403 0.470 0.878 Yes Yes Sat 0.536 0.437 0.682 0.922 Serv 0.456 0.416 0.473 0.542 0.925 Yes 0.44 0.564 0.680 0.643 0.416 0.797 Yes Sys Tech 0.609 0.355 0.331 0.379 0.390 0.176 0.801 Yes User 0.360 0.466 0.262 0.312 0.273 0.242 0.216 0.762 Yes

Table 2. Fornell-Larcker Criterion for Confirming Discriminant Validity

Structural Model

The structural model evaluation in PLS-SEM relates with the measurement of collinearity, coefficients of determination (R^2), Predictive relevance (Q^2), Size and significance of path coefficients, f^2 effect sizes, q^2 effect sizes. A high correlation between two construct is referred to as collinearity and when these occurred with more than two construct it is called as multicollinearity. Collinearity proved as problem in the model fit as one or more construct become redundant. The rule of thumb is the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) value must be below 5 while the tolerance limit must also be greater than the 0.20 (Hair et. al. 2014).



Figure 2. Bootstrapping results of the constructs from Smart PLS

The result (Table 4) indicates that the VIF (>5) and its tolerate limit (>0.20) are satisfactory meaning that there is no collinearity problems. The coefficients of determination (\mathbb{R}^2) which measure the model's predictive accuracy found in the model is 0.6126 can be regarded as moderate as it is less than 0.75 and greater than 0.25 (Hair et. al. 2014). The predictive relevance (\mathbb{Q}^2) suggested by Geisser, (1974) & Stone, (1974) indicates that value greater than zero is considered as the exogenous constructs have predictive relevance for the endogenous construct (satisfaction) under consideration. The result recommend that the value (\mathbb{Q}^2 =0.498) is well above zero to reckon the sufficient predictive relevance.

The results of this study (see Table 3) demonstrate that there are statistically significant and positive relationships between users' satisfaction and information quality (β =0.338, p<0.01), and system quality (β =0.2955, p<0.01), Educational services (β =0.201, p<0.01), and service quality (β =0.1798, p<0.01). The weakest relationship was found between users' satisfaction and user ability (β =0.0805, p<0.05). Whereas there was no significance relationship

found between users' satisfaction and Technological & infrastructure factor (β =0.0521, p>0.10) and in contrast the Function ability & design construct found interestingly a reverse result with significance (β = -0.1318, p<0.05). Therefore, the results supports H1, H2, H3, H4, H5, H7 and hypothesis number six was not supported.

The findings of the study found most of the factors significant which is in line with the study Nwone (2014) in case of system, service and information quality, technology and infrastructure factors influencing users' satisfaction but unlike to the dominating factor were system quality in assessing university web portal satisfaction. Also, it is in agreement of the Shaltoni et. al., (2015) who found educational services, system quality and information quality are significant factors contributing users' satisfaction while user ability is non-significant factor. This insignificant result is just opposite to the present study where we found it as significant. Though user ability detected as significant here on, it featured least explanatory power for user satisfaction is similar to the research Lee et al. (2009).

Hypotheses	Constructs	Path	T Statistics	p values	Result
		coefficient			
H1	System Quality -> Users				
	Satisfaction	0.2955	4.7054***	3.78994E ⁻⁰⁶	Supported
H2	Information Quality ->	0.3382	5.8176***		
	Users Satisfaction			1.45939E ⁻⁰⁸	Supported
Н3	Service Quality -> Users	0.1798	3.4016***		
	Satisfaction			0.00076	Supported
H4	Educational services ->				
	Users Satisfaction	0.2006	2.7149***	0.00699	Supported
Н5	Function ability & design ->				
	Users Satisfaction	0.1318	2.2906**	0.02264	Supported
H6	Technological &				
	infrastructure factor ->				Not
	Users Satisfaction	0.0521	0.8217	0.41187	Supported
H7	User ability -> Users			0.04595	Supported
	Satisfaction	0.0805	2.0037**		

Table 3. Hypothesis testing and path coefficients

Notes: *Significant at p < 0.05 (t > 1.645); **Significant at p < 0.01 (t > 2.326); ***Significant at p < 0.001 (t > 3.090); ns = not significant

The next two criteria for measuring structural model are determination of f^2 and q^2 . The f^2 and q^2 values of 0.02, 0.15, and 0.35 indicate an exogenous construct's small, medium, or large effect, respectively,

on an endogenous construct (Hair Jr. et. al. 2014). The present study found the small effects of exogenous constructs on the endogenous construct as all the values are below 0.15 (Table 4).

Constructs	Collinearity:	Tolerance	f ² effect	q ² effect	
	VIF		size	size	
	Satisfaction ($R^2 = 0.6126$, $Q^2 = 0.4980$)				
Educational services	2.225	.449	0.0467	0.0285	
Function ability & design	2.034	.492	0.0212	0.0127	
Information Quality	2.146	.466	0.1350	0.0866	
Service Quality	1.504	.665	0.0555	0.0329	
System Quality	2.389	.419	0.0927	0.0574	
Technological & infrastructure	1 773	564			
factor	1.//5	.304	0.0039	0.0024	
User ability	1.319	.758	0.0126	0.0078	

Table 4. Collinearity, f^2 and q^2 assessment

CONCLUSION

The aim of the study was to find the factors influencing students' satisfaction of web portal usage. The study found that system quality, information quality, service quality, educational services, functionality and design, and user ability are the significant factors affecting users' satisfactions. The most dominating factor identified in the study is information quality. The reason could be student emphasized timely, accurate and relevant information on top of the other factors. Regarding the structural equation modeling of factors of users satisfaction, the models has moderate predictive accuracy and sufficient predictive relevance. Besides, the exogenous variables have small effect to the endogenous variable; user satisfaction.

Recognizing user's feedback on the satisfaction of web portal should help the university authority to rectify the limitations and simultaneously maintain/ restore universities overall performance and rankings. Since this study found relevance of web portal qualities (system, service and information) with the users' satisfaction, the authority should adopt universally accepted IT policy to maintain and improve further qualities. Besides, they should care about extension of educational services customized to students. Such service is proposed in this study is to include the online visa processing for international students and online publication payments. Though in many cases university provides online services via portal but it is not entirely online rather the hard copies (for research assistenceship, scholarships application) need to submit physically at the end which could be automated easily. However, technology and infrastructure factors did not find relevance to the satisfaction of the study indicates a scope for enhancing supporting facilities especially the bandwidth of internet (Wi-Fi) which is responsible for the slow operations of web portal. Besides, the result of the study will contribute to the existing literature by filling gaps of structural equation modeling of factor of web portal satisfaction.

The study was limited to only a particular university (UKM) in Malaysia which can be extended with the composition of few universities around with greater sample size. The methodology here used for data analysis is PLS-SEM which could be replaced by Covariance based structural equation modeling using AMOS or other related software for the more valid results. The present study only considered the system, information and service quality as the quality dimension which could be diversified with the other service quality dimensions like assurance, empathy, responsiveness etc. The study could also be further investigated with the usability issues of web portal.

REFERENCES

- Abdelhakim, M.N., Carmichael, J.N. and Ahmad, S. 2011. Quality evaluation of university web portals: a student perspective. *International Journal* of Information and Operations Management Education 4(3): 229-243.
- Adeyinka T., Bashorun, M.T., Adu, E.O., 2012. Impact of Web Portals on E-Learning, *ARPN Journal of Science and Technology* 3(7): 766-773
 Al-Debei, M.M., Jalal, D. and Al-Lozi, E. 2013.
- Al-Debei, M.M., Jalal, D. and Al-Lozi, E. 2013. Measuring web portals success: a respecification and validation of the DeLone and McLean information systems success model. *Int. J. Business Information Systems* 14(1): 96–133.
- Almutairi H. and Subramanian, G. H. 2005. An empirical application of the DeLone and McLean model in the Kuwaiti private sector. *Journal of Computer Information Systems* 45(3): 113–122.
- Alter, Steven 1978. Development Patterns for Decision Support Systems. *MIS Quarterly* 2(3): 33-42.
- Anderson, J. C., & Gerbing, D. W. 1988. Structural equation modeling in practice: A review and recommended two-step approach. *Psychological*

Bulletin 103(3): 411- 423.

- Ang, C.L., Davies, M.A., Finlay, P.N. 2001. An empirical Model of IT usage in the Malaysian Public Sector. *Journal of Strategic Information* Systems 10: 159-174.
- Awang, Z. 2013. Structural Equation Modeling using AMOS Graphic 2nd Edition. Shah Alam: Universiti Teknologi MARA Publication Centre, UiTM Press
- Bajec, M. 2005. Educational portals: a way to get an integrated, user centric university information system", in Tatnall, A. (Ed.), Web portals: the new Gateways to Internet Information and Services, Idea Group, London, pp. 252-269.
- Booi, V.M. and Ditsa, G.E. 2013, "Usability and user acceptance of university web portal interfaces: a case of South African universities", HCI International 2013-Posters' Extended Abstracts, International Conference, HCI International 2013, Proceedings, Part I, pp. 91-95. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, Las Vegas, NV, July 21-26.
- Brinck, T., Gergle, D., & Wood, S. 2002. Usability for the web: Designing web sites that work. San Francisco, CA.: Morgan Kaufmann.
- Cameron, M. 1999. Content that works on the web", Target Marketing 11(1): 22-58.
- Chen, Y.H. 2011.Undergraduates' perceptions and use of the university libraries web portal: can information literacy instruction make a difference. *Proceedings* of the American Society for Information Science and Technology 48(1): 1-10.
- Chiu, C. M. Chiu, C. S. and Chang, H. C. 2007. Examining the integrated influence of fairness and quality on learners' satisfaction and Webbased learning continuance intention. *Information Systems Journal* 17(3): 271–287.
- Choe J. M. 1996. The relationships among performance of accounting information systems, influence factors, and evolution level of information systems. *Journal of Management Information Systems* 12(4): 215–239.
- Christy, M.K. and Matthew, K.O. 2011. Antecedents and consequences of user satisfaction with an e-learning portal", *International Journal of Digital Society (IJDS)* 2(1): 373-380.8.
- Currie, W.L. 2000. The Global Information Society, Wiley, Chichester, UK.
- Daher, L.A. and Elkabani, I. 2012. "Usability evaluation of some Lebanese universities web portals", The 13th International Arab Conference on Information Technology ACIT Lebanon, December 10-13.
- DeLone W. H. and McLean, E. R. 2003. The DeLone and McLean Model of Information Systems Success: A Ten-Year Update. *Journal of Management Information Systems* 19 (4): 9- 30.
- Delone W. H. and McLean, E.R. 1992. Information Systems Success: The Quest for the Dependent Variable. *Information Systems Research* 3: 60-95.
- Doll W.J., and Torkzadeh G., 1998. Developing a multidimensional measure of system-use in an organizational context. *Information & Management* 33(4): 171–185.
- Fornell C. & Larcker, D. F. 1981. Evaluating structural

equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research* 19(1): 39-50

- Gates, B. 1996. *The road ahead*. London, UK: Pearson Longman Publishers.
- Gatian, A. W. 1994. Is user satisfaction a valid measure of system effectiveness? *Information and Management* 26 (3): 119-131.
- Geisser, S., 1974. A predictive approach to the random effect model. Biometrika, 61(1): 101-107.
- Gelderman, M. 2002. Task difficulty, task variability and satisfaction with management support systems. *Information & Management* 39(7): 593–604.
- Griffiths, J. R., Johnson, F. and Hartley, R. J. 2007. User Satisfaction as a Measure of System Performance. *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science*, 39(3): 142-152.
- Grover, V. 1993. An empirically derived model for the adoption of customer-based inter organizational systems. *Decision Sciences* 24(3): 603-639, 1993.
- Guimaraes, T. Yoon, Y. and Clevenson, A. 1996. Factors important to expert system success: a field test. *Information & Management* 30(3): 119–130.
- Hair Jr, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. & Sarstedt, M. 2014. *A premier on partial least squares structural equation modeling* (PLS-SEM). Sage Publications.
- Hair, J. F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., & Black, W. C. 1998. *Multivariate data analysis with readings*. New York: Publishing.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E. & Tatham, R. L. 2006. *Multivariate data analysis*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall. doi.org/10.1002/9781118887486.ch6.
- Hair, J.F., Anderson, R.E., Tatham, R.L. & Black, W.C. 2006. *Multivariate Data Analysis 6th Edition*. NJ: Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River
- Hair, J.F., Ringle, C.M. and Sarstedt, M., 2011. PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. Journal of Marketing theory and Practice 19(2): 139-152.
- Halawi, L.A., McCarthy R. V. and Aronson, J. E. 2007. An empirical investigation of knowledgemanagement systems' success. *The Journal of Computer Information Systems* 48(2): 121–135.
- Heo, J. and Han, I. 2003. Performance measure of information systems in evolving computing environments: an empirical investigation," *Information & Management* 40(4): 243–256, 2003.
- Igbaria, M. and Nachman, S. A. 1990. Correlates of user satisfaction with end user computing," *Information and Management* 19(2): 73-82.
- Iwaarden, J.V., Wiele, T.V.D., Ball, L., & Millen, R. 2004. Perceptions about the quality of web sites: a survey amongst students at Northeastern University and Erasmus University. *Information* & *Management* 41: 947–959.
- Kim, J., Lee, J., Han K. and Lee, M. 2002. Business as buildings: metrics for the architectural quality of internet businesses. *Information Systems Research* 13(3): 239–254.
- Kotler, P. & Keller, K.L. 2012. *Marketing Management*, Essex: Prentice Hall Europe.
- Kotler, P., 2000, Marketing Management. 10th ed.,

New Jersey, Prentice-Hall.

- Kulkarni, U.R. Ravindran, S. and Freeze, R. 2006. A knowledge management success model: theoretical development and empirical validation. *Journal of Management Information Systems* 23(3): 309–347, 2006.
- Kuo, T., Lu, I.Y., Huang, C.H. and Wu, G.C. 2005, Measuring users' perceived portal service quality – an empirical study. *Total Quality Management* 16(3): 309-320.
- Leclercq, A. 2007. The perceptual evaluation of information systems using the construct of user satisfaction: case study of a large French group. *The DATABASE for Advances in Information Systems* 38(2): 27–60.
- Lee, H.S. and Kim, J.W. 2010. Student user satisfaction with web-based information systems in Korean universities. *International Journal of Business* and Management 5(1): 62-6
- Lee, H.S., Choi, Y.H. and Jo, N.O. 2009. Determinants affecting user satisfaction with campus portal services in Korea", *Journal of Internet Banking & Commerce* 14(1): 1-18.
- Lee, Y., & Kozar, K.A. 2006. Investigating the effect of website quality on e-business success: An analytic hierarchy process (AHP) approach. *Decision Support System* (42): 1383–1401.
- Leonard-Barton D. and Sinha, D. K. 1993. Developeruser interaction and user satisfaction in internal technology transfer. *Academy of Management Journal* 36(5): 1125–1139.
- Li, E. Y. 1997. Perceived Importance of Information Systems Success Factors: A Meta Analysis of Group Differences. *Information and Management* 32(1): 15-28.
- Liao, C., Palvia, P. and Lin, H.N. 2006. The roles of habit and web site quality in e-commerce. International Journal of Information Management 26(6): 469-483.
- Liu, C. and Arnett, K. P. 2000. Exploring the Factors Associated with Web Site Success in the Context of Electronic Commerce. *Information and Management* 38(1): 23-33.
- Liu, C.T., Du, T.C. and Tsai, H.H. 2009. A study of the service quality of general portals", *Information and Management* 46 (1): 52-56.
- Macharia, J. and Nyakwende, E. 2009. Factors affecting the adoption and diffusion of internet in higher educational institutions in Kenya. *Journal* of Language, Technology & Entrepreneurship in Africa 1 (2): 6-23.
- Masrek, M.N., Jamaludin, A. and Mukhtar, S.A. 2010. Evaluating academic library portal effectiveness: a malaysian case study", *Library Review* 59(3): 198-212.
- McGill T. J. and Klobas, J. E. 2005. The role of spreadsheet knowledge in user-developed application success," *Decision Support Systems* 39(3): 355–369.
- McGill, T. Hobbs, V. and Globa, J. 2003. User-developed applications and information systems success: a test of Delone and McLean's model. *Information Resources Management Journal* 16(1): 24-45.

- McKinney, V. and Yoon, K. 2002. The measurement of web-customer satisfaction: an expectation and disconfirmation approach", *Information Systems Research* 13(3): 296-315.
- Mentes, S. A. and Turan, A. H. 2012. Assessing the Usability of University Websites: An Empirical Study on Namik Kemal University. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology* 11(3): 61-69.
- Morgan, R. M., & Hunt, S. D. 1994. The commitmenttrust theory of relationship marketing. *The journal* of marketing 20-38.
- Nielson, J. 1993. Usability engineering. Cambridge. MA: Academic Press.
- Nwone S. A. 2014. Exploratory Study of Information System User Satisfaction: A Study of University of Ibadan Post Graduate School Web Portal, *International Journal of Computer and Information Technology* 03 – Issue 06.
- Oliver R. L. 1980. A Cognitive Model of the Antecedents and Consequences of Satisfaction Decisions. Journal of Marketing Research 17(4): 460-469
- Palmer, J. 2002. Web site usability, design and performance metrics. *Information Systems Research* 13(1): 151–167.
- Pitt, F. L., Watson, T. R., and Kavan, C. B. 1995. Service Quality: A Measure of Information System Effectiveness. *MIS Quarterly* 19(2): 173-187.
- Poon, S. & Swatman, P.M.C. 1999. An exploratory study of small business Internet commerce issues. *Information and Management* 35(1): 9–18.
- Rai, A. Lang, S. S. and Welker, R. B. 2002. Assessing the validity of IS success models: an empirical test and theoretical analysis. *Information Systems Research* 13(1): 50-69.
- Schumacker, R. E., & Lomax, R. G. 1996. *A beginner's guide to structural equation modeling. Mahwah, NJ.*
- Seddon P. and Yip, S. K. 1992. An empirical evaluation of user information satisfaction (UIS) measures for use with general ledger accounting software. *Journal of Information Systems* 6(1): 75–98.
- Seddon, P.B. and Kiew M.Y. 1996. A partial test and development of DeLone and McLean's model of IS success. *Australian Journal of Information Systems* 4(1): 90–109.
- Shaltoni A. M., Khraim H., Abuhamad A., Amer M., 2015.Exploring students' satisfaction with universities' portals in developing countries", *The International Journal of Information and Learning Technology* 32(2:)82 – 93.
- Srinivasan, A. 1985. Alternative Measures of System Effectiveness: Association and Implications. *MIS Quarterly* 9(3): 243-253.
- Stone, M. 1974. Cross-validatory choice and assessment of statistical predictions, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society* 36 (2):111-147
- Tan, G. W. and Wei K. K. 2006. An empirical study of web browsing behaviour: towards an effective website design", *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications* (5): 261-271.
- Tella, A. and Bashorun, M.T. 2012. Undergraduate students' satisfaction with the use of web portals",

International Journal of Web Portals (IJWP) 4(2): 56-73.

- Teo, T.S.H., & Tan, M. 1998. An empirical study of adopters and non-adopters of the Internet in Singapore. *Information and Management* 34(6):339–345.
- Teo, T.S.M., and Wong I. 1998. An empirical study of the performance impact of computerization in the retail industry. *Omega* 26(5): 611–621.
- Tse D. K. and Wilton P.C. 1988 Models of consumer satisfaction formation: An Extension. Journal of Marketing Research, XXV: 204-212
- Westland, J.C., & Clark, T.H.K., 1999. Global Electronic Commerce: Theory and Case Studies, *MIT Press*, Cambridge.
- Wixom B.H. and Todd, P. A. 2005. A theoretical integration of user satisfaction and technology acceptance. *Information Systems Research* 16(1): 85–102.
- Wu, J. H. and Wang, Y. M. 2006. Measuring KMS success: a respecification of the DeLone and McLean model," *Information & Management* 43(6): 728–739.
- Yi, Y., 1990. A critical review of consumer satisfaction. *Review of marketing* 4(1): 68-123.
- Yoon, Y. Guimaraes, T. and O'Neai, Q. 1995. Exploring the factors associated with expert system success. *MIS Quarterly* 19(1): 83–106.
- Zhang, P., Dran, G. M. V. Small R. V. and Barcellos, S. 2000. A two factor theory for website design. In Proceedings of the 33rd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Hawaii, United States.
- Zhu, K. Kraemer K. and Xu, S. 2003. E-Business Adoption by European Firms: A Cross-Country Assessment of the Facilitators and Inhibitors. *European Journal of Information Systems (EJIS)* 12(4): 251-268.
- Zviran, M., Glezer, C., and Avni, I. 2006. User Satisfaction from Commercial Web Sites: The Effect of Design and Use. *Information and Management*, 43: 157-178.

Syed Shah Alam (Prof. Madya Dr.) Pusat Pengajian Pegurusan Fakulti Ekonomi & pengurusan Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia 46300 UKM Bangi, Selangor E-mel : shahalam@ukm.edu.my

Md Daud Ismail (Prof. Madya Dr.) Pusat Pengajian Pegurusan Fakulti Ekonomi & pengurusan Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia 46300 UKM Bangi, Selangor E-mel : mddaud@ukm.edu.my

Mohd Helmi Ali (Dr.) Pusat Pengajian Pegurusan Fakulti Ekonomi & pengurusan Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia 46300 UKM Bangi, Selangor E-mel : mohdhelmiali@ukm.edu.my Mohd. Zaher Bin Mohd. Zain (Prof. Madya Dr.)

- Pusat Pengajian Pegurusan
- Fakulti Ekonomi & pengurusan

Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia

46300 UKM Bangi, Selangor

E-mel : zaher.zain@ukm.edu.my